



**OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY
GENERAL**

TAXATION DIVISION

**COMPTROLLER OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS
CASE LIST AND SUMMARY OF ISSUES**

February 2006

Table of Contents

Table of Cases	ix
Franchise Tax	1
7-Eleven, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	1
7-Eleven, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	1
Anderson-Clayton Bros. Funeral Home, Inc.; Restland of Dallas, Inc.; Restland Funeral Home; Singing Hills Funeral Homes, Inc.; Laurel Land Funeral Home of Fort Worth, Inc.; Blue Bonnet Hills Funeral Home, Inc.; and Blue Bonnet Hills Memorial Park, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	2
Centex Materials, L.P., As Successor in Interest to Centex Materials, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	3
Central Telephone Co. of Texas and United Telephone Co. of Texas v. Rylander, et al.	3
Chevron USA, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	4
Chevron USA, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	4
DaimlerChrysler Services North American, LLC	5
Dillard Department Stores, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	5
El Paso Corp. v. Strayhorn, et al.	6
El Paso Natural Gas Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	6
El Paso Natural Gas Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	7
Fairfield Industries, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	7
First Co. v. Rylander, et al.	8
Home Interiors & Gifts, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	8
Inland Truck Parts Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	9
INOVA Diagnostics, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	9
Kellwood Co., The v. Strayhorn, et al.	10
Owens Corning v. Strayhorn, et al.	10
Reliant Energy Corp. (formerly Houston Industries, Inc.) v. Rylander, et al.	11
Southwestern Bell Telephone Co. v. Rylander, et al.	11
TGS-NOPEC Geophysical Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	12
Texaco Refining & Marketing (East), Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	12
Tyson Foods, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	12
Viacom International, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	13
York International Corp. v. Strayhorn, et al.	13
Sales Tax	15
7-Eleven, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	15
AccuTel of Texas, L.P. v. Rylander, et al.	15
Advanta Business Services Corp. v. Rylander, et al.	15

Alcatel Network Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	16
Allegiance Telecom of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	16
Amerada Hess Corp. v. Strayhorn, et al.	17
Anderson Merchandisers Holding, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	17
Apollo Paint & Body Shop, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	17
Aramis Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	18
Aramis Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	18
BGK Operating Co., Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	19
Baldry, Ann dba Annie's Housekeeping Services v. Sharp, et al.	19
Bell Bottom Foundation Co. v. Rylander, et al.	19
Bell Helicopter Textron, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	20
Bell Helicopter Textron, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	20
Blue Cross & Blue Shield of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	21
Boeing North America, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	21
Boeing North America, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	21
Bonart, Richard C., DVM v. Strayhorn, et al.	22
Broadcast Satellite International, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	22
Burns, Kevin D. v. Strayhorn, et al.	23
C & T Stone Co. v. Rylander, et al.	23
Central Power & Light Co. v. Sharp, et al.	23
Chapal Zenray, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	24
Chevron Pipe Line Co. and West Texas Gulf Pipe Line Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	24
Chevron USA, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	25
Church & Dwight Co., Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	25
Cingular Wireless of Austin, LP, formerly known as GTE Mobilnet of Austin, LP; GTE Mobilnet of South Texas, LP; GTE Mobilnet of Texas RSA #17, LP; San Antonio MTA, LP, successor in interest to GTE Mobilnet of Texas RSA #21, LP and to GTE Wireless of Houston, LLC; Cingular Wireless of Texas RSA #11, LP, formerly known as GTE Mobilnet of Texas RSA #11, LP, and Cingular Wireless of Texas RSA #16, LP, formerly known as GTE Mobilnet of Texas RSA #16, LP v. Strayhorn, et al.	26
Clinique Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	26
Clinique Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	27
Clinique Services, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	27
Coca-Cola Co., The v. Strayhorn, et al.	28
Cosmair, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	28
Creative Closets, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	29
Crown Central Petroleum Corp. v. Strayhorn, et al.	29
Delta Air Lines, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	30

Dillard’s, Inc., aka Dillard Department Stores, Inc., and Dillard Texas Operating Limited Partnership v. Rylander, et al.	30
Dillard’s Inc., aka Dillard Department Stores, Inc., and Dillard Texas Operating Limited Partnership v. Strayhorn, et al.	31
DuPont Photomasks, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	31
EFW, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	32
EFW, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	32
ELC Beauty LLC, as Successor-in-Interest to Aramis Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	33
ELC Beauty, LLC, as Successor-in-Interest to Origins Services Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	33
ELC Beauty, LLC, as a Successor-in-Interest to Estee Lauder Services Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	34
E. de la Garza, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	35
Ebrahim, Suleiman S. v. Strayhorn, et al.	35
Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	36
Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	36
Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	36
Ethicon, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	37
F M Express Food Mart, Inc., and Fouad Hanna Mekdessi v. Rylander, et al.	37
GSC Enterprises, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	37
GTE Mobilnet of the Southwest, LLC v. Strayhorn, et al.	38
GTE Southwest, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	38
GTE Southwest, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	39
GTE Southwest, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	39
GTE Southwest, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	40
Garza, Lawrence v. Sharp, et al.	40
General Dynamics Corp. v. Rylander, et al.	41
General Dynamics Corp. v. Rylander, et al.	41
Gift Box Corp. of America, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	41
Graybar Electric Co., Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	42
Grocers Supply-Institutional-Convenience, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	42
H.J. Wilson Co., Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	43
Herndon Marine Products, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	43
Houston Wire & Cable Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	43
JB I, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	44
JBS Packing Co., Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	44
J.C. Penney Co., Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	44
Jerman Cookie Co. v. Rylander, et al.	45
Kroger Co., The v. Strayhorn, et al.	45
LTV Aerospace & Defense Co. v. Rylander, et al.	46

Laredo Coca-Cola Bottling Co., and Coca-Cola Enterprises, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	46
Laredo Coca-Cola Bottling Co., and Coca-Cola Enterprises, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	47
Laredo Country Club, Inc., A Texas Corp. v. Sharp, et al.	47
Laredo Pizza, Inc., and Samuel L. Alford, and L & H Pacific, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.	47
Lee Construction and Maintenance Co. v. Rylander, et al.	48
Liaison Resources, L.P., and David S. Claunch v. Rylander, et al.	48
Liberty Vending Services, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	49
Local Neon Co., Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	49
Lockheed Martin Corp., as Successor to Lockheed Martin Vought Systems Corp. and Loral Vought Systems Corp. v. Rylander, et al.	50
Lockheed Corp. v. Rylander, et al.	50
Lockheed Martin Corp. v. Rylander, et al.	51
Lockheed Martin Corp., Successor to Lockheed Martin Vought Systems Corp. v. Rylander, et al.	51
Lockheed Martin Corp. v. Strayhorn, et al.	52
Lockheed Martin Kelly Aviation Center, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	52
MG Building Materials, Ltd. v. Strayhorn, et al.	53
Mars, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	53
Maxus Energy Corp. as Successor in Interest to Maxus Corporate Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	54
Medaphis Physicians Services Corp. v. Sharp, et al.	54
Mitchell, Christia Parr v. Rylander, et al.	55
Nachhattar Tejpal Legha Enterprises, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	55
Neiman Marcus Group, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	55
Neiman Marcus Group, Inc., The v. Rylander, et al.	56
North American Intelcom, Inc., et al. v. Sharp, et al.	56
North Texas Asset Management, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	57
Northrop Grumman Systems Corp. (Successor to Northrop Grumman Corp. and Vought Aircraft Co.) v. Rylander, et al.	57
Northwestern Resources Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	58
Office Depot, Inc., successor to Office Depot Business Services Division (aka Office Depot Business Services, Inc.) and Office Depot of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	58
Office Depot, Inc., successor to Office Depot Business Services Division (aka Office Depot Business Services, Inc.) and Office Depot of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	59
R.H. Salas & Associates, Inc. v. Comptroller	59
Raytheon E-Systems, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	60

Raytheon Co., as Successor in Interest to Raytheon Training, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	60
Raytheon Co. and Daimlerchrysler Corp. as Successors to Central Texas Airborne Systems, Inc., fka Chrysler Technologies Airborne Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	61
Raytheon Co., as Successor to Raytheon TI Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	61
Raytheon Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	62
Raytheon Co., as Successor to Raytheon E-Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	62
Raytheon Co., as Successor to Raytheon E-Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	63
Reynolds Metals Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	63
Roadway Express, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	64
Robbins & Myers, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	64
Rockwell Collins, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	65
Rollins & Rollins Enterprises, Inc. , dba Country Kwik Stop v. Rylander, et al.	65
SC Kiosks, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	65
Sabine Mining Co., The v. Strayhorn, et al.	66
San Antonio Spurs, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.	66
Sanford, Gerald L. and Clara Krueger Sanford dba Gerald’s Manufacturing, a Sole Proprietorship v. Strayhorn	67
Service Merchandise Co., Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	67
Sharper Image Corp. v. Rylander, et al.	67
Sharper Image Corp. v. Rylander, et al.	68
Southern Plastics, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	69
Southern Sandblasting and Coatings, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	69
Southwest Food Processing & Refrigerated Services, aka Southwest Refrigerated Warehousing Services v. Rylander, et al.	69
Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P. v. Strayhorn, et al.	70
Stantrans Partners, LP v. Strayhorn, et al.	70
Steamatic of Austin, Inc., et al. v. Rylander, et al.	71
Sysco Food Services of Austin, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	71
Sysco Food Services of Houston, L.P. (fka Sysco Food Service of Houston, Inc.) v. Rylander, et al.	72
Sysco Food Services of Houston, L.P. (fka Sysco Food Services of Houston, Inc.) v. Strayhorn, et al.	72
TDI-Halter, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	73
Target Corp. v. Strayhorn, et al.	73
Telecable Associates, Inc.; Teleservice Corp. of America; Texas Telecable, Inc.; TCA Cable of Amarillo, Inc.; and Texas Community Antennas, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	74
Texas Gulf, Inc. v. Bullock, et al.	74

Union Carbide Corp. v. Rylander, et al.	74
United Space Alliance, LLC v. Strayhorn, et al.	75
United Space Alliance, LLC v. Strayhorn, et al.	75
United Space Alliance, LLC v. Strayhorn, et al.	76
West Texas Pizza, Limited Partnership v. Sharp, et al.	76
White Swan, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	77
Williams, Duane Everett v. Comptroller	77
World Fitness Centers, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	77
Zale Delaware, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	78
Zale Delaware, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	78
Zimmerman Sign Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	79
Insurance Tax	81
AXA Equitable Life Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	81
Allstate County Mutual Insurance Co.; Allstate Insurance Co.; Allstate Indemnity Co.; Allstate Texas Lloyds; and Allstate Property and Casualty Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	81
American Fidelity Assurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	82
American International Specialty Lines Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al.	82
Fireman’s Fund Insurance Co. of Ohio v. Rylander, et al.	83
First American Title Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	83
First American Title Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	84
First American Title Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	84
Lexington Insurance Co., Landmark Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al.	85
Metropolitan Life Insurance Co., et al. v. A.W. Pogue, et al.	86
Metropolitan Life Insurance Co., et al. v. A.W. Pogue, et al.	86
New York Life Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	86
Old Republic National Title Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	87
Old Republic National Title Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	87
Old Republic National Title Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	88
Old Republic Title Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	88
Prudential Insurance Co., The v. Strayhorn, et al.	89
STP Nuclear Operating Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	89
STP Nuclear Operating Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	90
STP Nuclear Operating Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	90
St. Paul Surplus Lines Co. v. Rylander, et al.	91
Warranty Underwriters Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al.	91
Other Taxes	93
Alpine ISD v. Strayhorn	93
Alpine ISD v. Strayhorn	93
Arnold, Jessamine J., Estate of, Deceased, and Jim Arnold, Jr., Independent Executor v. Rylander, et al.	93

Beadles, Joe Haven v. Comptroller	94
CarMax Auto Superstores, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	94
ConocoPhillips Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	95
Cypress-Fairbanks ISD, et al. v. Troy G. Rountree, et al.	95
El Paso Natural Gas Co. v. Sharp	95
El Paso Natural Gas Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	96
El Paso Natural Gas Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	96
El Paso Natural Gas Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	97
El Paso Natural Gas Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	98
Evercom Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	99
Fort Worth’s PR’s, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	99
Gallegos, Gerardo v. Strayhorn, et al.	99
Greenville ISD v. Comptroller	100
Harris County, et al. v. John W. Adams, et al.	100
Lake Austin Spa Investors, Ltd. v. Rylander, et al.	101
MFC Finance Co. of Texas v. Rylander, et al.	101
Mabank ISD v. Comptroller	102
Malakoff ISD v. Comptroller	102
Marathon ISD v. Strayhorn	102
Marathon ISD v. Strayhorn	103
Mirage Real Estate, Inc., et al. v. Richard Durbin, et al.	103
Nextel of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	104
Preston Motors by George L. Preston, Owner v. Sharp, et al.	104
Quinlan ISD v. Strayhorn	104
Ranger Fuels & Maintenance, L.L.C. v. Rylander, et al.	105
Ranger Fuels & Maintenance, LLC v. Strayhorn, et al.	105
San Vicente ISD v. Strayhorn	106
Stuart, Robert T. Jr., Estate of v. Strayhorn, et al.	106
TPI Petroleum, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	106
Texaco Exploration & Production, Inc.	107
Texas RSA 15B2 Limited Partnership v. Strayhorn, et al.	107
That’s Entertainment - San Antonio, LLC dba Park Place v. Strayhorn, et al.	108
Index	109

Table of Cases

7-Eleven, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	1
7-Eleven, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	1
7-Eleven, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	15
AccuTel of Texas, L.P. v. Rylander, et al.	15
Advanta Business Services Corp. v. Rylander, et al.	15
Alcatel Network Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	16
Allegiance Telecom of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	16
Allstate County Mutual Insurance Co.; Allstate Insurance Co.; Allstate Indemnity Co.; Allstate Texas Lloyds; and Allstate Property and Casualty Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	81
Alpine ISD v. Strayhorn	93
Alpine ISD v. Strayhorn	93
Amerada Hess Corp. v. Strayhorn, et al.	17
American Fidelity Assurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	82
American International Specialty Lines Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al.	82
Anderson-Clayton Bros. Funeral Home, Inc.; Restland of Dallas, Inc.; Restland Funeral Home; Singing Hills Funeral Homes, Inc.; Laurel Land Funeral Home of Fort Worth, Inc.; Blue Bonnet Hills Funeral Home, Inc.; and Blue Bonnet Hills Memorial Park, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	2
Anderson Merchandisers Holding, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	17
Apollo Paint & Body Shop, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	17
Aramis Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	18
Aramis Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	18
Arnold, Jessamine J., Estate of, Deceased, and Jim Arnold, Jr., Independent Executor v. Rylander, et al.	93
AXA Equitable Life Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	81
Baldry, Ann dba Annie's Housekeeping Services v. Sharp, et al.	19
Beadles, Joe Haven v. Comptroller	94
Bell Bottom Foundation Co. v. Rylander, et al.	19
Bell Helicopter Textron, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	20
Bell Helicopter Textron, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	20
BGK Operating Co., Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	19
Blue Cross & Blue Shield of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	21
Boeing North America, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	21
Boeing North America, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	21
Bonart, Richard C., DVM v. Strayhorn, et al.	22
Broadcast Satellite International, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	22
Burns, Kevin D. v. Strayhorn, et al.	23
C & T Stone Co. v. Rylander, et al.	23

CarMax Auto Superstores, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	94
Centex Materials, L.P., As Successor in Interest to Centex Materials, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	3
Central Power & Light Co. v. Sharp, et al.	23
Central Telephone Co. of Texas and United Telephone Co. of Texas v. Rylander, et al.	3
Chapal Zenray, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	24
Chevron Pipe Line Co. and West Texas Gulf Pipe Line Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	24
Chevron USA, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	4
Chevron USA, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	4
Chevron USA, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	25
Church & Dwight Co., Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	25
Cingular Wireless of Austin, LP, formerly known as GTE Mobilnet of Austin, LP; GTE Mobilnet of South Texas, LP; GTE Mobilnet of Texas RSA #17, LP; San Antonio MTA, LP, successor in interest to GTE Mobilnet of Texas RSA #21, LP and to GTE Wireless of Houston, LLC; Cingular Wireless of Texas RSA #11, LP, formerly known as GTE Mobilnet of Texas RSA #11, LP, and Cingular Wireless of Texas RSA #16, LP, formerly known as GTE Mobilnet of Texas RSA #16, LP v. Strayhorn, et al.	26
Clinique Services, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	27
Clinique Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	27
Clinique Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	26
Coca-Cola Co., The v. Strayhorn, et al.	28
ConocoPhillips Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	95
Cosmair, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	28
Creative Closets, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	29
Crown Central Petroleum Corp. v. Strayhorn, et al.	29
Cypress-Fairbanks ISD, et al. v. Troy G. Rountree, et al.	95
DaimlerChrysler Services North American, LLC	5
Delta Air Lines, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	30
Dillard Department Stores, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	5
Dillard’s, Inc., aka Dillard Department Stores, Inc., and Dillard Texas Operating Limited Partnership v. Rylander, et al.	30
Dillard’s Inc., aka Dillard Department Stores, Inc., and Dillard Texas Operating Limited Partnership v. Strayhorn, et al.	31
DuPont Photomasks, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	31
E. de la Garza, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	35
Ebrahim, Suleiman S. v. Strayhorn, et al.	35
EFW, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	32
EFW, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	32
ELC Beauty, LLC, as a Successor-in-Interest to Estee Lauder Services Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. . . .	34
ELC Beauty, LLC, as Successor-in-Interest to Origins Services Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	33
ELC Beauty LLC, as Successor-in-Interest to Aramis Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	33

El Paso Natural Gas Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	96
El Paso Corp. v. Strayhorn, et al.	6
El Paso Natural Gas Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	97
El Paso Natural Gas Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	6
El Paso Natural Gas Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	7
El Paso Natural Gas Co. v. Sharp	95
El Paso Natural Gas Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	96
El Paso Natural Gas Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	98
Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	36
Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	36
Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	36
Ethicon, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	37
Evercom Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	99
F M Express Food Mart, Inc., and Fouad Hanna Mekdessi v. Rylander, et al.	37
Fairfield Industries, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	7
Fireman’s Fund Insurance Co. of Ohio v. Rylander, et al.	83
First American Title Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	84
First American Title Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	84
First American Title Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	83
First Co. v. Rylander, et al.	8
Fort Worth’s PR’s, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	99
Gallegos, Gerardo v. Strayhorn, et al.	99
Garza, Lawrence v. Sharp, et al.	40
General Dynamics Corp. v. Rylander, et al.	41
General Dynamics Corp. v. Rylander, et al.	41
Gift Box Corp. of America, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	41
Graybar Electric Co., Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	42
Greenville ISD v. Comptroller	100
Grocers Supply-Institutional-Convenience, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	42
GSC Enterprises, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	37
GTE Mobilnet of the Southwest, LLC v. Strayhorn, et al.	38
GTE Southwest, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	39
GTE Southwest, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	40
GTE Southwest, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	39
GTE Southwest, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	38
H.J. Wilson Co., Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	43
Harris County, et al. v. John W. Adams, et al.	100
Herndon Marine Products, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	43
Home Interiors & Gifts, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	8
Houston Wire & Cable Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	43
Inland Truck Parts Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	9

INOVA Diagnostics, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	9
J.C. Penney Co., Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	44
JBI, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	44
JBS Packing Co., Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	44
Jerman Cookie Co. v. Rylander, et al.	45
Kellwood Co., The v. Strayhorn, et al.	10
Kroger Co., The v. Strayhorn, et al.	45
Lake Austin Spa Investors, Ltd. v. Rylander, et al.	101
Laredo Coca-Cola Bottling Co., and Coca-Cola Enterprises, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	47
Laredo Coca-Cola Bottling Co., and Coca-Cola Enterprises, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	46
Laredo Country Club, Inc., A Texas Corp. v. Sharp, et al.	47
Laredo Pizza, Inc., and Samuel L. Alford, and L & H Pacific, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.	47
Lee Construction and Maintenance Co. v. Rylander, et al.	48
Lexington Insurance Co., Landmark Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al.	85
Liaison Resources, L.P., and David S. Claunch v. Rylander, et al.	48
Liberty Vending Services, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	49
Local Neon Co., Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	49
Lockheed Corp. v. Rylander, et al.	50
Lockheed Martin Kelly Aviation Center, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	52
Lockheed Martin Corp. v. Strayhorn, et al.	52
Lockheed Martin Corp. v. Rylander, et al.	51
Lockheed Martin Corp., Successor to Lockheed Martin Vought Systems Corp. v. Rylander, et al.	51
Lockheed Martin Corp., as Successor to Lockheed Martin Vought Systems Corp. and Loral Vought Systems Corp. v. Rylander, et al.	50
LTV Aerospace & Defense Co. v. Rylander, et al.	46
Mabank ISD v. Comptroller	102
Malakoff ISD v. Comptroller	102
Marathon ISD v. Strayhorn	102
Marathon ISD v. Strayhorn	103
Mars, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	53
Maxus Energy Corp. as Successor in Interest to Maxus Corporate Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	54
Medaphis Physicians Services Corp. v. Sharp, et al.	54
Metropolitan Life Insurance Co., et al. v. A.W. Pogue, et al.	86
Metropolitan Life Insurance Co., et al. v. A.W. Pogue, et al.	86
MFC Finance Co. of Texas v. Rylander, et al.	101
MG Building Materials, Ltd. v. Strayhorn, et al.	53
Mirage Real Estate, Inc., et al. v. Richard Durbin, et al.	103
Mitchell, Christia Parr v. Rylander, et al.	55
Nachhattar Tejpal Legha Enterprises, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	55
Neiman Marcus Group, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	55

Neiman Marcus Group, Inc., The v. Rylander, et al.	56
New York Life Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	86
Nextel of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	104
North American Intelcom, Inc., et al. v. Sharp, et al.	56
North Texas Asset Management, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	57
Northrop Grumman Systems Corp. (Successor to Northrop Grumman Corp. and Vought Aircraft Co.) v. Rylander, et al.	57
Northwestern Resources Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	58
Office Depot, Inc., successor to Office Depot Business Services Division (aka Office Depot Business Services, Inc.) and Office Depot of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	59
Office Depot, Inc., successor to Office Depot Business Services Division (aka Office Depot Business Services, Inc.) and Office Depot of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	58
Old Republic National Title Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	87
Old Republic National Title Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	87
Old Republic National Title Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	88
Old Republic Title Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	88
Owens Corning v. Strayhorn, et al.	10
Preston Motors by George L. Preston, Owner v. Sharp, et al.	104
Prudential Insurance Co., The v. Strayhorn, et al.	89
Quinlan ISD v. Strayhorn	104
R.H. Salas & Associates, Inc. v. Comptroller	59
Ranger Fuels & Maintenance, L.L.C. v. Rylander, et al.	105
Ranger Fuels & Maintenance, LLC v. Strayhorn, et al.	105
Raytheon Co. and Daimlerchrysler Corp. as Successors to Central Texas Airborne Systems, Inc., fka Chrysler Technologies Airborne Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	61
Raytheon Co., as Successor in Interest to Raytheon Training, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	60
Raytheon Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	62
Raytheon Co., as Successor to Raytheon TI Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	61
Raytheon Co., as Successor to Raytheon E-Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	63
Raytheon Co., as Successor to Raytheon E-Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	62
Raytheon E-Systems, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	60
Reliant Energy Corp. (formerly Houston Industries, Inc.) v. Rylander, et al.	11
Reynolds Metals Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	63
Roadway Express, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	64
Robbins & Myers, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	64
Rockwell Collins, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	65
Rollins & Rollins Enterprises, Inc. , dba Country Kwik Stop v. Rylander, et al.	65
Sabine Mining Co., The v. Strayhorn, et al.	66
San Antonio Spurs, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.	66
San Vicente ISD v. Strayhorn	106
Sanford, Gerald L. and Clara Krueger Sanford dba Gerald's Manufacturing, a Sole	

Proprietorship v. Strayhorn	67
SC Kiosks, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	65
Service Merchandise Co., Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	67
Sharper Image Corp. v. Rylander, et al.	68
Sharper Image Corp. v. Rylander, et al.	67
Southern Plastics, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	69
Southern Sandblasting and Coatings, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	69
Southwest Food Processing & Refrigerated Services, aka Southwest Refrigerated Warehousing Services v. Rylander, et al.	69
Southwestern Bell Telephone Co. v. Rylander, et al.	11
Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P. v. Strayhorn, et al.	70
St. Paul Surplus Lines Co. v. Rylander, et al.	91
Stantrans Partners, LP v. Strayhorn, et al.	70
Steamatic of Austin, Inc., et al. v. Rylander, et al.	71
STP Nuclear Operating Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	89
STP Nuclear Operating Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	90
STP Nuclear Operating Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	90
Stuart, Robert T. Jr., Estate of v. Strayhorn, et al.	106
Sysco Food Services of Austin, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	71
Sysco Food Services of Houston, L.P. (fka Sysco Food Service of Houston, Inc.) v. Rylander, et al.	72
Sysco Food Services of Houston, L.P. (fka Sysco Food Services of Houston, Inc.) v. Strayhorn, et al.	72
Target Corp. v. Strayhorn, et al.	73
TDI-Halter, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	73
Telecable Associates, Inc.; Teleservice Corp. of America; Texas Telecable, Inc.; TCA Cable of Amarillo, Inc.; and Texas Community Antennas, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	74
Texaco Exploration & Production, Inc.	107
Texaco Refining & Marketing (East), Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	12
Texas Gulf, Inc. v. Bullock, et al.	74
Texas RSA 15B2 Limited Partnership v. Strayhorn, et al.	107
TGS-NOPEC Geophysical Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	12
That's Entertainment - San Antonio, LLC dba Park Place v. Strayhorn, et al.	108
TPI Petroleum, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	106
Tyson Foods, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	12
Union Carbide Corp. v. Rylander, et al.	74
United Space Alliance, LLC v. Strayhorn, et al.	76
United Space Alliance, LLC v. Strayhorn, et al.	75
United Space Alliance, LLC v. Strayhorn, et al.	75
Viacom International, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	13
Warranty Underwriters Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al.	91

West Texas Pizza, Limited Partnership v. Sharp, et al.	76
White Swan, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	77
Williams, Duane Everett v. Comptroller	77
World Fitness Centers, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	77
York International Corp. v. Strayhorn, et al.	13
Zale Delaware, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	78
Zale Delaware, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	78
Zimmerman Sign Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	79

Franchise Tax

7-Eleven, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN501845

AG Case #052154382

Franchise Tax; Refund &
Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 05/23/05

Period: 1994-1996

Amount: \$203,117.59

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Christine Monzingo

Mark W. Eidman

Ray Langenberg

Doug Sigel

Scott, Douglass &

McConnico

Austin

Issue: Whether the franchise tax requirement under Tax Code §171.110 to add back officer and director compensation to the tax base without voter approval is unconstitutional.

Plaintiff claims disparate tax treatment based on the number of shareholders within a corporation, and violation of equal and uniform taxation and the Equal Protection Clause.

Whether the provision also discriminates unconstitutionally between banks and other corporations and should be limited to officers with significant authority.

Status: Answer filed.

7-Eleven, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN501854

AG Case #052154390

Franchise Tax; Refund &
Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 05/23/05

Period: 1997-1999

Amount: \$169,857.71

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Christine Monzingo

Mark W. Eidman

Ray Langenberg

Doug Sigel

Scott, Douglass &

McConnico

Austin

Issue: Whether the franchise tax requirement under Tax Code §171.110 to add back officer and director compensation to the tax base without voter approval is unconstitutional. Plaintiff claims disparate tax treatment based on the number of shareholders within a corporation, and violation of equal and uniform taxation and the Equal Protection Clause. Whether the provision also discriminates unconstitutionally between banks and other corporations and should be limited to officers with significant authority.

Status: Answer filed.

Anderson-Clayton Bros. Funeral Home, Inc.; Restland of Dallas, Inc.; Restland Funeral Home; Singing Hills Funeral Homes, Inc.; Laurel Land Funeral Home of Fort Worth, Inc.; Blue Bonnet Hills Funeral Home, Inc.; and Blue Bonnet Hills Memorial Park, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-12183

#03-03-00458-CV

#05-0063

AG Case #991227646

Franchise Tax; Refund

Filed: 10/18/99

Period: 1993-1996

Amount: \$407,212.91

\$107,861.97

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Christopher Jackson

Jan Soifer

Delgado, Acosta, Braden,
Jones & Hayes, PC
Austin

Susan A. Kidwell

Locke, Liddell & Sapp
Austin

Issue: Whether income earned on Plaintiff's trust accounts for prepaid funeral services gives rise to Texas gross receipts.

Status: Motion for Summary Judgment held 04/10/03; granted in favor of the State 06/24/03. Plaintiff's Notice of Appeal filed 07/31/03. Appellants' brief filed 09/18/03. Appellees' brief filed 10/24/03. Appellants' reply brief filed 11/12/03. Oral Argument completed 01/07/04. Appellees' post-submission brief filed 01/22/04. Appellants' reply brief filed 02/06/04. Opinion issued 08/12/04 in favor of State affirming the district court's judgment. Motion for Rehearing filed 10/01/04; overruled 12/09/04. Petition for Review filed in Texas Supreme Court 01/24/05. Respondents filed waiver to respond 02/02/05. Case forwarded to Court 02/08/05. Court requested a response to the Petition for Review. Respondent filed a response to the Petition for Review 03/31/05. Petitioners' Reply Brief filed 04/19/05. Briefing on the Merits requested 06/06/05. Petitioner filed a Motion for Extension of Time to File Brief on 06/23/05. Petitioner's Brief on the Merits filed 08/12/05. Respondents' brief filed 10/03/05. Petitioner's reply brief filed 10/18/05. Petition for Review denied 01/27/06.

Centex Materials, L.P., As Successor in Interest to Centex Materials, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN301277

AG Case #031787146

Franchise Tax; Protest &
Declaratory Judgment
Filed: 04/22/03
Period: 1997-2000
Amount: \$96,248.92

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Christine Monzingo

Plaintiff's Counsel:

David Cowling
Robert Lochridge
Jones Day
Dallas

Issue: Whether the Comptroller's add-back of compensation to certain officers and directors included persons who lacked significant policy-making authority and was unconstitutional. Whether the Comptroller improperly applied changes in Rule 3.558 to earlier periods. Whether the officer add-back is arbitrary and discriminatory. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery period ended. Case to be non-suited.

Central Telephone Co. of Texas and United Telephone Co. of Texas v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN100332
AG Case #011409646

Franchise Tax; Protest Filed: 02/01/01 Period: 1988-1994 Amount: \$300,772.95 \$204,616.25	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Paul Masters Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether inclusion of access charges in Texas' gross receipts violates Comptroller rules on franchise tax treatment of interstate telephone receipts. Whether inclusion of the charges violates equal protection.

Status: Discovery in progress. Trial set 12/11/06.

Chevron USA, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN401579
AG Case #041972456

Franchise Tax; Refund Filed: 05/17/04 Period: 1987-1999 Amount: \$44,063,913.00	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christine Monzingo Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg R. Eric Hagenswold Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether Plaintiff may compute surplus using an alternative GAAP method of calculating impairment. Whether Plaintiff may use business loss carry-forward as a deduction to taxable earned surplus. Whether the Comptroller incorrectly calculated Plaintiff's pushdown adjustments. Whether environmental reserves should be calculated as taxable capital surplus. Whether Plaintiff is entitled to the manufacturing credit.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Chevron USA, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN500170
AG Case #052091378

Franchise Tax; Refund Filed: 01/18/05 Period: 1988-1991, 1995, 1996 and 1999 Amount: \$5,000,000.00	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christine Monzingo Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
---	---	--

Issue: Whether abandonment costs of oil and gas properties can be excluded from surplus as contra-asset accounts for depreciation, depletion and amortization under GAAP guidelines. Whether Plaintiff may change its accounting methods used to calculate surplus within a four year period. Plaintiff also claims violation of equal and uniform taxation and equal protection.

Status: Discovery in progress.

DaimlerChrysler Services North American, LLC Cause #GN401380
AG Case #041965591

Franchise Tax; Refund Filed: 04/30/04 Period: 1988 through 1991 Amount: \$2,123,382.74	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christine Monzingo Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Matthew J. Meese Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
--	---	--

Issue: How should proceeds from the sale of accounts receivables, including retail and wholesale, be calculated for franchise tax apportionment purposes. Whether plaintiff's accounts receivables are capital assets or investments. Plaintiff claims that the Comptroller's use of the net gain method instead of the gross receipts method in calculating plaintiff's total gross receipts for franchise tax apportionment purposes violates the Texas Tax Code, the Comptroller's rules, Comptroller policy, and the constitutional requirements of equal protection and equal and uniform taxation.

Status: Answer filed.

Dillard Department Stores, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN300878
AG Case #031770621

Franchise Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Christine Monzingo
Filed: 03/19/03	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Cynthia M. Ohlenforst
Period: 1992-1995		Tracy D. Eaton
Amount: \$1,646,637		Dallas

Issue: Whether the franchise tax requirement to add back officer and director compensation to the tax base is an unconstitutional tax on the income of natural persons. Whether the shareholder limit for the add-back is arbitrary, unreasonable and discriminatory. Whether the provision also discriminates unconstitutionally between banks and other corporations and should be limited to officers with significant authority.

Status: Answer filed.

El Paso Corp. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN304213
AG Case #031879356

Franchise Tax; Protest	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Christine Monzingo
Filed: 10/28/03	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Mark W. Eidman
Period: 1999 - 2001		Ray Langenberg
Amount: \$2,278,308.75		Scott, Douglass & McConnico
		Austin

Issue: Whether severance pay and merger expenses were improperly included in Plaintiff's apportionment factor. Whether other income was improperly sourced or included. Whether certain deductions were erroneously disallowed. Plaintiff also seeks waiver of all penalty and interest.

Status: Answer filed.

El Paso Natural Gas Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN301003

#03-05-00144-CV

#06-05-00059-CV

AG Case #031778939

Franchise Tax; Refund

Filed: 03/28/03

Period: 1989-1991

Amount: \$3,000,000

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Jim Cloudt

Mark W. Eidman
Ray Langenberg
Scott, Douglass &
McConnico
Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff may use the successful efforts method of accounting. Whether revenue should be recognized when it is billed rather than when it is booked. Whether unamortized loss on reacquired debt may be expensed. Whether certain accounts should be removed from surplus because they had zero balances. Whether Plaintiff's apportionment factor should be reduced for receipts from gas not picked up or delivered in Texas. Whether Plaintiff's refund claims were timely filed and whether some claims were precluded by an earlier hearings decision.

Status: Discovery in progress. Summary Judgment hearing held 08/24/04; taken under advisement. Both motions granted in part and denied in part. Judgment entered 02/24/05. Cross-Notices of Appeal filed 03/08/05. Appeals transferred from 3COA to 6COA in Texarkana, Texas by Texas Supreme Court on 04/04/05. Cross-Appellants' briefs filed 05/09/05 and 05/10/05. Cross-Appellees' briefs filed 06/20/05. Cross-Appellants' Reply Briefs filed 07/08/05 and 07/11/05. At Issue.

El Paso Natural Gas Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN500963

AG Case #052132248

Franchise Tax; Refund

Filed: 03/30/05

Period: 1988

Amount: \$446,836.60

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Jim Cloudt

Mark W. Eidman
Ray Langenberg
Doug Sigel
Scott, Douglass &
McConnico
Austin

Issue: Whether unamortized loss on reacquired debt may be expensed. Whether Plaintiff's apportionment factor should be reduced for receipts from gas not picked up or delivered in Texas.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Fairfield Industries, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN503289
AG Case #052214558

Franchise Tax; Protest Filed: 09/13/05 Period: 2002-2004 Amount: \$1,107,256.04	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christine Monzingo John D. White Jones, Walker, Waechter, Poitevent, Carrère & Denègre LLP The Woodlands
--	---	---

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's gross receipts should be treated as receipts from intangibles apportioned based on the location of the payor or the location of the alleged use of data. Whether the transfer of seismic data is a "license" or the transfer of an intangible for franchise tax apportionment purposes. Plaintiff also requests that penalties be waived and recovery of attorney's fees.

Status: Answer filed.

First Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN200229
AG Case #021556980

Franchise Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 01/24/02 Period: 1996 through 1999 Amount: \$1,919,109	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christine Monzingo James F. Martens Christina A. Mondrik James F. Martens & Associates Austin
---	---	--

Issue: Whether the throwback rule is unconstitutional and violates P.L. 86-272. Whether apportionment under the throwback rule, when compared to a separate accounting method, creates such a gross disparity in taxable income as to be unconstitutional. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory judgment and attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery suspended.

Home Interiors & Gifts, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN303185

#03-04-00660-CV

#05-0939

AG Case #031842420

Franchise Tax; Refund Filed: 08/25/03 Period: 1992-1999 Amount: \$16,085,391.00	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christine Monzingo Daniel L. Butcher Strasburger & Price Dallas Farley P. Katz Strasburger & Price San Antonio
--	---	--

Issue: Whether the Texas throwback provision, Tax Code §171.1032, is unconstitutional in violation of the Due Process, Commerce, Supremacy, and Equal Protection Clauses.

Status: Hearing on Cross-Motions for Summary Judgment held 09/21/04. Court granted Defendants' MSJ 09/30/04. Notice of Appeal filed 10/20/04. Clerk's Record filed 11/22/04. Appellant's brief filed 01/24/05. Supplemental Clerk's Record filed 02/11/05. Appellees' brief filed 03/25/05. Appellants' Reply Brief filed 04/28/05. Appellee's Response to Reply Brief filed 05/23/05. Submitted on Oral Argument 05/25/05. Appellant filed a post-submission brief 06/03/05. Motion granted 06/14/05 for Appellant to file post-submission brief. Appellees filed letter of authority 06/21/05. Appellant filed letter of authority 06/23/05. Opinion issued 07/28/05 reversing and rendering judgment for Appellants. Motion for Rehearing filed by Appellant 08/09/05. Motion for Rehearing filed by Appellee 08/15/05. Motion for Rehearing denied 09/22/05. Solicitor General's Office (Don Cruse) currently handling appeal. Petition for Review filed by State in Texas Supreme Court 01/06/06. Response from Home Interiors due 02/06/06.

Inland Truck Parts Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN302603

AG Case #031831746

Franchise Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 07/24/03 Period: 1999 Amount: \$47,775.25	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christine Monzingo Cynthia M. Ohlenforst G. James Landon J. Blake Rice Hughes & Luce Dallas
---	---	--

Issue: Whether an S corporation owned by an ESOP owes franchise tax when the shareholder has no income reportable to the IRS as taxable.

Status: Case to be non-suited.

INOVA Diagnostics, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN302862

#03-04-00503-CV

#05-0517

AG Case #031836471

Franchise Tax; Protest &
Declaratory Judgment
Filed: 08/11/03
Period: 1999 through
2003
Amount: \$4,658

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Christine Monzingo

Gilbert J. Bernal, Jr.
Stahl, Bernal & Davies
Austin

Issue: Whether taxpayer has nexus with Texas. Whether the capital- based franchise tax is measured by net income for purposes of P.L. 86-272. Whether the Comptroller wrongfully forfeited plaintiff's corporate privileges. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Non-jury trial held 07/13/04 and Judgment granted for State. Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law filed 07/21/04. Notice of Appeal filed 08/16/04. Clerk's Record filed 11/05/04. Appellant's brief filed 12/29/04. Appellees' brief filed 02/16/05. Appellant's Reply Brief filed 03/31/05. Oral Argument held 04/13/05. Opinion affirming judgment for the Comptroller issued 05/26/05. Petition for Review filed in Tx. Supreme Court 07/11/05. Response to Petition for Review waived by Respondent 07/28/05. Case forwarded to Court 08/02/05. Court has requested a response; filed 09/30/05. Petitioner's reply filed 10/14/05. Petition for Review denied 12/02/05. Motion for Rehearing was due 12/19/05. Petition for Writ of Certiorari due 03/02/06.

Kellwood Co., The v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN500508

AG Case #052102654

Franchise Tax; Protest
Filed: 02/16/05
Period: 2001-2003
Amount: \$129,355.44

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Jana Kinkade

Jason Flaherty
Jenkins & Gilchrist
Austin

Issue: How should pension reversion gain be allocated for franchise tax apportionment purposes. Is the pension reversion gain non-unitary or unitary earned surplus income. Whether Plaintiff's pension reversion gain should be calculated with Plaintiff's Texas gross receipts. What methodology the Comptroller should apply to not distort the amount of taxable earned surplus apportionable to Texas. Plaintiff also claims violation of the Due Process and Commerce Clauses of the US Constitution and the Due Course of Law provision of the Texas Constitution.

Status: Answer filed.

Owens Corning v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN503923
AG Case #052240819

Franchise Tax; Refund Filed: 10/28/05 Period: 1992 & 1993 Amount: \$90,980.34	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christine Monzingo Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass & McConnico, LLP Austin
--	---	---

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to a franchise tax credit. Whether deferred tax liabilities can be offset by deferred tax assets.

Status: Answer filed.

Reliant Energy Corp. (formerly Houston Industries, Inc.) v. Rylander, et al.
Cause #GN103935
AG Case #011532348

Franchise Tax; Refund Filed: 11/28/01 Period: 1998 Amount: \$2,581,013.52	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jim Cloudt L.G. Skip Smith David H. Gilliland Clark, Thomas & Winters Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether plaintiff may use business loss carry-forward from non-surviving corporation in merger to reduce its franchise tax.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Southwestern Bell Telephone Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN204559
AG Case #031730666

Franchise Tax; Protest Filed: 12/20/02 Period: 1996-1999; 2001 Amount: \$25,163,579.92	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christine Monzingo Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether interstate access revenues are Texas receipts for franchise tax purposes. Whether treating the revenues as Texas receipts violates the Comptroller's Rule on interstate calls and the due process, equal protection and commerce clauses of the Constitution. Whether other interstate call revenues in border areas are not Texas receipts.

Status: First Amended Original Petition adding 2001 final report filed. Discovery in progress.

TGS-NOPEC Geophysical Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN500637
AG Case #052114220

Franchise Tax; Protest Filed: 03/01/05 Period: 1997-2000 2001-2003 Amount: \$390,471.26 1,422,008.76	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christine Monzingo R. Scott Wolfrom Jones, Walker, Waechter, Poitevent, Carrère & Denègre, LLP The Woodlands
---	---	---

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's gross receipts should be treated as receipts from intangibles apportioned based on the location of the payor or the location of the alleged use of data. Whether the transfer of seismic data is a "license" or the transfer of an intangible for franchise tax apportionment purposes. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Texaco Refining & Marketing (East), Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-14555
AG Case #991249228

Franchise Tax; Refund Filed: 12/15/99 Period: 1994 Amount: \$1,028,616.15	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
--	---	---

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to a franchise tax credit for sales tax on manufacturing equipment purchased by a joint venture that it co-owned.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Tyson Foods, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN302279
AG Case #031818966

Franchise Tax; Refund Filed: 06/27/03 Period: 1992-1997 Amount: \$4,462,424.56	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christine Monzingo Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
---	---	--

Issue: Whether Plaintiff may re-state asset values for franchise tax purposes by using straight-line depreciation after it used accelerated depreciation to reduce asset values for federal income and franchise tax purposes before report year 1992. Whether penalty and interest should have been waived because Plaintiff's affiliates had overpayments during the audit period that could have been credited to Plaintiff's deficiencies.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Viacom International, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN402433
AG Case #041999269

Franchise Tax; Protest Filed: 07/30/04 Period: 1997-1999 Amount: \$754,178.16	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Paul Masters David H. Gilliland Clark, Thomas & Winters Austin
--	---	---

Issue: Whether revenue received from third-party cable television system operators is revenue earned from licensing or from the service of producing, creating, editing, packaging and transmitting 24-hour-per day network programming performed out-of-state. Should revenue from providing these services be considered Texas receipts for franchise tax purposes. Plaintiff also claims violation of due process and the Commerce Clause.

Status: Discovery in progress. Trial to be reset.

York International Corp. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN600153
AG Case #062275193

Franchise Tax; Refund Filed: 01/13/06 Period: 1993-1996 Amount: \$362,337.18	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass & McConnico, LLP Austin
---	---	--

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to record the assets and liabilities of previously acquired entities at their historical book values for purposes of determining taxable capital under Tax Code Section 171.109(b). Whether the Comptroller incorrectly calculated Plaintiff's push-down adjustments under Tax Code Section 171.109(m). Whether the Comptroller used the proper accounting method to value transferred assets.

Status: Answer filed.

Sales Tax

7-Eleven, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN403369

AG Case #042046367

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 10/08/04 Period: 04/01/93- 09/30/96 Amount: \$299,328.98	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jana Kinkade Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
---	---	--

Issue: Whether the purchase of bookkeeping software installed on computers located out-of-state and subsequently shipped to stores in-state qualifies for the sale for resale exemption.

Status: Discovery in progress.

AccuTel of Texas, L.P. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN300091

AG Case #031735236

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 01/10/03 Period: 06/01/97- 11/30/00 Amount: \$45,658.15	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Natalie McLemore Christopher Malish Foster & Malish Austin
--	---	---

Issue: Whether Plaintiff should have been assessed interest and penalty.

Status: Answer filed.

Advanta Business Services Corp. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN103463

AG Case #011514544

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 10/19/01 Period: 11/01/92- 12/31/97 Amount: \$929,964.11	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Paul Masters W. Stephen Benesh Deanna E. King Bracewell & Patterson Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether plaintiff's leases were financing leases and not taxable operating leases under Comptroller Rule 3.294(i). Whether the Comptroller's sample was flawed. Alternatively, whether penalty and interest should have been waived.

Status: Discovery in progress. Plaintiff submitted settlement proposal.

Alcatel Network Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #D-1-GN-06-000104
AG Case #062271143

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 01/10/06 Period: 05/01/93- 10/31/95 Amount: \$908,670.54	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Paul Masters Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Doug Sigel Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether purchases of software licenses qualify as tangible personal property with a useful life in excess of six months and used or consumed in or during the manufacturing, processing, or fabrication of tangible personal property for ultimate sale so as to be exempt from sales tax. Whether display items and/or the materials used to make them are exempt from sales tax.

Status: Answer filed.

Allegiance Telecom of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #D-1-GN-06-000056
AG Case #062269030

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 01/06/06 Period: 10/01/97- 12/31/00 Amount: \$2,660,546.29	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Gene Storie Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Eric Hagenswold Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
---	---	--

Issue: Whether equipment purchased by plaintiff is exempt from sales tax as tangible personal property used in manufacturing and processing. Whether freight charges are exempt from sales tax under the manufacturing exemption.

Status: Answer filed.

Amerada Hess Corp. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN402614
AG Case #042005314

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 08/13/04 Period: 01/01/90- 12/31/95 Amount: \$44,500.00	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Paul Masters Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether submersible pumps, motors, separators, couplings and related down hole equipment are exempt from sales tax under the manufacturing exemption. Whether certain benefits of a membership fee cause the fee to be taxable.

Status: Answer filed.

Anderson Merchandisers Holding, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN400421
AG Case #041921966

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 02/11/04 Period: 07/01/94- 03/31/98 Amount: \$28,353.00	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Natalie McLemore Mark W. Eidman Doug Sigel Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether industrial solid waste removal is exempt as a real property service.

Status: Answer filed.

Apollo Paint & Body Shop, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN300886
AG Case #031770605

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 03/19/03 Period: 10/01/91- 09/30/98 Amount: \$285,284.13	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jim Cloudt Tom Tourtellotte Hance Scarborough Wright Woodward & Weisbart Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether plaintiff performed its repairs under lump-sum contracts. Plaintiff also challenges the constitutionality of Rider 11.

Status: Discovery in progress. Trial setting of 09/20/04 passed by agreement. Trial began 01/30/06; to be continued.

Aramis Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-03527
AG Case #98930349

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 04/03/98 Period: 04/01/90- 03/31/94 Amount: \$291,196	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson David E. Cowling Jones Day Dallas
---	---	--

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed.

Status: Answer filed.

Aramis Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #0000384
AG Case #001273051

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 02/11/00 Period: 04/01/94- 12/31/97 Amount: \$281,676.36	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson David E. Cowling Robert Lochridge Jones Day Dallas
--	---	--

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed. Whether Rule 3.346(b)(3)(A) is invalid and whether the Comptroller has authority to change its long-standing policy. Alternatively, whether penalty should be waived.

Status: Answer filed.

BGK Operating Co., Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN301224
AG Case #031786478

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 04/17/03 Period: 01/01/99- 07/31/02 Amount: \$28,407.44	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jana Kinkade Kal Malik Robert N. LeMay Kane, Russell, Coleman & Logan Dallas
---	---	---

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is a lump-sum repairer of motor vehicles who should have paid tax on its purchases of oil and filters. Whether charging tax to the Plaintiff results in unconstitutional double taxation.

Status: Settled.

Baldry, Ann dba Annie's Housekeeping Services v. Sharp, et al. Cause #95-02389
AG Case #95234990

Sales Tax; Declaratory Judgment Filed: 2/27/95 Period: 04/01/88- 06/30/92 Amount: \$63,588	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jana Kinkade Timothy M. Trickey The Trickey Law Firm Austin
---	---	--

Issue: Whether sales tax is due on maid services provided by maids placed by Plaintiff's service but acting as independent contractors. Also, whether Plaintiff relied, to her detriment, on advice from the Comptroller's Office.

Status: Defendants' deposition completed.

Bell Bottom Foundation Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-01092
AG Case #991112186

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 01/29/99 Period: 01/01/91- 12/31/94 Amount: \$81,571.73	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Natalie McLemore Timothy M. Trickey The Trickey Law Firm Austin
---	---	--

Issue: Whether taxpayer's sub-contract was a separated contract since the general contractor's construction contract was separated.

Status: Case dismissed for want of prosecution 06/17/03. Motion to Reinstate granted.
Negotiating an Agreed Scheduling Order.

Bell Helicopter Textron, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN200525
AG Case #021567755

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 02/15/02 Period: 01/01/90- 06/30/93 07/01/93-06/30/97 Amount: \$7,280,079	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jim Cloudt Gilbert J. Bernal, Jr. Stahl, Bernal & Davies Austin
---	---	--

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees and a declaration that the Comptroller disregarded controlling federal law, violated equal protection or imposed tax on the U.S. government.

Status: Answer filed.

Bell Helicopter Textron, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN204437
AG Case #041927062

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 12/11/02 Period: 07/01/97- 05/31/02 Amount: \$3,000,000	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jim Cloudt Gilbert J. Bernal, Jr. Stahl, Bernal & Davies Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees and a declaration that the Comptroller disregarded controlling federal law, violated equal protection or imposed tax on the U.S. government. Plaintiff also seeks recovery of attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Blue Cross & Blue Shield of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN401955
AG Case #041988023

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 06/21/04 Period: 12/01/88- 05/31/95 Amount: \$3,750,000.00	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jim Cloudt Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Doug Sigel Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
---	---	--

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*.

Status: Answer filed.

Boeing North America, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN203340
AG Case #021676804

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 09/13/02 Period: 01/01/95- 12/31/96 Amount: \$343,487	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jim Cloudt David H. Gilliland Clark, Thomas & Winters Austin
--	---	---

Issue: Plaintiff claims a sale for resale exemption on items resold to the federal government. Plaintiff also claims a denial of equal protection and an exemption under §151.3111.

Status: Answer filed.

Boeing North America, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN304372
AG Case #031884471

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 11/10/03 Period: 01/01/95- 12/31/99 Amount: \$500,000	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jim Cloudt Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Doug Sigel Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Plaintiff claims a sale for resale exemption on items resold to the federal government. Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*.

Status: Answer filed.

Bonart, Richard C., DVM v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN400552
AG Case #041928532

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 02/20/04 Period: 01/01/02- 12/31/02 Amount: \$50.00	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Natalie McLemore Richard C. Bonart (Pro Se) El Paso
---	---	--

Issue: Whether microchips implanted in animals are exempt as health care supplies and as a therapeutic appliance or device. Plaintiff also claims a denial of equal and uniform protection.

Status: Answer filed.

Broadcast Satellite International, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN103568
AG Case #011518479

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 10/26/01 Period: 01/01/91- 12/31/97 Amount: \$200,000	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson Alfred Ruebel Dallas
---	---	--

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's broadcast services are non-taxable information services under §151.0038(a). Whether Plaintiff's services are not taxable telecommunications services under §151.0103(l) or data processing under §151.0035. Whether the sale or use of Plaintiff's services occurred out-of-state. Whether Plaintiff's experts demonstrated that Plaintiff is exempt under federal law. Plaintiff asserts limitations as to part of the liability and also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Burns, Kevin D. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN504208
AG Case #052253457

Sales Tax; Refund &
Declaratory Judgment
Filed: 11/28/05
Period: 01/01/96-
10/31/00
Amount: \$1,300,000

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Jana Kinkade

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Judy M. Cunningham
Austin

Issue: Whether the transfer of certain tangible personal property from customers to Plaintiff to be leased back to customers with a purchase option are non-taxable financing transactions. Whether sales taxes previously submitted are binding within Plaintiff's bankruptcy plan. Plaintiff claims violation of equal and uniform taxation, and also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

C & T Stone Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN002428
AG Case #001344233

Sales Tax; Protest
Filed: 08/18/00
Period: 04/01/94-
12/31/97
Amount: \$207,454.40

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Paul Masters

Plaintiff's Counsel:

William T. Peckham
Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes sales tax on its sales of limestone to third parties under §151.311(a). Whether Plaintiff detrimentally relied on advice from the Comptroller's Office. Whether exemption certificates covered some sales that were assessed tax. Whether Plaintiff is entitled to the manufacturing exemption under §151.318(g). Whether penalty and interest should be waived.

Status: Discovery in progress. Trial set 06/12/06.

Central Power & Light Co. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #96-11455
AG Case #96602037

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 09/20/96 Period: 07/01/86- 12/31/89 Amount: \$32,788	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jana Kinkade L.G. Skip Smith Clark, Thomas & Winters Austin
---	---	--

Issue: Whether utility pole replacement services are non-taxable maintenance or taxable repair labor.

Status: Discovery in progress. Inactive.

Chapal Zenray, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN204506
AG Case #031729197

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 12/16/02 Period: 01/01/94- 12/31/97 Amount: \$210,943.91	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jana Kinkade Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Curtis J. Osterloh Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether items such as boxes, foam pads and twist ties are not subject to tax pursuant to Tex. Tax Code §151.011 (f)(2) and Rule 3.346 (c)(1)(c) when purchased by a person who uses the items to secure jewelry for shipment out-of-state.

Status: Discovery in progress. Motion for Summary Judgment to be submitted.

Chevron Pipe Line Co. and West Texas Gulf Pipe Line Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.
Cause #GN304712
#03-05-00449-CV
AG Case #031899016

Sales Tax; Refund
Filed: 12/12/03
Period: 07/01/91-
09/30/97
01/01/92-09/30/97
Amount: \$683,979.99
\$220,773.61

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Jim Cloudt

Mark W. Eidman
Ray Langenberg
Matthew J. Meese
Scott, Douglass &
McConnico
Austin

Issue: Whether installation of cathodic protection devices was new construction or maintenance. Whether excavation and back-filling were non-taxable unrelated services. Whether pipe replacement and recoating was non-taxable maintenance.

Status: Discovery in progress. Trial held 03/23/05. Judgment for the Comptroller. Findings and Conclusions filed 06/17/05. Notice of Appeal filed by Chevron 07/12/05. Appellant's brief filed 10/26/05. Appellees' brief filed 12/07/05. Oral Argument denied. Submitted on briefs 12/13/05. Appellants' reply brief filed 01/27/06.

Chevron USA, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN403978
AG Case #042071324

Sales Tax; Refund
Filed: 12/06/04
Period: 01/01/93-
06/30/96
Amount: \$10,000,000.00

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Jim Cloudt

Mark W. Eidman
Ray Langenberg
Doug Sigel
Scott, Douglass &
McConnico
Austin

Issue: Whether charges of contractors for erecting, maintaining and dismantling scaffolding are exempt from sales and use tax as a non-taxable service, or taxable as rental of tangible personal property.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Church & Dwight Co., Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN000525
AG Case #001258201

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 01/12/00 Period: 10/01/90- 12/31/93 Amount: \$64,868.50	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Paul Masters W. Stephen Benesh Phillip L. Sampson, Jr. Bracewell & Patterson Austin
--	---	---

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes use tax on promotional materials shipped from out-of-state. Whether the Comptroller's imposition of use tax is invalid because Plaintiff made no use of the materials in Texas. Whether Rule 3.346(b)(3)(A) is invalid. Whether the tax violates the Commerce and Due Process Clauses of the United States Constitution.

Status: Answer filed. Plaintiff waiting for outcome of *Estee Lauder Services, Inc.* cases. Case dismissed for want of prosecution 06/15/05. Case re-opened. Reinstated by bill of review.

Cingular Wireless of Austin, LP, formerly known as GTE Mobilnet of Austin, LP; GTE Mobilnet of South Texas, LP; GTE Mobilnet of Texas RSA #17, LP; San Antonio MTA, LP, successor in interest to GTE Mobilnet of Texas RSA #21, LP and to GTE Wireless of Houston, LLC; Cingular Wireless of Texas RSA #11, LP, formerly known as GTE Mobilnet of Texas RSA #11, LP, and Cingular Wireless of Texas RSA #16, LP, formerly known as GTE Mobilnet of Texas RSA #16, LP v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN502649
AG Case #052186616

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 07/29/05 Period: 01/01/93- 12/31/96 Amount: \$10,177,377.49	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Gene Storie Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Doug Sigel Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
--	---	---

Issue: Whether purchases of telecommunications equipment qualify as tangible personal property for ultimate sale as tangible personal property that are exempt from sales tax under the manufacturing and processing exemption. Whether electricity purchased and used in telecommunications is exempt from sales tax under the manufacturing and processing exemption.

Status: Answer filed.

Clinique Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-03533

AG Case #98930330

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 04/03/98 Period: 04/01/90- 03/31/94 Amount: \$519,192	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson David E. Cowling Jones Day Dallas
---	---	--

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed.

Status: Answer filed.

Clinique Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN000376

AG Case #001273069

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 02/11/00 Period: 04/01/94- 03/31/98 Amount: \$650,361.82	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson David E. Cowling Robert Lochridge Jones Day Dallas
--	---	--

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed. Whether Rule 3.346(b)(3)(A) is invalid and whether the Comptroller has authority to change its long-standing policy. Alternatively, whether penalty should be waived.

Status: Answer filed.

Clinique Services, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN500049
AG Case #052085933

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 01/06/05 Period: 04/01/98- 03/31/02 Amount: \$654,245.96	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson David Cowling Maryann E. Landrigan Jones Day Dallas
--	---	---

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed. Whether Rule 3.346(b)(3)(A) is invalid and whether the Comptroller has authority to change its long-standing policy. Alternatively, whether penalty should be waived. Plaintiff also claims violation of rights under the Commerce and Due Process Clauses, and right to equal and uniform taxation. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Coca-Cola Co., The v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN504213
AG Case #052253473

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 11/28/05 Period: 07/01/97- 03/31/02 Amount: \$2,060,883.03	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jana Kinkade Mark W. Eidman Curtis Osterloh Eric Hagenswold Scott, Douglass & McConnico, LLP Austin
---	---	---

Issue: Whether replacement parts and the repair of fountain drink machines leased to customers by Plaintiff are exempt from sales tax as manufacturing equipment and the sale for resale exemption.

Status: Answer filed.

Cosmair, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN302009
AG Case #031816135

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Christopher Jackson
Filed: 06/09/03	Plaintiff's Counsel:	David Cowling
Period: 07/01/96- 12/31/98		Robert Lochridge
Amount: \$1,322,536.67		Jones Day
		Dallas

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes use tax on items transferred free of charge that are subsequently brought into Texas. Plaintiff specifically challenges whether: 1) "use" includes distribution; 2) use was only out-of-state where control transferred; 3) longstanding policy may be changed; 4) Rule 3.346 does not support tax on promotional materials; 5) use tax applies without title or possession; 6) no consideration for transfer; 7) Rule 3.346(b)(3)(A) is invalid; 8) tax is bared by Commerce, Due Process and Equal Protection Clauses; and 9) resale exemption applies. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Creative Closets, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #D-1-GN-06-000172
AG Case #062275755

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Paul Masters
Filed: 01/17/06	Plaintiff's Counsel:	W. Thomas Finley
Period: 08/01/99- 03/31/03		M. Seth Sosolik
Amount: \$115,276.86		Bell Nunnally & Martin
		LLP
		Dallas

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes sales and use tax as a franchisee doing retail business in the State of Texas. Plaintiff requests that penalty and interest should be waived, and seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Crown Central Petroleum Corp. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN504190
AG Case #052260197

Sales Tax; Refund
Filed: 11/22/05
Period: 12/01/96-
12/31/99
Amount: \$136,903.16

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Jana Kinkade

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Mark W. Eidman
Ray Langenberg
Doug Sigel
Scott, Douglass &
McConnico
Austin

Issue: Whether charges of contractors for erecting, moving and dismantling scaffolding are exempt from sales and use tax as a non-taxable service, or taxable as rental of tangible personal property. Whether certain work performed by contractors is new construction under a lump sum contract and thus not taxable.

Status: Answer filed.

Delta Air Lines, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN400439
AG Case #041925868

Sales Tax; Refund
Filed: 02/13/04
Period: 02/01/93-
12/31/96
Amount: \$1,642,267.15

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Christopher Jackson

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Mark W. Eidman
Ray Langenberg
Scott, Douglass &
McConnico
Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's purchases of janitorial and building maintenance services being resold under a lease agreement are exempt under the sale for resale exemption. Whether Plaintiff's purchases of mechanical maintenance services were exempt as taxable services purchased in the performance of a real property contract for an exempt entity.

Status: Answer filed.

Dillard's, Inc., aka Dillard Department Stores, Inc., and Dillard Texas Operating Limited Partnership v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN203937
AG Case #021703947

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 10/30/02 Period: 07/01/93- 01/31/96 02/01/96-11/30/96 Amount: \$1,100,000+	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Natalie McLemore Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Doug Sigel Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
---	---	--

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's sewing machines and other property used to alter clothing qualify for the manufacturing exemption. Whether Plaintiff is entitled to a refund of tax on packaging supplies, non-taxable services, and industrial solid waste disposal. Whether the Comptroller improperly applied a franchise tax credit to the assessed amount.

Status: Discovery in progress. Plaintiff's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment filed 06/20/05. Hearing passed. Settlement negotiations in progress.

Dillard's Inc., aka Dillard Department Stores, Inc., and Dillard Texas Operating Limited Partnership v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN304838 (Consolidated with *Dillard's, Inc., aka Dillard Department Stores, Inc., and Dillard Texas Operating Limited Partnership v. Rylander, et al.*, Cause #GN203937)
AG Case #041904590

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 12/23/03 Period: 07/01/93- 01/31/96 02/01/96-11/30/96 Amount: \$1,172,784.29	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Natalie McLemore Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Doug Sigel Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's sewing machines and other property used to alter clothing qualify for the manufacturing exemption. Whether Plaintiff is entitled to a refund of tax on packaging supplies, non-taxable services, industrial solid waste disposal, and sale for resale items.

Status: Motion to consolidate cases granted 11/23/04. See *Dillard's Inc., aka Dillard Department Stores, Inc., and Dillard Texas Operating Limited Partnership v. Rylander, et al.*, Cause No. GN203937.

DuPont Photomasks, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN303695

#03-04-00822-CV

AG Case #031855117

Sales Tax; Protest &
Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 09/12/03

Period: 01/01/96-
10/31/97

Amount: \$299,987.35

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Jim Cloudt

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Larry F. York
Susan F. Gusky
York, Keller & Field
Austin

Jennifer K. Patterson
Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's purchase of a cleanroom should have been an exempt sale for resale. Whether the lease of the cleanroom was incidental to the lease of the building in which it was housed and whether Rule 3.294(k)(1) is invalid. Whether the Comptroller's final decision is arbitrary and violates due process, equal and uniform taxation, and equal protection. Whether Rider 11 is unconstitutional as: (1) an amendment to substantive law; (2) a violation of due process, equal protection and open courts; and (3) an unconstitutional taking. Plaintiff seeks attorney's fees and demands a jury trial.

Status: Discovery in progress. Motion for Summary Judgment hearing held 09/23/04. Rule upheld. Both Motions denied. Trial Judgment signed 11/29/04. Notice of Appeal filed by Plaintiff 12/17/04. Appellant's brief filed 03/07/05. Appellees' brief filed 04/13/05. Appellant's Reply Brief filed 05/03/05. Oral Argument held 09/14/05. Letter brief filed by Appellant 09/15/05. Post-submission brief filed by Appellee 09/16/05.

EFW, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN200906
AG Case #021579578

Sales Tax; Refund &
Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 03/19/02

Period: 04/94-03/31/98

Amount: \$123,440.25

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Jim Cloudt

Mark W. Eidman
Ray Langenberg
Doug Sigel
Curtis J. Osterloh
Scott, Douglass &
McConnico
Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

EFW, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #D-1-GN-06-000058
AG Case #062269022

Sales Tax; Refund

Filed: 01/09/06

Period: 04/01/98-
08/31/04

Amount: \$600,000

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Jim Cloudt

Mark W. Eidman
Ray Langenberg
Doug Sigel
Curtis J. Osterloh
Scott, Douglass &
McConnico
Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*.

Status: Answer filed.

ELC Beauty LLC, as Successor-in-Interest to Aramis Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN203514
AG Case #021681226

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 09/26/02 Period: 01/01/98- 12/31/00 Amount: \$284,508.69	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson David Cowling Robert Lochridge Jones Day Dallas
--	---	---

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed. Whether Rule 3.346(b)(3)(A) is invalid and whether the Comptroller has authority to change its long-standing policy. Alternatively, whether penalty should be waived.

Status: Answer filed.

ELC Beauty, LLC, as Successor-in-Interest to Origins Services Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN500047
AG Case #052085966

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 01/06/05 Period: 03/01/98- 06/30/01 Amount: \$750,946.09	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson David Cowling Maryann E. Landrigan Jones Day Dallas
--	---	---

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed. Whether Rule 3.346(b)(3)(A) is invalid and whether the Comptroller has authority to change its long-standing policy. Alternatively, whether penalty should be waived. Plaintiff also claims violation of rights under the Commerce and Due Process Clauses, and right to equal and uniform taxation. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

ELC Beauty, LLC, as a Successor-in-Interest to Estee Lauder Services Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN500048
AG Case #052085990

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 01/06/05 Period: 07/01/99- 06/30/01 Amount: \$586,255.47	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson David Cowling Maryann E. Landrigan Jones Day Dallas
--	---	---

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed. Whether Rule 3.346(b)(3)(A) is invalid and whether the Comptroller has authority to change its long-standing policy. Alternatively, whether penalty should be waived. Plaintiff also claims violation of rights under the Commerce and Due Process Clauses, and right to equal and uniform taxation. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

E. de la Garza, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN003589
#03-05-00245-CV
AG Case #0011395316

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 12/15/00 Period: 01/01/93- 12/31/96 Amount: \$83,138.14	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Scott Simmons Rudy de la Garza Brownsville
---	---	--

Issue: Whether sales of grocery bags and sacks are not taxable when sold to grocery stores who have provided a blanket sale for resale certificate. Plaintiff also complains of audit calculation errors.

Status: Dismissed for Want of Prosecution 06/25/04. Plaintiff filed Motion to Retain 07/08/04. Motion to Reinstate filed 08/29/04; granted 10/04/04. Plaintiff's Partial Motion for Summary Judgment hearing held 11/23/04; denied. Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment granted in full 01/21/05. Notice of Appeal filed 04/22/05. Clerk's Record filed 05/12/05. Appellant's brief filed 06/15/05. Appellees' brief filed 07/21/05. Submitted on briefs 09/12/05. Opinion issued 11/10/05 affirming trial court's judgment with modification of judgment by Court. Motion for Rehearing was due 11/28/05.

Ebrahim, Suleiman S. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN500567
AG Case #052113388

Sales Tax; Declaratory Judgment	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Jana Kinkade
Filed: 02/22/05	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Lynn Hamilton Butler
Period: 01/01/96- 02/25/02		Robert L. Spurck
Amount: \$43,847.15		Brown McCarroll, LLP Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is liable for sales tax assessed against his father's business.
Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-03525
AG Case #98930358

Sales Tax; Protest	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Christopher Jackson
Filed: 04/03/98	Plaintiff's Counsel:	David E. Cowling
Period: 01/01/89- 09/30/92		Jones Day
Amount: \$472,225		Dallas

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered
into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed.

Status: Answer filed.

Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-03524
AG Case #98930367

Sales Tax; Protest	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Christopher Jackson
Filed: 04/03/98	Plaintiff's Counsel:	David E. Cowling
Period: 10/01/92- 03/31/96		Jones Day
Amount: \$748,773		Dallas

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered
into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed.

Status: Discovery in progress. Trial set 09/18/06.

Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN101312
AG Case #011439874

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 05/01/01 Period: 04/01/96- 06/30/99 Amount: \$614,814.78	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson David E. Cowling Robert Lochridge Jones Day Dallas
--	---	--

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed.

Status: Answer filed.

Ethicon, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN304779
AG Case #041904616

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 12/18/03 Period: 01/01/96- 12/31/99 01/01/94-12/31/95 Amount: \$52,616.94	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Natalie McLemore Mark W. Eidman Doug Sigel Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
---	---	--

Issue: Whether Plaintiff leased real property not subject to the sales and use tax.

Status: Settlement negotiations in progress. Motion for Summary Judgment hearing set 04/18/06.

F M Express Food Mart, Inc., and Fouad Hanna Mekdessi v. Rylander, et al.
Cause #GN002724
AG Case #001353960

Sales Tax; Injunction Filed: 09/15/00 Period: 12/01/90- 11/30/97 Amount: \$360,671.05	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Paul Masters Percy L. "Wayne" Isgitt Law Offices of Percy L. "Wayne" Isgitt, P.C. Houston
---	---	---

Issue: Whether Comptroller's "estimated audit" is invalid. Whether Plaintiffs are entitled to an injunction of collection and of cancellation of their sales tax permits. Whether Tax Code §§ 112.051, 112.052, 112.101 and 112.108 are unconstitutional violations of the open courts provision. Plaintiffs seek a re-audit and a refund of money paid under protest in excess of the re-audited amount.

Status: Discovery in progress. Summary Judgment hearing postponed.

GSC Enterprises, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN501091
AG Case #052132271

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 04/07/05 Period: 02/01/97- 04/30/00 Amount: \$241,656.28	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson Judy M. Cunningham Attorney at Law Austin
---	---	---

Issue: Whether electricity used to lower the temperature of food products is exempt as electricity used in processing. Whether the Comptroller violated the rules of statutory construction. Plaintiff claims violation of equal and uniform taxation. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

GTE Mobilnet of the Southwest, LLC v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN501921
AG Case #052163441

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 05/27/05 Period: 10/01/91- 12/31/94 Amount: \$130,801.55	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jana Kinkade Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether Plaintiff used the proper sampling method to determine the amount of credit/reimbursement due on bad debt deductions. Plaintiff seeks waiver of penalty assessed in the audit. Plaintiff also claims violation of due course of law, due process, equal and uniform taxation, equal rights, equal protection, and other provisions of the Texas Tax Code, Rules, Texas and U.S. Constitution.

Status: Answer filed.

GTE Southwest, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN501139

AG Case #052132818

Sales Tax; Refund

Filed: 04/11/05

Period: 01/01/95-
02/28/98

Amount: \$22,847,194

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Gene Storie

Mark W. Eidman
Ray Langenberg
Curtis Osterloh
Eric Hagenswold
Scott, Douglass &
McConnico
Austin

Issue: Whether equipment purchased by Plaintiff to provide customers-subscribers telecommunications products is exempt as tangible personal property used in manufacturing and processing or as tangible personal property that was resold. Whether penalty should be waived because Plaintiff had substantial overpayment during the audit period.

Status: Answer filed.

GTE Southwest, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN501829

AG Case #052154143

Sales Tax; Refund

Filed: 05/19/05

Period: 10/01/93-
02/28/98

03/01/98-12/31/02

Amount: \$14,000,000
\$72,000,000

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Gene Storie

Mark W. Eidman
Ray Langenberg
Curtis Osterloh
Scott, Douglass &
McConnico
Austin

Issue: Whether equipment purchased by Plaintiff to provide customers-subscribers telecommunications products is exempt as tangible personal property used in manufacturing and processing or as tangible personal property that was resold. Whether penalty should be waived because Plaintiff had substantial overpayment during the audit period.

Status: Answer filed.

GTE Southwest, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN502330

AG Case #052177326

Sales Tax; Refund

Filed: 07/06/05

Period: 05/01/91-
02/28/98

Amount: \$2,615,825.26

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Gene Storie

Mark W. Eidman
Ray Langenberg
Doug Sigel
Scott, Douglass &
McConnico
Austin

Issue: Whether equipment purchased by Plaintiff to provide customers-subscribers telecommunications products is exempt as tangible personal property used in manufacturing and processing or as tangible personal property that was resold. Whether penalty should be waived because Plaintiff had substantial overpayment during the audit period.

Status: Answer filed.

GTE Southwest, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN504191

AG Case #052252699

Sales Tax; Refund

Filed: 11/22/05

Period: 01/01/96-
02/28/98

Amount: \$260,489.27

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Gene Storie

Mark W. Eidman
Ray Langenberg
Doug Sigel
Scott, Douglass &
McConnico
Austin

Issue: Whether equipment purchased by Plaintiff to provide customers-subscribers telecommunications products is exempt as tangible personal property used in manufacturing and processing or as tangible personal property that was resold.

Status: Answer filed.

Garza, Lawrence v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-07607
AG Case #981001886

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 07/17/98	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Jana Kinkade
Period: 01/01/93- 09/30/95	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Stephen P. Dillon Lindeman & Dillon Houston
Amount: \$83,910		

Issue: Whether the Comptroller used the proper sampling procedure and whether Plaintiff was correctly notified of the procedure to be used.

Status: Discovery in progress. Trial setting passed by agreement. Inactive.

General Dynamics Corp. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN201322
AG Case #021598057

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 04/22/02	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Jim Cloudt
Period: 09/01/88- 11/30/91	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Mark W. Eidman Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
Amount: \$7,000,000		

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*.

Status: Answer filed.

General Dynamics Corp. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN201323
AG Case #021598073

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 04/22/02 Period: 12/01/91- 02/28/93 Amount: \$4,500,000	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jim Cloudt Mark W. Eidman Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*.

Status: Answer filed.

Gift Box Corp. of America, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN102934
AG Case #011492865

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 09/05/01 Period: 10/91-03/97 Amount: \$359,929.22	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Paul Masters William M. Parrish Craig J. Moore Jenkins & Gilchrist Austin
--	---	---

Issue: Whether additional resale certificates should have been accepted for Plaintiff's sales of boxes and packaging materials.

Status: Plaintiff to make settlement offer.

Graybar Electric Co., Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #97-01795
AG Case #97682966

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 02/13/97 Period: 01/01/88- 12/31/91 Amount: \$107,667	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Natalie McLemore Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
---	---	--

Issue: Whether the sample audit resulted in a correct assessment.

Status: Settlement negotiations in progress.

Grocers Supply-Institutional-Convenience, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause

#GN300904

AG Case #031782931

Sales Tax; Refund &
Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 03/20/03

Period: 06/01/95-
05/31/98

Amount: \$79,688.23

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Christopher Jackson

Judy M. Cunningham
Attorney at Law
Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's purchase of electricity used to lower the temperature of food products is exempt as electricity used in processing.

Status: Answer filed.

H.J. Wilson Co., Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-11574

AG Case #981063332

Sales Tax; Protest

Filed: 10/13/98

Period: 07/01/90-
12/31/93

Amount: \$1,076,019

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Christopher Jackson

David E. Cowling
Jones Day
Dallas

Issue: Whether the purchase of sales catalogs printed out-of-state and shipped to Plaintiff's customers in Texas (at no charge to the customer) incur sales tax.

Status: On hold. Plaintiff filed bankruptcy in Tennessee 03/25/99. Motion to dismiss by court held 05/07/01. Plaintiff filed motion to retain 04/25/01; granted order to retain 08/14/01 on DWOP, again on 07/25/02, and again 01/16/03.

Herndon Marine Products, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #91-14786
AG Case #91164788

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 10/18/91 Period: 01/01/87 - 03/31/90 Amount: \$62,465	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jim Cloudt John D. Bell Wood, Boykin & Wolter Corpus Christi
--	---	---

Issue: Whether predominant use of electricity from Plaintiff's meter is exempt. Whether burden of proof in administrative hearing should be clear and convincing evidence or preponderance of the evidence.

Status: Special exceptions and answer filed.

Houston Wire & Cable Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN500581
AG Case #052113057

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 02/23/05 Period: 08/01/97- 12/31/01 Amount: \$160,596.03	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jana Kinkade Jerry L. Starkey Houston
---	---	---

Issue: Whether wire, cable and reels purchased, customized and sold to wholesalers as non-returnable are exempt from sales tax under the manufacturing exemption and sale-for-resale exemption.

Status: Discovery in progress. Trial set 03/20/06.

JBI, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN203450
AG Case #021681218

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 09/20/02 Period: 01/01/93- 08/31/99 Amount: \$1,046,033.09	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christine Monzingo W. Stephen Benesh Bracewell & Patterson Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether the Comptroller assessed tax on transactions that were sales for resale or on which use tax had already been paid.

Status: Discovery in progress.

JBS Packing Co., Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN402498

AG Case #042003590

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 08/05/04 Period: 12/01/96- 12/31/99 Amount: \$1,820.48	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jim Cloudt Mike Cichowski Port Arthur
---	---	---

Issue: Whether parts and services for an ice machine, a hydro-blasting machine, and for a steam cleaning machine are exempt from sales tax under the manufacturing exemption.

Status: Agreed Judgment signed 11/18/05.

J.C. Penney Co., Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN300883

AG Case #031770613

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 03/19/03 Period: 01/01/91- 03/31/93 Amount: \$951,802.17	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jim Cloudt David Cowling Robert Lochridge Jones Day Dallas
---	---	--

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes use tax on paper, ink and the printing of catalogs printed out-of-state. Whether local use tax in McAllen, Texas applies to Plaintiff's aircraft. Alternatively, whether the printing service is performed outside Texas. Whether a sales and use tax on the catalogs violates the Commerce Clause, due process or equal protection. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorney's fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Jerman Cookie Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN101492
AG Case #011451598

Sales Tax; Refund and Declaratory Judgment Filed: 05/16/01 Period: 12/01/92 through 03/31/97 Amount: \$43,121.45	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Natalie McLemore Steve M. Williard L. Don Knight Meyer, Knight & Williams Houston
---	---	--

Issue: Whether plaintiff's sale of cookies and brownies is taxable under Tax Code §151.314 and Comptroller Rule 3.293. Plaintiff also seeks review under the Administrative Procedures Act and the UDJA, and seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Amended Petition filed. Discovery in progress. Plaintiff's Motion to Retain filed 07/13/05.

Kroger Co., The v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN403582
AG Case #042058032

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 10/28/04 Period: 01/01/94- 06/30/97 Amount: \$366,142.79	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jana Kinkade Judy M. Cunningham Attorney at Law Austin
---	---	---

Issue: Whether electricity used in a manufacturing process is exempt from sales tax. Whether the manufacturing process used by Plaintiff results in a physical change to tangible personal property being resold.

Status: Discovery in progress.

LTV Aerospace & Defense Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN203321
AG Case #021676770

Sales Tax; Refund &
Declaratory Judgment
Filed: 09/13/02
Period: 06/01/86-
08/31/92
Amount: \$8,576,046

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Jim Cloudt

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Mark W. Eidman
Ray Langenberg
Doug Sigel
Curtis J. Osterloh
Scott, Douglass &
McConnico
Austin

Issue: Plaintiff claims a sale for resale exemption on items resold to the federal government. Plaintiff also claims a denial of equal protection and that the incidence of the tax falls on the federal government. Plaintiff claims that the Comptroller violated the commerce clause by failing to follow title-passing regulations and also seeks a declaratory judgment and attorneys' fees.

Status: Agreed Judgment signed 12/22/05.

Laredo Coca-Cola Bottling Co., and Coca-Cola Enterprises, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN300575
AG Case #031759657

Sales Tax; Refund
Filed: 02/21/03
Period: 05/01/93-
06/30/96
10/01/91-06/30/96
01/01/90-12/31/92
07/01/91-06/30/96
Amount: \$6,726
\$591,086

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Paul Masters

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Mark W. Eidman
Ray Langenberg
Curtis Osterloh
Scott, Douglass &
McConnico
Austin

Issue: Whether post-mix machines qualify for manufacturing tax exemption. Whether some of the machines also qualify for the sale for resale exemption, because plaintiff received consideration even if not valued in money.

Status: Plaintiff filed a Motion for Summary Judgment 04/23/05.

Laredo Coca-Cola Bottling Co., and Coca-Cola Enterprises, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN401379
AG Case #041964941

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 04/30/04 Period: 05/01/93- 06/30/96 10/01/91-06/30/96 01/01/90-12/31/92 07/01/91-06/30/96 Amount: \$18,579.66 \$443,299.77	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Paul Masters Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Curtis Osterloh Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
---	---	---

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes sales tax on the purchase of money validators due to the integration of the validators into the final product, the vending machine.

Status: Answer filed.

Laredo Country Club, Inc., A Texas Corp. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-11834
AG Case #981064363

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 10/20/98 Period: 08/1-30/98 Amount: \$2,054	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Natalie McLemore Gilbert J. Bernal, Jr. Stahl, Bernal & Davies Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether sales tax is due on the portion of country club membership fees designated as "capital improvement fees" and "gratuities."

Status: Dismissed for Want of Prosecution 07/25/02. Reopened, as plaintiff filed a Motion for Reinstatement, granted 10/31/02. Order signed 06/09/05 granting Motion to Withdraw and Substitute New Counsel. Settlement negotiations in progress.

Laredo Pizza, Inc., and Samuel L. Alford, and L & H Pacific, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN401507
AG Case #041971482

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 05/12/04 Period: 07/01/92- 08/31/95 Amount: \$34,965.35	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Natalie McLemore Richard L. Rothfelder Michael C. Falick Rothfelder & Falick, LLP Houston
---	---	---

Issue: Whether prizes awarded by Plaintiff to successful contestants of amusement machines were purchased for resale and exempt from sales tax. Whether the sale of food, beverage and party packages is taxable as food and beverage or non-taxable as amusement services. Whether assets transferred from one subsidiary to another are exempt from sales tax as an "occasional sale."

Status: Defendants' First Amended Original Answer, Plea to the Jurisdiction and Special Exception filed 06/27/05.

Lee Construction and Maintenance Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-01091
AG Case #991112160

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 01/29/99 Period: 01/01/92- 12/31/95 Amount: \$31,830.47	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Natalie McLemore Timothy M. Trickey The Trickey Law Firm Austin
---	---	--

Issue: Various issues, including credits for bad debts, tax paid, tax on new construction and tax paid in Louisiana, resale exemptions and waiver of penalty and interest.

Status: Settlement negotiations pending. Trial to be reset.

Liaison Resources, L.P., and David S. Claunch v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN202795
AG Case #021663307

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 08/14/02 Period: 1991-1999 Amount: \$136,659.08	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Scott Simmons James F. Martens Christina A. Mondrik James F. Martens & Associates Austin
--	---	---

Issue: Whether Plaintiffs owe tax on computer-related temporary services. Whether the Comptroller improperly assessed tax on items sold out of state or on sales for resale. Plaintiffs also claim a violation of equal protection and seek attorneys' fees.

Status: Case to be settled. Final Judgment being drafted. Awaiting letter ruling from the Comptroller.

Liberty Vending Services, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN502836
AG Case #052198108

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 08/11/05 Period: 10/01/98- 06/30/02 Amount: \$9,000	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jim Cloudt James F. Martens Christina A. Mondrik James F. Martens & Associates Austin
---	---	--

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is liable for sales and use tax on sales of food items, soft drinks and candy sold through contracted vending machines located at exempt locations. Whether the Comptroller improperly categorized certain food item purchases as taxable. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief and release of all state tax liens. Plaintiff claims violation of constitutional rights and equal protection and equal taxation. Plaintiff also claims violation of the Commerce Clause and the Supremacy Clause.

Status: Answer filed.

Local Neon Co., Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-15042
#03-04-00261-CV
AG Case #001254036

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 12/31/99 Period: 01/01/88- 03/31/95 Amount: \$34,390.24	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Paul Masters Doug Sigel Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
---	---	--

Issue: Whether Plaintiff was doing business in Texas by delivering and installing its signs that were sold under contract negotiated outside of Texas. Whether Plaintiff is entitled to declaratory judgment and attorneys' fees.

Status: Plea to the Jurisdiction granted to State 04/07/04. Notice of Appeal filed 04/29/04. Clerk's Record filed 06/04/04. Appellant's brief filed 07/01/04. Appellees' brief filed 08/02/04. Oral Argument requested. Submitted on Briefs 12/06/04. Opinion issued 06/16/05 affirming trial court judgment in part, reversing the judgment in part, and remanding the case. State's Motion for Rehearing filed 06/30/05. Court requested response to Request for Rehearing 08/01/05. Appellant's response filed 08/11/05. Appellees' response filed 08/19/05. Motion for Rehearing overruled 11/01/05.

Lockheed Martin Corp., as Successor to Lockheed Martin Vought Systems Corp. and Loral Vought Systems Corp. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN103525
AG Case #011523446

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 10/24/01 Period: 09/01/92- 11/30/95 Amount: \$2,680,000	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jim Cloudt Mark W. Eidman Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Lockheed Corp. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN201000
AG Case #021583745

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 03/26/02 Period: 03/01/93- 01/31/96 Amount: \$7,000,000	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jim Cloudt Mark W. Eidman Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*.

Status: Answer filed.

Lockheed Martin Corp. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN200999
AG Case #021583737

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 03/26/02 Period: 01/01/96- 09/30/97 Amount: \$3,500,000	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jim Cloudt Mark W. Eidman Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*.

Status: Answer filed.

Lockheed Martin Corp., Successor to Lockheed Martin Vought Systems Corp. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN201725
AG Case #021620414

Sales Tax; Refund &
Declaratory Judgment
Filed: 05/23/02
Period: 12/01/95-
06/30/97
Amount: \$1,857,000

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Jim Cloudt

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Mark W. Eidman
Ray Langenberg
Doug Sigel
Curtis J. Osterloh
Scott, Douglass &
McConnico
Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*.

Status: Answer filed.

Lockheed Martin Corp. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN300420
AG Case #031751118

Sales Tax; Refund &
Declaratory Judgment
Filed: 02/10/03
Period: 07/01/97-
07/31/01
Amount: \$2,837,000

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Jim Cloudt

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Mark W. Eidman
Ray Langenberg
Doug Sigel
Scott, Douglass &
McConnico
Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Lockheed Martin Kelly Aviation Center, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN400625
AG Case #041928870

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 02/26/04 Period: 01/01/99- 12/31/00 Amount: \$1,025,000	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jim Cloudt Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Doug Sigel Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*.

Status: Answer filed.

MG Building Materials, Ltd. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN301686
AG Case #031802978

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 05/23/03 Period: 01/01/96- 04/30/99 Amount: \$2,015,426.24	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Paul Masters Douglas W. Sanders Elizabeth A. Copeland Jeffrey T. Cullinane Oppenheimer, Blend, Harrison & Tate San Antonio
--	---	--

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's audit was flawed because the Comptroller improperly failed to consider late resale or other exemptions in the sample. Whether the sample methodology and 60-day letter made it impossible for Plaintiff to show that the assessment was wrong. Plaintiff also requests a jury trial.

Status: Discovery in progress. Court denied both cross-motions for partial summary judgment 08/26/04. Plaintiff's Motion to Compel denied 11/18/04. Trial setting of 03/07/05 postponed. Case settled.

Mars, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN401349
AG Case #041965336

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 04/29/04 Period: 01/01/94- 09/30/97 Amount: \$726,024	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg R. Eric Hagenswold Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
--	---	---

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's purchases of certain equipment and related items are exempt from sales tax under the manufacturing exemption. Whether Plaintiff's purchases of installation labor are exempt as purchases of non-taxable stand-alone installation services.

Status: Answer filed.

Maxus Energy Corp. as Successor in Interest to Maxus Corporate Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN404187
AG Case #052082260

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 12/27/04 Period: 09/01/95- 12/31/98 Amount: \$1,794,780.29	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson David Cowling Robert Lochridge Jones Day Dallas
--	---	---

Issue: Whether items purchased by Plaintiff to be exported outside of the US by a freight consolidator and not invoiced individually are exempt from sales and use tax. Whether the Comptroller's auditing techniques can assess tax on transactions previously audited and non-assessed. Whether Plaintiff "purchased" or "rented" software, and whether services provided to implement the software are taxable. Whether services performed on tangible personal property provided by a third party are exempt from sales and use tax. Plaintiff claims violation of equal and uniform taxation, and due process. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Medaphis Physicians Services Corp. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #94-11610
AG Case #94149390

Sales Tax; Protest and Declaratory Judgment Filed: 09/16/94 Period: 05/01/94- 06/30/94 Amount: \$17,063	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Paul Masters Garry M. Miles Vinson & Elkins Austin
--	---	---

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's services are taxable (1) insurance services, (2) debt collection services, or (3) data processing services, and whether Rules 3.330, 3.354, and 3.355 exceed the Comptroller's rule making authority.

Status: Inactive.

Mitchell, Christia Parr v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN201330
AG Case #021604541

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 04/22/02 Period: 01/01/95- 12/31/98 Amount: \$160,870.48	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Natalie McLemore Christia Parr Mitchell (Pro Se) San Antonio
---	---	---

Issue: Whether plaintiff may recover a sales tax refund for taxes paid by a corporation controlled by her ex-husband when the liability was paid pursuant to orders of the court in which the divorce was granted.

Status: Inactive.

Nachhattar Tejpal Legha Enterprises, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN203398
AG Case #021676812

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 09/18/02 Period: 04/01/97- 07/31/99 Amount: \$15,841	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Natalie McLemore James F. Martens James F. Martens & Associates Austin
---	---	--

Issue: Plaintiff claims that the Comptroller wrongfully assessed additional sales tax by misstating Plaintiff's gross taxable receipts and wrongfully failed to entertain Plaintiff's refund claim. Plaintiff also seeks a declaratory judgment and attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress. Motion for Summary Judgment and Plea to the Jurisdiction and Special Exceptions hearing set 08/10/05. Trial set 08/22/05. Settings passed. Trial set 04/10/06. Settlement negotiations in progress.

Neiman Marcus Group, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #93-10279-A
AG Case #93340549

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 08/26/93 Period: 01/01/87- 03/31/90 Amount: \$1,046,465	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson David E. Cowling Jones Day Dallas
---	---	--

Issue: Plaintiff's customers buy gifts from Plaintiff outside Texas and have the gifts delivered by common carrier to Texas "donees." Should the Comptroller have assessed use tax on these "gift sends." Second Issue: whether tax is due on certain remodeling services. Plaintiff asks for attorneys fees under 42 USC §§1983 and 1988.

Status: Agreed judgment signed 03/11/96 on the gift send issue. An agreed order for severance was signed on 03/11/96 on the remodeling issues and the attorneys' fees. Cause renumbered 93-10279-A. State filed a plea to the jurisdiction on attorneys' fees on 10/06/93.

Neiman Marcus Group, Inc., The v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN102403
AG Case #011478294

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 08/01/01 Period: 04/01/90- 12/31/93 Amount: \$1,908,969.01	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jim Cloudt Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether printing charges for catalogs are not subject to use tax because: (a) the printing services were not used in Texas, (b) the printed catalogs were gifts for which title transferred outside Texas, (c) plaintiff did not have sufficient control to be a Texas user, (d) the statute does not include distribution in the definition of use, (e) no use tax is due under the doctrine of *Morton Bldgs.*, (f) Rule 3.346(b)(3)(A) does not apply or is invalid, and/or (g) Tax Code 151.3111(a) exempts the printing service. Whether photograph retouching is (a) a sale of tangible personal property, or (b) repair, remodeling, maintenance or restoration of tangible personal property, or (c) exempt under Tax Code 151.330(e). Also, whether remodeling contracts were tax included and whether sampling was improper. Plaintiff seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

North American Intelecom, Inc., et al. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #97-05318
AG Case #97733563

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 05/02/97 Period: 04/01/91- 05/31/95 Amount: \$2,029,180	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Paul Masters Jasper G. Taylor, III Fulbright & Jaworski Houston
--	---	--

Issue: Whether care, custody, and control of Plaintiff's public telephone equipment passed to their customers, so that Plaintiff could buy the equipment tax free for resale.

Status: Inactive.

North Texas Asset Management, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #94-08603
AG Case #94113766

Sales Tax; Declaratory Judgment Filed: 07/14/94 Period: 05/02/91- 12/31/91 Amount: \$24,307	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Paul Masters Judy M. Cunningham Attorney at Law Austin
--	---	---

Issue: Whether a sale of a business approved by the SBA (which held a lien and received the proceeds) is tantamount to a foreclosure sale so that no successor liability should attach.

Status: Inactive. Parties are involved in informal discussions to resolve or eliminate issues currently in controversy.

Northrop Grumman Systems Corp. (Successor to Northrop Grumman Corp. and Vought Aircraft Co.) v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN201344
AG Case #021607155

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 05/01/02 Period: 09/01/92- 11/30/95 Amount: \$1,600,000	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jim Cloudt Gilbert J. Bernal, Jr. Stahl, Bernal & Davies Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*. Plaintiff claims that collection of the tax violates the supremacy clause as a tax on the U.S. government and that the Comptroller violated the constitutional requirements of equal protection and equal taxation by denying the refund claim. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Northwestern Resources Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN500768
AG Case #052118247

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 03/11/05 Period: 10/01/97- 03/31/01 Amount: \$825,300.33	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christine Monzingo Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass & McConnico, LLP Austin
---	---	---

Issue: Whether parts, consumables and repair services that Plaintiff purchased for draglines used in its coal mining operations are exempt from sales tax under the manufacturing exemption. Plaintiff claims that the use of a dragline is to remove overburden, which results in a physical change. Plaintiff also claims violation of equal and uniform taxation, equal rights clause, equal protection clause, due course of law and due process clause.

Status: Answer filed.

Office Depot, Inc., successor to Office Depot Business Services Division (aka Office Depot Business Services, Inc.) and Office Depot of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN503442
AG Case #052217601

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 09/22/05 Period: 01/01/94- 07/31/98 01/01/94-12/31/95 07/01/92-12/31/93 Amount: \$1,552,785.55	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jana Kinkade Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Doug Sigel Scott, Douglass & McConnico, LLP Austin
--	---	---

Issue: Whether transactions for which customer identities are unavailable are taxable. Whether the Comptroller used the proper sampling procedure. Whether the proper error rate for assessed sales transactions with missing customer information was used. Plaintiff also claims violation of equal and uniform taxation, the equal rights clause, the equal protection clause, due course of law and due process clause.

Status: Answer filed.

Office Depot, Inc., successor to Office Depot Business Services Division (aka Office Depot Business Services, Inc.) and Office Depot of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #D-1-GN-06-000041
AG Case #062269014

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 01/05/06 Period: 01/01/94- 07/31/98 01/01/94-12/31/95 07/01/92-12/31/93 Amount: \$1,552,785.55	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jana Kinkade Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Doug Sigel Scott, Douglass & McConnico, LLP Austin
---	---	---

Issue: Whether transactions for which customer identities are unavailable are taxable. Whether the Comptroller improperly extrapolated the error rate associated with tax-exempt copier lease payments over an under-valued population base.

Status: Answer filed.

R.H. Salas & Associates, Inc. v. Comptroller Cause #GN403975
AG Case #042071365

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 12/06/04 Period: 08/01/98- 04/30/02 Amount: \$66,543.64	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jana Kinkade Diego A. Lopez The Law Offices of Diego A. Lopez San Antonio
---	---	---

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes sales tax on purchased equipment used in the manufacturing of wood and metal products. Whether Plaintiff owes sales tax on electricity used to operate the equipment. Whether Plaintiff was denied due process of law and the right to equal protection of the law. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Raytheon E-Systems, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN101511
#03-02-00346-CV
#03-0416
AG Case #011451606

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 05/17/01 Period: 06/01/89 - 12/31/96 Amount: \$5,381,609.00	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jim Cloudt Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Doug Sigel Curtis J. Osterloh Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Summary Judgment hearing held 03/05/02. Partial summary judgment for plaintiff signed 03/29/02. Judgment for Raytheon granted 05/15/02. State's Notice of Appeal filed 06/04/02. Appellants' brief filed 09/20/02. Appellee's brief filed 10/18/02. Appellants' reply brief filed 11/07/02. Oral argument completed 12/04/02. Comptroller's post-submission brief filed 12/15/02. Trial court affirmed, in part, remanded, in part, 01/30/03. Motion for Rehearing and Motion for En Banc Reconsideration filed by State 03/17/03; denied 03/27/03. Petition for Review filed by State 05/12/03. Response filed 05/20/03 by Raytheon. Reply filed by State 05/30/03. Petition for Review denied 08/28/03. Motion for Rehearing filed by State 09/12/03; denied 10/24/03. Final order of the Supreme Court sent to Court of Appeals 12/09/03. Case is in discovery on remand. State's Motion for Summary Judgment granted 06/03/04. Raytheon's Motion for Summary Judgment denied 06/08/04. Order ruling that case is not final setting deadline for status report signed 06/28/04. State's Report filed 07/16/04. Judgment hearing on 10/04/04 passed to consider settlement. Agreed Judgment entered 11/28/05.

Raytheon Co., as Successor in Interest to Raytheon Training, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN201022
AG Case #021588694

Sales Tax; Refund &
Declaratory Judgment
Filed: 03/28/02
Period: 08/01/88 -
05/31/97
Amount: \$2,500,000.00

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Jim Cloudt

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Mark W. Eidman
Scott, Douglass &
McConnico
Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 11/28/05.

Raytheon Co. and Daimlerchrysler Corp. as Successors to Central Texas Airborne Systems, Inc., fka Chrysler Technologies Airborne Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN302082

AG Case #031816143

Sales Tax; Refund

Filed: 06/13/03

Period: 04/01/89-
12/31/96

Amount: \$228,368

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Jim Cloudt

Mark W. Eidman
Ray Langenberg
Doug Sigel
Scott, Douglass &
McConnico
Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 11/28/05.

Raytheon Co., as Successor to Raytheon TI Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause #GN303643

AG Case #031853625

Sales Tax; Refund

Filed: 09/09/03

Period: 07/01/97-
12/31/98

Amount: \$3,500,000

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Jim Cloudt

Mark W. Eidman
Ray Langenberg
Doug Sigel
Scott, Douglass &
McConnico
Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 11/28/05.

Raytheon Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN303644
AG Case #031853633

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 09/09/03 Period: 01/01/99- 12/31/02 Amount: \$7,400,000	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jim Cloudt Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Doug Sigel Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 11/28/05.

Raytheon Co., as Successor to Raytheon E-Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.
Cause #GN303645
AG Case #031853641

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 09/09/03 Period: 01/01/97- 12/31/98 Amount: \$4,000,000	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jim Cloudt Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Doug Sigel Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 11/28/05.

Raytheon Co., as Successor to Raytheon E-Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause #GN304089

AG Case #031873441

Sales Tax; Protest &
Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 10/16/03

Period: 10/01/91-
12/31/96

Amount: \$389,408.28

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Jim Cloudt

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Mark W. Eidman
Ray Langenberg
Doug Sigel
Scott, Douglass &
McConnico
Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 11/28/05.

Reynolds Metals Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN401468

AG Case #041970799

Sales Tax; Refund

Filed: 05/07/04

Period: 03/01/94-
12/31/00

Amount: \$828,614.08

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Christopher Jackson

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Mark W. Eidman
Ray Langenberg
Eric Hagenswold
Scott, Douglass &
McConnico
Austin

Issue: Whether conveyors and weigh-ometers are exempt as manufacturing equipment or taxable as intraplant transportation. Whether repair and replacement parts for the conveyors are exempt from sales tax as purchases of pollution control equipment used in manufacturing and purchases of environmental repairs. Whether ship unloaders qualify as rolling stock and exempt from sales tax. Plaintiff also claims violation of equal and uniform taxation and equal protection.

Status: Answer filed.

Roadway Express, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN002831
AG Case #001357631

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Jim Cloudt
Filed: 09/25/00	Plaintiff's Counsel:	David Cowling
Period: 04/01/88- 05/31/92		Robert Lochridge
Amount: \$713,686.05		Jones Day
\$206,053.87		Dallas

Issue: Whether various equipment used by the Plaintiff with its trucks is exempt from use tax as tangible personal property sold to a common carrier for use outside the state. Alternatively, whether the equipment had been taxed as vehicle components under the interstate motor carrier tax and could not be taxed as "accessories." Alternatively, whether taxing 100% of the value of the equipment violates the Commerce Clause because of a lack of substantial nexus and of fair apportionment. Whether all tax was paid on Plaintiff's repair and remodeling contracts and capital assets. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Trial setting passed. Discovery in progress.

Robbins & Myers, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN301171
AG Case #031786551

Sales Tax; Declaratory Judgment	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Jana Kinkade
Filed: 04/11/03	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Henry Binder
Period: 06/01/95- 07/31/98		Porter & Hedges
Amount: \$23,492.41		Houston

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is required to pay additional tax after the Comptroller's administrative order became final. Whether Plaintiff is entitled to the manufacturing exemption for down-hole drilling equipment and whether completion of Plaintiff's facility was new construction

Status: Answer filed.

Rockwell Collins, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN203339
AG Case #021676788

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 09/13/02 Period: 01/01/97- 12/31/98 Amount: \$591,028.39	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jim Cloudt David H. Gilliland Clark, Thomas & Winters Austin
---	---	---

Issue: Plaintiff claims a sale for resale exemption on items resold to the federal government. Plaintiff also claims a denial of equal protection and an exemption under §151.3111.

Status: Answer filed.

Rollins & Rollins Enterprises, Inc. , dba Country Kwik Stop v. Rylander, et al.
Cause #GN202097
AG Case #021640651

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 06/28/02 Period: 08/01/97- 07/31/00 Amount: \$45,059.74	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Natalie McLemore William T. Peckham Austin
---	---	--

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is liable for tax on food sold from its convenience store area. Whether the Comptroller applied proper percentages for loss and waste.

Status: Answer filed.

SC Kiosks, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN500795
AG Case #052126810

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 03/15/05 Period: November 2004 Filing Period December 2004 Filing Period January 2005 Filing Period Amount: \$146,909.55 \$66,251.85 \$59,268.75	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jim Cloudt Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Curtis J. Osterloh Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether telephones purchased by Plaintiff, and subsequently sold to customers who contract for telephone service with a carrier associated with the Plaintiff, are exempt from sales tax under the sale for resale exemption.

Status: Answer filed.

Sabine Mining Co., The v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN401382
AG Case #041964867

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 04/30/04 Period: 10/01/97- 09/30/01 Amount: \$905,468.12	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christine Monzingo Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
---	---	--

Issue: Whether replacement parts and repair services for draglines qualify as manufacturing equipment and exempt from sales tax. Plaintiff claims that the draglines directly make or cause a chemical or physical change to formations, falling within the exempt manufacturing process. Plaintiff also claims violation of equal and uniform taxation, equal rights, equal protection, due course of law and due process.

Status: Discovery in progress. Trial set 04/10/06.

San Antonio Spurs, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN403429
AG Case #042050401

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 10/15/04 Period: 06/01/97- 06/30/00 Amount: \$913,435.03	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson Mark W. Eidman Curtis Osterloh Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether suite rental fees are exempt from sales tax as non-taxable rentals or licenses for the use of real property.

Status: Answer filed.

Sanford, Gerald L. and Clara Krueger Sanford dba Gerald's Manufacturing, a Sole Proprietorship v. Strayhorn Cause #2005-CI-10903
AG Case #052185733

Sales Tax; Declaratory Judgment Filed: 07/05/05 Period: Amount: \$N/A	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jana Kinkade Dennis Sagebiel Attorney at Law Seguin
---	---	--

Issue: Plaintiff claims that the defendant established a tax account for plaintiff's company without plaintiff's knowledge or request. Plaintiff claims his business, which contracts for, installs and repairs residential roofs, is exempt from sales and use tax. Plaintiff requests declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress. Defendant filed motion to transfer venue.

Service Merchandise Co., Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-11572
AG Case #981063308

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 10/13/98 Period: 01/01/92- 12/31/93 Amount: \$413,569	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson David E. Cowling Jones Day Dallas
---	---	--

Issue: Whether the purchase of sales catalogs printed out-of-state and shipped to Plaintiff's customers in Texas (at no charge to the customer) incur sales tax.

Status: On hold. Plaintiff filed bankruptcy in Tennessee on 03/25/99. Motion to dismiss set 05/07/01. Plaintiff filed motion to retain 04/25/01; granted 08/14/01. Motion to dismiss set 07/25/02. Motion granted 01/16/03 to retain.

Sharper Image Corp. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN203645
AG Case #021686779

Sales Tax; Protest &
Declaratory Judgment
Filed: 10/09/02
Period: 07/01/94-
11/30/97
Amount: \$264,355.46

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Jim Cloudt

Gilbert J. Bernal, Jr.
Stahl, Bernal & Davies
Austin

Martin I. Eisenstein
Kevin J. Beal
Brann & Isaacson
Lewiston, ME

Issue: Whether use tax imposed on catalogs shipped from out-of-state is unlawful because: (1) plaintiff never used the catalogs in Texas; (2) the tax violates the Commerce Clause; and, (3) Rule 3.346 is unconstitutional. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress. Trial to be reset.

Sharper Image Corp. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN203821
AG Case #021696851

Sales Tax; Protest &
Declaratory Judgment
Filed: 10/22/02
Period: 12/01/97-
03/31/01
Amount: \$258,205.20

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Jim Cloudt

Gilbert J. Bernal, Jr.
Stahl, Bernal & Davies
Austin

Martin I. Eisenstein
Kevin J. Beal
Brann & Isaacson
Lewiston, ME

Issue: Whether use tax imposed on catalogs shipped from out-of-state is unlawful because: (1) plaintiff never used the catalogs in Texas; (2) the tax violates the Commerce Clause; and, (3) Rule 3.346 is unconstitutional. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress. Trial to be reset.

Southern Plastics, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #D-1-GN-06-000047
AG Case #062270459

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 01/06/06 Period: 11/01/99- 10/31/02 Amount: \$4,872.78	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Natalie McLemore Ray Bonilla Ray, Wood & Bonilla, LLP Austin
---	---	--

Issue: Whether Petitioner's waste from its manufacturing plant qualifies as industrial solid waste and thus exempt from sales tax when removed as industrial solid waste.

Status: Answer filed.

Southern Sandblasting and Coatings, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN103910
AG Case #011532355

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 11/27/01 Period: 01/01/95- 12/31/98 Amount: \$219,219.35 \$47.15	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson Gilbert J. Bernal, Jr. Stahl, Bernal & Davies Austin
---	---	---

Issue: Whether items used in vessel repair, such as paint-gun parts, are exempt materials. Whether denial of the exemption violates equal protection. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Settlement pending.

Southwest Food Processing & Refrigerated Services, aka Southwest Refrigerated Warehousing Services v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN103390
AG Case #011509668

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 10/15/01	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Paul Masters
Period: 01/01/96- 12/31/99	Plaintiff's Counsel:	H. Christopher Mott Krafsur Gordon Mott El Paso
Amount: \$188,477.57		

Issue: Whether plaintiff owes tax on electricity used to freeze food items.

Status: Inactive.

Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN402300
AG Case #041998360

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 07/22/04	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Gene Storie
Period: 06/01/05- 12/31/98	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Curtis J. Osterloh Eric Hagenswold Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
Amount: \$291,516,385.00		

Issue: Whether equipment used in telecommunications is exempt from sales tax under the manufacturing and processing exemption. Whether payphones purchased by Plaintiff to perform taxable telecommunications services qualify for the sale for resale exemption. Whether electricity purchased and resold as an integral part of other tangible personal property and used to perform taxable telecommunications services is exempt from sales tax. Whether stand-alone installation labor provided directly to a customer by a vendor or by a third-party installer is taxable.

Status: Answer filed.

Stantrans Partners, LP v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN502648
AG Case #052186624

Sales Tax; Refund
Filed: 07/29/05
Period: 07/01/93-
06/30/97
Amount: \$326,813.49

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Jana Kinkade

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Mark W. Eidman
Ray Langenberg
Doug Sigel
Scott, Douglass &
McConnico
Austin

Issue: Whether purchases of tangible personal property for ultimate sale as tangible personal property are exempt from sales tax under the manufacturing and processing exemption. Whether gas and electricity purchased and used to process tangible personal property for sale as tangible personal property is exempt from sales tax under the manufacturing and processing exemption.

Status: Answer filed.

Steamatic of Austin, Inc., et al. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN200631
AG Case #021567771

Sales Tax; Refund
Filed: 02/25/02
Period: 04/01/91-
04/30/94
Amount: \$103,335.27

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Natalie McLemore

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Mark W. Eidman
Ray Langenberg
Scott, Douglass &
McConnico
Austin

Issue: Whether plaintiff is entitled to a tax refund for repairs to tangible personal property on the grounds that such repairs were for casualty losses exempt under the Comptroller's Rule 3.357 and 3.310. Whether the claim is barred by limitations. Whether the Comptroller improperly changed the rule on casualty losses.

Status: Motion for Summary Judgment filed. Response filed. Partial Summary Judgment on limitations granted for Plaintiff 04/07/04.

Sysco Food Services of Austin, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN400465
AG Case #041925850

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 02/17/04 Period: 05/01/98- 04/30/01 Amount: \$92,357.48	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson Mark W. Eidman Curtis Osterloh Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
---	---	--

Issue: Whether electricity used to lower the temperature of food products is exempt as electricity used in processing.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Sysco Food Services of Houston, L.P. (fka Sysco Food Service of Houston, Inc.) v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN100633
AG Case #011420734

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 03/01/01 Period: 01/01/94- 12/31/96 Amount: \$196,492.74	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson Judy M. Cunningham Attorney at Law Austin James Blume Blume & Studdard Dallas
---	---	---

Issue: Whether electricity used to lower the temperature of food products is exempt as electricity used in processing. Whether equipment is exempt for the same reason.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Sysco Food Services of Houston, L.P. (fka Sysco Food Services of Houston, Inc.) v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN302075
AG Case #031816119

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 06/13/03 Period: 07/01/94- 06/30/98 Amount: \$270,401.80	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson Judy M. Cunningham Attorney at Law Austin James Blume Blume & Studdard Dallas
---	---	---

Issue: Whether electricity used to lower the temperature of food products is exempt as electricity used in processing. Whether equipment is exempt for the same reason.

Status: Discovery in progress.

TDI-Halter, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN100339
AG Case #011409653

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 02/01/01 Period: 01/01/93- 06/30/96 Amount: \$475,000	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Natalie McLemore Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Eric Hagenswold Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
--	---	---

Issue: Whether conversion of drilling rigs to self-propelled, deep water rigs is manufacturing under the statute and Comptroller rules. Whether dredging is non-taxable maintenance of real property. Alternatively, whether interest should be waived.

Status: DWOP notice sent by court 03/29/05. Order retaining case entered 08/04/05.
Discovery in progress. Scheduling Order signed. Trial set 12/11/06.

Target Corp. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN502440
AG Case #052184538

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 07/14/05 Period: 02/01/96- 07/31/99 Amount: \$591,242.98	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jim Cloudt Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
---	---	--

Issue: Whether charges for labor under separated contracts and charges under lump sum contracts constitute non-taxable new construction. Whether charges for assembly and installation of display items in retail stores are non-taxable third party installation services. Whether components purchased outside the state and used outside the state to construct other items, including assembly labor charges, are taxable. Whether installation charges for purchases of tangible personal property are non-taxable as separable charges.

Status: Answer filed.

Telecable Associates, Inc.; Teleservice Corp. of America; Texas Telecable, Inc.;
TCA Cable of Amarillo, Inc.; and Texas Community Antennas, Inc. v. Rylander,
et al. Cause #GN100705
AG Case #011422482

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 03/07/01 Period: 03/01/93- 12/31/96 Amount: \$400,000	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Natalie McLemore Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether cable equipment on the customer's premises qualifies for the sale for resale exemption for property used to provide a taxable service.

Status: Discovery in progress. Was set 04/15/05 for dismissal for want of prosecution.
Plaintiff's Motion to Retain granted 04/13/05. Trial set 06/26/06.

Texas Gulf, Inc. v. Bullock, et al. Cause #485,228
AG Case #90311185

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 06/05/90 Period: 01/01/85 - 06/30/88 Amount: \$294,000	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jana Kinkade Jenkins & Gilchrist Austin
---	---	---

Issue: Are pipes exempt as manufacturing equipment or taxable as intra plant transportation.

Status: Inactive.

Union Carbide Corp. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN000580
AG Case #001261452

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 01/13/00 Period: 01/01/89- 12/31/92 Amount: \$575,857.40	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Gene Storie Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Curtis Osterloh Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to an exemption on labor charges for installing floating roofs on tanks at its chemical plant because: (1) the roofs are exempt pollution control equipment, (2) the labor was for non-taxable new construction, or (3) the labor was for remodeling of tangible personal property.

Status: Dismissed for Want of Prosecution 06/15/05. Plaintiff has filed unopposed motion to reinstate. Settlement negotiations in progress.

United Space Alliance, LLC v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN401174
AG Case #041954488

Sales Tax; Refund
Filed: 04/14/04
Period: 07/01/99-
07/31/03
Amount: \$975,000

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Jim Cloudt

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Mark W. Eidman
Ray Langenberg
Doug Sigel
Scott, Douglass &
McConnico
Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*.

Status: Answer filed.

United Space Alliance, LLC v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN501793
AG Case #052151891

Sales Tax; Protest
Filed: 05/17/05
Period: 03/01/00-
06/30/03
Amount: \$881,264.71

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Jim Cloudt

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Mark W. Eidman
Ray Langenberg
Doug Sigel
Scott, Douglass &
McConnico
Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*.

Status: Discovery in progress.

United Space Alliance, LLC v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN504467
AG Case #062267356

Sales Tax; Protest
Filed: 12/16/05
Period: 03/01/00-
06/30/03
Amount: \$297,739.30

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Jana Kinkade

Mark W. Eidman
Ray Langenberg
Doug Sigel
Scott, Douglass &
McConnico
Austin

Issue: Whether security services provided to plaintiff in connection with services to the federal government qualify for the sale for resale exemption. Whether tax on tangible personal property should be refunded pursuant to the Raytheon case. Whether electricity used to produce software qualifies for the manufacturing and processing exemptions. Whether certain software maintenance is a non-taxable service.

Status: Answer filed.

West Texas Pizza, Limited Partnership v. Sharp, et al. Cause #96-11751
AG Case #96611633

Sales Tax; Protest
Filed: 09/27/96
Period: 06/01/88-
06/30/92
Amount: \$35,247

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Natalie McLemore

Richard L. Rothfelder
Milissa M. Magee
Kirkendall, Isgur &
Rothfelder
Houston

Issue: Whether prizes obtained by collecting tickets from amusement machines in a restaurant are "purchased" by the customer as part of the price of the food.

Status: Inactive.

White Swan, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN304767
AG Case #041904608

Sales Tax; Refund
Filed: 12/18/03
Period: 10/01/93-
12/31/97
Amount: \$415,185.61

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Christopher Jackson

Judy M. Cunningham
Attorney at Law
Austin

Issue: Whether the purchase of electricity used to lower the temperature of food products is exempt under Tax Code Sections 151.317 and 151.318. Whether the process causes a physical change to the products. Whether the decision of the Comptroller violated the statute and long-standing Comptroller policy.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Williams, Duane Everett v. Comptroller Cause #GN304667

AG Case #031899222

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 12/10/03 Period: 2002 Amount: \$50,000	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jim Cloudt Michael R. Cooper Salado
--	---	---

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's civil rights were violated by the Comptroller's audit and whether the audit assessment should be set aside for lack of substantial evidence.

Status: Answer filed.

World Fitness Centers, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN201795

AG Case #021626239

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 05/30/02 Period: 09/01/94- 05/31/98 Amount: \$273,005.56	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Natalie McLemore Ray Bonilla Ray, Wood & Bonilla Austin
---	---	--

Issue: Whether plaintiff owes sales tax on the discount and reserve amounts of its factored contracts when plaintiff is a cash-basis taxpayer.

Status: Answer filed.

Zale Delaware, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN202030
AG Case #021640669

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 06/24/02 Period: 08/01/92- 02/28/97 Amount: \$\$333,602.57	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Paul Masters Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
---	---	--

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is liable for tax on items temporarily stored in Texas. Whether tax on services purchased by Plaintiff should be reduced to reflect the out-of-state benefit of those services. Whether Plaintiff should get a refund or credit for tax paid on inventory. Whether the Comptroller should be barred from off-setting debts in the period between the filing of Plaintiff's bankruptcy petition and the confirmation of its reorganization plan.

Status: Answer filed.

Zale Delaware, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN301725
AG Case #031806045

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 05/27/03 Period: 08/01/92- 02/28/97 Amount: \$1,170,404.64	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Paul Masters Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Doug Sigel Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
---	---	--

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to exemption on items of inventory temporarily stored in-state. Whether tax was improperly assessed on services performed outside the state. Whether installation services on counters and software were readily separable from taxable tangible property. Whether the Comptroller should be enjoined from taking offsets pursuant to Plaintiff's bankruptcy plea.

Status: Answer filed.

Zimmerman Sign Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN500612
AG Case #052113065

Sales Tax; Refund
Filed: 02/28/05
Period: 01/01/95-
04/30/98
Amount: \$105,046.66

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Natalie McLemore

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Mark W. Eidman
Ray Langenberg
Scott, Douglass &
McConnico
Austin

Issue: Whether certain equipment, machinery, parts, supplies and consumables purchased to manufacture exterior signs are exempt from sales tax under the manufacturing exemption. Whether or not Plaintiff is a "contractor" to qualify for the manufacturing exemption.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Insurance Tax

AXA Equitable Life Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN501095
AG Case #052135712

Gross Premium &
Maintenance Tax; Protest
& Declaratory Judgment
Filed: 04/07/05
Period: 2004
2005
2004 (Maintenance Tax)
Amount: \$57,166
\$28,583
\$849 (Maintenance Tax)

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Gene Storie

Fred B. Werkenthin
Edward C. Small
Steven D. Moore
Pat Fitzgerald
Jackson Walker LLP
Austin

Issue: Whether dividends retained and applied to reduce premiums be included in gross premiums subject to tax under Article 4.11 and Article 4.17. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Allstate County Mutual Insurance Co.; Allstate Insurance Co.; Allstate Indemnity Co.; Allstate Texas Lloyds; and Allstate Property and Casualty Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN300968
AG Case #031778947

Insurance Premium Tax;
Protest & Declaratory
Judgment
Filed: 03/26/03
Period: 1995-1998
Amount: \$174,386.15
\$10,529.48
\$4,013.24
\$11,858.40
\$7,306.09
(Total: \$208,093.27)

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Natalie McLemore

Steven D. Moore
Fred B. Werkenthin
Jackson & Walker
Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiffs owe gross premiums tax on defaulted auto insurance premiums that are not received.

Status: Answer filed.

American Fidelity Assurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN302070
AG Case #031816564

Insurance Premium Tax; Refund	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Christine Monzingo
Filed: 06/12/03 Period: 1992 Amount: \$241,625.20	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Michael W. Jones Kevin F. Lee Thompson, Coe, Cousins & Irons Austin

Issue: Whether investments in "Fannie Mae" and "Freddie Mac" mortgage pools qualify as investments in Texas mortgages. Whether Rule 3.809 (c) is invalid.

Status: Answer filed.

American International Specialty Lines Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN002666 (Consolidated with *Lexington Insurance Co. and Landmark Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al.*, Cause #GN100569)
AG Case #001351998

Insurance Premium Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Gene Storie
Filed: 09/08/00 Period: 1995 Amount: \$362,975.97	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Cynthia Hollingsworth Curtis L. Frisbie, Jr. Randy D. Gordon Samuel E. Joyner Gardere Wynne & Sewell Dallas

Issue: Whether an authorized surplus lines insurer is required to pay unauthorized insurance tax when the Comptroller is unable to verify payment of tax by the agent. Whether the Comptroller wrongfully relied on another hearings decision as precedent. Plaintiff also seeks injunctive and declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: See *Lexington Insurance Co. and Landmark Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al.*, Cause #GN100569.

Fireman's Fund Insurance Co. of Ohio v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN101899
AG Case #011464476

Insurance Premium Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 06/20/01 Period: 1992-1998 Amount: \$439,074.12	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Gene Storie Stephen L. Phillips Brian C. Newby Julie K. Lane Cantey & Hanger, Roan & Autry Austin
---	---	---

Issue: Whether Plaintiff, an authorized surplus lines insurer, is liable for unauthorized insurance premiums tax. Whether the Comptroller lacks authority to determine that Plaintiff is an unauthorized insurer, and whether the Texas Department of Insurance is required to make that determination. Whether the Comptroller engaged in selective and improper enforcement. Whether the assessment violates Due Process and the McCarran-Ferguson Act. Alternatively, whether penalty should be waived. Plaintiff also seeks injunctive relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Case to be dismissed by court unless retained. Plaintiff has filed unopposed motion to retain. Case retained. Inactive until *Lexington Insurance* is decided. Trial set 08/14/06.

First American Title Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN301692
#03-04-00342-CV
#05-0541
AG Case #031806011

Retaliatory Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 05/23/03 Period: 1998 through 2002 Amount: \$1,432,580.76	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christine Monzingo Ron K. Eudy Sneed, Vine & Perry Austin Matthew J. Zim Steptoe & Johnson, LLP Washington, D.C.
---	---	--

Issue: Whether the Comptroller properly used “split” premiums in calculating the retaliatory tax of a foreign title insurance company. Whether the Comptroller’s interpretation of the title insurance tax statutes violates the Equal Protection Clause. Whether the Comptroller’s policy change violated Due Process and the APA. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys’ fees.

Status: The State’s motion for summary judgment was granted 05/18/04 and Plaintiff’s was denied. Notice of Appeal filed 06/17/04. Clerk’s Record filed 07/06/04. Supplement Clerk’s Records filed 07/22/04 and 07/29/04. Motion to Consolidate cases granted 07/29/04 (*Old Republic National Title Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.*, Cause #GN401630). Appellants’ brief filed 08/30/04. Appellees’ brief filed 10/26/04. Reply brief filed by Appellant 11/15/04. Submitted on Oral Argument 01/19/05. Appellees’ Supplemental Brief filed 02/01/05. Appellants’ Supplemental Brief filed 02/15/05. Opinion issued 06/03/05 affirming the trial court’s summary judgment in favor of the Comptroller. Petition for Review filed in Tx. Supreme Court 07/14/05. Respondent filed waiver to respond 07/28/05. Case forwarded to Court 08/02/05. Court requested response 08/29/05. Response filed 09/28/05. Petitioner’s reply filed 10/13/05. Briefing on the merits requested 12/19/05. Petitioners’ brief due 02/17/06. Respondents’ brief due 03/09/06. Petitioners’ reply brief due 03/24/06.

First American Title Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN401631
AG Case #041976440

Retaliatory Tax; Protest Filed: 05/21/04 Period: 2003 Amount: \$1,490,029.00	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff’s Counsel:	Christine Monzingo Ron K. Eudy Sneed, Vine & Perry Austin
---	---	--

Issue: Whether the Comptroller properly used “split” premiums in calculating the retaliatory tax of a foreign title insurance company. Whether the Comptroller’s interpretation of the title insurance tax statutes violates the Equal Protection Clause. Whether the Comptroller’s policy change violated Due Process and the APA.

Status: Answer filed.

First American Title Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN501795
AG Case #052153855

Retaliatory Tax; Protest Filed: 05/17/05 Period: 2004 Amount: \$2,140,952.88	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christine Monzingo Ron K. Eudy Sneed, Vine & Perry Austin
---	---	--

Issue: Whether the Comptroller properly used “split” premiums in calculating the retaliatory tax of a foreign title insurance company. Whether the Comptroller’s interpretation of the title insurance tax statutes violates the Equal Protection Clause. Whether the Comptroller’s policy change violated Due Process and the APA.

Status: Answer filed.

Lexington Insurance Co., Landmark Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause
#GN100569
#03-03-00169-CV
#04-0429
AG Case #011417896

Insurance Premium Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 02/22/01 Period: 1992-1995 Amount: \$1,596,196.63 \$36,174.92	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Gene Storie Curtis L. Frisbie, Jr. Cynthia C. Hollingsworth Jeremy Martin Gardere Wynne Sewell LLP Dallas
--	---	---

Issue: Whether an authorized surplus lines insurer is required to pay unauthorized insurance tax when the Comptroller is unable to verify payment of tax by the agent. Whether the Comptroller wrongfully relied on another hearings decision as precedent. Plaintiff also seeks injunctive and declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Summary Judgment motions held 08/01/02; Summary Judgment granted for insurers. Notice of Appeal filed 03/21/03. Appellants' brief filed 08/15/03. Appellee's brief filed 11/10/03. Appellants' reply brief filed 12/05/03. Oral argument held 01/07/04. Third Court of Appeals reversed and remanded trial court's judgment 02/20/04. Appellees filed Motion for Consideration En Banc and Motion for Rehearing 03/08/04; overruled 03/25/04. Petition for Review filed 06/24/04. Waiver of Response filed 07/06/04. Case forwarded to Court 07/13/04. Response to Petition for Review filed by Respondent 08/26/04. Petitioner's Reply filed 09/17/04. Court requested briefs on the merits. Petitioners' brief on the merits filed 11/18/04. Respondents' brief on the merits filed 01/07/05. Amicus Curiae posted 01/18/05. Petitioner's reply brief on the merits filed 01/27/05. Court requested a reply from Respondents. Respondents' reply brief filed 03/17/05. Lexington filed a motion on 03/23/05 to strike and/or seal the Amicus Brief of Varco Int'l. Response filed 04/13/05 at the Court's request. Petition granted 05/27/05. Motion to Strike Amicus Brief denied and Motion to Seal granted 05/27/05. Submitted on Oral Argument 09/28/05. Amicus Curiae posted 10/18/05 and 10/21/05.

Metropolitan Life Insurance Co., et al. v. A.W. Pogue, et al. Cause #484,745
AG Case #90304512

Gross Premium Tax;
Protest
Filed: 05/24/90
Period: 1985-1986
1989-1992
Amount: \$1,848,606

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Gene Storie

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Fred B. Werkenthin
Steve Moore
Breck Harrison
Jackson & Walker
Austin

Issue: Whether insurance taxes are owed by insurance companies on dividends applied to paid-up additions and renewal premiums.

Status: 9th Amended Petition filed. Settlement discussed, and partial settlement agreed to. Final judgment signed on paid-up additions issue. Renewal premium issue severed and retained on docket. Plaintiffs have made settlement offer on remainder of case. Motion for Summary Judgment hearing set 02/14/06.

Metropolitan Life Insurance Co., et al. v. A.W. Pogue, et al. Cause #484,796
AG Case #90304503

Maintenance Tax; Protest Filed: 05-23-90 Period: 1989-1991 Amount: \$1,616,497	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Gene Storie Fred B. Werkenthin Jackson & Walker Austin
---	---	---

Issue: Whether Tex. Ins. Code art. 21.07-6 is preempted by ERISA.

Status: One Plaintiff has submitted documentation supporting a refund. Case will be concluded in accordance with *NGS v. Barnes*, 998 F.2d 296 (5th Cir. 1993). Severance and final judgment entered for Metropolitan. Awaiting documentation for other Plaintiffs.

New York Life Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN501094
AG Case #052130697

Gross Premium Tax & Maintenance Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 04/07/05 Period: 2004 2005 2004 (Maintenance Tax) Amount: \$105,822 \$52,911 \$1,572 (Maintenance Tax)	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Gene Storie Fred B. Werkenthin Edward C. Small Steven D. Moore Pat Fitzgerald Jackson Walker LLP
---	---	---

Issue: Whether dividends retained and applied to reduce premiums be included in gross premiums subject to tax under Article 4.11 and Article 4.17. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Old Republic National Title Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN401630
AG Case #041976416

Retaliatory Tax; Refund Filed: 05/21/04 Period: 2003 Amount: \$289,403.85	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christine Monzingo Ron K. Eudy Sneed, Vine & Perry Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether the Comptroller properly used “split” premiums in calculating the retaliatory tax of a foreign title insurance company. Whether the Comptroller’s interpretation of the title insurance tax statutes violates the Equal Protection Clause. Whether the Comptroller’s policy change violated Due Process and the APA. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys’ fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Old Republic National Title Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN501794
AG Case #052151883

Retaliatory Tax; Protest Filed: 05/17/05 Period: 2004 Amount: \$234,970.95	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christine Monzingo Ron K. Eudy Sneed, Vine & Perry Austin
---	---	--

Issue: Whether the Comptroller properly used “split” premiums in calculating the retaliatory tax of a foreign title insurance company. Whether the Comptroller’s interpretation of the title insurance tax statutes violates the Equal Protection Clause. Whether the Comptroller’s policy change violated Due Process and the APA.

Status: Answer filed.

Old Republic National Title Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN503918
AG Case #052240827

Retaliatory Tax; Protest Filed: 10/28/05 Period: 01/01/01- 12/31/04 Amount: \$247,928.29	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christine Monzingo Ron K. Eudy Sneed, Vine & Perry Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether the Comptroller properly used “split” premiums in calculating the retaliatory tax of a foreign title insurance company. Whether the Comptroller’s interpretation of the title insurance tax statutes violates the Equal Protection Clause. Whether the Comptroller’s policy change violated Due Process and the APA.

Status: Answer filed.

Old Republic Title Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN301693
#03-04-003472-CV
(Consolidated with *First American Title Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.*, Cause #GN301692, #03-04-00342-CV)
AG Case #031806029

Retaliatory Tax; Protest
& Declaratory Judgment
Filed: 05/23/03
Period: 2002
Amount: \$219,626.40

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Christine Monzingo

Plaintiff’s Counsel:

Ron K. Eudy
Sneed, Vine & Perry
Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller properly used “split” premiums in calculating the retaliatory tax of a foreign title insurance company. Whether the Comptroller’s interpretation of the title insurance tax statutes violates the Equal Protection Clause. Whether the Comptroller’s policy change violated Due Process and the APA. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys’ fees.

Status: The State’s motion for summary judgment was granted 05/17/04 and Plaintiff’s was denied. Notice of Appeal filed 06/17/04; dismissed 07/29/04 due to Motion for Consolidation. See *First American Title Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.*, Cause #GN301692, #03-04-00342-CV.

Prudential Insurance Co., The v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN501093
AG Case #052137189

Gross Premium &
Maintenance Tax; Protest
& Declaratory Judgment
Filed: 04/07/05
Period: 2004
2005
2004 (Maintenance Tax)
Amount: \$230,578
\$115,289
\$3,426 (Maintenance
Tax)

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Gene Storie

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Fred B. Werkenthin
Edward C. Small
Steven D. Moore
Pat Fitzgerald
Jackson Walker LLP
Austin

Issue: Whether dividends retained and applied to reduce premiums be included in gross premiums subject to tax under Article 4.11 and Article 4.17. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

STP Nuclear Operating Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN302053

AG Case #031808371

Insurance Premium Tax;
Protest
Filed: 06/11/03
Period: 2002
Amount: \$115,287.80

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Gene Storie

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Howard P. Newton
Rene D. Ruiz
Cox Smith Matthews Inc.
San Antonio

Issue: Whether the independently procured insurance tax may be collected from a Texas corporation despite the decisions in *Todd Shipyards* and *Dow Chemical*. Whether imposition of the tax violates equal protection or is pre-empted by federal law governing the operation of nuclear plants.

Status: Waiting for administrative decision on refund claim for other periods. Due to Order Consolidating Cases signed 06/27/05, *STP Nuclear Operating Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.*, Cause No. GN501910, consolidated into this case. Discovery in progress. Cross-motions for summary judgment will be heard March, 2006.

STP Nuclear Operating Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN501910
AG Case #052155728

Insurance Premium Tax; Protest	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Gene Storie
Filed: 05/27/05 Period: 2004 Amount: \$154,235.67	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Howard P. Newton Rene D. Ruiz Cox Smith Matthews Inc. San Antonio

Issue: Whether the independently procured insurance tax may be collected from a Texas corporation despite the decisions in *Todd Shipyards* and *Dow Chemical*. Whether imposition of the tax violates equal and uniform protection or is pre-empted by federal law governing the operation of nuclear plants.

Status: Due to Order Consolidating Cases signed 06/27/05, see *STP Nuclear Operating Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.*, Cause No. GN302053.

STP Nuclear Operating Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN503375
AG Case #052214509

Insurance Premium Tax; Refund	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Gene Storie
Filed: 09/19/05 Period: 1998-2001 Amount: \$529,071.60	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Howard P. Newton Rene D. Ruiz Cox Smith Matthews Inc. San Antonio

Issue: Whether the independently procured insurance tax may be collected from a Texas corporation despite the decisions in *Todd Shipyards* and *Dow Chemical*. Whether imposition of the tax violates equal and uniform protection or is pre-empted by federal law governing the operation of nuclear plants.

Status: Discovery in progress.

St. Paul Surplus Lines Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN102788
AG Case #011490877

Insurance Premium Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 08/24/01 Period: 01/01/95- 12/31/98 Amount: \$163,021.27	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Gene Storie Michael W. Jones Kevin F. Lee Thompson, Coe, Cousins & Irons Austin Richard S. Geiger Thompson, Coe, Cousins & Irons Dallas
---	---	--

Issue: Whether Plaintiff, an eligible surplus lines insurer, is liable for unauthorized insurance tax. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorney's fees.

Status: To be determined by *Lexington Insurance Co., Landmark Insurance Co., et al. v. Strayhorn, et al.* Dismissal notice has been received from the court.

Warranty Underwriters Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-12271
AG Case #991226739

Insurance Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 10/20/99 Period: 1993-1997 1993-1997 Amount: \$416,462.73 \$214,893.74	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Paul Masters Raymond E. White Daniel Micciche Akin, Gump, Strauss, Hauer & Feld Austin
---	---	---

Issue: Whether the Comptroller improperly included amounts not received by Plaintiff in Plaintiff's gross premiums tax base. Whether any maintenance tax is payable on Plaintiff's business of home warranty insurance. Whether the Comptroller is bound by the prior actions and determinations of the Texas Department of Insurance. Whether the assessments of tax violate due process and equal taxation. Whether penalty and interest should have been waived.

Status: Discovery in progress. Plaintiff to submit settlement offer.

Other Taxes

Alpine ISD v. Strayhorn Cause #GV402237

AG Case #041999202

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal Filed: 07/27/04 Period: 2003 Amount: \$N/A	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson Ray Bonilla Ray, Wood & Bonilla Austin
--	---	---

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and valuing sample properties. Whether the Comptroller's order on the value study is arbitrary and unreasonable.

Status: Case settled.

Alpine ISD v. Strayhorn Cause #GV503554

AG Case #052186590

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal Filed: 07/28/05 Period: 2004 Amount: \$N/A	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jana Kinkade Ray Bonilla Ray, Wood & Bonilla Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and valuing sample properties in Category A. Whether the Comptroller erred in its procedures and methods used to properly value Category D1 property. Whether the Comptroller's order on the value study is arbitrary and unreasonable and supported by substantial evidence.

Status: Answer filed.

Arnold, Jessamine J., Estate of, Deceased, and Jim Arnold, Jr., Independent Executor v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN203255
AG Case #021670484

Inheritance Tax; Protest Filed: 09/09/02	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Christopher Jackson
Period: Amount: \$161,956	Plaintiff's Counsel:	James F. Martens Christina A. Mondrik James F. Martens & Associates Austin

Issue: Whether the IRS erred in increasing the value of the estate's assets and disallowing expenses and gifts.

Status: Answer filed.

Beadles, Joe Haven v. Comptroller Cause #GN500155
AG Case #052100160

Diesel Fuel Tax; Declaratory Judgment Filed: 01/14/05	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Gene Storie
Period: Amount: \$1,709,078.44	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Joe Haven Beadles Pro Se Mt. Pleasant

Issue: Plaintiff claims that the State issued a diesel fuel bonded suppliers' permit to Plaintiff without Plaintiff's knowledge, allowing diesel fuel taxes to be assessed against Plaintiff. Plaintiff claims he never purchased or sold diesel fuel. Plaintiff claims the State previously collected the taxes in question from subsidiaries who sold diesel fuel through truck stops. Plaintiff claims these subsidiaries bought the diesel fuel from an oil company which the State, through an "agreement with the oil company," exempted from paying taxes.

Status: Motion for Summary Judgment granted 05/26/05. Case reopened 08/19/05 due to appeal. Notice of Appeal filed 08/11/05. Court of Appeals sent notice of intent to dismiss unless Appellant files motion reasonably explaining delay in filing brief. Appellant's brief filed 12/12/05. Appellees' brief filed 01/17/06. Set on briefs 01/20/06. Appellant's reply brief filed 01/31/06.

CarMax Auto Superstores, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN400433
AG Case #041921990

Motor Vehicle Sales Tax; Declaratory Judgment Filed: 02/12/04 Period: Amount: \$0.00	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jim Cloudt Lara L. Reenan Henry Oddo Austin & Fletcher Dallas
--	---	---

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's tax collection and financing activities are legal under the Tax Code, Finance Code and Constitution.

Status: Co-defendant's Motion to Dismiss granted 06/21/04.

ConocoPhillips Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN403149
AG Case #042035626

Gas Production Tax; Refund Filed: 09/22/04 Period: 01/01/95- 11/30/97 Amount: \$539,224.78	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Paul Masters Jamie Nielson Austin
---	---	---

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's refund claim fell within the statute of limitations deadline once the high-cost gas exemption or reduction was applied. Whether the high-cost gas refund claim involves the same type of tax as the marketing cost deduction claim which was the basis for the Section 111.207(d) tolling.

Status: Discovery in progress. Case to be settled.

Cypress-Fairbanks ISD, et al. v. Troy G. Rountree, et al. Cause #2004-54335
AG Case #042056796

Property Tax; Declaratory Judgment Filed: 09/30/04 Period: Amount: \$N/A	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Natalie McLemore Walter E. Spears Stephen K. Hamilton Neil H. McLaurin, IV Bartley & Spears, P.C. Houston
--	---	--

Issue: Whether Tax Code §32.05(c), which subordinates the liens of property owners' associations, is unconstitutional.

Status: Answer filed.

El Paso Natural Gas Co. v. Sharp Cause #91-6309

AG Case #9178237

Gas Production Tax; Declaratory Judgment Filed: 05/06/91 Period: 01/01/87 - 12/31/87 Amount: \$3,054,480.60	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Paul Masters Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether Comptroller should have granted Plaintiff a hearing on penalty waiver and related issues.

Status: State's Plea in Abatement granted pending outcome of administrative hearing on audit liability. Negotiations pending.

El Paso Natural Gas Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN501395

AG Case #052141975

Gas Production Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 04/25/05 Period: 01/01/87- 12/31/87 01/01/88-12/31/88 Amount: \$10,517.30	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Paul Masters Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Doug Dashiell Doug Sigel Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
--	---	---

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes gas production tax on Order 94 Payments. Whether Plaintiff is liable for tax on gas purchases as a producer or exempt as a purchaser. Whether Plaintiff is exempt from paying severance taxes as an interstate natural gas pipeline company. Plaintiff claims violation of the Due Process, Commerce, and Supremacy Clauses, and equal and uniform taxation. Plaintiff requests that the assessed penalty and interest be waived, and seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

El Paso Natural Gas Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN502628

AG Case #052186640

Gas Production Tax;
Refund & Declaratory

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Paul Masters

Judgment

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Mark W. Eidman

Filed: 07/28/05

Ray Langenberg

Period: 01/01/87-

Doug Dashiell

12/31/87

Doug Sigel

01/01/88-12/31/88

Scott, Douglass &

Amount:

McConnico

\$41,492.78+\$31,595.18

Austin

(penalty)+87,955.50

(interest)

\$25,231.65+\$44,138.50

(interest)

(plus interest on both tax

amounts)

Issue: Whether Order 94 payments are exempt from tax. Whether Plaintiff is liable for taxes as a gas producer or exempt as a purchaser. Whether imposition of the gas production tax on Plaintiff violates the Commerce Clause and Supremacy Clause. Whether gas contract settlement payments or transactions are taxable. Plaintiff claims violation of due process rights under the constitutions of both Texas and the United States. Plaintiff also claims violation of equal and uniform taxation. Plaintiff seeks attorneys' fees, and waiver of penalties and interest assessed.

Status: Answer filed.

El Paso Natural Gas Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN502815
AG Case #052195583

Gas Production Tax; Protest Filed: 08/10/05 Period: 12/01/82- 12/31/86 01/01/89-12/31/90 Amount: \$2,217,939.19	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Paul Masters Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Doug Dashiell Doug Sigel Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
---	---	---

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes gas production tax on Order 94 Payments. Whether Plaintiff is liable for taxes as a gas producer or exempt as a purchaser. Whether gas contract settlement payments or transactions are taxable. Plaintiff claims that taxes assessed by the defendant is "double-dipping," and time limitations bar the assessments. Plaintiff claims violation of due process rights under the constitutions of both Texas and the United States, and violation of the Commerce Clause and Supremacy Clause. Plaintiff also claims violation of equal and uniform taxation. Plaintiff seeks attorneys' fees and waiver of interest assessed. Plaintiff also requests disclosure of certain information and material.

Status: Answer filed.

El Paso Natural Gas Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN503965
AG Case #052243847

Gas Production Tax; Refund Filed: 11/02/05 Period: 12/01/82- 12/31/86 01/01/89-12/31/90 Amount: \$1,814,098.80 + \$1,958,296.59 in interest \$32,615 + \$37,401.27 in interest	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Paul Masters Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Doug Dashiell Doug Sigel Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
--	---	---

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes gas production tax on Order 94 Payments. Whether Plaintiff is liable for taxes as a gas producer or exempt as a purchaser. Whether imposition of the gas production tax on Plaintiff violates the Commerce Clause and Supremacy Clause. Whether gas contract settlement payments or transactions are taxable. Plaintiff claims violation of equal and uniform taxation. Plaintiff claims that taxes assessed by the defendant is "double-dipping," and time limitations bar the assessments. Plaintiff claims violation of due process rights under the constitutions of both Texas and the United States. Plaintiff seeks attorneys' fees and waiver of interest assessed.

Status: Answer filed.

Evercom Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN503910
AG Case #052240835

PUC Gross Receipts Tax; Protest Filed: 10/27/05 Period: 04/01/97- 12/31/98 Amount: \$45,827.59	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jim Cloudt Andrew M. Taylor Eric B. Storm Bracewell & Patterson, LLP Austin
---	---	--

Issue: Plaintiff requests review of administrative hearing decision. Whether Plaintiff is a public utility or dominant carrier under PURA definitions. Whether the Gross Receipts Assessment can be applied against Plaintiff.

Status: Answer filed.

Fort Worth's PR's, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN200711
AG Case #021573480

Mixed Beverage Gross Receipts Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 03/04/02 Period: 03/01/99- 06/30/99 Amount: \$36,177.36	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jana Kinkade John L. Gamboa Acuff, Gamboa & White Fort Worth
--	---	---

Issue: Whether the Comptroller used a non-representative sample to determine plaintiff's tax liability. Whether depletion and error rates were calculated correctly.

Status: Discovery extended until 05/15/05. Plea to the Jurisdiction and Motion for Summary Judgment withdrawn. Settlement negotiations being discussed.

Gallegos, Gerardo v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #D-1-GN-05-004330
AG Case #062276092

Controlled Substance Tax; Declaratory Judgment Filed: 12/07/05 Period: 01/24/90 Amount: \$62,136.47	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jana Kinkade James Stafford Houston
--	---	---

Issue: Whether the Controlled Substances Tax is unconstitutional because it subjects Plaintiff to double jeopardy. Whether the state should be required to release all liens and tax assessments filed against Plaintiff. Plaintiff asks for attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Greenville ISD v. Comptroller Cause #GV402276
AG Case #041999350

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal Filed: 07/29/04 Period: 2003 Amount: \$N/A	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson Robert Mott Perdue, Brandon, Fielder, Collins & Mott Houston
--	---	--

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by misapplying burden of proof and not properly selecting and valuing sample properties. Whether the Comptroller's order on the value study is arbitrary and unreasonable.

Status: Settled.

Harris County, et al. v. John W. Adams, et al. Cause #2004-54306
AG Case #042056804

Property Tax; Declaratory Judgment Filed: 09/30/04 Period: Amount: \$N/A	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Natalie McLemore Walter E. Spears Stephen K. Hamilton Neil H. McLaurin, IV Bartley & Spears, P.C. Houston
--	---	--

Issue: Whether Tax Code §32.05(c), which subordinates the liens of property owners' associations, is unconstitutional.

Status: Case being monitored. No activity at present.

Lake Austin Spa Investors, Ltd. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN203899
AG Case #021703913

Hotel Occupancy Tax; Protest, Injunction & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 10/28/02 Period: 03/01/97- 11/30/00 12/01/00-03/31/02 Amount: \$193,629.45 \$59,232.72	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Natalie McLemore Kirk R. Manning Stephen L. Phillips Julie K. Lane Cantey & Hanger Austin
---	---	--

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's service charges are subject to the hotel tax. Whether the charges are gratuities under the Comptroller's rule. Plaintiff also seeks injunctive relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress. Settlement negotiations in progress.

MFC Finance Co. of Texas v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN002653
AG Case #001352632

Motor Vehicle Sales Tax; Refund	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Jim Cloudt
Filed: 09/07/00 Period: 01/01/96- 12/31/98 Amount: \$5,533,079.80	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to tax credit and refund as provided under the sales tax bad debt statute for motor vehicle taxes on installment sales where the purchaser defaulted. Whether the refusal to allow a refund violates equal taxation because there is no rational basis to treat installment sellers of vehicles differently than vehicle renters and other retailers.

Status: Trial setting passed. Discovery in progress. Plaintiff filed Motion for Partial Summary Judgment 03/03/05. Depositions taken 03/29/05. Summary Judgment hearing set 02/23/06.

Mabank ISD v. Comptroller Cause #GV503360
AG Case #052185741

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Jana Kinkade
Filed: 07/19/05 Period: 2004 Amount: \$N/A	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Kirk Swinney Roy L. Armstrong McCreary, Veselka, Bragg & Allen, PC Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and valuing sample properties and whether the Comptroller failed to properly account for the inflationary trend.

Status: Discovery in progress. Trial set 06/12/06.

Malakoff ISD v. Comptroller Cause #GV503359
AG Case #GV503359

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal Filed: 07/19/05 Period: 2004 Amount: \$N/A	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jana Kinkade Kirk Swinney Roy L. Armstrong McCreary, Veselka, Bragg & Allen, PC Austin
--	---	---

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and valuing sample properties and whether the Comptroller failed to properly account for the inflationary trend.

Status: Discovery in progress. Trial set 06/26/06.

Marathon ISD v. Strayhorn Cause #GV402238
AG Case #041999236

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal Filed: 07/27/04 Period: 2003 Amount: \$N/A	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Natalie McLemore Ray Bonilla Ray, Wood & Bonilla Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and valuing sample properties. Whether the Comptroller's order on the value study is arbitrary and unreasonable.

Status: Discovery in progress. Settlement negotiations in progress.

Marathon ISD v. Strayhorn Cause #GV503555
AG Case #052186608

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal Filed: 07/28/05 Period: 2004 Amount: \$N/A	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jana Kinkade Ray Bonilla Ray, Wood & Bonilla Austin
--	---	--

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred in its procedures and methods used to properly value Category D1 property. Whether the Comptroller's order on the value study is arbitrary and unreasonable and supported by substantial evidence.

Status: Answer filed.

Mirage Real Estate, Inc., et al. v. Richard Durbin, et al. Cause #92-16485
AG Case #92190294

Alcoholic Beverage Gross Receipts Tax; Declaratory Judgment Filed: 12/03/92 Period: Amount: \$	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Paul Masters Jim Mattox Lowell Lasley Michael D. Mosher Paris
---	---	---

Issue: Whether the TABC and Comptroller were allowed to use inventory depletions analysis to determine amount of gross receipts tax owed. Plaintiffs seek class certification.

Status: Answer filed. Inactive.

Nextel of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN501852
AG Case #052154796

Telecommunications Infrastructure Fund (TIF) Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 05/23/05 Period: 01/01/99- 12/31/03 Amount: \$2,113,301.35	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Natalie McLemore Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Doug Sigel Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
---	---	--

Issue: Whether receipts for equipment sold to customers and listed separately on invoices are subject to an additional TIF assessment as taxable telecommunications receipts. Whether TIF charges which Plaintiff passed on and collected from its customers are allowable reimbursements as TIF assessment. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Preston Motors by George L. Preston, Owner v. Sharp, et al. Cause #91-11987
AG Case #91133170

Motor Vehicle Tax; Protest Filed: 08/26/91 Period: 12/01/86 - 09/30/89 Amount: \$21,796	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jim Cloudt George L. Preston Paris
--	---	--

Issue: Whether motor vehicle tax should fall on dealer/seller rather than the purchaser under §152.044. Related constitutional issues.

Status: Inactive.

Quinlan ISD v. Strayhorn Cause #GV402239
AG Case #041999251

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal Filed: 07/27/04 Period: 2003 Amount: \$N/A	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson Ray Bonilla Ray, Wood & Bonilla Austin
--	---	---

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and valuing sample properties and whether the Comptroller failed to consider local modifiers, sales and market information. Whether the Comptroller's order on the value study is arbitrary and unreasonable.

Status: Case settled.

Ranger Fuels & Maintenance, L.L.C. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN204124
AG Case #021705900

Fuels Tax; Declaratory Judgment & Injunction Filed: 11/14/02 Period: Amount: \$115,000.00	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Paul Masters Donald H. Grissom Grissom & Thompson Austin
---	---	---

Issue: Whether fuels tax is actually owed by an unrelated company. Whether the Comptroller abused its discretion and violated Plaintiff's constitutional rights. Plaintiff seeks injunctive and declaratory relief.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Ranger Fuels & Maintenance, LLC v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN504104
AG Case #052245941

Fuels Tax; Refund Filed: 11/15/05 Period: 05/01/02- 05/31/02 (Diesel) 01/01/02-04/30/02 (Gasoline) 03/01/02-04/30/02 (Diesel) 05/01/02-05/31/02 (Gasoline) Amount: \$208,428.70	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Paul Masters Donald H. Grissom William W. Thompson, III Grissom & Thompson Austin
---	---	--

Issue: Whether Plaintiff acquired a business and its assets by filing a sales tax application with the Comptroller. Whether such acquisition was a fraudulent transfer. Whether Plaintiff owes fuel taxes under successor liability.

Status: Answer filed.

San Vicente ISD v. Strayhorn Cause #GV402240
AG Case #041999194

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal Filed: 07/27/04 Period: 2003 Amount: \$N/A	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson Ray Bonilla Ray, Wood & Bonilla Austin
--	---	---

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and valuing sample properties. Whether the Comptroller's order on the value study is arbitrary and unreasonable.

Status: Case settled.

Stuart, Robert T. Jr., Estate of v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN503318
AG Case #052216702

Inheritance Tax; Protest Filed: 09/14/05	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Christopher Jackson
Period: Amount: \$1,293,469.96	Plaintiff's Counsel:	David Wheat Thompson & Knight LLP Dallas
		Frank Hill Thompson & Knight LLP Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's partnership interest located out of state is intangible personal property taxable in Texas. Plaintiff claims double taxation.

Status: Discovery in progress.

TPI Petroleum, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN502629
AG Case #052186657

Fuels Tax; Refund Filed: 07/28/05	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Jana Kinkade
Period: 12/01/97- 06/30/01 Amount: \$528,639.00	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Doug Sigel Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to a refund of diesel fuel tax paid on diesel fuel lost by drive-offs, a refund of gasoline tax and diesel fuel tax based on bad debt deductions, and a credit for motor fuel tax paid on sales of reefer fuel.

Status: Answer filed.

Texaco Exploration & Production, Inc. Cause #GN400440
AG Case #041925843

Gas Production Tax; Refund	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Natalie McLemore
Filed: 02/13/04	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Mark W. Eidman
Period: 01/01/97- 05/31/02		Ray Langenberg
Amount: \$456,608.80		Matthew J. Meese
		Scott, Douglass & McConnico
		Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's initial refund claim, still pending administrative review at the time of filing a second claim, fell within the statute of limitations deadline.

Status: Plaintiff filed a Motion for Summary Judgment 02/02/05.

Texas RSA 15B2 Limited Partnership v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN403954
AG Case #042073783

Telecommunications Infrastructure Fund (TIF)	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Natalie McLemore
Tax; Protest	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Mark W. Eidman
Filed: 12/03/04		Ray Langenberg
Period: 02/01/99- 10/31/02		Doug Sigel
Amount: \$293,223.67		Scott, Douglass & McConnico
		Austin

Issue: Whether TIF charges which Plaintiff passed on and collected from its customers are allowable reimbursements as TIF assessment. Whether Plaintiff is liable for "interest on the amount collected" or "accrued" interest on the amount collected.

Status: Discovery in progress.

That's Entertainment - San Antonio, LLC dba Park Place v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause #GN400781

AG Case #041937228

Mixed Beverage Gross
Receipts Tax; Protest
Filed: 03/09/04
Period: 05/01/96-
09/30/98
Amount: \$211,145.65

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Paul Masters

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Curtis J. Osterloh
Matthew J. Meese
Scott, Douglass &
McConnico
Austin

Issue: Whether door charges should be taxed by both the mixed beverage gross receipts tax and sales tax. Plaintiff claims that the application of both taxes is in violation of equal and uniform taxation, and equal protection under the law. Plaintiff also claims violation of due process and the commerce clause.

Status: Discovery in progress. Trial set 07/17/06.

Index

- Administrative hearing, 96
 - finality, 64
 - waiver, 96
- Amusement Tax
 - amusement tax v. sales tax, 48
 - real property services, 66
 - sale for resale, 48
- Assessment
 - conspiracy, 67, 94
 - double taxation, 54
 - export items, 54
 - sample audit, 42
 - successor liability for tax, 35
- Audit
 - double taxation, 54
 - procedure, 77
 - Software Services, 54
- Business loss carryforward
 - limitations, 4
 - merger, 11
- Catalogs
 - nexus, 67
 - nexus, taxable use, 43, 68
 - use tax--printed out of state, 45, 56
- Construction contract
 - lump sum or separated contract, 20, 29, 73
- Country Club Fees
 - sales tax, 47
- Credit for Overpaid Tax
 - inventory or bankruptcy, 78
- Data processing, 54
- Debt collection services, 54
 - insurance service, 54
- Depreciation
 - straight line or accelerated, 13
- Domestic Insured
 - constitutional limits on tax, 90, 91
- Double Jeopardy, 100
 - drug tax, 100
- Electricity
 - manufacturing exemption, 45
 - processing, 38, 42, 59, 70, 71, 72, 77
- Estate Values
 - liability for tax, 106
 - partnership interest, 106
 - taxable gifts, 94
- Factored Contracts
 - cash-basis accounting, 77
- Financing Lease
 - liability for tax, 23
- sample audit, 16
- Food Products
 - convenience store/deli, 65
 - mall vendor, 45
- Fuels
 - bad debt credit, 107
 - drive-offs, 107
 - reefer, 107
- Gross Premiums
 - defaulted auto policies, 82
 - paid-up additions, 86
 - premium reduction, 81, 87, 89
 - renewal premiums, 86
 - split premium to agent, 84, 85, 87, 88, 89
- Gross receipts
 - apportionment of accounts receivables
 - receipts, 5
 - apportionment of intangible receipts, 8, 12
 - apportionment of pension reversion gain, 10
 - double taxation, 108
 - interstate telephone charges, 3, 11
 - inventory depletion, 103
 - merger expenses, 6
 - severance pay, 6
 - severance pay and merger expenses, 6
 - trust accounts, 2
- Gross Taxable Sales
 - estimated audit, 55
- Health Care Supplies
 - sales tax, 22
- High Cost Gas
 - limitations, 95
- Inaccurate Certification
 - burden of proof, 100
 - sampling method, 93, 102, 103, 105, 106
 - valuation methods, 93, 103
- Independent contractors
 - maid service, 19
- Installation Labor
 - telecommunications equipment, 70
- Installment Sales
 - bad debt credit, 101
 - vehicle financing, 94
- Insurance services, 54
- Intracorporeal transportation
 - manufacturing exemption, 74
- Joint venture
 - Sales tax credits, 12
- Labor
 - labor, 73

- sales tax, 25, 29
- Leased Property
 - gas generation system, 37
- Lien
 - community liability, 55
 - drug tax, 100
 - homeowners' associations, 95, 100
- Lien Proceeds
 - successor liability for tax, 57
- Limitations
 - administrative proceedings, 107
 - subsequent refund claim, 71
- Lump Sum Motor Vehicle Repairs
 - double taxation, 19
 - estimates separated, 18
- Maid services
 - real property services, 19
- Maintenance
 - sale for resale, 30
 - utility poles, 24
- Manufacturing Exemption
 - alteration property, 30, 31
 - burden of proof, 59
 - candy manufacturing, 53
 - coal mining operations, 58, 66
 - contractor, 79
 - electricity, 26, 38, 45, 71
 - gas, 71
 - industrial solid waste, 69
 - intraplant transportation, 17, 44, 63, 74
 - packaging, 30, 31
 - pipe, 74
 - pollution control, 63
 - post-mix machines, 46
 - rolling stock, 63
 - sale for resale, 28, 31, 44, 70
 - Software Services, 16
 - telecommunications equipment, 16, 26, 39, 40
- Mixed drinks, 108
- Motor Vehicle Property
 - nexus, 64
- Motor Vehicle Seller
 - liability for tax, 104
- New construction
 - drilling rigs, 73
 - labor, 25, 29
 - lump sum or separated contract, 29
 - tax credits, 48
- Nexus
 - catalogs printed out of state, 43, 67
 - delivery and installation of goods, 50
 - liability for tax, 29
 - promotional materials, 18, 27, 34, 36
 - regional salesman, 10
- Officer and director compensation
 - add-back to surplus, 1, 2, 5
 - significant policy-making authority, 1, 2, 3
- Oil well services
 - manufacturing exemption, 17
- Packaging
 - sale for resale, 42
 - shipment out-of-state, 24
- Penalty
 - waiver, 15, 96
- Pipe
 - manufacturing exemption, 74
- Pipeline Services
 - new construction or maintenance, 25
- Post Production Costs
 - natural gas company, 96, 97, 98
 - order 94 payments, 96, 97, 98
- Predominant Use
 - electricity, 43
- Premiums
 - home warranty insurance, 92
- Prizes
 - cost of taxable, 76
- Promotional materials
 - nexus, 18, 26, 27, 34, 36
 - ownership of, 18, 27, 28, 33, 34
- Proof
 - burden in administrative hearing, 43
- Push-down accounting
 - merger, 4, 13
- Real Property Repair and Remodeling, 56
 - new construction, pollution control, 75
 - vs. maintenance, 24
- Real property service
 - maid service, 19
- Remodeling
 - ships, 69
- Rule making
 - authority of Comptroller, 54
- S Corporation
 - exempt shareholder, 9
- Sale for resale
 - blanket resale certificates, 35
 - cable equipment, 74
 - computer software, 15
 - detrimental reliance, 23
 - double taxation, 44
 - federal contractor, 20, 21, 22, 32, 33, 41, 46, 50, 51, 52, 57, 60, 61, 62, 63, 65, 75, 76
 - incidental lease, 32
 - manufacturing exemption, 44
 - telecommunications equipment, 66, 70
- Sample audits

- compliance with procedures, 37, 40
- sample audit, 42
- timely exemption certificates, 53
- Sampling technique
 - bad debt credit, 38
 - sales tax, 40, 58, 59
 - validity, 40, 42, 99
- Service Charges
 - gratuities, 101
- Successor liability, 57
 - business interference, 105
- Surplus Lines Insurer
 - unauthorized insurance tax, 82, 83, 85, 91
- Tax Credits
 - deferred tax liability, 11
- Taxable Surplus
 - contra-asset accounts, 4
 - impairment calculation, 4
 - merger, 13
 - natural gas company, 7
- Telecommunication Services
 - accounts receivable, 13
 - liability for tax, 107
 - networking services, 13
 - public utility, 99
 - satellite broadcasting, 22
 - TIF assessment, 104, 107
- Telecommunications equipment
 - transfer of care, custody, and control of equipment, 57
- Temporary Workers
 - computer services, 49
- Texas investments
 - mortgage pools, 82
- Third Party Administration
 - ERISA, 86
- Throwback Rule
 - P.L. 86-272, 8, 9
- Vending Machine Sales
 - exempt entities, 49
 - money validators, 47
- Waste Removal
 - real property services, 17