



**ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS**

**TAXATION DIVISION**

**COMPTROLLER OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS  
CASE LIST AND SUMMARY OF ISSUES**

**DECEMBER 2006**



# Table of Contents

## Table of Cases xiii

---

### Franchise Tax

7-Eleven, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	1
7-Eleven, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	1
7-Eleven, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	2
Brink's Home Security, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	2
Central Telephone Company of Texas and United Telephone Company of Texas v. Rylander, et al.	3
Chevron Chemical Company, L.L.C., as Successor to Chevron Chemical Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	3
Chevron USA, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	4
Chevron USA, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	4
DaimlerChrysler Services North American, L.L.C.	5
Dillard Department Stores, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	6
El Paso Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al.	6
El Paso Natural Gas Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	7
El Paso Natural Gas Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	7
Fairfield Industries, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	8
Fairfield Industries, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	8
First Company v. Rylander, et al.	9
Galland Henning Nopak, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	9
Gulf Chemical & Metallurgical Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al.	10
Home Interiors & Gifts, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	10
Kellwood Company, The v. Strayhorn, et al.	11
Millennium Inorganic Chemicals, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	12
Owens Corning v. Strayhorn, et al.	12
Reliant Energy Corporation (formerly Houston Industries, Inc.) v. Rylander, et al.	13
Southwestern Bell Telephone Company v. Rylander, et al.	13
TGS-NOPEC Geophysical Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	14
Tyson Foods, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	14
Viacom International, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	15
York International Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al.	15

### Sales Tax

7-Eleven, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	17
-------------------------------------	----

7-Eleven, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	17
AccuTel of Texas, L.P. v. Rylander, et al.	18
Advanta Business Services Corporation v. Rylander, et al.	18
Alcatel Network Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	18
Alcatel Network Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	19
Alcoa, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	20
Allegiance Telecom of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	20
Amerada Hess Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al.	21
Anderson Merchandisers Holding, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	21
Apollo Paint & Body Shop, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	21
Aramis Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	22
Aramis Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	23
AT&T Corporation; Teleport Communications of Houston, Inc.; TCG of Dallas, Inc.; AT&T Network Procurement, L.P.; AT&T Communications of Texas, L.P.; and AT&T Communications of the Southwest, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	23
Awad, Mike v. Strayhorn, et al.	24
Bell Bottom Foundation Company v. Rylander, et al.	24
Bell Helicopter Textron, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	25
Bell Helicopter Textron, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	25
Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	26
Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	26
Boeing North America, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	27
Boeing North America, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	27
Bonart, Richard C., DVM v. Strayhorn, et al.	28
Broadwing Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al.	28
Burns, Kevin D. v. Strayhorn, et al.	29
C & T Stone Company v. Rylander, et al.	29
CEC Entertainment Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	30
Cellular City Ltd. v. Strayhorn, et al.	30
Central Power & Light Company v. Sharp, et al.	31
Chapal Zenray, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	31
Chevron Pipe Line Company and West Texas Gulf Pipe Line Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	32
Chevron USA, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	32
Church & Dwight Company, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	33
Cingular Wireless of Austin, LP, formerly known as GTE Mobilnet of Austin, LP; GTE Mobilnet of South Texas, LP; GTE Mobilnet of Texas RSA #17, LP; et al. v. Strayhorn, et al.	34

City of Webster and the Webster Economic Development Corporation v. Strayhorn	34
Clear Lake City Community Association, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	35
Clinique Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	35
Clinique Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	36
Clinique Services, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	36
Coca-Cola Company, The v. Strayhorn, et al.	37
Cosmair, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	37
Creative Closets, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	38
Crown Central Petroleum Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al.	39
Day Cruises Maritime, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.	39
Delta Air Lines, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	39
Design Masterpiece Landscaping, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	40
Dillard's Inc., aka Dillard Department Stores, Inc., and Dillard Texas Operating Limited Partnership v. Strayhorn, et al.	40
Dillard's, Inc., aka Dillard Department Stores, Inc., and Dillard Texas Operating Limited Partnership v. Rylander, et al.	41
Dupont Photomasks, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	42
Ebrahim, Suleiman S. v. Strayhorn, et al.	42
EFW, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	43
EFW, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	43
El Paso Merchant Energy-Petroleum Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	44
ELC Beauty L.L.C., as Successor-in-Interest to Aramis Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	45
ELC Beauty, L.L.C., as a Successor-in-Interest to Estee Lauder Services Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	45
ELC Beauty, L.L.C., as Successor-in-Interest to Origins Services Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	46
Embassy Equity Development Corporation, et al. v. Strayhorn, et al.	46
Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	47
Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	48
Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	48
Ethicon, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	49
F M Express Food Mart, Inc., and Fouad Hanna Mekdessi v. Rylander, et al.	49
Garza, Lawrence v. Sharp, et al.	50
General Dynamics Corporation v. Rylander, et al.	50
General Dynamics Corporation v. Rylander, et al.	50
Gift Box Corporation of America, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	51
Graybar Electric Company, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	51
Grocers Supply-Institutional-Convenience, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	52

GSC Enterprises, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	52
GTE Mobilnet of the Southwest, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.	53
GTE Mobilnet of the Southwest, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.	53
GTE Southwest, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	54
GTE Southwest, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	54
GTE Southwest, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	55
GTE Southwest, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	55
GTE Southwest, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	56
GTE Southwest, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	56
Herndon Marine Products, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	57
Home & Garden Party, Ltd. v. Strayhorn, et al.	57
Home Depot, USA, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	58
Houston Wire & Cable Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	58
ITS Engineered Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	59
J.C. Penney Company, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	60
J.C. Penney Company, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	60
JBI, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	61
Jerman Cookie Company v. Rylander, et al.	61
Kroger Company, The v. Strayhorn, et al.	62
La Frontera Lodging Partners, L.P., Tex-Air Investment Company, John Q. Hammons Hotels Two, L.P. and John Q. Hammons Hotels, L.P. v. Strayhorn, et al.	62
Laredo Coca-Cola Bottling Company, and Coca-Cola Enterprises, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	63
Laredo Coca-Cola Bottling Company, and Coca-Cola Enterprises, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	63
Laredo Pizza, Inc., and Samuel L. Alford, and L & H Pacific, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.	64
Lee Construction and Maintenance Company v. Rylander, et al.	65
Levy, Tara, et al. v. OfficeMax, Inc. and Best Buy Stores, L.P.	65
Liberty Vending Services, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	66
Local Neon Company, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	66
Lockheed Corporation v. Rylander, et al.	67
Lockheed Martin Corporation v. Rylander, et al.	67
Lockheed Martin Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al.	68
Lockheed Martin Corporation, as Successor to Lockheed Martin Vought Systems Corporation and Loral Vought Systems Corporation v. Rylander, et al.	68
Lockheed Martin Corporation, Successor to Lockheed Martin Vought Systems Corporation v. Rylander, et al.	69
Lockheed Martin Kelly Aviation Center, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	69
Lone Star Steel Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	70

Macy's TX I, LP, Successor in Interest to the May Department Stores Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	70
Mars, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	71
Maxus Energy Corporation as Successor in Interest to Maxus Corporate Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	71
Mitchell, Christia Parr v. Rylander, et al.	72
North American Intelecom, Inc., et al. v. Sharp, et al.	73
Northrop Grumman Systems Corporation (Successor to Northrop Grumman Corporation and Vought Aircraft Company) v. Rylander, et al.	73
Northwestern Resources Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	73
Office Depot, Inc., Successor to Office Depot Business Services Division (aka Office Depot Business Services, Inc.) and Office Depot of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	74
Office Depot, Inc., Successor to Office Depot Business Services Division (aka Office Depot Business Services, Inc.) and Office Depot of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	75
Reynolds Metals Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	75
Roadway Express, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	76
Robbins & Myers, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	76
Rockwell Collins, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	77
Rollins & Rollins Enterprises, Inc. , dba Country Kwik Stop v. Rylander, et al.	77
Sabine Mining Company, The v. Strayhorn, et al.	78
San Antonio Spurs, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.	78
Sanford, Gerald L. and Clara Krueger Sanford dba Gerald's Manufacturing, a Sole Proprietorship v. Strayhorn	79
SC Kiosks, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	79
Sharper Image Corporation v. Rylander, et al.	80
Sharper Image Corporation v. Rylander, et al.	81
Southern Plastics, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	81
Southern Union Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	81
Southwest Food Processing & Refrigerated Services, aka Southwest Refrigerated Warehousing Services v. Rylander, et al.	82
Southwestern Bell Telephone, LP v. Strayhorn, et al.	82
Southwestern Bell Yellow Pages, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	83
Spacenet Services, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	84
Stantrans Partners, L.P. v. Strayhorn, et al.	84
Stantrans Partners, LP v. Strayhorn, et al.	85
Steamatic of Austin, Inc., et al. v. Rylander, et al.	85
Sysco Food Services of Austin, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	86

Sysco Food Services of Houston, L.P. (fka Sysco Food Service of Houston, Inc.) v. Rylander, et al.	86
Sysco Food Services of Houston, L.P. (fka Sysco Food Services of Houston, Inc.) v. Strayhorn, et al.	87
Target Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al.	87
TDI-Halter, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	88
Texaco Grand Prix of Houston, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.	88
Texas Gulf, Inc. v. Bullock, et al.	89
Texas Westmoreland Coal Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	89
Tree of Life, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	90
Tyler Holding Company, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	90
United Scaffolding, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	91
United Space Alliance, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.	91
United Space Alliance, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.	92
United Space Alliance, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.	92
Uretek U.S.A., Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	93
USCOC of Texahoma, Inc., Successor to USCOC of Corpus Christi, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	93
V.H. Salas & Associates, Inc. v. Comptroller	94
Verizon North, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	95
Watson Sysco Food Services, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	95
West Texas Pizza, Limited Partnership v. Sharp, et al.	95
White Swan, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	96
White Swan, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	96
Williams, Duane Everett v. Comptroller	97
World Fitness Centers, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	97
Wyndham International Operating Partnership, LP v. Strayhorn, et al.	98
Zale Delaware, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	98
Zale Delaware, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	99
Zimmerman Sign Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	99

## **Insurance Tax**

Allstate County Mutual Insurance Company; Allstate Insurance Company; Allstate Indemnity Company; Allstate Texas Lloyds; and Allstate Property and Casualty Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	101
American Fidelity Assurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	101
American International Specialty Lines Insurance Company v. Rylander, et al.	102
AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	102

Fireman’s Fund Insurance Company of Ohio v. Rylander, et al.	103
First American Title Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	104
First American Title Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	104
First American Title Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	105
First American Title Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	105
Lexington Insurance Company, Landmark Insurance Company v. Rylander, et al.	106
Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, et al. v. A.W. Pogue, et al.	107
Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, et al. v. A.W. Pogue, et al.	107
New York Life Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	108
Old Republic National Title Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	109
Old Republic National Title Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	109
Old Republic National Title Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	109
Old Republic National Title Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	110
Old Republic Title Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	110
Prudential Insurance Company, The v. Strayhorn, et al.	111
St. Paul Surplus Lines Company v. Rylander, et al.	112
STP Nuclear Operating Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	112
STP Nuclear Operating Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	113
STP Nuclear Operating Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	113
STP Nuclear Operating Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	114
Warranty Underwriters Insurance Company v. Rylander, et al.	114

## **Other Taxes**

Arnold, Jessamine J., Estate of, Deceased, and Jim Arnold, Jr., Independent Executor v. Rylander, et al.	117
Beadles, Joe Haven v. Strayhorn	117
Bryan ISD v. Strayhorn	118
CarMax Auto Superstores, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	118
ConocoPhillips Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	119
Culberson County-Allamoore ISD v. Strayhorn	119
Cypress-Fairbanks ISD, et al. v. Troy G. Rountree, et al.	119
Daingerfield-Lone Star ISD v. Strayhorn	120
El Paso Natural Gas Company v. Sharp	120
El Paso Natural Gas Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	121
El Paso Natural Gas Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	122
El Paso Natural Gas Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	122
El Paso Natural Gas Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	123

Evercom Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	124
Fort Worth's PR's, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	124
Lake Austin Spa Investors, Ltd. v. Rylander, et al.	125
Mabank ISD v. Comptroller	125
Malakoff ISD v. Comptroller	126
MFC Finance Company of Texas v. Rylander, et al.	126
Mineral Wells ISD v. Strayhorn	127
Mirage Real Estate, Inc., et al. v. Richard Durbin, et al.	128
Nextel of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	128
Nix Family Limited Partnership, a Texas Limited Partnership v. TWC and Texas CPA	129
Phenomenom v. Strayhorn, et al.	129
Point Isabel ISD v. Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts	130
Preston Motors by George L. Preston, Owner v. Sharp, et al.	130
Ranger Fuels & Maintenance, L.L.C. v. Rylander, et al.	130
Ranger Fuels & Maintenance, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.	131
San Felipe-Del Rio CISD v. Strayhorn	132
Stuart, Robert T. Jr., Estate of v. Strayhorn, et al.	132
Texaco Exploration & Production, Inc.	132
Texas RSA 15B2 Limited Partnership v. Strayhorn, et al.	133
That's Entertainment - San Antonio, L.L.C. dba Park Place v. Strayhorn, et al.	133
TPI Petroleum, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	134
Vinson Oil Distribution v. Strayhorn, et al.	134

## **Closed Cases**

Alleghany Pharmacal Corporation v. JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A., dba Bank One/Chase Manhattan and the Comptroller of Public Accounts, State of Texas	137
Alpine ISD v. Strayhorn	137
Alpine ISD v. Strayhorn	138
Anderson-Clayton Bros. Funeral Home, Inc.; Restland of Dallas, Inc.; Restland Funeral Home; Singing Hills Funeral Homes, Inc.; Laurel Land Funeral Home of Fort Worth, Inc.; Blue Bonnet Hills Funeral Home, Inc.; et al. v. Rylander, et al.	138
Baldry, Ann dba Annie's Housekeeping Services v. Sharp, et al.	139
Beadles, Joe Haven v. Comptroller	139
BGK Operating Company, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	140
Broadcast Satellite International, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	141
Centex Materials, L.P., As Successor in Interest to Centex Materials, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	141
E. de la Garza, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	142

Gallegos, Gerardo v. Strayhorn, et al.	142
Greenville ISD v. Comptroller	143
H.J. Wilson Company, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	143
Inland Truck Parts Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	144
INOVA Diagnostics, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	144
JBS Packing Company, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	145
Laredo Country Club, Inc., A Texas Corporation v. Sharp, et al.	145
Liaison Resources, L.P., and David S. Claunch v. Rylander, et al.	146
LTV Aerospace & Defense Company v. Rylander, et al.	146
Marathon ISD v. Strayhorn	147
Marathon ISD v. Strayhorn	147
Medaphis Physicians Services Corporation v. Sharp, et al.	148
MedSynergies, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	148
MG Building Materials, Ltd. v. Strayhorn, et al.	149
Nachhattar Tejpal Legha Enterprises, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	149
Neiman Marcus Group, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	150
Neiman Marcus Group, Inc., The v. Rylander, et al.	150
North Texas Asset Management, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	151
Quinlan ISD v. Strayhorn	151
Raytheon Company and Daimlerchrysler Corporation as Successors to Central Texas Airborne Systems, Inc., fka Chrysler Technologies Airborne Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	152
Raytheon Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	152
Raytheon Company, as Successor in Interest to Raytheon Training, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	153
Raytheon Company, as Successor to Raytheon E-Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	153
Raytheon Company, as Successor to Raytheon E-Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	154
Raytheon Company, as Successor to Raytheon TI Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	154
Raytheon E-Systems, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	155
San Vicente ISD v. Strayhorn	156
Service Merchandise Company, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	156
Southern Sandblasting and Coatings, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	157
Telecable Associates, Inc.; Teleservice Corporation of America; Texas Telecable, Inc.; TCA Cable of Amarillo, Inc.; and Texas Community Antennas, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	157
Texaco Refining & Marketing (East), Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	158
Union Carbide Corporation v. Rylander, et al.	158



## Table of Cases

7-Eleven, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	1
7-Eleven, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	1
7-Eleven, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	2
7-Eleven, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	17
7-Eleven, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	17
AccuTel of Texas, L.P. v. Rylander, et al.	18
Advanta Business Services Corporation v. Rylander, et al.	18
Alcatel Network Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	18
Alcatel Network Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	19
Alcoa, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	20
Alleghany Pharmacal Corporation v. JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A., dba Bank One/Chase Manhattan and the Comptroller of Public Accounts, State of Texas	137
Allegiance Telecom of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	20
Allstate County Mutual Insurance Company; Allstate Insurance Company; Allstate Indemnity Company; Allstate Texas Lloyds; and Allstate Property and Casualty Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	101
Alpine ISD v. Strayhorn	137
Alpine ISD v. Strayhorn	138
Amerada Hess Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al.	21
American Fidelity Assurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	101
American International Specialty Lines Insurance Company v. Rylander, et al.	102
Anderson Merchandisers Holding, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	21
Anderson-Clayton Bros. Funeral Home, Inc.; Restland of Dallas, Inc.; Restland Funeral Home; Singing Hills Funeral Homes, Inc.; Laurel Land Funeral Home of Fort Worth, Inc.; Blue Bonnet Hills Funeral Home, Inc.; et al. v. Rylander, et al.	138
Apollo Paint & Body Shop, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	21
Aramis Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	22
Aramis Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	23
Arnold, Jessamine J., Estate of, Deceased, and Jim Arnold, Jr., Independent Executor v. Rylander, et al.	117
AT&T Corporation; Teleport Communications of Houston, Inc.; TCG of Dallas, Inc.; AT&T Network Procurement, L.P.; AT&T Communications of Texas, L.P.; and AT&T Communications of the Southwest, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	23
Awad, Mike v. Strayhorn, et al.	24
AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	102
Baldry, Ann dba Annie's Housekeeping Services v. Sharp, et al.	139
Beadles, Joe Haven v. Comptroller	139
Beadles, Joe Haven v. Strayhorn	117
Bell Bottom Foundation Company v. Rylander, et al.	24
Bell Helicopter Textron, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	25

Bell Helicopter Textron, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	25
BGK Operating Company, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	140
Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	26
Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	26
Boeing North America, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	27
Boeing North America, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	27
Bonart, Richard C., DVM v. Strayhorn, et al.	28
Brink's Home Security, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	2
Broadcast Satellite International, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	141
Broadwing Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al.	28
Bryan ISD v. Strayhorn	118
Burns, Kevin D. v. Strayhorn, et al.	29
C & T Stone Company v. Rylander, et al.	29
CarMax Auto Superstores, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	118
CEC Entertainment Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	30
Cellular City Ltd. v. Strayhorn, et al.	30
Centex Materials, L.P., As Successor in Interest to Centex Materials, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	141
Central Power & Light Company v. Sharp, et al.	31
Central Telephone Company of Texas and United Telephone Company of Texas v. Rylander, et al.	3
Chapal Zenray, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	31
Chevron Chemical Company, L.L.C., as Successor to Chevron Chemical Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	3
Chevron Pipe Line Company and West Texas Gulf Pipe Line Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	32
Chevron USA, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	4
Chevron USA, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	4
Chevron USA, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	32
Church & Dwight Company, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	33
Cingular Wireless of Austin, LP, formerly known as GTE Mobilnet of Austin, LP; GTE Mobilnet of South Texas, LP; GTE Mobilnet of Texas RSA #17, LP; et al. v. Strayhorn, et al.	34
City of Webster and the Webster Economic Development Corporation v. Strayhorn	34
Clear Lake City Community Association, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	35
Clinique Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	35
Clinique Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	36
Clinique Services, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	36
Coca-Cola Company, The v. Strayhorn, et al.	37
ConocoPhillips Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	119
Cosmair, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	37
Creative Closets, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	38
Crown Central Petroleum Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al.	39
Culberson County-Allamoore ISD v. Strayhorn	119
Cypress-Fairbanks ISD, et al. v. Troy G. Rountree, et al.	119
DaimlerChrysler Services North American, L.L.C.	5

Daingerfield-Lone Star ISD v. Strayhorn	120
Day Cruises Maritime, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.	39
Delta Air Lines, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	39
Design Masterpiece Landscaping, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	40
Dillard Department Stores, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	6
Dillard’s Inc., aka Dillard Department Stores, Inc., and Dillard Texas Operating Limited Partnership v. Strayhorn, et al.	40
Dillard’s, Inc., aka Dillard Department Stores, Inc., and Dillard Texas Operating Limited Partnership v. Rylander, et al.	41
Dupont Photomasks, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	42
E. de la Garza, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	142
Ebrahim, Suleiman S. v. Strayhorn, et al.	42
EFW, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	43
EFW, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	43
El Paso Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al.	6
El Paso Merchant Energy-Petroleum Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	44
El Paso Natural Gas Company v. Sharp	120
El Paso Natural Gas Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	7
El Paso Natural Gas Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	7
El Paso Natural Gas Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	121
El Paso Natural Gas Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	122
El Paso Natural Gas Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	122
El Paso Natural Gas Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	123
ELC Beauty L.L.C., as Successor-in-Interest to Aramis Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	45
ELC Beauty, L.L.C., as a Successor-in-Interest to Estee Lauder Services Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	45
ELC Beauty, L.L.C., as Successor-in-Interest to Origins Services Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	46
Embassy Equity Development Corporation, et al. v. Strayhorn, et al.	46
Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	47
Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	48
Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	48
Ethicon, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	49
Evercom Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	124
F M Express Food Mart, Inc., and Fouad Hanna Mekdessi v. Rylander, et al.	49
Fairfield Industries, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	8
Fairfield Industries, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	8
Fireman’s Fund Insurance Company of Ohio v. Rylander, et al.	103
First American Title Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	104
First American Title Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	104
First American Title Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	105
First American Title Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	105
First Company v. Rylander, et al.	9
Fort Worth’s PR’s, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	124
Galland Henning Nopak, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	9

Gallegos, Gerardo v. Strayhorn, et al.	142
Garza, Lawrence v. Sharp, et al.	50
General Dynamics Corporation v. Rylander, et al.	50
General Dynamics Corporation v. Rylander, et al.	50
Gift Box Corporation of America, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	51
Graybar Electric Company, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	51
Greenville ISD v. Comptroller	143
Grocers Supply-Institutional-Convenience, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	52
GSC Enterprises, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	52
GTE Mobilnet of the Southwest, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.	53
GTE Mobilnet of the Southwest, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.	53
GTE Southwest, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	54
GTE Southwest, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	54
GTE Southwest, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	55
GTE Southwest, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	55
GTE Southwest, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	56
GTE Southwest, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	56
Gulf Chemical & Metallurgical Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al.	10
H.J. Wilson Company, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	143
Herndon Marine Products, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	57
Home & Garden Party, Ltd. v. Strayhorn, et al.	57
Home Depot, USA, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	58
Home Interiors & Gifts, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	10
Houston Wire & Cable Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	58
Inland Truck Parts Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	144
INOVA Diagnostics, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	144
ITS Engineered Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	59
J.C. Penney Company, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	60
J.C. Penney Company, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	60
JBI, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	61
JBS Packing Company, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	145
Jerman Cookie Company v. Rylander, et al.	61
Kellwood Company, The v. Strayhorn, et al.	11
Kroger Company, The v. Strayhorn, et al.	62
La Frontera Lodging Partners, L.P., Tex-Air Investment Company, John Q. Hammons Hotels Two, L.P. and John Q. Hammons Hotels, L.P. v. Strayhorn, et al.	62
Lake Austin Spa Investors, Ltd. v. Rylander, et al.	125
Laredo Coca-Cola Bottling Company, and Coca-Cola Enterprises, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	63
Laredo Coca-Cola Bottling Company, and Coca-Cola Enterprises, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	63
Laredo Country Club, Inc., A Texas Corporation v. Sharp, et al.	145
Laredo Pizza, Inc., and Samuel L. Alford, and L & H Pacific, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.	64
Lee Construction and Maintenance Company v. Rylander, et al.	65
Levy, Tara, et al. v. OfficeMax, Inc. and Best Buy Stores, L.P.	65

Lexington Insurance Company, Landmark Insurance Company v. Rylander, et al.	106
Liaison Resources, L.P., and David S. Claunch v. Rylander, et al.	146
Liberty Vending Services, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	66
Local Neon Company, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	66
Lockheed Corporation v. Rylander, et al.	67
Lockheed Martin Corporation v. Rylander, et al.	67
Lockheed Martin Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al.	68
Lockheed Martin Corporation, as Successor to Lockheed Martin Vought Systems Corporation and Loral Vought Systems Corporation v. Rylander, et al.	68
Lockheed Martin Corporation, Successor to Lockheed Martin Vought Systems Corporation v. Rylander, et al.	69
Lockheed Martin Kelly Aviation Center, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	69
Lone Star Steel Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	70
LTV Aerospace & Defense Company v. Rylander, et al.	146
Mabank ISD v. Comptroller	125
Macy's TX I, LP, Successor in Interest to the May Department Stores Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	70
Malakoff ISD v. Comptroller	126
Marathon ISD v. Strayhorn	147
Marathon ISD v. Strayhorn	147
Mars, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	71
Maxus Energy Corporation as Successor in Interest to Maxus Corporate Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	71
Medaphis Physicians Services Corporation v. Sharp, et al.	148
MedSynergies, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	148
Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, et al. v. A.W. Pogue, et al.	107
Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, et al. v. A.W. Pogue, et al.	107
MFC Finance Company of Texas v. Rylander, et al.	126
MG Building Materials, Ltd. v. Strayhorn, et al.	149
Millennium Inorganic Chemicals, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	12
Mineral Wells ISD v. Strayhorn	127
Mirage Real Estate, Inc., et al. v. Richard Durbin, et al.	128
Mitchell, Christia Parr v. Rylander, et al.	72
Nachhattar Tejpal Legha Enterprises, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	149
Neiman Marcus Group, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	150
Neiman Marcus Group, Inc., The v. Rylander, et al.	150
New York Life Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	108
Nextel of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	128
Nix Family Limited Partnership, a Texas Limited Partnership v. TWC and Texas CPA	129
North American Intelcom, Inc., et al. v. Sharp, et al.	73
North Texas Asset Management, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	151
Northrop Grumman Systems Corporation (Successor to Northrop Grumman Corporation and Vought Aircraft Company) v. Rylander, et al.	73

Northwestern Resources Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	73
Office Depot, Inc., Successor to Office Depot Business Services Division (aka Office Depot Business Services, Inc.) and Office Depot of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	74
Office Depot, Inc., Successor to Office Depot Business Services Division (aka Office Depot Business Services, Inc.) and Office Depot of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	75
Old Republic National Title Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	109
Old Republic National Title Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	109
Old Republic National Title Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	109
Old Republic National Title Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	110
Old Republic Title Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	110
Owens Corning v. Strayhorn, et al.	12
Phenomenom v. Strayhorn, et al.	129
Point Isabel ISD v. Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts	130
Preston Motors by George L. Preston, Owner v. Sharp, et al.	130
Prudential Insurance Company, The v. Strayhorn, et al.	111
Quinlan ISD v. Strayhorn	151
Ranger Fuels & Maintenance, L.L.C. v. Rylander, et al.	130
Ranger Fuels & Maintenance, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.	131
Raytheon Company and Daimlerchrysler Corporation as Successors to Central Texas Airborne Systems, Inc., fka Chrysler Technologies Airborne Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	152
Raytheon Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	152
Raytheon Company, as Successor in Interest to Raytheon Training, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	153
Raytheon Company, as Successor to Raytheon E-Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	153
Raytheon Company, as Successor to Raytheon E-Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	154
Raytheon Company, as Successor to Raytheon TI Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	154
Raytheon E-Systems, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	155
Reliant Energy Corporation (formerly Houston Industries, Inc.) v. Rylander, et al.	13
Reynolds Metals Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	75
Roadway Express, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	76
Robbins & Myers, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	76
Rockwell Collins, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	77
Rollins & Rollins Enterprises, Inc. , dba Country Kwik Stop v. Rylander, et al.	77
Sabine Mining Company, The v. Strayhorn, et al.	78
San Antonio Spurs, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.	78
San Felipe-Del Rio CISD v. Strayhorn	132
San Vicente ISD v. Strayhorn	156
Sanford, Gerald L. and Clara Krueger Sanford dba Gerald's Manufacturing, a Sole Proprietorship v. Strayhorn	79
SC Kiosks, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	79
Service Merchandise Company, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	156
Sharper Image Corporation v. Rylander, et al.	80
Sharper Image Corporation v. Rylander, et al.	81
Southern Plastics, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	81

Southern Sandblasting and Coatings, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	157
Southern Union Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	81
Southwest Food Processing & Refrigerated Services, aka Southwest Refrigerated Warehousing Services v. Rylander, et al.	82
Southwestern Bell Telephone Company v. Rylander, et al.	13
Southwestern Bell Telephone, LP v. Strayhorn, et al.	82
Southwestern Bell Yellow Pages, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	83
Spacenet Services, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	84
St. Paul Surplus Lines Company v. Rylander, et al.	112
Stantrans Partners, L.P. v. Strayhorn, et al.	84
Stantrans Partners, LP v. Strayhorn, et al.	85
Steamatic of Austin, Inc., et al. v. Rylander, et al.	85
STP Nuclear Operating Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	112
STP Nuclear Operating Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	113
STP Nuclear Operating Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	113
STP Nuclear Operating Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	114
Stuart, Robert T. Jr., Estate of v. Strayhorn, et al.	132
Sysco Food Services of Austin, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	86
Sysco Food Services of Houston, L.P. (fka Sysco Food Service of Houston, Inc.) v. Rylander, et al.	86
Sysco Food Services of Houston, L.P. (fka Sysco Food Services of Houston, Inc.) v. Strayhorn, et al.	87
Target Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al.	87
TDI-Halter, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	88
Telecable Associates, Inc.; Teleservice Corporation of America; Texas Telecable, Inc.; TCA Cable of Amarillo, Inc.; and Texas Community Antennas, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	157
Texaco Exploration & Production, Inc.	132
Texaco Grand Prix of Houston, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.	88
Texaco Refining & Marketing (East), Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	158
Texas Gulf, Inc. v. Bullock, et al.	89
Texas RSA 15B2 Limited Partnership v. Strayhorn, et al.	133
Texas Westmoreland Coal Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	89
TGS-NOPEC Geophysical Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	14
That's Entertainment - San Antonio, L.L.C. dba Park Place v. Strayhorn, et al.	133
TPI Petroleum, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	134
Tree of Life, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	90
Tyler Holding Company, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	90
Tyson Foods, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	14
Union Carbide Corporation v. Rylander, et al.	158
United Scaffolding, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	91
United Space Alliance, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.	91
United Space Alliance, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.	92
United Space Alliance, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.	92

Uretek U.S.A., Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	93
USCOC of Texahoma, Inc., Successor to USCOC of Corpus Christi, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	93
V.H. Salas & Associates, Inc. v. Comptroller	94
Verizon North, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	95
Viacom International, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	15
Vinson Oil Distribution v. Strayhorn, et al.	134
Warranty Underwriters Insurance Company v. Rylander, et al.	114
Watson Sysco Food Services, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	95
West Texas Pizza, Limited Partnership v. Sharp, et al.	95
White Swan, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	96
White Swan, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	96
Williams, Duane Everett v. Comptroller	97
World Fitness Centers, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	97
Wyndham International Operating Partnership, LP v. Strayhorn, et al.	98
York International Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al.	15
Zale Delaware, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	98
Zale Delaware, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	99
Zimmerman Sign Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	99

## Franchise Tax

### ***7-Eleven, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN501845

AG Case #: 052154382

Filed: 5/23/2005

Franchise Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
--------------	------------------

\$203,117.59	1994 - 1996
--------------	-------------

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Monzingo, Christine J.      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Langenberg, Ray

Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether the franchise tax requirement under Tax Code §171.110 to add back officer and director compensation to the tax base without voter approval is unconstitutional. Plaintiff claims disparate tax treatment based on the number of shareholders within a corporation, and violation of equal and uniform taxation and the Equal Protection Clause. Whether the provision also discriminates unconstitutionally between banks and other corporations and should be limited to officers with significant authority.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

### ***7-Eleven, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN501854

AG Case #: 052154390

Filed: 5/23/2005

Franchise Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
--------------	------------------

\$169,857.71	1997 - 1999
--------------	-------------

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Monzingo, Christine J.      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Langenberg, Ray  
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether the franchise tax requirement under Tax Code §171.110 to add back officer and director compensation to the tax base without voter approval is unconstitutional. Plaintiff claims disparate tax treatment based on the number of shareholders within a corporation, and violation of equal and uniform taxation and the Equal Protection Clause. Whether the provision also discriminates unconstitutionally between banks and other corporations and should be limited to officers with significant authority.

Status: Motion to consolidate into case styled 7-Eleven, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al., Cause #GN501845, granted 11/07/06.

---

***7-Eleven, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-002389 AG Case #: 062380316

Filed: 6/29/2006

Franchise Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$169,847.71	1997 - 1999

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Monzingo, Christine J. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether add-back of officer compensation is a personal income tax requiring voter approval. Whether Section §171.110 and Rule 3.558 violate equal protection. Alternatively, whether the amount of add-back is overstated.

Status: Motion to consolidate into case styled 7-Eleven, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al., Cause #GN501845, granted 11/07/06.

---

***Brink's Home Security, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-004615 AG Case #: 062430392

Filed: 12/14/2006

Franchise Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$91,372.00	2000

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Bernal, Jr., Gilbert J. Stahl, Bernal & Davies / Austin  
Sewell, David J.

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's gross receipts should include those receipts for services apportioned outside of the State. Plaintiff claims the Comptroller has misapplied the statutes and rules at issue and imposition of tax against Plaintiff is unconstitutional. Plaintiff claims violation of the Commerce Clause.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Central Telephone Company of Texas and United Telephone Company of Texas v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN100332

AG Case #: 011409646

Filed: 2/1/2001

Franchise Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$300,772.95	1988 - 1994
\$204,616.25	1988 - 1994

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray

Issue: Whether inclusion of access charges in Texas' gross receipts violates Comptroller rules on franchise tax treatment of interstate telephone receipts. Whether inclusion of the charges violates equal protection.

Status: Discovery stayed pending outcome of similar case.

---

***Chevron Chemical Company, L.L.C., as Successor to Chevron Chemical Company v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-000789 AG Case #: 062297486

Filed: 3/6/2006

Franchise Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$559,579.09	1994 - 1995

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Unassigned

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.	Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray	
Sigel, Doug	

Issue: Whether the Comptroller correctly applied Plaintiff's business loss carry-forward on earned surplus during years when the earned surplus surtax was computed at zero.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Chevron USA, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN401579                      AG Case #: 041972456                      Filed: 5/17/2004

Franchise Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$44,063,913.00	1987 - 1999

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Monzingo, Christine J.	OAG Taxation / Austin
------------------------	-----------------------

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.	Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray	
Hagenswold, R. Eric	

Issue: Whether Plaintiff may compute surplus using an alternative GAAP method of calculating impairment. Whether Plaintiff may use business loss carry-forward as a deduction to taxable earned surplus. Whether the Comptroller incorrectly calculated Plaintiff's pushdown adjustments. Whether environmental reserves should be calculated as taxable capital surplus. Whether Plaintiff is entitled to the manufacturing credit.

Status: Plaintiff accepted defendants' settlement offer. Compromise and settlement agreement awaiting Comptroller's review.

---

***Chevron USA, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN500170

AG Case #: 052091378

Filed: 1/18/2005

Franchise Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$5,000,000.00	1988 - 1991, 1995, 1996, 1999

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Monzingo, Christine J.      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray

Issue: Whether abandonment costs of oil and gas properties can be excluded from surplus as contra-asset accounts for depreciation, depletion and amortization under GAAP guidelines. Whether Plaintiff may change its accounting methods used to calculate surplus within a four year period. Plaintiff also claims violation of equal and uniform taxation and equal protection.

Status: Settlement negotiations in progress.

---

***DaimlerChrysler Services North American, L.L.C.***

Cause Number: GN401380

AG Case #: 041965591

Filed: 4/30/2004

Franchise Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$2,123,382.74	1988 - 1991

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Monzingo, Christine J.      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Meese, Matthew J.

Issue: How should proceeds from the sale of accounts receivables, including retail and wholesale, be calculated for franchise tax apportionment purposes. Whether Plaintiff's accounts receivables are capital assets or investments. Plaintiff claims that the Comptroller's use of the net gain method instead of the gross receipts method in calculating Plaintiff's total gross receipts for franchise tax apportionment purposes violates the Texas Tax Code, the Comptroller's rules, Comptroller policy, and the constitutional requirements of equal

protection and equal and uniform taxation.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Dillard Department Stores, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN300878

AG Case #: 031770621

Filed: 3/19/2003

Franchise Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount      Reporting Period

\$1,646,637.00      1992 - 1995

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Monzingo, Christine J.      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Ohlenforst, Cynthia M.      Hughes & Luce / Dallas  
Eaton, Tracy D.

Issue: Whether the franchise tax requirement to add back officer and director compensation to the tax base is an unconstitutional tax on the income of natural persons. Whether the shareholder limit for the add-back is arbitrary, unreasonable and discriminatory. Whether the provision also discriminates unconstitutionally between banks and other corporations and should be limited to officers with significant authority.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***El Paso Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN304213

AG Case #: 031879356

Filed: 10/28/2003

Franchise Tax; Protest

Claim Amount      Reporting Period

\$2,278,308.75      1999 - 2001

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Monzingo, Christine J.      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray

Issue: Whether severance pay and merger expenses were improperly included in Plaintiff's



Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$446,836.60	1988

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether unamortized loss on reacquired debt may be expensed. Whether Plaintiff's apportionment factor should be reduced for receipts from gas not picked up or delivered in Texas.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

***Fairfield Industries, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN503289                      AG Case #: 052214558                      Filed: 9/13/2005

Franchise Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,107,256.04	2002 - 2004

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Monzingo, Christine J.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

White, John D.                      Jones, Walker, Waechter, Poitevent, Carrère &  
Denégre, L.L.P. / The Woodlands

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's gross receipts should be treated as receipts from intangibles apportioned based on the location of the payor or the location of the alleged use of data. Whether the transfer of seismic data is a "license" or the transfer of an intangible for franchise tax apportionment purposes. Plaintiff also requests that penalties be waived and recovery of attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

***Fairfield Industries, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-000797                      AG Case #: 062296884                      Filed: 3/7/2006

Franchise Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$769,839.19	1999 - 2001

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Monzingo, Christine J.      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

White, John D.      Jones, Walker, Waechter, Poitevent, Carrère &  
Denégre, L.L.P. / The Woodlands

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's gross receipts should be treated as receipts from intangibles apportioned based on the location of the payor or the location of the alleged use of data. Whether the transfer of seismic data is a "license" or the transfer of an intangible for franchise tax apportionment purposes. Plaintiff also requests that penalties be waived and recovery of attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

***First Company v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN200229      AG Case #: 021556980      Filed: 1/24/2002

Franchise Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,919,109.00	1996 - 1999

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Monzingo, Christine J.      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Martens, James F.      Martens & Associates / Austin  
Mondrik, Christina A.

Issue: Whether the throwback rule is unconstitutional and violates P.L. 86-272. Whether apportionment under the throwback rule, when compared to a separate accounting method, creates such a gross disparity in taxable income as to be unconstitutional. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory judgment and attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery suspended.

---

***Galland Henning Nopak, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-001409 AG Case #: 062312129

Filed: 4/21/2006

Franchise Tax; Protest

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$16,751.35 1995 - 2004

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Kinkade, Jana K. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Davidson, William C. Law Offices of Minter Joseph & Thornhill, P.C. /  
Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff had sufficient nexus in Texas to be assessed taxes under both the taxable capital component and the earned surplus component of the Texas Franchise Tax.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Gulf Chemical & Metallurgical Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-004636 AG Case #: 062430582

Filed: 12/15/2006

Franchise Tax; Refund

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$245,571.02 1997 - 2000

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Monzingo, Christine J. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray

Issue: How should processing fees and metals credit be calculated for franchise tax apportionment purposes. Whether Plaintiff is entitled to a refund resulting from the elimination of the addback for officer and director compensation.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Home Interiors & Gifts, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN303185

AG Case #: 031842420

Filed: 8/25/2003

#03-04-00660-CV

#05-0939

Franchise Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$16,085,391.00	1992 - 1999

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Monzingo, Christine J.      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Butcher, Daniel L.      Strasburger & Price / Dallas

Katz, Farley P.      Strasburger & Price / San Antonio

Issue: Whether the Texas throwback provision, Tax Code §171.1032, is unconstitutional in violation of the Due Process, Commerce, Supremacy, and Equal Protection Clauses.

Status: Hearing on Cross-Motions for Summary Judgment held 09/21/04. Defendants' Motion granted 09/30/04. Notice of Appeal filed 10/20/04. Appellant's brief filed 01/24/05. Appellees' brief filed 03/25/05. Appellants' reply brief filed 04/28/05. Appellee's response to reply brief filed 05/23/05. Submitted on Oral Argument 05/25/05. Appellant filed post-submission brief 06/03/05. Motion granted 06/14/05 for Appellant to file post-submission brief. Appellees filed letter of authority 06/21/05. Appellant filed letter of authority 06/23/05. Opinion issued 07/28/05 reversing and rendering judgment for Appellants. Motion for Rehearing filed by Appellant 08/09/05. Motion for Rehearing filed by Appellee 08/15/05; denied 09/22/05. Petition for Review filed by State in Tx. Supreme Court 01/06/06. Response from Home Interiors filed 03/03/06. Briefing on the merits requested 04/26/06. Petitioners' brief on the merits filed 06/26/06. Respondent's brief on the merits filed 07/28/06. Petitioners' reply brief filed 08/14/06. Appeal being handled by Solicitor General's Office, OAG.

---

***Kellwood Company, The v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN500508

AG Case #: 052102654

Filed: 2/16/2005

Franchise Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$129,355.44	2001 - 2003

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Kinkade, Jana K.      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Flaherty, Jason      Jenkins & Gilchrist / Austin

Issue: How should pension reversion gain be allocated for franchise tax apportionment purposes. Is the pension reversion gain non-unitary or unitary earned surplus income. Whether Plaintiff's pension reversion gain should be calculated with Plaintiff's Texas gross receipts. What methodology the Comptroller should apply to not distort the amount of taxable earned surplus apportionable to Texas. Plaintiff also claims violation of the Due Process and Commerce Clauses of the US Constitution and the Due Course of Law provision of the Texas Constitution.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Millennium Inorganic Chemicals, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-000655 AG Case #: 062295894

Filed: 2/23/2006

Franchise Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$2,862,261.31	1996 - 1999

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Monzingo, Christine J. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Hagenswold, R. Eric

Issue: Whether Plaintiff may deduct from its surplus the pre-acquisition negative retained earnings of a subsidiary's subsidiary. Whether Plaintiff may write-down subsidiary's investments in subsidiaries. Whether the Comptroller correctly determined Plaintiff's original cost basis in its subsidiary.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

***Owens Corning v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN503923

AG Case #: 052240819

Filed: 10/28/2005

Franchise Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$90,980.34	1992 - 1993

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Monzingo, Christine J. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.  
Langenberg, Ray

Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to a franchise tax credit. Whether deferred tax liabilities can be offset by deferred tax assets.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Reliant Energy Corporation (formerly Houston Industries, Inc.) v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN103935

AG Case #: 011532348

Filed: 11/28/2001

Franchise Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$2,581,013.52	1998

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B.

OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Gilliland, David H.  
Smith, L. G. (Skip)

Clark, Thomas & Winters / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff may use business loss carry-forward from non-surviving corporation in merger to reduce its franchise tax.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

***Southwestern Bell Telephone Company v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN204559

AG Case #: 031730666

Filed: 12/20/2002

Franchise Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$25,163,579.92	1996 - 1999; 2001

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Monzingo, Christine J.

OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.  
Langenberg, Ray

Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether local loop access charges are Texas receipts for franchise tax purposes. Whether treating the revenues as Texas receipts violates the Comptroller's Rule on interstate calls and the due process, equal protection and commerce clauses of the Constitution. Whether other charges related to message services are Texas receipts.

Status: First Amended Original Petition adding 2001 final report filed. Discovery in progress. MSJ hearing set 02/14/07. Trial set 03/05/07.

---

***TGS-NOPEC Geophysical Company v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN500637

AG Case #: 052114220

Filed: 3/1/2005

Franchise Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$390,471.26	1997 - 2000
\$1,422,008.76	2001 - 2003

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Monzingo, Christine J.      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Wolfrom, R. Scott      Jones, Walker, Waechter, Poitevent, Carrère &  
Denégre, L.L.P. / The Woodlands

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's gross receipts should be treated as receipts from intangibles apportioned based on the location of the payor or the location of the alleged use of data. Whether the transfer of seismic data is a "license" or the transfer of an intangible for franchise tax apportionment purposes. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Hearing on Cross-Motions for Summary Judgment set 05/01/07.

---

***Tyson Foods, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN302279

AG Case #: 031818966

Filed: 6/27/2003

Franchise Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$4,462,424.56	1992 - 1997

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Monzingo, Christine J.      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray

Issue: Whether Plaintiff may re-state asset values for franchise tax purposes by using straight-line depreciation after it used accelerated depreciation to reduce asset values for federal income and franchise tax purposes before report year 1992. Whether penalty and interest should have been waived because Plaintiff's affiliates had overpayments during the audit period that could have been credited to Plaintiff's deficiencies. Amended Petition: Whether the throw-back statute violates the Commerce Clause; whether officer-director compensation add-back is constitutional.

Status: Hearing on Cross-Motions for Partial Summary Judgment held 07/19/06. On 07/26/06 the district court granted Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment and denied Plaintiff's on the depreciation/basis issue.

---

***Viacom International, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN402433      AG Case #: 041999269      Filed: 7/30/2004  
Franchise Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$754,178.16	1997 - 1999

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Gilliland, David H.      Clark, Thomas & Winters / Austin

Issue: Whether revenue received from third-party cable television system operators is revenue earned from licensing or from the service of producing, creating, editing, packaging and transmitting 24-hour-per-day network programming performed out-of-state. Should revenue from providing these services be considered Texas receipts for franchise tax purposes. Plaintiff also claims violation of due process and the Commerce Clause.

Status: Discovery in progress. Settlement negotiations in progress. Trial to be reset.

---

***York International Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN600153      AG Case #: 062275193      Filed: 1/13/2006  
Franchise Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$362,337.18	1993 - 1996

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Unassigned

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.

Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to record the assets and liabilities of previously acquired entities at their historical book values for purposes of determining taxable capital under Tax Code Section 171.109(b). Whether the Comptroller incorrectly calculated Plaintiff's push-down adjustments under Tax Code Section 171.109(m). Whether the Comptroller used the proper accounting method to value transferred assets. Whether Plaintiff's claim is barred as a second refund.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

## Sales Tax

### ***7-Eleven, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN403369

AG Case #: 042046367

Filed: 10/8/2004

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$299,328.98	04/01/93 - 09/30/96

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Kinkade, Jana K.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray

Issue: Whether the purchase of bookkeeping software installed on computers located out-of-state and subsequently shipped to stores in-state qualifies for the sale for resale exemption.

Status: Discovery in progress. Settlement negotiations in progress.

---

### ***7-Eleven, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-002424    AG Case #: 062380290

Filed: 6/30/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$615,638.45	04/01/93 - 09/30/96

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Kinkade, Jana K.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray

Issue: Whether Plaintiff purchased non-taxable programming services rather than taxable software.

Status: Settlement negotiations in progress. Hearing on cross-motions for summary judgment

and defendants' plea to the jurisdiction set 06/26/07.

---

***AccuTel of Texas, L.P. v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN300091

AG Case #: 031735236

Filed: 1/10/2003

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$45,658.15	06/01/97 - 11/30/00

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Maloney, Natalie A.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Malish, Christopher                      Foster & Malish / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff should have been assessed interest and penalty.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Advanta Business Services Corporation v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN103463

AG Case #: 011514544

Filed: 10/19/2001

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$929,964.11	11/01/92 - 12/31/97

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.                              OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

King, Deanna E.                              Bracewell & Patterson / Austin

Benesh, W. Stephen

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's leases were financing leases and not taxable operating leases under Comptroller Rule 3.294(i). Whether the Comptroller's sample was flawed. Alternatively, whether penalty and interest should have been waived.

Status: Discovery in progress. Settlement negotiations in progress. Trial postponed.

---

***Alcatel Network Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-000104

AG Case #: 062271143

Filed: 1/10/2006

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$908,670.54	05/01/93 - 10/31/95

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether purchases of software licenses qualify as tangible personal property with a useful life in excess of six months and used or consumed in or during the manufacturing, processing, or fabrication of tangible personal property for ultimate sale so as to be exempt from sales tax. Whether display items and/or the materials used to make them are exempt from sales tax.

Status: Settlement negotiations in progress.

---

***Alcatel Network Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-003731    AG Case #: 062412861

Filed: 9/29/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$908,670.54	05/01/93 - 10/31/95

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether purchases of tangible personal property with a useful life in excess of six months and used or consumed in or during the manufacturing, processing, or fabrication of tangible personal property for ultimate sale are exempt from sales tax. Whether display items and/or the materials used to make them are exempt from sales tax.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Alcoa, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-004268 AG Case #: 062426663

Filed: 11/9/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$767,652.06	04/01/91 - 12/31/94

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Monzingo, Christine J. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether parts, equipment and repair services that Plaintiff purchased for draglines used in its coal mining operations are exempt from sales tax under the manufacturing exemption.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Allegiance Telecom of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-000056 AG Case #: 062269030

Filed: 1/6/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$2,660,546.29	10/01/97 - 12/31/00

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Storie, Gene OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Hagenswold, R. Eric

Issue: Whether equipment purchased by Plaintiff is exempt from sales tax as tangible personal property used in manufacturing and processing. Whether freight charges are exempt from sales tax under the manufacturing exemption.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Amerada Hess Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN402614

AG Case #: 042005314

Filed: 8/13/2004

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$44,500.00	01/01/90 - 12/31/95

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray

Issue: Whether submersible pumps, motors, separators, couplings and related down-hole equipment are exempt from sales tax under the manufacturing exemption. Whether certain benefits of a membership fee cause the fee to be taxable.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Anderson Merchandisers Holding, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN400421

AG Case #: 041921966

Filed: 2/11/2004

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$28,353.00	07/01/94 - 03/31/98

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Maloney, Natalie A.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether industrial solid waste removal is exempt as a real property service.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Apollo Paint & Body Shop, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN300886

AG Case #: 031770605

Filed: 3/19/2003

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$285,284.13	10/01/91 - 09/30/98

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Tourtellotte, Tom                      Hance Scarborough Wright Woodward &  
Weisbart, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff performed its repairs under lump-sum contracts. Plaintiff also challenges the constitutionality of Rider 11.

Status: Discovery in progress. Trial setting of 09/20/04 passed by agreement. Trial began 01/30/06; trial continued.

---

***Aramis Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: 0000384

AG Case #: 001273051

Filed: 2/11/2000

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$281,676.36	04/01/94 - 12/31/97

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Unassigned

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Cowling, David E.                      Jones Day / Dallas  
Lyda, Kirk

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed. Whether Rule 3.346(b)(3)(A) is invalid and whether the Comptroller has authority to change its long-standing policy. Alternatively, whether penalty should be waived.

Status: Court sent Notice of DWOP for 08/23/02. Plaintiff filed Motion to Retain; granted 02/27/03. Court DWOP the case 06/15/05. Plaintiff filed Motion to Reinstate 07/12/05. Defendants filed first amended answer, plea to the jurisdiction, special exceptions and motion for attorneys' fees 11/17/06.

---

***Aramis Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.***

Cause Number: 98-03527

AG Case #: 98930349

Filed: 4/3/1998

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$291,196.00	04/01/90 - 03/31/94

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Unassigned

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Cowling, David E.

Jones Day / Dallas

Lyda, Kirk

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed.

Status: Court sent Notice of DWOP for 12/20/00. Plaintiff filed Motion to Retain 12/15/00; granted 01/25/01. Court sent DWOP notice for 07/22/02. Plaintiff filed Motion to Retain 07/15/02; granted 01/16/03. Defendants filed Motion to Dismiss 05/11/04; set for 05/20/04. Hearing passed by agreement.

---

***AT&T Corporation; Teleport Communications of Houston, Inc.; TCG of Dallas, Inc.; AT&T Network Procurement, L.P.; AT&T Communications of Texas, L.P.; and AT&T Communications of the Southwest, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-002080 AG Case #: 062365986

Filed: 6/7/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$21,934,496.00	01/01/95 - 07/31/04
\$1,484,356.00	01/01/00 - 07/31/04
\$1,391,152.00	01/01/00 - 07/31/04
\$22,827,857.00	01/01/00 - 07/31/04
\$4,435,506.00	01/01/99 - 07/31/04
\$4,435,506.00	01/01/00 - 07/31/04

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Storie, Gene

OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.

Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Langenberg, Ray

Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether purchases of electricity used in a manufacturing process are exempt from sales tax. Whether the manufacturing process used by Plaintiff results in a physical change to tangible personal property being resold. Whether electricity purchased and used to process tangible personal property for sale as tangible personal property is exempt from sales tax under the manufacturing and processing exemption. Whether Plaintiffs' purchases and/or leases of tangible personal property directly used or consumed in or during a manufacturing process are exempt from sales tax.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Awad, Mike v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-003807 AG Case #: 062419668

Filed: 10/6/2006

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$196,853.60 07/01/00 - 12/31/03

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Monzingo, Christine J.

OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Roberts, William A.

The Roberts Law Firm / Dallas

Coleman, Kyle

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's business products are exempt as "sale for resale" items or taxable. Whether the Comptroller erred by misapplying burden of proof and whether the requirement is constitutional. Whether Tax Code §112.108 is constitutional. Plaintiff claims violation of due process, that all penalties and interest be waived, and attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Bell Bottom Foundation Company v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: 99-01092

AG Case #: 991112186

Filed: 1/29/1999

Sales Tax; Protest



Claim Amount      Reporting Period  
\$3,000,000.00      07/01/97 - 05/31/02

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Bernal, Jr., Gilbert J.              Stahl, Bernal & Davies / Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees and a declaration that the Comptroller disregarded controlling federal law, violated equal protection or imposed tax on the U.S. government. Plaintiff also seeks recovery of attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN401955              AG Case #: 041988023              Filed: 6/21/2004

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount      Reporting Period  
\$3,750,000.00      12/01/88 - 05/31/95

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Langenberg, Ray

Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-000787      AG Case #: 062296876              Filed: 3/6/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount      Reporting Period  
\$3,029,344.00      06/01/95 - 12/31/98

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Boeing North America, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN203340                      AG Case #: 021676804                      Filed: 9/13/2002

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount      Reporting Period  
\$343,487.00      01/01/95 - 12/31/96

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Gilliland, David H.                      Clark, Thomas & Winters / Austin

Issue: Plaintiff claims a sale for resale exemption on items resold to the federal government. Plaintiff also claims a denial of equal protection and an exemption under §151.3111.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Boeing North America, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN304372                      AG Case #: 031884471                      Filed: 11/10/2003

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount      Reporting Period  
\$500,000.00      01/01/95 - 12/31/99

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Plaintiff claims a sale for resale exemption on items resold to the federal government. Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Bonart, Richard C., DVM v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN400552                      AG Case #: 041928532                      Filed: 2/20/2004

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount      Reporting Period  
\$50.00      01/01/02 - 12/31/02

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Maloney, Natalie A.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Pro Se

Issue: Whether microchips implanted in animals are exempt as health care supplies and as a therapeutic appliance or device. Plaintiff also claims a denial of equal and uniform protection.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Broadwing Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-003733      AG Case #: 062412879                      Filed: 9/29/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount      Reporting Period  
\$217,355.92      01/01/99 - 04/30/02

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Unassigned

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.

Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Osterloh, Curtis J.

Issue: Whether finish-out work or improvements to real property is subject to tax when a part of the structure and leased space had been previously used and occupied.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

***Burns, Kevin D. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN504208

AG Case #: 052253457

Filed: 11/28/2005

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount      Reporting Period  
\$1,300,000.00      01/01/96 - 10/31/00

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Kinkade, Jana K.

OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Cunningham, Judy M.

Attorney at Law / Austin

Issue: Whether the transfer of certain tangible personal property from customers to Plaintiff to be leased back to customers with a purchase option are non-taxable financing transactions. Whether sales taxes previously submitted are binding within Plaintiff's bankruptcy plan. Plaintiff claims violation of equal and uniform taxation, and also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

***C & T Stone Company v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN002428

AG Case #: 001344233

Filed: 8/18/2000

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount      Reporting Period  
\$207,454.40      04/01/94 - 12/31/97

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Peckham, William T.                  Attorney at Law / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes sales tax on its sales of limestone to third parties under §151.311(a). Whether Plaintiff detrimentally relied on advice from the Comptroller's Office. Whether exemption certificates covered some sales that were assessed tax. Whether Plaintiff is entitled to the manufacturing exemption under §151.318(g). Whether penalty and interest should be waived.

Status: Discovery in progress. Motion to Compel set for 12/21/06.

---

***CEC Entertainment Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-004594    AG Case #: 062430368

Filed: 12/12/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$244,808.38	01/01/02 - 09/30/04

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Kinkade, Jana K.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Tourtellotte, Tom                      Hance Scarborough Wright Woodward &  
Weisbart, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Plaintiff claims that paying sales tax on prizes awarded to successful contestants of coin-operated and non-coin operated games and on the admission price of non-coin operated games, in addition to annual occupational taxes, would be double taxation. Plaintiff claims violation of equal and uniform taxation, and due process.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Cellular City Ltd. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-004410    AG Case #: 062427919

Filed: 11/21/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$352,932.44	09/01/00 - 06/30/04

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether telephones purchased by Plaintiff, and subsequently sold to customers who contract for telephone service with a carrier associated with the Plaintiff, are exempt from sales tax under the sale for resale exemption.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Central Power & Light Company v. Sharp, et al.***

Cause Number: 96-11455

AG Case #: 96602037

Filed: 9/20/1996

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$32,788.00	07/01/86 - 12/31/89

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Kinkade, Jana K.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Smith, L. G. (Skip)                      Clark, Thomas & Winters / Austin

Issue: Whether utility pole replacement services are non-taxable maintenance or taxable repair labor.

Status: Inactive.

---

***Chapal Zenray, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN204506

AG Case #: 031729197

Filed: 12/16/2002

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$210,943.91	01/01/94 - 12/31/97

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Kinkade, Jana K.

OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.

Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Langenberg, Ray

Osterloh, Curtis J.

Issue: Whether items such as boxes, foam pads and twist ties are not subject to tax pursuant to Tex. Tax Code §151.011 (f)(2) and Rule 3.346 (c)(l)(c) when purchased by a person who uses the items to secure jewelry for shipment out-of-state.

Status: Discovery in progress. Motion for Summary Judgment to be submitted.

---

***Chevron Pipe Line Company and West Texas Gulf Pipe Line Company v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN304712

AG Case #: 031899016

Filed: 12/12/2003

#03-05-00449-CV

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$683,979.99	07/01/91 - 09/30/97
\$220,773.61	01/01/92 - 09/30/97

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B.

OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.

Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Langenberg, Ray

Meese, Matthew J.

Issue: Whether installation of cathodic protection devices was new construction or maintenance. Whether excavation and back-filling were non-taxable unrelated services. Whether pipe replacement and recoating was non-taxable maintenance.

Status: Trial held 03/23/05. Judgment for the Comptroller. Findings and Conclusions filed 06/17/05. Notice of Appeal filed by Chevron 07/12/05. Appellant's brief filed 10/26/05. Appellees' brief filed 12/07/05. Oral Argument denied. Set on briefs 12/13/05. Appellants' reply brief filed 01/27/06. Submitted on briefs 01/30/06. Opinion issued 08/04/06 affirming district court's judgment. Motion for Rehearing filed 08/21/06. Response from Appellee filed 09/21/06. Motion for Rehearing denied 10/26/06. Substituted Opinion issued 10/26/06 affirming trial court's judgment. Motion for Rehearing filed 11/09/06; overruled 12/08/06.

---

***Chevron USA, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN403978

AG Case #: 042071324

Filed: 12/6/2004

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$10,000,000.00	01/01/93 - 06/30/96

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether charges of contractors for erecting, maintaining and dismantling scaffolding are exempt from sales and use tax as a non-taxable service, or taxable as rental of tangible personal property.

Status: Discovery in progress. Hearing on cross-motions for summary judgment held 06/28/06. Chevron's motion for partial summary judgment granted; Comptroller's motion denied. Hearing for judgment set 01/31/07.

---

***Church & Dwight Company, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN000525

AG Case #: 001258201

Filed: 1/12/2000

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$64,868.50	10/01/90 - 12/31/93

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Benesh, W. Stephen                      Bracewell & Patterson / Austin  
Sampson, Jr., Phillip L.

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes use tax on promotional materials shipped from out-of-state. Whether the Comptroller's imposition of use tax is invalid because Plaintiff made no use of the materials in Texas. Whether Rule 3.346(b)(3)(A) is invalid. Whether the tax violates the

Commerce and Due Process Clauses of the United States Constitution.

Status: Plaintiff waiting for outcome of Estee Lauder Services, Inc. cases. Case dismissed for want of prosecution 06/15/05. Case re-opened. Reinstated by bill of review 11/22/05.

---

***Cingular Wireless of Austin, LP, formerly known as GTE Mobilnet of Austin, LP; GTE Mobilnet of South Texas, LP; GTE Mobilnet of Texas RSA #17, LP; et al. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN502649

AG Case #: 052186616

Filed: 7/29/2005

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$10,177,377.49	01/01/93 - 12/31/96

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Storie, Gene	OAG Taxation / Austin
--------------	-----------------------

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.	Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray	
Sigel, Doug	

Issue: Whether purchases of telecommunications equipment qualify as tangible personal property for ultimate sale as tangible personal property that are exempt from sales tax under the manufacturing and processing exemption. Whether electricity purchased and used in telecommunications is exempt from sales tax under the manufacturing and processing exemption.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***City of Webster and the Webster Economic Development Corporation v. Strayhorn***

Cause Number: D-1-GV-06-001823 AG Case #: 062409446

Filed: 9/15/2006

Sales Tax; Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$502,620.70	05/01/02 - 01/31/06

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Maloney, Natalie A.	OAG Taxation / Austin
---------------------	-----------------------

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Feldman, David M.                      Feldman & Rogers, L.L.P. / Houston  
Cowan, Robert W.

Gregg, Jr., Dick H.                      Gregg & Gregg, P.C. / Houston

Issue: Whether the Comptroller's repayment request violates the procedural and substantive due course of law provisions of the Texas Constitution. Whether the Comptroller should have granted Plaintiffs notice or a hearing prior to making the repayment request. Whether the Comptroller's interpretation of Tax Code §321.002(a)(3) is constitutional. Plaintiffs also request attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

***Clear Lake City Community Association, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-004281    AG Case #: 062425582                      Filed: 11/13/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$83,936.63	08/01/00 - 10/31/04

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Maloney, Natalie A.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Knobelsdorf II, John C.                      Attorney at Law / Houston

Issue: Whether Plaintiff, as an exempt organization, is an exempt consumer of taxable real property services and not a seller of such services. Whether waste hauling service provided to association homeowners and paid for by Plaintiff is exempt from sales tax.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Clinique Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN000376                      AG Case #: 001273069                      Filed: 2/11/2000

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$650,361.82	04/01/94 - 03/31/98

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Unassigned

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Cowling, David E.                      Jones Day / Dallas  
Lyda, Kirk

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed. Whether Rule 3.346(b)(3)(A) is invalid and whether the Comptroller has authority to change its long-standing policy. Alternatively, whether penalty should be waived.

Status: Court sent Notice of DWOP for 08/23/02. Plaintiff filed Motion to Retain; granted 02/27/03. Court DWOP on 06/15/05. Plaintiff filed Motion to Reinstate 07/12/05; granted 07/12/05. Defendants filed first amended answer, plea to the jurisdiction, special exceptions and motion for attorneys' fees 11/17/06.

---

***Clinique Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.***

Cause Number: 98-03533                      AG Case #: 98930330                      Filed: 4/3/1998

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$519,192.00	04/01/90 - 03/31/94

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Unassigned

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Cowling, David E.                      Jones Day / Dallas  
Lyda, Kirk

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed.

Status: Court sent Notice of DWOP for 12/20/00. Plaintiff filed Motion to Retain 12/15/00; granted 01/24/01. Court sent Notice of DWOP for 07/22/02. Plaintiff filed Motion to Retain 07/15/02; granted 01/16/03.

---

***Clinique Services, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN500049                      AG Case #: 052085933                      Filed: 1/6/2005

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount      Reporting Period  
\$654,245.96      04/01/98 - 03/31/02

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Unassigned

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Cowling, David E.                      Jones Day / Dallas  
Lyda, Kirk

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed. Whether Rule 3.346(b)(3)(A) is invalid and whether the Comptroller has authority to change its long-standing policy. Alternatively, whether penalty should be waived. Plaintiff also claims violation of rights under the Commerce and Due Process Clauses, and right to equal and uniform taxation. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Coca-Cola Company, The v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN504213                      AG Case #: 052253473                      Filed: 11/28/2005  
Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount      Reporting Period  
\$2,060,883.03      07/01/97 - 03/31/02

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Kinkade, Jana K.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Hagenswold, R. Eric  
Osterloh, Curtis J.

Issue: Whether replacement parts and the repair of fountain drink machines leased to customers by Plaintiff are exempt from sales tax as manufacturing equipment and the sale for resale exemption.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Cosmair, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN302009

AG Case #: 031816135

Filed: 6/9/2003

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,322,536.67	07/01/96 - 12/31/98

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Unassigned

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Cowling, David E.	Jones Day / Dallas
Lyda, Kirk	

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes use tax on items transferred free of charge that are subsequently brought into Texas. Plaintiff specifically challenges whether: 1) "use" includes distribution; 2) use was only out-of-state where control transferred; 3) longstanding policy may be changed; 4) Rule 3.346 does not support tax on promotional materials; 5) use tax applies without title or possession; 6) no consideration for transfer; 7) Rule 3.346(b)(3)(A) is invalid; 8) tax is bared by Commerce, Due Process and Equal Protection Clauses; and 9) resale exemption applies. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Creative Closets, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-000172 AG Case #: 062275755

Filed: 1/17/2006

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$115,276.86	08/01/99 - 03/31/03

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.	OAG Taxation / Austin
------------------	-----------------------

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Finley, W. Thomas	Bell Nunnally & Martin, L.L.P. / Dallas
Sosolik, M. Seth	

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes sales and use tax as a franchisee doing retail business in the State of Texas. Plaintiff requests that penalty and interest should be waived, and seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Plaintiff intends to non-suit.

---

***Crown Central Petroleum Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN504190

AG Case #: 052260197

Filed: 11/22/2005

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$136,903.16	12/01/96 - 12/31/99

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Kinkade, Jana K.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether charges of contractors for erecting, moving and dismantling scaffolding are exempt from sales and use tax as a non-taxable service, or taxable as rental of tangible personal property. Whether certain work performed by contractors is new construction under a lump sum contract and thus not taxable.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Day Cruises Maritime, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-063567

AG Case #: 062410139

Filed: 9/21/2006

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$243,910.85	12/01/01 - 12/31/03

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Beam, Patrick L.                      Attorney at Law / Aransas Pass

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's charter of a vessel is leased property subject to sales and use tax. Whether the vessel was used or received within the state. Plaintiff claims that the Comptroller does not have legal authority to collect the assessed tax.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Delta Air Lines, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN400439

AG Case #: 041925868

Filed: 2/13/2004

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,642,267.15	02/01/93 - 12/31/96

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Unassigned

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.  
Langenberg, Ray

Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's purchases of janitorial and building maintenance services being resold under a lease agreement are exempt under the sale for resale exemption. Whether Plaintiff's purchases of mechanical maintenance services were exempt as taxable services purchased in the performance of a real property contract for an exempt entity.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Design Masterpiece Landscaping, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-001691

AG Case #: 062337985

Filed: 5/12/2006

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$68,630.03	06/01/99 - 12/31/02

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Kinkade, Jana K.

OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Tourtellotte, Tom

Hance Scarborough Wright Woodward &  
Weisbart, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether landscaping services sold under lump-sum contracts by Plaintiff to homeowners are exempt as real property services. Whether a homeowner can contract with a homebuilder and still act as a contractor. Plaintiff requests that interest be waived. Plaintiff also claims violation of due process, equal protection, and equal and uniform taxation.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Dillard's Inc., aka Dillard Department Stores, Inc., and Dillard Texas Operating Limited Partnership v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN304838

AG Case #: 041904590

Filed: 12/23/2003

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,172,784.29	07/01/93 - 01/31/96
	02/01/96 - 11/30/96

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Maloney, Natalie A.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's sewing machines and other property used to alter clothing qualify for the manufacturing exemption. Whether Plaintiff is entitled to a refund of tax on packaging supplies, non-taxable services, industrial solid waste disposal, and sale for resale items.

Status: Motion to consolidate cases granted 11/23/04; case consolidated into Dillard's Inc., aka Dillard Department Stores, Inc., and Dillard Texas Operating Limited Partnership v. Rylander, et al., Cause No. GN203937.

---

***Dillard's, Inc., aka Dillard Department Stores, Inc., and Dillard Texas Operating Limited Partnership v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN203937

AG Case #: 021703947

Filed: 10/30/2002

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,100,000.00	07/01/93 - 01/31/96
	02/01/96 - 11/30/96

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Maloney, Natalie A.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin



***Ebrahim, Suleiman S. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN500567

AG Case #: 052113388

Filed: 2/22/2005

Sales Tax; Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$43,847.15	01/01/96 - 02/25/02

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Kinkade, Jana K.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Butler, Lynn Hamilton              Brown McCarroll, L.L.P. / Austin  
Spurck, Robert L.

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is liable for sales tax assessed against his father's business. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Counterclaim filed.

---

***EFW, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN200906

AG Case #: 021579578

Filed: 3/19/2002

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$123,440.25	04/01/94 - 03/31/98

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Sigel, Doug  
Osterloh, Curtis J.

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***EFW, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-000058 AG Case #: 062269022

Filed: 1/9/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$600,000.00	04/01/98 - 08/31/04

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Sigel, Doug  
Osterloh, Curtis J.

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***El Paso Merchant Energy-Petroleum Company v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-003071 AG Case #: 062403696

Filed: 8/23/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,416,604.28	01/01/92 - 06/30/96

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Hagenswold, R. Eric

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to a refund of sales and use tax on services provided by contract labor, certain manufacturing equipment, services performed on manufacturing equipment, materials needed for machinery and equipment used in the manufacturing process,

maintenance of real property, new construction, non-taxable services, programming services, manufacturing equipment with a useful life of six months or less, property shipped out-of-state, repair of real or tangible personal property resulting in a casualty loss, hazardous and industrial waste removal services, safety supplies, items and materials used for quality control purposes, pollution control equipment, and other non-taxable items.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***ELC Beauty L.L.C., as Successor-in-Interest to Aramis Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN203514                      AG Case #: 021681226                      Filed: 9/26/2002

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$284,508.69	01/01/98 - 12/31/00

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Unassigned

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Cowling, David E.	Jones Day / Dallas
Lyda, Kirk	

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed. Whether Rule 3.346(b)(3)(A) is invalid and whether the Comptroller has authority to change its long-standing policy. Alternatively, whether penalty should be waived.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***ELC Beauty, L.L.C., as a Successor-in-Interest to Estee Lauder Services Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN500048                      AG Case #: 052085990                      Filed: 1/6/2005

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$586,255.47	07/01/99 - 06/30/01

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Unassigned

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Cowling, David E.  
Lyda, Kirk

Jones Day / Dallas

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed. Whether Rule 3.346(b)(3)(A) is invalid and whether the Comptroller has authority to change its long-standing policy. Alternatively, whether penalty should be waived. Plaintiff also claims violation of rights under the Commerce and Due Process Clauses, and right to equal and uniform taxation. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***ELC Beauty, L.L.C., as Successor-in-Interest to Origins Services Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN500047

AG Case #: 052085966

Filed: 1/6/2005

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$750,946.09	03/01/98 - 06/30/01

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Unassigned

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Cowling, David E.  
Lyda, Kirk

Jones Day / Dallas

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed. Whether Rule 3.346(b)(3)(A) is invalid and whether the Comptroller has authority to change its long-standing policy. Alternatively, whether penalty should be waived. Plaintiff also claims violation of rights under the Commerce and Due Process Clauses, and right to equal and uniform taxation. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Embassy Equity Development Corporation, et al. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-004267

AG Case #: 062425566

Filed: 11/9/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$11,487.10	01/01/96 - 12/31/98

	06/01/97 - 05/31/01
\$10,494.52	01/01/95 - 12/31/98
\$17,485.53	12/01/98 - 03/31/02
\$2,615.82	01/01/98 - 12/31/00
\$4,190.26	09/01/94 - 06/30/97
\$1,658.68	09/01/94 - 05/31/98
\$2,894.76	09/01/94 - 03/31/98
\$4,044.05	07/01/95 - 12/31/98
	01/01/99 - 05/31/02
\$1,440.73	09/01/94 - 08/31/98

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Bonilla, Ray                              Ray, Wood & Bonilla, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether certain amenity and consumable items such as shampoo, stationery and similar items resold to hotel guests are exempt from sales tax as sales for resale.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN101312

AG Case #: 011439874

Filed: 5/1/2001

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$614,814.78	04/01/96 - 06/30/99

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Unassigned

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Cowling, David E.                      Jones Day / Dallas  
 Lyda, Kirk

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.***

Cause Number: 98-03525

AG Case #: 98930358

Filed: 4/3/1998

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$472,225.00	01/01/89 - 09/30/92

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Unassigned

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Cowling, David E. Lyda, Kirk	Jones Day / Dallas
---------------------------------	--------------------

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed.

Status: Court sent Notice of DWOP for 12/20/00. Plaintiff filed Motion to Retain 12/15/00; granted 01/24/01. Court sent Notice of DWOP for 07/22/02. Plaintiff filed Motion to Retain 06/15/02; granted 02/03/03. See *Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.*, Cause #98-03524.

---

***Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.***

Cause Number: 98-03524

AG Case #: 98930367

Filed: 4/3/1998

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$748,773.00	10/01/92 - 03/31/96

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Unassigned

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Cowling, David E. Lyda, Kirk	Jones Day / Dallas
---------------------------------	--------------------

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed.

Status: Court sent Notice of DWOP for 12/20/00. Plaintiff filed Motion to Retain 12/15/00;

granted 01/24/01. Court sent Notice of DWOP for 07/22/02. Plaintiff filed Motion to Retain 07/15/02; granted 02/03/03. Numerous scheduling orders have been entered in this case since 2003; the latest being 11/2006. Discovery in progress. Trial set 10/15/07.

---

***Ethicon, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN304779

AG Case #: 041904616

Filed: 12/18/2003

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$52,616.94	01/01/96 - 12/31/99
	01/01/94 - 12/31/95

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

Maloney, Natalie A.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether Plaintiff leased real property not subject to the sales and use tax.

Status: Motion for Summary Judgment hearing held 04/19/06. Settlement negotiations in progress.

---

***F M Express Food Mart, Inc., and Fouad Hanna Mekdessi v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN002724

AG Case #: 001353960

Filed: 9/15/2000

Sales Tax; Injunction

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$360,671.05	12/01/90 - 11/30/97

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

Masters, Paul H.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

Isgitt, Percy L. "Wayne"              Law Offices of Percy L. "Wayne" Isgitt, P.C. /  
Houston

Issue: Whether Comptroller's "estimated audit" is invalid. Whether Plaintiffs are entitled to an injunction of collection and of cancellation of their sales tax permits. Whether Tax Code §§112.051, 112.052, 112.101 and 112.108 are unconstitutional violations of the open courts

provision. Plaintiffs seek a re-audit and a refund of money paid under protest in excess of the re-audited amount.

Status: Discovery in progress. Summary Judgment hearing postponed.

---

***Garza, Lawrence v. Sharp, et al.***

Cause Number: 98-07607

AG Case #: 981001886

Filed: 7/17/1998

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$83,910.00	01/01/93 - 09/30/95

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Kinkade, Jana K.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Dillon, Stephen P.                      Lindeman & Dillon / Houston

Issue: Whether the Comptroller used the proper sampling procedure and whether Plaintiff was correctly notified of the procedure to be used.

Status: Trial setting passed by agreement. Inactive.

---

***General Dynamics Corporation v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN201322

AG Case #: 021598057

Filed: 4/22/2002

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$7,000,000.00	09/01/88 - 11/30/91

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B.                              OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                              Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***General Dynamics Corporation v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN201323

AG Case #: 021598073

Filed: 4/22/2002

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$4,500,000.00	12/01/91 - 02/28/93

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Gift Box Corporation of America, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN102934

AG Case #: 011492865

Filed: 9/5/2001

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$359,929.22	10/1991 - 03/1997

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Lipstet, Ira A.                      DuBois Bryant Campbell & Schwartz, L.L.P. /  
Austin

Issue: Whether additional resale certificates should have been accepted for Plaintiff's sales of boxes and packaging materials.

Status: Case reinstated. Plaintiff to make settlement offer.

---

***Graybar Electric Company, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.***

Cause Number: 97-01795

AG Case #: 97682966

Filed: 2/13/1997

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount      Reporting Period  
\$107,667.00      01/01/88 - 12/31/91

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Maloney, Natalie A.      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray

Issue: Whether the sample audit resulted in a correct assessment.

Status: Settlement negotiations in progress. Unopposed Motion to Retain filed 09/25/06 by Plaintiff.

---

***Grocers Supply-Institutional-Convenience, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN300904      AG Case #: 031782931      Filed: 3/20/2003

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount      Reporting Period  
\$79,688.23      06/01/95 - 05/31/98

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Unassigned

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Cunningham, Judy M.      Attorney at Law / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's purchase of electricity used to lower the temperature of food products is exempt as electricity used in processing.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

***GSC Enterprises, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN501091      AG Case #: 052132271      Filed: 4/7/2005

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount      Reporting Period  
\$241,656.28      02/01/97 - 04/30/00

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Unassigned

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Cunningham, Judy M.          Attorney at Law / Austin

Issue: Whether electricity used to lower the temperature of food products is exempt as electricity used in processing. Whether the Comptroller violated the rules of statutory construction. Plaintiff claims violation of equal and uniform taxation. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

***GTE Mobilnet of the Southwest, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN501921

AG Case #: 052163441

Filed: 5/27/2005

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$130,801.55	10/01/91 - 12/31/94

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Kinkade, Jana K.          OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.          Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray

Issue: Whether Plaintiff used the proper sampling method to determine the amount of credit/reimbursement due on bad debt deductions. Plaintiff seeks waiver of penalty assessed in the audit. Plaintiff also claims violation of due course of law, due process, equal and uniform taxation, equal rights, equal protection, and other provisions of the Texas Tax Code, Rules, Texas and U.S. Constitutions.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***GTE Mobilnet of the Southwest, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-000649

AG Case #: 062295480

Filed: 2/23/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,193,519.44	10/01/91 - 12/31/94





***GTE Southwest, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN504191

AG Case #: 052252699

Filed: 11/22/2005

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$260,489.27	01/01/96 - 02/28/98

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Storie, Gene                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether equipment purchased by Plaintiff to provide customers-subscribers telecommunications products is exempt as tangible personal property used in manufacturing and processing or as tangible personal property that was resold.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***GTE Southwest, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-002468

AG Case #: 062380522

Filed: 7/6/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$22,847,194.00	01/01/95 - 02/28/98

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Storie, Gene                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether tangible personal property used or consumed in providing telecommunications is exempt from sales tax.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***GTE Southwest, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-003732 AG Case #: 062412887

Filed: 9/29/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$2,900,000.00	03/01/98 - 12/31/02

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Storie, Gene OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether electricity purchased by Plaintiff to perform telecommunications services is exempt as tangible personal property that was resold. Whether tangible personal property used or consumed in providing telecommunications is exempt from sales tax. Whether electricity is exempt because of use in a manufacturing area.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Herndon Marine Products, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.***

Cause Number: 91-14786

AG Case #: 91164788

Filed: 10/18/1991

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$62,465.00	01/01/87 - 03/31/90

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Bell, John D. Wood, Boykin & Wolter / Corpus Christi

Issue: Whether predominant use of electricity from Plaintiff's meter is exempt. Whether burden of proof in administrative hearing should be clear and convincing evidence or preponderance of the evidence.

Status: Special exceptions and answer filed.

---

***Home & Garden Party, Ltd. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-001392 AG Case #: 062311402

Filed: 4/21/2006

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$791,634.49	01/01/98 - 05/31/04

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Maloney, Natalie A. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Brophy, Jr., Richard E. Beard Kultgen Brophy Bostwick & Dickson,  
L.L.P. / Waco

Hobbs, Mark C.

Issue: Whether packaging materials and supplies used in the manufacturing of tangible personal property for sale are exempt under the sale for resale exemption. Plaintiff claims unconstitutional administrative discrimination and violation of due process and equal protection under the U.S. and Texas Constitutions.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Home Depot, USA, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-002463 AG Case #: 062380324

Filed: 7/6/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$2,595,000.00	01/01/95 - 12/31/99

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Langenberg, Ray

Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether Plaintiff may take bad debt credit under private label credit agreement.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Houston Wire & Cable Company v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN500581

AG Case #: 052113057

Filed: 2/23/2005

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$160,596.03	08/01/97 - 12/31/01

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Kinkade, Jana K.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Starkey, Jerry L.                      Attorney at Law / Houston

Issue: Whether wire, cable and reels purchased, customized and sold to wholesalers as non-returnable are exempt from sales tax under the manufacturing exemption and sale-for-resale exemption.

Status: Trial held 10/09/06. Final Judgment signed 11/06/06.

---

***ITS Engineered Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-004318    AG Case #: 062426630

Filed: 11/16/2006

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$10,000.00	01/01/02 - 12/31/05

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Monzingo, Christine J.                OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Martens, James F.                      Martens & Associates / Austin

Mondrik, Christina A.

Seay, Michael B.

Issue: Whether products manufactured by the Plaintiff and sold to domestic customers for delivery of the products outside of Texas or the United States are exempt from sales tax. Whether the Comptroller improperly assessed sales tax on sales to customers for export outside the United States. Whether equipment and parts Plaintiff sold to customers who resold the items are exempt from sales tax as sales for resale. Whether the Comptroller's policy limiting the type of equipment qualifying for exemption under Tax Code §151.324 is

constitutional. Whether other additional sales, purchases and supplies are exempt as non-taxable. Plaintiff claims violation of equal and uniform taxation, due process of law, the import and export clauses of the U.S. Constitution and the commerce clause. Plaintiff requests declaratory relief and waiver of penalty and interest.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***J.C. Penney Company, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN300883

AG Case #: 031770613

Filed: 3/19/2003

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$951,802.17	01/01/91 - 03/31/93

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Cowling, David E.                Jones Day / Dallas  
Lochridge, Robert

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes use tax on paper, ink and the printing of catalogs printed out-of-state. Whether local use tax in McAllen, Texas applies to Plaintiff's aircraft. Alternatively, whether the printing service is performed outside Texas. Whether a sales and use tax on the catalogs violates the Commerce Clause, due process or equal protection. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorney's fees.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***J.C. Penney Company, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-002496    AG Case #: 062381678

Filed: 7/7/2006

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$4,007,735.00	04/01/93 - 06/30/97

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Cowling, David E.                Jones Day / Dallas

Lyda, Kirk  
Schenck, David J.

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes sales or use tax on paper, ink and printing labor of catalogs printed out-of-state; on unidentified transactions used in the CAMS sample; on duplicated software licenses distributed to users outside of Texas; on catalogs and promotional materials mailed and distributed into Texas; and wrapping and packaging supplies used to package goods for delivery to customers. Plaintiff claims violation of the Commerce Clause and the Due Process Clauses, and equal and uniform protection. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorney's fees.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***JBI, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN203450                      AG Case #: 021681218                      Filed: 9/20/2002

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,046,033.09	01/01/93 - 08/31/99

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Monzingo, Christine J.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Benesh, W. Stephen                      Bracewell & Patterson / Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller assessed tax on transactions that were sales for resale or on which use tax had already been paid.

Status: Case settled. Agreed judgment to be filed.

---

***Jerman Cookie Company v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN101492                      AG Case #: 011451598                      Filed: 5/16/2001

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$43,121.45	12/01/92 - 03/31/97

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Maloney, Natalie A.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Williard, Steve M.  
Knight, L. Don

Meyer, Knight & Williams / Houston

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's sale of cookies and brownies is taxable under Tax Code §151.314 and Comptroller Rule 3.293. Plaintiff also seeks review under the Administrative Procedures Act and the UDJA, and seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Amended Petition filed. Discovery in progress. Plaintiff's Motion to Retain filed 07/13/05. Trial set 02/12/07. Settlement negotiations in progress.

---

***Kroger Company, The v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN403582

AG Case #: 042058032

Filed: 10/28/2004

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$366,142.79	01/01/94 - 06/30/97

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Kinkade, Jana K.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Cunningham, Judy M.                Attorney at Law / Austin

Issue: Whether electricity used in a manufacturing process is exempt from sales tax. Whether the manufacturing process used by Plaintiff results in a physical change to tangible personal property being resold.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

***La Frontera Lodging Partners, L.P., Tex-Air Investment Company, John Q. Hammons Hotels Two, L.P. and John Q. Hammons Hotels, L.P. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-004633    AG Case #: 062430566

Filed: 12/15/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$6,958.18	07/01/00 - 06/30/04
\$5,591.87	07/01/00 - 06/30/04
\$31,330.82	07/01/00 - 06/30/04
\$21,811.57	07/01/00 - 06/30/04

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Bonilla, Ray                              Ray, Wood & Bonilla, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether certain amenity and consumable items such as shampoo, stationery and similar items resold to hotel guests are exempt from sales tax as sales for resale.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Laredo Coca-Cola Bottling Company, and Coca-Cola Enterprises, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN300575

AG Case #: 031759657

Filed: 2/21/2003

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$6,726.00	05/01/93 - 06/30/96
	10/01/91 - 06/30/96
\$591,086.00	01/01/90 - 12/31/92
	07/01/91 - 06/30/96

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Langenberg, Ray

Osterloh, Curtis J.

Issue: Whether post-mix machines qualify for manufacturing tax exemption. Whether some of the machines also qualify for the sale for resale exemption, because Plaintiff received consideration even if not valued in money.

Status: Plaintiff filed a Motion for Summary Judgment 04/23/05. Plaintiff to withdraw Motion for Summary Judgment and refile.

---

***Laredo Coca-Cola Bottling Company, and Coca-Cola Enterprises, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN401379

AG Case #: 041964941

Filed: 4/30/2004

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$18,579.66	05/01/93 - 06/30/96 10/01/91 - 06/30/96
\$443,299.77	01/01/90 - 12/31/92 07/01/91 - 06/30/96

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Osterloh, Curtis J.

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes sales tax on the purchase of money validators due to the integration of the validators into the final product, the vending machine.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

***Laredo Pizza, Inc., and Samuel L. Alford, and L & H Pacific, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN401507                      AG Case #: 041971482                      Filed: 5/12/2004

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$34,965.35	07/01/92 - 08/31/95

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Maloney, Natalie A.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Rothfelder, Richard L.                      Rothfelder & Falick, L.L.P. / Houston  
Falick, Michael C.

Issue: Whether prizes awarded by Plaintiff to successful contestants of amusement machines were purchased for resale and exempt from sales tax. Whether the sale of food, beverage and party packages is taxable as food and beverage or non-taxable as amusement services. Whether assets transferred from one subsidiary to another are exempt from sales tax as an “occasional

sale.”

Status: Defendants’ First Amended Original Answer, Plea to the Jurisdiction and Special Exception filed 06/27/05.

---

***Lee Construction and Maintenance Company v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: 99-01091

AG Case #: 991112160

Filed: 1/29/1999

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$31,830.47	01/01/92 - 12/31/95

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Maloney, Natalie A.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Trickey, Timothy M.                      The Trickey Law Firm / Austin

Issue: Various issues, including credits for bad debts, tax paid, tax on new construction and tax paid in Louisiana, resale exemptions and waiver of penalty and interest.

Status: Settlement negotiations pending. Trial to be reset. Motion to Retain filed by Plaintiff 11/29/06.

---

***Levy, Tara, et al. v. OfficeMax, Inc. and Best Buy Stores, L.P.***

Cause Number: GN201252

AG Case #: 041926635

Filed: 1/1/1901

#03-06-00391-CV

Sales Tax; Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$0.00	N/A

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Storie, Gene                                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Perlmutter, Mark L.                      Perlmutter & Schuelke, L.L.P. / Austin  
Schuelke, C. Brooks

Issue: Plaintiff claims a refund for the class of persons who paid sales tax on rebates. Plaintiff seeks declaratory judgment interpreting Texas Tax Code Sections pertaining to cash discounts and exemption from sales tax.

Status: Class-action suit. Comptroller named defendant. Comptroller's Plea to the Jurisdiction and Plaintiffs' Motion for Declaratory Judgment heard 10/19/04. Plea granted. Court requested briefs to address whether any part of case survives the Amended Order dismissing all claims against the Comptroller. Court signed order of severance and Notice of Appeal filed by Plaintiffs 07/06/06 to include all parties. Clerk's Record filed 08/07/06. Appellants' brief due 10/30/06. Appellees' brief due 11/29/06. Appellant filed amended docketing statement 10/20/06 excluding Comptroller from appeal.

---

***Liberty Vending Services, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN502836                      AG Case #: 052198108                      Filed: 8/11/2005  
Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$9,000.00	10/01/98 - 06/30/02

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Martens, James F.                      Martens & Associates / Austin

Mondrik, Christina A.

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is liable for sales and use tax on sales of food items, soft drinks and candy sold through contracted vending machines located at exempt locations. Whether the Comptroller improperly categorized certain food item purchases as taxable. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief and release of all state tax liens. Plaintiff claims violation of constitutional rights and equal protection and equal taxation. Plaintiff also claims violation of the Commerce Clause and the Supremacy Clause.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Local Neon Company, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: 99-15042                      AG Case #: 001254036                      Filed: 12/31/1999  
#03-04-00261-CV

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$34,390.24	01/01/88 - 03/31/95

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Sigel, Doug

Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff was doing business in Texas by delivering and installing its signs that were sold under contract negotiated outside of Texas. Whether Plaintiff is entitled to declaratory judgment and attorneys' fees.

Status: Plea to the Jurisdiction granted to State 04/07/04. Notice of Appeal filed 04/29/04. Appellant's brief filed 07/01/04. Appellees' brief filed 08/02/04. Submitted on briefs 12/06/04. Opinion issued 06/16/05 affirming trial court's Judgment in part, reversing the Judgment in part, and remanding the case. State's Motion for Rehearing filed 06/30/05. Court requested response 08/01/05. Appellant's response filed 08/11/05. Appellees' response filed 08/19/05. Motion for Rehearing overruled 11/01/05. Motion to dismiss filed.

---

***Lockheed Corporation v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN201000

AG Case #: 021583745

Filed: 3/26/2002

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$7,000,000.00	03/01/93 - 01/31/96

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B.

OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.

Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Lockheed Martin Corporation v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN200999

AG Case #: 021583737

Filed: 3/26/2002

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$3,500,000.00	01/01/96 - 09/30/97

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B.

OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.

Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Lockheed Martin Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN300420

AG Case #: 031751118

Filed: 2/10/2003

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$2,837,000.00	07/01/97 - 07/31/01

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B.

OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.

Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Langenberg, Ray

Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Case settled.

---

***Lockheed Martin Corporation, as Successor to Lockheed Martin Vought Systems Corporation and Loral Vought Systems Corporation v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN103525

AG Case #: 011523446

Filed: 10/24/2001

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$2,680,000.00	09/01/92 - 11/30/95

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B.

OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.

Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Case settled.

---

***Lockheed Martin Corporation, Successor to Lockheed Martin Vought Systems Corporation v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN201725

AG Case #: 021620414

Filed: 5/23/2002

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,857,000.00	12/01/95 - 06/30/97

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B.

OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.

Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Langenberg, Ray

Sigel, Doug

Osterloh, Curtis J.

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert.

Status: Case settled.

---

***Lockheed Martin Kelly Aviation Center, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN400625

AG Case #: 041928870

Filed: 2/26/2004

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,025,000.00	01/01/99 - 12/31/00

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Lone Star Steel Company v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-000500    AG Case #: 062286174                      Filed: 2/9/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$350,000.00	12/01/97 - 11/30/01

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Kinkade, Jana K.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Gilliland, David H.                      Clark, Thomas & Winters / Austin  
Smith, L. G. (Skip)

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's horizontal rollers used to alter steel strips qualify for the manufacturing exemption. Whether the horizontal rollers are consumed and become an ingredient or component part of the steel strip during the production process and exempt under the sale for resale exemption. Whether the Comptroller used the proper calculation method for interest applied to tax overpayments.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Macy's TX I, LP, Successor in Interest to the May Department Stores Company v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-003122    AG Case #: 062403712                      Filed: 8/24/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount      Reporting Period  
\$275,000.00      04/01/96 - 03/31/99

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Maloney, Natalie A.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to a refund of tax on industrial solid waste removal services, purchases of wrapping and packaging supplies, installation labor, purchases for sale for resale, and temporary storage of tangible personal property.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Mars, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN401349                      AG Case #: 041965336                      Filed: 4/29/2004  
Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount      Reporting Period  
\$726,024.00      01/01/94 - 09/30/97

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Unassigned

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Hagenswold, R. Eric

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's purchases of certain equipment and related items are exempt from sales tax under the manufacturing exemption. Whether Plaintiff's purchases of installation labor are exempt as purchases of non-taxable stand-alone installation services.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

***Maxus Energy Corporation as Successor in Interest to Maxus Corporate Company v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN404187

AG Case #: 052082260

Filed: 12/27/2004

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,794,780.29	09/01/95 - 12/31/98

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Unassigned

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Cowling, David E.	Jones Day / Dallas
Lochridge, Robert	

Issue: Whether items purchased by Plaintiff to be exported outside of the U.S. by a freight consolidator and not invoiced individually are exempt from sales and use tax. Whether the Comptroller's auditing techniques can assess tax on transactions previously audited and non-assessed. Whether Plaintiff "purchased" or "rented" software, and whether services provided to implement the software are taxable. Whether services performed on tangible personal property provided by a third party are exempt from sales and use tax. Plaintiff claims violation of equal and uniform taxation, and due process. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Mitchell, Christia Parr v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN201330

AG Case #: 021604541

Filed: 4/22/2002

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$160,870.48	01/01/95 - 12/31/98

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Maloney, Natalie A.	OAG Taxation / Austin
---------------------	-----------------------

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Pro Se

Issue: Whether Plaintiff may recover a sales tax refund for taxes paid by a corporation controlled by her ex-husband when the liability was paid pursuant to orders of the court in which the divorce was granted.

Status: Inactive.

---

***North American Intelcom, Inc., et al. v. Sharp, et al.***

Cause Number: 97-05318

AG Case #: 97733563

Filed: 5/2/1997

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$2,029,180.00	04/01/91 - 05/31/95

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Taylor, III, Jasper G.                Fulbright & Jaworski / Houston

Issue: Whether care, custody, and control of Plaintiff's public telephone equipment passed to their customers, so that Plaintiff could buy the equipment tax free for resale.

Status: Inactive.

---

***Northrop Grumman Systems Corporation (Successor to Northrop Grumman Corporation and Vought Aircraft Company) v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN201344

AG Case #: 021607155

Filed: 5/1/2002

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,600,000.00	09/01/92 - 11/30/95

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B.                         OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Bernal, Jr., Gilbert J.                Stahl, Bernal & Davies / Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*. Plaintiff claims that collection of the tax violates the supremacy clause as a tax on the U.S. government and that the Comptroller violated the constitutional requirements of equal protection and equal taxation by denying the refund claim. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Northwestern Resources Company v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN500768

AG Case #: 052118247

Filed: 3/11/2005

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$825,300.33	10/01/97 - 03/31/01

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Monzingo, Christine J.      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray

Issue: Whether parts, consumables and repair services that Plaintiff purchased for draglines used in its coal mining operations are exempt from sales tax under the manufacturing exemption. Plaintiff claims that the use of a dragline is to remove overburden, which results in a physical change. Plaintiff also claims violation of equal and uniform taxation, equal rights clause, equal protection clause, due course of law and due process clause.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Office Depot, Inc., Successor to Office Depot Business Services Division (aka Office Depot Business Services, Inc.) and Office Depot of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN503442

AG Case #: 052217601

Filed: 9/22/2005

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,552,785.55	01/01/94 - 07/31/98
	01/01/94 - 12/31/95
	07/01/92 - 12/31/93

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Kinkade, Jana K.      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray

Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether transactions for which customer identities are unavailable are taxable. Whether the Comptroller used the proper sampling procedure. Whether the proper error rate for assessed sales transactions with missing customer information was used. Plaintiff also claims violation of equal and uniform taxation, the equal rights clause, the equal protection clause, due course of law and due process clause.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Office Depot, Inc., Successor to Office Depot Business Services Division (aka Office Depot Business Services, Inc.) and Office Depot of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-000041 AG Case #: 062269014

Filed: 1/5/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,552,785.55	01/01/94 - 07/31/98
	01/01/94 - 12/31/95
	07/01/92 - 12/31/93

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Kinkade, Jana K. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Langenberg, Ray

Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether transactions for which customer identities are unavailable are taxable. Whether the Comptroller improperly extrapolated the error rate associated with tax-exempt copier lease payments over an under-valued population base.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Reynolds Metals Company v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN401468

AG Case #: 041970799

Filed: 5/7/2004

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$828,614.08	03/01/94 - 12/31/00

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether conveyors and weigh-ometers are exempt as manufacturing equipment or taxable as intraplant transportation. Whether repair and replacement parts for the conveyors are exempt from sales tax as purchases of pollution control equipment used in manufacturing and purchases of environmental repairs. Whether ship unloaders qualify as rolling stock and exempt from sales tax. Plaintiff also claims violation of equal and uniform taxation and equal protection.

Status: Discovery in progress. Cross-motion for partial MSJ hearing held 12/19/06.

---

***Roadway Express, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN002831

AG Case #: 001357631

Filed: 9/25/2000

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$713,686.05	04/01/88 - 05/31/92
\$206,053.87	04/01/88 - 05/31/92

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Cowling, David E.                      Jones Day / Dallas  
Lochridge, Robert

Issue: Whether various equipment used by the Plaintiff with its trucks is exempt from use tax as tangible personal property sold to a common carrier for use outside the state. Alternatively, whether the equipment had been taxed as vehicle components under the interstate motor carrier tax and could not be taxed as "accessories." Alternatively, whether taxing 100% of the value of the equipment violates the Commerce Clause because of a lack of substantial nexus and of fair apportionment. Whether all tax was paid on Plaintiff's repair and remodeling contracts and capital assets. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Trial setting passed. Discovery in progress.

---

***Robbins & Myers, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN301171

AG Case #: 031786551

Filed: 4/11/2003

Sales Tax; Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$23,492.41	06/01/95 - 07/31/98

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Kinkade, Jana K.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Binder, Henry                      Porter & Hedges / Houston

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is required to pay additional tax after the Comptroller's administrative order became final. Whether Plaintiff is entitled to the manufacturing exemption for down-hole drilling equipment and whether completion of Plaintiff's facility was new construction

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Rockwell Collins, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN203339

AG Case #: 021676788

Filed: 9/13/2002

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$591,028.39	01/01/97 - 12/31/98

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Gilliland, David H.                      Clark, Thomas & Winters / Austin

Issue: Plaintiff claims a sale for resale exemption on items resold to the federal government. Plaintiff also claims a denial of equal protection and an exemption under §151.3111.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Rollins & Rollins Enterprises, Inc. , dba Country Kwik Stop v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN202097

AG Case #: 021640651

Filed: 6/28/2002

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount      Reporting Period  
\$45,059.74      08/01/97 - 07/31/00

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Maloney, Natalie A.      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Peckham, William T.      Attorney at Law / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is liable for tax on food sold from its convenience store area. Whether the Comptroller applied proper percentages for loss and waste.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Sabine Mining Company, The v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN401382      AG Case #: 041964867      Filed: 4/30/2004  
#03-06-00293-CV  
#13-06-00330-CV

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount      Reporting Period  
\$905,468.12      10/01/97 - 09/30/01

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Monzingo, Christine J.      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray

Issue: Whether replacement parts and repair services for draglines qualify as manufacturing equipment and exempt from sales tax. Plaintiff claims that the draglines directly make or cause a chemical or physical change to formations, falling within the exempt manufacturing process. Plaintiff also claims violation of equal and uniform taxation, equal rights, equal protection, due course of law and due process.

Status: Trial held 04/10/06. District court rendered Judgment in favor of State. Notice of Appeal filed 05/25/06. Clerk's Record filed 08/02/06. Exhibits filed 08/25/06. Court Reporter's Record filed 08/25/06. Appellant's brief filed 10/27/06; Oral Argument requested. Appellees' brief filed 12/27/06. Appellant's reply brief due 01/10/07.

---

***San Antonio Spurs, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN403429

AG Case #: 042050401

Filed: 10/15/2004

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$913,435.03	06/01/97 - 06/30/00

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Unassigned

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.  
Osterloh, Curtis J.

Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether suite rental fees are exempt from sales tax as non-taxable rentals or licenses for the use of real property.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Sanford, Gerald L. and Clara Krueger Sanford dba Gerald's Manufacturing, a Sole Proprietorship v. Strayhorn***

Cause Number: 2005-CI-10903

AG Case #: 052185733

Filed: 7/5/2005

Sales Tax; Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$0.00	N/A

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Kinkade, Jana K.

OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Sagebiel, Dennis

Attorney at Law / Seguin

Issue: Plaintiff claims that the defendant established a tax account for Plaintiff's company without Plaintiff's knowledge or request. Plaintiff claims his business, which contracts for, installs and repairs residential roofs, is exempt from sales and use tax. Plaintiff requests declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Venue hearing held 04/26/06; case transferred to Travis County. Case to be dismissed.

---

***SC Kiosks, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN500795

AG Case #: 052126810

Filed: 3/15/2005

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$146,909.55	November 2004 Filing Period
\$66,251.85	December 2004 Filing Period
\$59,268.75	January 2005 Filing Period

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Osterloh, Curtis J.

Issue: Whether telephones purchased by Plaintiff, and subsequently sold to customers who contract for telephone service with a carrier associated with the Plaintiff, are exempt from sales tax under the sale for resale exemption.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Sharper Image Corporation v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN203645                      AG Case #: 021686779                      Filed: 10/9/2002

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$264,355.46	07/01/94 - 11/30/97

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eisenstein, Martin I.                      Brann & Isaacson / Lewiston, ME  
Beal, Kevin J.  
Bernal, Jr., Gilbert J.                      Stahl, Bernal & Davies / Austin

Issue: Whether use tax imposed on catalogs shipped from out-of-state is unlawful because: (1) Plaintiff never used the catalogs in Texas; (2) the tax violates the Commerce Clause; and, (3) Rule 3.346 is unconstitutional. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress. Trial to be reset.

---

***Sharper Image Corporation v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN203821

AG Case #: 021696851

Filed: 10/22/2002

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$258,205.20	12/01/97 - 03/31/01

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eisenstein, Martin I.              Brann & Isaacson / Lewiston, ME  
Beal, Kevin J.

Bernal, Jr., Gilbert J.              Stahl, Bernal & Davies / Austin

Issue: Whether use tax imposed on catalogs shipped from out-of-state is unlawful because: (1) Plaintiff never used the catalogs in Texas; (2) the tax violates the Commerce Clause; and, (3) Rule 3.346 is unconstitutional. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress. Trial to be reset.

---

***Southern Plastics, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-000047    AG Case #: 062270459

Filed: 1/6/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$4,872.78	11/01/99 - 10/31/02

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Maloney, Natalie A.                OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Bonilla, Ray                         Ray, Wood & Bonilla, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether Petitioner's waste from its manufacturing plant qualifies as industrial solid waste and thus exempt from sales tax when removed as industrial solid waste.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

***Southern Union Company v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-004637 AG Case #: 062430574

Filed: 12/15/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$747,733.01	07/01/93 - 06/30/97

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Maloney, Natalie A. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Hagenswold, R. Eric

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's purchases of gas pipes, valves and meters are exempt from sales and use tax as tangible personal property under the sale for resale exemption.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Southwest Food Processing & Refrigerated Services, aka Southwest Refrigerated Warehousing Services v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN103390

AG Case #: 011509668

Filed: 10/15/2001

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$188,477.57	01/01/96 - 12/31/99

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Mott, H. Christopher Krafur Gordon Mott / El Paso

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes tax on electricity used to freeze food items.

Status: Settlement offer made by Plaintiff and being reviewed.

---

***Southwestern Bell Telephone, LP v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN402300

AG Case #: 041998360

Filed: 7/22/2004

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$291,516,385.00	06/01/05 - 12/31/98

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Storie, Gene	OAG Taxation / Austin
--------------	-----------------------

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.	Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray	
Hagenswold, R. Eric	
Osterloh, Curtis J.	

Issue: Whether equipment used in telecommunications is exempt from sales tax under the manufacturing and processing exemption. Whether payphones purchased by Plaintiff to perform taxable telecommunications services qualify for the sale for resale exemption. Whether electricity purchased and resold as an integral part of other tangible personal property and used to perform taxable telecommunications services is exempt from sales tax. Whether stand-alone installation labor provided directly to a customer by a vendor or by a third-party installer is taxable.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Southwestern Bell Yellow Pages, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-004500 AG Case #: 062428495 Filed: 12/1/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$6,917,047.67	10/01/03 - 12/31/05

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B.	OAG Taxation / Austin
----------------	-----------------------

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.	Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray	
Sigel, Doug	

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes use tax on printing charges for directories printed out-of-state but ultimately distributed within Texas. Plaintiff claims the directories were "manufactured"

rather than "purchased" outside of Texas, resulting in the printing operations occurring outside of Texas and used and consumed outside of Texas.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Spacenet Services, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-002437 AG Case #: 062380332

Filed: 7/3/2006

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$650,940.41	09/01/95 - 12/31/98

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Unassigned

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.	Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray	
Sigel, Doug	

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes no tax because it accepted resale certificates in good faith. Whether all penalty and interest should be waived.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Stantrans Partners, L.P. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-004583 AG Case #: 062430343

Filed: 12/11/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$273,088.45	07/01/99 - 03/31/03

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Kinkade, Jana K.	OAG Taxation / Austin
------------------	-----------------------

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.	Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray	
Sigel, Doug	

Issue: Whether purchases of tangible personal property for ultimate sale as tangible personal

property are exempt from sales tax under the manufacturing and processing exemption. Whether gas and electricity purchased and used to process tangible personal property for sale as tangible personal property are exempt from sales tax under the manufacturing and processing exemption.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Stantrans Partners, LP v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN502648

AG Case #: 052186624

Filed: 7/29/2005

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$326,813.49	07/01/93 - 06/30/97

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Kinkade, Jana K.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether purchases of tangible personal property for ultimate sale as tangible personal property are exempt from sales tax under the manufacturing and processing exemption. Whether gas and electricity purchased and used to process tangible personal property for sale as tangible personal property are exempt from sales tax under the manufacturing and processing exemption.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Steamatic of Austin, Inc., et al. v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN200631

AG Case #: 021567771

Filed: 2/25/2002

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$103,335.27	04/01/91 - 04/30/94

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Maloney, Natalie A.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.  
Langenberg, Ray

Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to a tax refund for repairs to tangible personal property on the grounds that such repairs were for casualty losses exempt under the Comptroller's Rules 3.357 and 3.310. Whether the claim is barred by limitations. Whether the Comptroller improperly changed the rule on casualty losses.

Status: Motion for summary judgment filed. Response filed. Partial summary judgment on limitations granted for Plaintiff 04/07/04.

---

***Sysco Food Services of Austin, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN400465

AG Case #: 041925850

Filed: 2/17/2004

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$92,357.48	05/01/98 - 04/30/01

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Unassigned

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.  
Osterloh, Curtis J.

Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether electricity used to lower the temperature of food products is exempt as electricity used in processing.

Status: Discovery in progress. Hearing on Motion to Exclude set 11/07/06 passed.

---

***Sysco Food Services of Houston, L.P. (fka Sysco Food Service of Houston, Inc.) v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN100633

AG Case #: 011420734

Filed: 3/1/2001

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$196,492.74	01/01/94 - 12/31/96

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Unassigned

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Cunningham, Judy M. Attorney at Law / Austin

Blume, James Blume & Studdard / Dallas

Issue: Whether electricity used to lower the temperature of food products is exempt as electricity used in processing. Whether equipment is exempt for the same reason.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

***Sysco Food Services of Houston, L.P. (fka Sysco Food Services of Houston, Inc.) v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN302075

AG Case #: 031816119

Filed: 6/13/2003

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$270,401.80	07/01/94 - 06/30/98

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Unassigned

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Cunningham, Judy M. Attorney at Law / Austin

Blume, James Blume & Studdard / Dallas

Issue: Whether electricity used to lower the temperature of food products is exempt as electricity used in processing. Whether equipment is exempt for the same reason.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

***Target Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN502440

AG Case #: 052184538

Filed: 7/14/2005

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$591,242.98	02/01/96 - 07/31/99

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Langenberg, Ray

Issue: Whether charges for labor under separated contracts and charges under lump sum contracts constitute non-taxable new construction. Whether charges for assembly and installation of display items in retail stores are non-taxable third party installation services. Whether components purchased outside the state and used outside the state to construct other items, including assembly labor charges, are taxable. Whether installation charges for purchases of tangible personal property are non-taxable as separable charges.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***TDI-Halter, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN100339

AG Case #: 011409653

Filed: 2/1/2001

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$475,000.00	01/01/93 - 06/30/96

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Maloney, Natalie A.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Hagenswold, R. Eric

Issue: Whether conversion of drilling rigs to self-propelled, deep water rigs is manufacturing under the statute and Comptroller rules. Whether dredging is non-taxable maintenance of real property. Alternatively, whether interest should be waived.

Status: DWOP notice sent by court 03/29/05. Order retaining case entered 08/04/05. Discovery in progress. Scheduling order entered. Non-jury trial set 07/16/07. Settlement negotiations pending.

---

***Texaco Grand Prix of Houston, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-002510

AG Case #: 062381686

Filed: 7/10/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$48,129.01	1998 - 2001

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray

Issue: Whether rental furniture and similar items provided in hospitality suites are exempt under the sale for resale exemption. Whether additional parking space provided in a parking lot for motorcoaches is taxable as motor vehicle parking and storage service or exempt as real property.

Status: Plaintiff to file MSJ.

---

***Texas Gulf, Inc. v. Bullock, et al.***

Cause Number: 485,228 AG Case #: 90311185 Filed: 6/5/1990

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$294,000.00	01/01/85 - 06/30/88

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Kinkade, Jana K. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Lipstet, Ira A. DuBois Bryant Campbell & Schwartz, L.L.P. /  
Austin

Issue: Are pipes exempt as manufacturing equipment or taxable as intra-plant transportation.

Status: Inactive.

---

***Texas Westmoreland Coal Company v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-001312 AG Case #: 062309455 Filed: 4/14/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,007,126.65	04/01/01 - 12/31/02

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Monzingo, Christine J. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.  
Langenberg, Ray

Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether replacement parts and repair services for draglines and bucketwheels qualify as manufacturing equipment and exempt from sales tax. Plaintiff claims that the draglines and bucketwheels directly make or cause a chemical or physical change to lignite by removing the overburden, falling within the exempt manufacturing process.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Tree of Life, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-002103 AG Case #: 062367701

Filed: 6/9/2006

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$200,000.00	01/01/97 - 12/31/00

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Unassigned

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Cunningham, Judy M. Attorney at Law / Austin

Issue: Whether electricity used to lower the temperature of food products is exempt as electricity used in processing. Whether the process causes a physical change to the products. Whether packing supplies and replacement parts of processing equipment qualify as manufacturing equipment and exempt from sales tax. Whether the Comptroller violated the rules of statutory construction. Plaintiff claims violation of equal and uniform taxation. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

***Tyler Holding Company, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-004608 AG Case #: 062430350

Filed: 12/13/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$47,129.21	10/01/96 - 12/31/99

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.  
Langenberg, Ray  
Sigel, Doug

Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether purchases of tangible personal property by Plaintiff's predecessor were exempt from sales and use tax under the manufacturing exemption. Whether charges of contractors for erecting, dismantling and moving scaffolding are exempt from sales and use tax as a non-taxable service, or taxable as rental of tangible personal property.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***United Scaffolding, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-002270 AG Case #: 062375514

Filed: 6/21/2006

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$897,633.51	10/01/97 - 04/30/01

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Ohlenforst, Cynthia M. Hughes & Luce / Dallas  
Villa, Richard D. Hughes & Luce / Austin

Issue: Whether scaffolding services provided by Plaintiff are taxable rentals of tangible personal property in regard to certain lump sum contracts, or exempt as non-taxable services. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***United Space Alliance, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN401174

AG Case #: 041954488

Filed: 4/14/2004

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$975,000.00	07/01/99 - 07/31/03

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***United Space Alliance, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN501793 AG Case #: 052151891 Filed: 5/17/2005

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$881,264.71	03/01/00 - 06/30/03

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

***United Space Alliance, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN504467 AG Case #: 062267356 Filed: 12/16/2005

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$297,739.30	03/01/00 - 06/30/03

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Kinkade, Jana K.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether security services provided to Plaintiff in connection with services to the federal government qualify for the sale for resale exemption. Whether tax on tangible personal property should be refunded pursuant to the Raytheon case. Whether electricity used to produce software qualifies for the manufacturing and processing exemptions. Whether certain software maintenance is a non-taxable service.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Uretek U.S.A., Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-003268    AG Case #: 062405964

Filed: 8/31/2006

Sales Tax; Protest & Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$35,436.95	07/01/02 - 10/31/05
\$21,939.96	01/01/99 - 06/30/02

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Smith, L. G. (Skip)                      Clark, Thomas & Winters / Austin  
Wethekam, Marilyn A.                      Horwood Marcus & Berk Chartered / Chicago, IL

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to an exemption on drill bits because the bits are incorporated into realty for exempt organizations. Whether consumable supplies and equipment qualify as tangible personal property used in the performance of a contract to improve real property and, therefore, tax exempt. Whether tangible personal property purchased outside of Texas, temporarily stored in Texas, and then used in the performance of contracts located outside of Texas are tax exempt. Plaintiff seeks waiver of all penalty and interest.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***USCOC of Texahoma, Inc., Successor to USCOC of Corpus Christi, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-002388 AG Case #: 062380266

Filed: 6/29/2006

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$110,668.91	01/01/97 - 06/30/01

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes use tax on telecommunications equipment components shipped out of state by a vendor and manufactured into site base stations which are then shipped back into Texas.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***V.H. Salas & Associates, Inc. v. Comptroller***

Cause Number: GN403975

AG Case #: 042071365

Filed: 12/6/2004

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$66,543.64	08/01/98 - 04/30/02

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Kinkade, Jana K. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Lopez, Diego A. The Law Offices of Diego A. Lopez / San Antonio

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes sales tax on purchased equipment used in the manufacturing of wood and metal products. Whether Plaintiff owes sales tax on electricity used to operate the equipment. Whether Plaintiff was denied due process of law and the right to equal protection of the law. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

***Verizon North, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-001295 AG Case #: 062309349

Filed: 4/13/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,116,225.00	06/01/96 - 02/29/00

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.	OAG Taxation / Austin
------------------	-----------------------

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.	Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray	
Sigel, Doug	

Issue: Whether purchases of software licenses qualify as tangible personal property. Whether some portion of the software license not stored, used or consumed in or during the manufacturing, processing, or fabrication of tangible personal property for ultimate sale is exempt from sales tax.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Watson Sysco Food Services, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-002879 AG Case #: 062397849

Filed: 8/10/2006

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$63,720.38	04/01/01 - 07/31/04

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Unassigned

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Hagenswold, R. Eric	Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Osterloh, Curtis J.	

Issue: Whether electricity used to lower the temperature of food products is exempt as electricity used in processing.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

***West Texas Pizza, Limited Partnership v. Sharp, et al.***

Cause Number: 96-11751

AG Case #: 96611633

Filed: 9/27/1996

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$35,247.00	06/01/88 - 06/30/92

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Maloney, Natalie A.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Rothfelder, Richard L.                  Rothfelder & Falick, L.L.P. / Houston  
Magee, Milissa M.

Issue: Whether prizes obtained by collecting tickets from amusement machines in a restaurant are “purchased” by the customer as part of the price of the food.

Status: Inactive.

---

***White Swan, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN304767

AG Case #: 041904608

Filed: 12/18/2003

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$415,185.61	10/01/93 - 12/31/97

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Unassigned

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Cunningham, Judy M.                      Attorney at Law / Austin

Issue: Whether the purchase of electricity used to lower the temperature of food products is exempt under Tax Code Sections 151.317 and 151.318. Whether the process causes a physical change to the products. Whether the decision of the Comptroller violated the statute and long-standing Comptroller policy.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

***White Swan, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-002987

AG Case #: 062398086

Filed: 8/17/2006

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$219,297.54	01/01/98 - 12/31/00

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Unassigned

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Cunningham, Judy M.          Attorney at Law / Austin

Issue: Whether the purchase of electricity used to lower the temperature of food products is exempt under Tax Code Sections 151.317 and 151.318. Whether the process causes a physical change to the products. Whether the purchases of packing supplies and repairs to and replacement parts of processing are exempt from sales tax. Whether the decision of the Comptroller violated the rules of statutory construction and long-standing Comptroller policy. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

***Williams, Duane Everett v. Comptroller***

Cause Number: GN304667          AG Case #: 031899222          Filed: 12/10/2003

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$50,000.00	2002

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B.                  OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Cooper, Michael R.          Attorney at Law / Salado

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's civil rights were violated by the Comptroller's audit and whether the audit assessment should be set aside for lack of substantial evidence.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***World Fitness Centers, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN201795          AG Case #: 021626239          Filed: 5/30/2002

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount      Reporting Period  
\$273,005.56      09/01/94 - 05/31/98

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Maloney, Natalie A.      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Bonilla, Ray      Ray, Wood & Bonilla, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes sales tax on the discount and reserve amounts of its factored contracts when Plaintiff is a cash-basis taxpayer.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Wyndham International Operating Partnership, LP v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-004260      AG Case #: 062425574      Filed: 11/9/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount      Reporting Period  
\$31,283.31      01/01/99 - 09/30/02

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Bonilla, Ray      Ray, Wood & Bonilla, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether certain amenity and consumable items such as shampoo, stationery and similar items resold to hotel guests are exempt from sales tax as sales for resale.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Zale Delaware, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN202030      AG Case #: 021640669      Filed: 6/24/2002

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount      Reporting Period  
\$333,602.57      08/01/92 - 02/28/97

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is liable for tax on items temporarily stored in Texas. Whether tax on services purchased by Plaintiff should be reduced to reflect the out-of-state benefit of those services. Whether Plaintiff should get a refund or credit for tax paid on inventory. Whether the Comptroller should be barred from off-setting debts in the period between the filing of Plaintiff's bankruptcy petition and the confirmation of its reorganization plan.

Status: Plaintiff to provide documents to resolve dispute.

---

***Zale Delaware, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN301725 AG Case #: 031806045 Filed: 5/27/2003

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,170,404.64	08/01/92 - 02/28/97

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to exemption on items of inventory temporarily stored in-state. Whether tax was improperly assessed on services performed outside the state. Whether installation services on counters and software were readily separable from taxable tangible property. Whether the Comptroller should be enjoined from taking offsets pursuant to Plaintiff's bankruptcy plea.

Status: Plaintiff to provide documents to resolve dispute.

---

***Zimmerman Sign Company v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN500612 AG Case #: 052113065 Filed: 2/28/2005

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$105,046.66	01/01/95 - 04/30/98

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Maloney, Natalie A.	OAG Taxation / Austin
---------------------	-----------------------

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.	Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray	

Issue: Whether certain equipment, machinery, parts, supplies and consumables purchased to manufacture exterior signs are exempt from sales tax under the manufacturing exemption. Whether or not Plaintiff is a “contractor” to qualify for the manufacturing exemption.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

## Insurance Tax

***Allstate County Mutual Insurance Company; Allstate Insurance Company; Allstate Indemnity Company; Allstate Texas Lloyds; and Allstate Property and Casualty Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN300968

AG Case #: 031778947

Filed: 3/26/2003

Insurance Premium Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$174,386.15	1995 - 1998
\$10,529.48	1995 - 1998
\$4,013.24	1995 - 1998
\$11,858.40	1995 - 1998
\$7,306.09	1995 - 1998
\$208,093.27	Total of All Above

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Maloney, Natalie A.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Werkenthin, Fred B.                      Jackson Walker, L.L.P. / Austin  
Moore, Steven D.

Issue: Whether Plaintiffs owe gross premiums tax on defaulted auto insurance premiums that are not received.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

***American Fidelity Assurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN302070

AG Case #: 031816564

Filed: 6/12/2003

Insurance Premium Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$241,625.20	1992

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Monzingo, Christine J.      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Jones, Michael W.      Thompson, Coe, Cousins & Irons / Austin  
Lee, Kevin F.

Issue: Whether investments in “Fannie Mae” and “Freddie Mac” mortgage pools qualify as investments in Texas mortgages. Whether Rule 3.809 (c) is invalid.

Status: Plaintiff’s settlement offer accepted. Awaiting Plaintiff’s review of compromise and settlement agreement.

---

***American International Specialty Lines Insurance Company v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN002666      AG Case #: 001351998      Filed: 9/8/2000

Insurance Premium Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$362,975.97	1995

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Storie, Gene      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Hollingsworth, Cynthia      Gardere Wynne & Sewell / Dallas  
Frisbie, Jr., Curtis L.  
Gordon, Randy D.  
Joyner, Samuel E.

Issue: Whether an authorized surplus lines insurer is required to pay unauthorized insurance tax when the Comptroller is unable to verify payment of tax by the agent. Whether the Comptroller wrongfully relied on another hearings decision as precedent. Plaintiff also seeks injunctive and declaratory relief and attorneys’ fees.

Status: Case consolidated into Lexington Insurance Company and Landmark Insurance Company v. Rylander, et al., Cause #GN100569.

---

***AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN501095      AG Case #: 052135712      Filed: 4/7/2005

Gross Premium & Maintenance Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$57,166.00	2004

\$28,583.00 2005  
\$849.00 2004 (Maintenance Tax)

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Storie, Gene OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Werkenthin, Fred B. Jackson Walker, L.L.P. / Austin

Small, Edward C.

Moore, Steven D.

Fitzgerald, Pat

Issue: Whether dividends retained and applied to reduce premiums be included in gross premiums subject to tax under Article 4.11 and Article 4.17. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Stayed by agreement pending final decision in Metropolitan Life Insurance Co., et al. v. A.W. Pogue, et al., Cause No. 484,745.

---

***Fireman's Fund Insurance Company of Ohio v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN101899

AG Case #: 011464476

Filed: 6/20/2001

Insurance Premium Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$439,074.12 1992 - 1998

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Storie, Gene OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Alexander, Ricky Cantey & Hanger / Austin

Ramsey, Jennifer

Issue: Whether Plaintiff, an authorized surplus lines insurer, is liable for unauthorized insurance premiums tax. Whether the Comptroller lacks authority to determine that Plaintiff is an unauthorized insurer, and whether the Texas Department of Insurance is required to make that determination. Whether the Comptroller engaged in selective and improper enforcement. Whether the assessment violates Due Process and the McCarran-Ferguson Act. Alternatively, whether penalty should be waived. Plaintiff also seeks injunctive relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Case was to be dismissed by court unless retained. Plaintiff filed unopposed motion to retain; granted. Inactive until Lexington Insurance is decided. Trial set 08/13/07.

---

***First American Title Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN301692

AG Case #: 031806011

Filed: 5/23/2003

#03-04-00342-CV

#05-0541

Retaliatory Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount      Reporting Period

\$1,432,580.76      1998 - 2002

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Monzingo, Christine J.      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eudy, Ron K.      Sneed, Vine & Perry / Austin

Zim, Matthew J.      Steptoe & Johnson, L.L.P. / Washington, DC

Issue: Whether the Comptroller properly used “split” premiums in calculating the retaliatory tax of a foreign title insurance company. Whether the Comptroller’s interpretation of the title insurance tax statutes violates the Equal Protection Clause. Whether the Comptroller’s policy change violated Due Process and the APA. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys’ fees.

Status: State's Motion for Summary Judgment granted 05/18/04; Plaintiff's denied. Notice of Appeal filed 06/17/04. Motion to consolidate cases granted 07/29/04 (Old Republic National Title Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al., Cause #GN401630). Appellants' brief filed 08/30/04. Appellees' brief filed 10/26/04. Appellants' reply brief filed 11/15/04. Submitted on Oral Argument 01/19/05. Appellees' supplemental brief filed 02/01/05. Appellants' supplemental brief filed 02/15/05. Opinion issued 06/03/05 affirming trial court's judgment in favor of Comptroller. Petition for Review filed in the Tx. Supreme Court 07/14/05. Respondent filed Waiver to Respond 07/28/05. Case forwarded to Court 08/02/05. Court requested response 08/29/05; response filed 09/28/05. Petitioner's reply filed 10/13/05. Briefing on the merits requested 12/19/05. Petitioners' brief filed 02/17/06. Respondents' brief filed 03/09/06. Petitioners' reply brief filed 03/24/06. Amicus Curiae brief received by Court 04/11/06. Petition for Review denied 09/01/06. Motion for Rehearing filed 10/16/06. Amicus Curiae brief received by Court 10/16/06. Response to Motion for Rehearing filed by Respondent 12/08/06. Petitioner's reply filed 12/22/06.

---

***First American Title Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN401631

AG Case #: 041976440

Filed: 5/21/2004

Retaliatory Tax; Protest

Claim Amount      Reporting Period

\$1,490,029.00      2003

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Monzingo, Christine J.      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eudy, Ron K.      Sneed, Vine & Perry / Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller properly used “split” premiums in calculating the retaliatory tax of a foreign title insurance company. Whether the Comptroller’s interpretation of the title insurance tax statutes violates the Equal Protection Clause. Whether the Comptroller’s policy change violated Due Process and the APA.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***First American Title Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN501795

AG Case #: 052153855

Filed: 5/17/2005

Retaliatory Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$2,140,952.88	2004

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Monzingo, Christine J.      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eudy, Ron K.      Sneed, Vine & Perry / Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller properly used “split” premiums in calculating the retaliatory tax of a foreign title insurance company. Whether the Comptroller’s interpretation of the title insurance tax statutes violates the Equal Protection Clause. Whether the Comptroller’s policy change violated Due Process and the APA.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***First American Title Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-001853

AG Case #: 062359823

Filed: 5/24/2006

Retaliatory Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,020,476.26	2005

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Monzingo, Christine J.      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eudy, Ron K.      Sneed, Vine & Perry / Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller properly used “split” premiums in calculating the retaliatory tax of a foreign title insurance company. Whether the Comptroller’s interpretation of the title insurance tax statutes violates the Equal Protection Clause. Whether the Comptroller’s policy change violated Due Process and the APA.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Lexington Insurance Company, Landmark Insurance Company v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN100569

AG Case #: 011417896

Filed: 2/22/2001

#03-03-00169-CV

#04-0429

Insurance Premium Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount      Reporting Period

\$1,596,196.63      1992 - 1995

\$36,174.92      1992 - 1995

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Storie, Gene      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Hollingsworth, Cynthia      Gardere Wynne & Sewell / Dallas

Frisbie, Jr., Curtis L.

Martin, Jeremy

Issue: Whether an authorized surplus lines insurer is required to pay unauthorized insurance tax when the Comptroller is unable to verify payment of tax by the agent. Whether the Comptroller wrongfully relied on another hearings decision as precedent. Plaintiff also seeks injunctive and declaratory relief and attorneys’ fees.

Status: Summary Judgment hearing held 08/01/02; Summary Judgment granted for insurers. Notice of Appeal filed 03/21/03. Appellants’ brief filed 08/15/03. Appellee’s brief filed 11/10/03. Appellants’ reply brief filed 12/05/03. Oral argument held 01/07/04. Third Court of Appeals reversed and remanded trial court’s judgment 02/20/04. Appellees filed Motion for

Consideration En Banc and Motion for Rehearing 03/08/04; overruled 03/25/04. Petition for Review filed 06/24/04. Waiver of Response filed 07/06/04. Case forwarded to Court 07/13/04. Response to Petition for Review filed by Respondent 08/26/04. Petitioner's Reply filed 09/17/04. Court requested briefs on the merits. Petitioners' brief filed 11/18/04. Respondents' brief filed 01/07/05. Amicus Curiae posted 01/18/05. Petitioner's reply brief on the merits filed 01/27/05. Court requested reply from Respondents; reply brief filed 03/17/05. Lexington filed a motion on 03/23/05 to strike and/or seal the Amicus Brief of Varco Int'l. Response filed 04/13/05 at the Court's request. Petition granted 05/27/05. Motion to Strike Amicus Brief denied and Motion to Seal granted 05/27/05. Submitted on Oral Argument 09/28/05. Amicus Curiae posted 10/18/05 and 10/21/05. Opinion issued 12/01/06 affirming Court of Appeal's judgment. Case remanded to trial court. Plaintiff's motion for rehearing due 12/18/06.

---

***Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, et al. v. A.W. Pogue, et al.***

Cause Number: 484,745

AG Case #: 90304512

Filed: 5/24/1990

#03-06-00446-CV

Gross Premium Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,848,606.00	1985 - 1986
	1989 - 1992

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Storie, Gene

OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Werkenthin, Fred B.

Jackson Walker, L.L.P. / Austin

Moore, Steven D.

Harrison, Breck

Rogers, Tom

Issue: Whether insurance taxes are owed by insurance companies on dividends applied to paid-up additions and renewal premiums.

Status: Ninth Amended Petition filed. Settlement discussed, and partial settlement agreed to. Final Judgment entered on paid-up additions issue. Renewal premium issue severed and retained on docket. Plaintiffs made settlement offer on remainder of case. Motion for Summary Judgment hearing held 02/14/06. Judgment granted for Plaintiffs 06/29/06. State filed Notice of Appeal 07/26/06; docketing statement filed 08/01/06. Clerk's Record filed 08/24/06. Appellants' brief filed 09/25/06. Appellees' brief filed 10/25/06; Oral Argument not requested. Appellants' reply brief filed 11/14/06.

---

***Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, et al. v. A.W. Pogue, et al.***

Cause Number: 484,796

AG Case #: 90304503

Filed: 5/23/1990

Maintenance Tax; Protest

Claim Amount      Reporting Period

\$1,616,497.00      1989 - 1991

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Storie, Gene

OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Werkenthin, Fred B.

Jackson Walker, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether Tex. Ins. Code art. 21.07-6 is preempted by ERISA.

Status: One Plaintiff has submitted documentation supporting a refund. Case will be concluded in accordance with *NGS v. Barnes*, 998 F.2d 296 (5th Cir. 1993). Severance and final judgment entered for Metropolitan. Awaiting documentation for other Plaintiffs.

---

***New York Life Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN501094

AG Case #: 052130697

Filed: 4/7/2005

Gross Premium & Maintenance Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount      Reporting Period

\$105,822.00      2004

\$52,911.00      2005

\$1,572.00      2004 (Maintenance Tax)

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Storie, Gene

OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Werkenthin, Fred B.

Jackson Walker, L.L.P. / Austin

Small, Edward C.

Moore, Steven D.

Fitzgerald, Pat

Issue: Whether dividends retained and applied to reduce premiums be included in gross premiums subject to tax under Article 4.11 and Article 4.17. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Stayed by agreement pending final decision in *Metropolitan Life Insurance Co., et al. v.*

***Old Republic National Title Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN401630

AG Case #: 041976416

Filed: 5/21/2004

Retaliatory Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$289,403.85	2003

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Monzingo, Christine J.      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eudy, Ron K.      Sneed, Vine & Perry / Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller properly used “split” premiums in calculating the retaliatory tax of a foreign title insurance company. Whether the Comptroller’s interpretation of the title insurance tax statutes violates the Equal Protection Clause. Whether the Comptroller’s policy change violated Due Process and the APA. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys’ fees.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Old Republic National Title Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN501794

AG Case #: 052151883

Filed: 5/17/2005

Retaliatory Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$234,970.95	2004

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Monzingo, Christine J.      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eudy, Ron K.      Sneed, Vine & Perry / Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller properly used “split” premiums in calculating the retaliatory tax of a foreign title insurance company. Whether the Comptroller’s interpretation of the title insurance tax statutes violates the Equal Protection Clause. Whether the Comptroller’s policy change violated Due Process and the APA.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Old Republic National Title Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN503918

AG Case #: 052240827

Filed: 10/28/2005

Retaliatory Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$247,928.29	01/01/01 - 12/31/04

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Monzingo, Christine J.      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eudy, Ron K.      Sneed, Vine & Perry / Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller properly used “split” premiums in calculating the retaliatory tax of a foreign title insurance company. Whether the Comptroller’s interpretation of the title insurance tax statutes violates the Equal Protection Clause. Whether the Comptroller’s policy change violated Due Process and the APA.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Old Republic National Title Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-001854

AG Case #: 062359823

Filed: 5/24/2006

Retaliatory Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$255,144.50	2005

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Monzingo, Christine J.      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eudy, Ron K.      Sneed, Vine & Perry / Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller properly used “split” premiums in calculating the retaliatory tax of a foreign title insurance company. Whether the Comptroller’s interpretation of the title insurance tax statutes violates the Equal Protection Clause. Whether the Comptroller’s policy change violated Due Process and the APA.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Old Republic Title Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN301693  
#03-04-00347-CV

AG Case #: 031806029

Filed: 5/23/2003

Retaliatory Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$219,626.40	2002

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Monzingo, Christine J.      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eudy, Ron K.      Sneed, Vine & Perry / Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller properly used "split" premiums in calculating the retaliatory tax of a foreign title insurance company. Whether the Comptroller's interpretation of the title insurance tax statutes violates the Equal Protection Clause. Whether the Comptroller's policy change violated Due Process and the APA. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: The State's Motion for Summary Judgment granted 05/17/04 and Plaintiff's Motion denied. Notice of Appeal filed 06/17/04; dismissed 07/29/04 due to Motion for Consolidation. Case consolidated into First American Title Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al., Cause #GN301692, #03-04-00342-CV.

---

***Prudential Insurance Company, The v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN501093

AG Case #: 052137189

Filed: 4/7/2005

Gross Premium & Maintenance Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$230,578.00	2004
\$115,289.00	2005
\$3,426.00	2004 (Maintenance Tax)

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Storie, Gene      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Werkenthin, Fred B.      Jackson Walker, L.L.P. / Austin  
Small, Edward C.  
Moore, Steven D.  
Fitzgerald, Pat

Issue: Whether dividends retained and applied to reduce premiums be included in gross premiums subject to tax under Article 4.11 and Article 4.17. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Stayed by agreement pending final decision in Metropolitan Life Insurance Co., et al. v. A.W. Pogue, et al., Cause No. 484,745.

---

***St. Paul Surplus Lines Company v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN102788                      AG Case #: 011490877                      Filed: 8/24/2001

Insurance Premium Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$163,021.27	01/01/95 - 12/31/98

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Storie, Gene                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Jones, Michael W.                      Thompson, Coe, Cousins & Irons / Austin

Lee, Kevin F.

Geiger, Richard S.                      Thompson, Coe, Cousins & Irons / Dallas

Issue: Whether Plaintiff, an eligible surplus lines insurer, is liable for unauthorized insurance tax. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorney's fees.

Status: To be determined by Lexington Insurance Co., Landmark Insurance Co., et al. v. Strayhorn, et al. Dismissal notice has been received from the court.

---

***STP Nuclear Operating Company v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN302053                      AG Case #: 031808371                      Filed: 6/11/2003  
#03-06-00428-CV

Insurance Premium Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$115,287.80	2002

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Storie, Gene                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Newton, Howard P.                      Cox Smith Matthews Inc. / San Antonio

Ruiz, Rene D.

Issue: Whether the independently procured insurance tax may be collected from a Texas corporation despite the decisions in Todd Shipyards and Dow Chemical. Whether imposition of the tax violates equal protection or is pre-empted by federal law governing the operation of nuclear plants.

Status: Due to order consolidating cases entered 06/27/05, STP Nuclear Operating Co. v. Strayhorn, et al., Cause No. GN501910, consolidated into this case. Hearing on cross-motions for summary judgment held 04/17/06. Judgment granted for Plaintiff on grounds of McCarran-Ferguson Act and for Defendants on issue of pre-emption. Judgment signed 06/20/06. State filed Notice of Appeal 07/18/06; docketing statement filed 07/21/06. Clerk's Record filed 08/30/06. Appellants' brief filed 10/20/06; Oral Argument requested. Appellee's brief filed 12/04/06.

---

***STP Nuclear Operating Company v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN501910                      AG Case #: 052155728                      Filed: 5/27/2005

Insurance Premium Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$154,235.67	2004

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Storie, Gene                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Newton, Howard P.                      Cox Smith Matthews Inc. / San Antonio  
Ruiz, Rene D.

Issue: Whether the independently procured insurance tax may be collected from a Texas corporation despite the decisions in Todd Shipyards and Dow Chemical. Whether imposition of the tax violates equal and uniform protection or is pre-empted by federal law governing the operation of nuclear plants.

Status: Order to consolidate cases entered 06/27/05. This case consolidated into STP Nuclear Operating Co. v. Strayhorn, et al., Cause No. GN302053.

---

***STP Nuclear Operating Company v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN503375                      AG Case #: 052214509                      Filed: 9/19/2005

Insurance Premium Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$529,071.60	1998 - 2001

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Storie, Gene                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Newton, Howard P.              Cox Smith Matthews Inc. / San Antonio  
Ruiz, Rene D.

Issue: Whether the independently procured insurance tax may be collected from a Texas corporation despite the decisions in Todd Shipyards and Dow Chemical. Whether imposition of the tax violates equal and uniform protection or is pre-empted by federal law governing the operation of nuclear plants.

Status: Inactive. Pending resolution of companion STP case.

---

***STP Nuclear Operating Company v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-002569    AG Case #: 062382932                      Filed: 7/14/2006

Insurance Premium Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$166,950.77	2005

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Storie, Gene                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Newton, Howard P.              Cox Smith Matthews Inc. / San Antonio  
Ruiz, Rene D.  
Figueroa, Rodrigo J.

Issue: Whether the independently procured insurance tax may be collected from a Texas corporation despite the decisions in Todd Shipyards and Dow Chemical. Whether imposition of the tax violates equal and uniform protection or is pre-empted by federal law governing the operation of nuclear plants.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Warranty Underwriters Insurance Company v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: 99-12271                      AG Case #: 991226739                      Filed: 10/20/1999

Insurance Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$416,462.73	1993 - 1997
\$214,893.74	1993 - 1997

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

White, Raymond E.                      Akin, Gump, Strauss, Hauer & Feld / Austin  
Micciche, Daniel

Issue: Whether the Comptroller improperly included amounts not received by Plaintiff in Plaintiff's gross premiums tax base. Whether any maintenance tax is payable on Plaintiff's business of home warranty insurance. Whether the Comptroller is bound by the prior actions and determinations of the Texas Department of Insurance. Whether the assessments of tax violate due process and equal taxation. Whether penalty and interest should have been waived.

Status: Discovery in progress. Trial set 04/23/07.

---



## Other Taxes

### ***Arnold, Jessamine J., Estate of, Deceased, and Jim Arnold, Jr., Independent Executor v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN203255

AG Case #: 021670484

Filed: 9/9/2002

Inheritance Tax; Protest

Claim Amount      Reporting Period

\$161,956.00      N/A

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Unassigned

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Martens, James F.

Martens & Associates / Austin

Mondrik, Christina A.

Issue: Whether the IRS erred in increasing the value of the estate's assets and disallowing expenses and gifts.

Status: Answer filed.

---

### ***Beadles, Joe Haven v. Strayhorn***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-002682      AG Case #: 062385901

Filed: 7/24/2006

Diesel Fuel Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount      Reporting Period

\$697,793.00      N/A

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Storie, Gene

OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Pro Se

Issue: Plaintiff claims that the State issued a diesel fuel bonded suppliers' permit to Plaintiff without Plaintiff's knowledge, allowing diesel fuel taxes to be assessed against Plaintiff. Plaintiff claims he never purchased or sold diesel fuel. Plaintiff claims the State previously

collected the taxes in question from subsidiaries who sold diesel fuel through truck stops. Plaintiff claims these subsidiaries bought the diesel fuel from an oil company which the State, through an “agreement with the oil company,” exempted from paying taxes. Plaintiff requests that all diesel fuel taxes assessed be dismissed.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Bryan ISD v. Strayhorn***

Cause Number: D-1-GV-06-001442 AG Case #: 062389937

Filed: 8/3/2006

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$0.00	2005

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Maloney, Natalie A. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Bonilla, Ray Ray, Wood & Bonilla, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and valuing sample properties in Categories A and B property. Whether the Comptroller erred in its procedures and methods used to properly value Categories A, B and L1 property. Whether the Comptroller’s order on the value study is arbitrary and unreasonable and supported by substantial evidence.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***CarMax Auto Superstores, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN400433 AG Case #: 041921990

Filed: 2/12/2004

Motor Vehicle Sales Tax; Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$0.00	N/A

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Reenan, Lara L. Henry Oddo Austin & Fletcher / Dallas

Issue: Whether Plaintiff’s tax collection and financing activities are legal under the Tax Code, Finance Code and Constitution.

Status: Co-defendant's motion to dismiss granted 06/21/04.

---

***ConocoPhillips Company v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN403149

AG Case #: 042035626

Filed: 9/22/2004

Gas Production Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$539,224.78	01/01/95 - 11/30/97

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Nielson, Jamie                      Attorney at Law / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's refund claim fell within the statute of limitations deadline once the high-cost gas exemption or reduction was applied. Whether the high-cost gas refund claim involves the same type of tax as the marketing cost deduction claim which was the basis for the Section 111.207(d) tolling.

Status: Case in final settlement.

---

***Culberson County-Allamoore ISD v. Strayhorn***

Cause Number: D-1-GV-06-001443

AG Case #: 062390018

Filed: 8/3/2006

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$0.00	2005

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Unassigned

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Bonilla, Ray                      Ray, Wood & Bonilla, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and valuing sample properties in Categories A, C and D3 property. Whether the Comptroller erred in its procedures and methods used to properly value Categories A, C and D3 property. Whether the Comptroller's order on the value study is arbitrary and unreasonable and supported by substantial evidence.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

***Cypress-Fairbanks ISD, et al. v. Troy G. Rountree, et al.***

Cause Number: 2004-54335

AG Case #: 042056796

Filed: 9/30/2004

Property Tax; Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$0.00	N/A

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Maloney, Natalie A.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Spears, Walter E.                      Bartley & Spears, P.C. / Houston  
Hamilton, Stephen K.  
McLaurin, IV, Neil H.

Issue: Whether Tax Code §32.05(c), which subordinates the liens of property owners' associations, is unconstitutional.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Daingerfield-Lone Star ISD v. Strayhorn***

Cause Number: D-1-GV-06-001444    AG Case #: 062390034

Filed: 8/3/2006

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$0.00	2005

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Maloney, Natalie A.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Bonilla, Ray                              Ray, Wood & Bonilla, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and valuing sample properties in Categories A and F1 property. Whether the Comptroller erred in its procedures and methods used to properly value Categories A and F1 property. Whether the Comptroller's order on the value study is arbitrary and unreasonable and supported by substantial evidence.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***El Paso Natural Gas Company v. Sharp***

Cause Number: 91-6309

AG Case #: 9178237

Filed: 5/6/1991

Gas Production Tax; Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$3,054,480.60	01/01/87 - 12/31/87

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.	OAG Taxation / Austin
------------------	-----------------------

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W. Langenberg, Ray	Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
------------------------------------	--

Issue: Whether Comptroller should have granted Plaintiff a hearing on penalty waiver and related issues.

Status: State's Plea in Abatement granted pending outcome of administrative hearing on audit liability. Negotiations pending.

---

***El Paso Natural Gas Company v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN501395

AG Case #: 052141975

Filed: 4/25/2005

Gas Production Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$10,517.30	01/01/87 - 12/31/87
	01/01/88 - 12/31/88

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.	OAG Taxation / Austin
------------------	-----------------------

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W. Langenberg, Ray Sigel, Doug Dashiell, Doug	Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
---	--

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes gas production tax on Order 94 Payments. Whether Plaintiff is liable for tax on gas purchases as a producer or exempt as a purchaser. Whether Plaintiff is exempt from paying severance taxes as an interstate natural gas pipeline company. Plaintiff claims violation of the Due Process, Commerce, and Supremacy Clauses, and equal and

uniform taxation. Plaintiff requests that the assessed penalty and interest be waived, and seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress. Settlement negotiations in progress.

---

***El Paso Natural Gas Company v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN502628

AG Case #: 052186640

Filed: 7/28/2005

Gas Production Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$41,492.78	01/01/87 - 12/31/87
\$31,595.18	01/01/87 - 12/31/87 (penalty)
\$87,955.50	01/01/87 - 12/31/87 (interest)
\$25,231.65	01/01/88 - 12/31/88
\$44,138.50	01/01/88 - 12/31/88 (interest)

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.

OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.

Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Langenberg, Ray

Sigel, Doug

Dashiell, Doug

Issue: Whether Order 94 payments are exempt from tax. Whether Plaintiff is liable for taxes as a gas producer or exempt as a purchaser. Whether imposition of the gas production tax on Plaintiff violates the Commerce Clause and Supremacy Clause. Whether gas contract settlement payments or transactions are taxable. Plaintiff claims violation of due process rights under the constitutions of both Texas and the United States. Plaintiff also claims violation of equal and uniform taxation. Plaintiff seeks attorneys' fees, and waiver of penalties and interest assessed.

Status: This case consolidated into El Paso Natural Gas Company v. Strayhorn, et al., Cause #GN501395.

---

***El Paso Natural Gas Company v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN502815

AG Case #: 052195583

Filed: 8/10/2005

Gas Production Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$2,217,939.19	12/01/82 - 12/31/86
	01/01/89 - 12/31/90

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
 Langenberg, Ray  
 Sigel, Doug  
 Dashiell, Doug

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes gas production tax on Order 94 Payments. Whether Plaintiff is liable for taxes as a gas producer or exempt as a purchaser. Whether gas contract settlement payments or transactions are taxable. Plaintiff claims that taxes assessed by the defendant is "double-dipping," and time limitations bar the assessments. Plaintiff claims violation of due process rights under the constitutions of both Texas and the United States, and violation of the Commerce Clause and Supremacy Clause. Plaintiff also claims violation of equal and uniform taxation. Plaintiff seeks attorneys' fees and waiver of interest assessed. Plaintiff also requests disclosure of certain information and material.

Status: This case consolidated into El Paso Natural Gas Company v. Strayhorn, et al., Cause #GN501395.

---

***El Paso Natural Gas Company v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN503965                      AG Case #: 052243847                      Filed: 11/2/2005

Gas Production Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,814,098.80	12/01/82 - 12/31/86
\$1,958,296.59	12/01/82 - 12/31/86 (interest)
\$32,615.00	01/01/89 - 12/31/90
\$37,401.27	01/01/89 - 12/31/90 (interest)

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.  
Langenberg, Ray  
Sigel, Doug  
Dashiell, Doug

Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes gas production tax on Order 94 Payments. Whether Plaintiff is liable for taxes as a gas producer or exempt as a purchaser. Whether imposition of the gas production tax on Plaintiff violates the Commerce Clause and Supremacy Clause. Whether gas contract settlement payments or transactions are taxable. Plaintiff claims violation of equal and uniform taxation. Plaintiff claims that taxes assessed by the defendant is "double-dipping," and time limitations bar the assessments. Plaintiff claims violation of due process rights under the constitutions of both Texas and the United States. Plaintiff seeks attorneys' fees and waiver of interest assessed.

Status: This case consolidated into El Paso Natural Gas Company v. Strayhorn, et al., Cause #GN501395.

---

***Evercom Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN503910

AG Case #: 052240835

Filed: 10/27/2005

#03-06-00481-CV

PUC Gross Receipts Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$45,827.59	04/01/97 - 12/31/98

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Taylor, Andrew M.                Bracewell & Patterson / Austin  
Storm, Eric B.

Issue: Plaintiff requests review of administrative hearing decision. Whether Plaintiff is a public utility or dominant carrier under PURA definitions. Whether the Gross Receipts Assessment can be applied against Plaintiff.

Status: Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment filed 03/02/06; hearing held 05/03/06. Plaintiff's motion granted in part; defendants' motion granted in part. State's Notice of Appeal filed 08/11/06. Cross-Appellant's Notice of Appeal filed 08/22/06. Clerk's Record filed 08/30/06. Appellants' brief filed 10/31/06; Oral Argument requested. Cross-Appellee's brief filed 10/31/06; Oral Argument requested. Comptroller's/Appellee's brief filed 11/30/06. Reply brief due 01/12/07.

---

***Fort Worth's PR's, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN200711

AG Case #: 021573480

Filed: 3/4/2002

Mixed Beverage Gross Receipts Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$36,177.36	03/01/99 - 06/30/99

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Kinkade, Jana K.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Gamboa, John L.                      Gamboa & White / Fort Worth

Issue: Whether the Comptroller used a non-representative sample to determine Plaintiff's tax liability. Whether depletion and error rates were calculated correctly.

Status: Plea to the Jurisdiction and Motion for Summary Judgment withdrawn. Settlement negotiations being discussed.

---

***Lake Austin Spa Investors, Ltd. v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN203899

AG Case #: 021703913

Filed: 10/28/2002

Hotel Occupancy Tax; Protest, Injunction & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$193,629.45	03/01/97 - 11/30/00
\$59,232.72	12/01/00 - 03/31/02

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Maloney, Natalie A.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Manning, Kirk R.                      Cantey & Hanger / Austin

Phillips, Stephen L.

Lane, Julie K.

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's service charges are subject to the hotel tax. Whether the charges are gratuities under the Comptroller's rule. Plaintiff also seeks injunctive relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress. Settlement negotiations in progress.

---

***Mabank ISD v. Comptroller***

Cause Number: GV503360

AG Case #: 052185741

Filed: 7/19/2005

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$0.00	2004

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Kinkade, Jana K.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Swinney, Kirk                      McCreary, Veselka, Bragg & Allen, P.C. / Austin  
Armstrong, Roy L.

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and valuing sample properties and whether the Comptroller failed to properly account for the inflationary trend.

Status: Passed trial date and suspended discovery by agreement.

---

***Malakoff ISD v. Comptroller***

Cause Number: GV503359                      AG Case #: 052185758                      Filed: 7/19/2005

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$0.00	2004

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Kinkade, Jana K.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Swinney, Kirk                      McCreary, Veselka, Bragg & Allen, P.C. / Austin  
Armstrong, Roy L.

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and valuing sample properties and whether the Comptroller failed to properly account for the inflationary trend.

Status: Passed trial date and suspended discovery by agreement.

---

***MFC Finance Company of Texas v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN002653                      AG Case #: 001352632                      Filed: 9/7/2000  
#03-06-00328-CV

Motor Vehicle Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount      Reporting Period  
\$5,533,079.80      01/01/96 - 12/31/98

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to tax credit and refund as provided under the sales tax bad debt statute for motor vehicle taxes on installment sales where the purchaser defaulted. Whether the refusal to allow a refund violates equal taxation because there is no rational basis to treat installment sellers of vehicles differently than vehicle renters and other retailers.

Status: Trial setting passed. Plaintiff filed Motion for Partial Summary Judgment 03/03/05. Summary Judgment hearing held 04/12/06. Comptroller's Motion for Summary Judgment granted in full; MFC's motion denied 04/28/06. Notice of Appeal filed in the 3COA 06/12/06. Clerk's Record filed 07/10/06. Appellant's brief filed 08/11/06; Oral Argument requested. Letter filed by Appellee 09/07/06. Supplemental Clerk's Record filed 10/04/06. Appellees' brief filed 10/09/06; Oral Argument requested. Appellant's reply brief filed 10/31/06. Oral Argument completed 11/29/06.

---

***Mineral Wells ISD v. Strayhorn***

Cause Number: D-1-GV-06-001445      AG Case #: 062389838                      Filed: 8/3/2006

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal

Claim Amount      Reporting Period  
\$0.00      2005

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Unassigned

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Bonilla, Ray                              Ray, Wood & Bonilla, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and valuing sample properties in Categories A and D3 property. Whether the Comptroller erred in its procedures and methods used to properly value Categories A and D3 property. Whether the Comptroller's order on the value study is arbitrary and unreasonable and supported by substantial evidence.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

***Mirage Real Estate, Inc., et al. v. Richard Durbin, et al.***

Cause Number: 92-16485

AG Case #: 92190294

Filed: 12/3/1992

Alcoholic Beverage Gross Receipts Tax; Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$0.00	N/A

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Mattox, Jim                              Attorney at Law / Paris  
Lasley, Lowell  
Mosher, Michael D.

Issue: Whether the TABC and Comptroller were allowed to use inventory depletions analysis to determine amount of gross receipts tax owed. Plaintiffs seek class certification.

Status: Inactive.

---

***Nextel of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN501852

AG Case #: 052154796

Filed: 5/23/2005

Telecommunications Infrastructure Fund (TIF) Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$2,113,301.35	01/01/99 - 12/31/03

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Maloney, Natalie A.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                        Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether receipts for equipment sold to customers and listed separately on invoices are subject to an additional TIF assessment as taxable telecommunications receipts. Whether TIF

charges which Plaintiff passed on and collected from its customers are allowable reimbursements as TIF assessment. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Nix Family Limited Partnership, a Texas Limited Partnership v. TWC and Texas CPA***

Cause Number: 2006-1952-1

AG Case #: 062380381

Filed: 5/17/2006

Property Tax; Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$871.20	(CPA)
\$14,915.32	(TWC)

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Maloney, Natalie A.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Fontaine, Stephen R.                      Stephen R. Fontaine, P.C. / Waco

Issue: Whether lien attaches to homestead property and can encumber property held by subsequent owner.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Phenomenom v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-000658    AG Case #: 062295472

Filed: 2/23/2006

Mixed Beverage Gross Receipts Tax; Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$85,000.00	10/01/99 - 04/30/01

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.                              OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Hopkins, Mark D.                              Savrick, Schumann, Johnson, McGarr, Kaminski  
& Shirley / Austin

Issue: Whether the sampling procedure used by the Comptroller was flawed, causing an incorrect tax assessment. Plaintiff claims Tax Code §112.108 is unconstitutional. Plaintiff

seeks waiver of all penalty and interest, and seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Plaintiff's counsel informed of intent to withdraw.

---

***Point Isabel ISD v. Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-002641 AG Case #: 062384979

Filed: 7/21/2006

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$0.00	2005

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Kinkade, Jana K. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Hargrove, Judith A. Hargrove & Evans / Austin  
Evans, Jr., James R.

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and valuing sample properties in Category A. Whether the Comptroller's order on the value study is arbitrary and unreasonable and supported by substantial evidence.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Preston Motors by George L. Preston, Owner v. Sharp, et al.***

Cause Number: 91-11987

AG Case #: 91133170

Filed: 8/26/1991

Motor Vehicle Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$21,796.00	12/01/86 - 09/30/89

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Pro Se

Issue: Whether motor vehicle tax should fall on dealer/seller rather than the purchaser under §152.044. Related constitutional issues.

Status: Inactive.

---

***Ranger Fuels & Maintenance, L.L.C. v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN204124

AG Case #: 021705900

Filed: 11/14/2002

Fuels Tax; Declaratory Judgment & Injunction

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$115,000.00	N/A

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Grissom, Donald H.                  Grissom & Thompson / Austin

Issue: Whether fuels tax is actually owed by an unrelated company. Whether the Comptroller abused its discretion and violated Plaintiff's constitutional rights. Plaintiff seeks injunctive and declaratory relief.

Status: Inactive.

---

***Ranger Fuels & Maintenance, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN504104

AG Case #: 052245941

Filed: 11/15/2005

Fuels Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$208,428.70	05/01/02 - 05/31/02 (Diesel)
	01/01/02 - 04/30/02 (Gasoline)
	03/01/02 - 04/30/02 (Diesel)
	05/01/02 - 05/31/02 (Gasoline)

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Grissom, Donald H.                  Grissom & Thompson / Austin  
Thompson, III, William W.

Issue: Whether Plaintiff acquired a business and its assets by filing a sales tax application with the Comptroller. Whether such acquisition was a fraudulent transfer. Whether Plaintiff owes fuel taxes under successor liability.

Status: Discovery in progress. Jury trial set 04/23/07.

---

***San Felipe-Del Rio CISD v. Strayhorn***

Cause Number: D-1-GV-06-001446 AG Case #: 062390042

Filed: 8/3/2006

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$0.00	2005

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Kinkade, Jana K. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Bonilla, Ray Ray, Wood & Bonilla, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and valuing sample properties in Category A property. Whether the Comptroller erred in its procedures and methods used to properly value Category A property. Whether the Comptroller's order on the value study is arbitrary and unreasonable and supported by substantial evidence.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Stuart, Robert T. Jr., Estate of v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN503318

AG Case #: 052216702

Filed: 9/14/2005

Inheritance Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,293,469.96	N/A

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Unassigned

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Wheat, David Thompson & Knight, L.L.P. / Dallas

Hill, Frank Thompson & Knight, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's partnership interest located out of state is intangible personal property taxable in Texas. Plaintiff claims double taxation.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

***Texaco Exploration & Production, Inc.***

Cause Number: GN400440

AG Case #: 041925843

Filed: 2/13/2004

Gas Production Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$456,608.80	01/01/97 - 05/31/02

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Maloney, Natalie A.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Meese, Matthew J.

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's initial refund claim, still pending administrative review at the time of filing a second claim, fell within the statute of limitations deadline.

Status: Plaintiff filed a Motion for Summary Judgment 02/02/05.

---

***Texas RSA 15B2 Limited Partnership v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN403954

AG Case #: 042073783

Filed: 12/3/2004

Telecommunications Infrastructure Fund (TIF) Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$293,223.67	02/01/99 - 10/31/02

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Maloney, Natalie A.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether TIF charges which Plaintiff passed on and collected from its customers are allowable reimbursements as TIF assessment. Whether Plaintiff is liable for "interest on the amount collected" or "accrued" interest on the amount collected.

Status: Discovery in progress. Settlement negotiations in progress.

---

***That's Entertainment - San Antonio, L.L.C. dba Park Place v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN400781

AG Case #: 041937228

Filed: 3/9/2004

Mixed Beverage Gross Receipts Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$211,145.65	05/01/96 - 09/30/98

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Meese, Matthew J.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Osterloh, Curtis J.

Issue: Whether door charges should be taxed by both the mixed beverage gross receipts tax and sales tax. Plaintiff claims that the application of both taxes is in violation of equal and uniform taxation, and equal protection under the law. Plaintiff also claims violation of due process and the commerce clause.

Status: Plaintiff to dismiss with prejudice.

---

***TPI Petroleum, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN502629

AG Case #: 052186657

Filed: 7/28/2005

Fuels Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$528,639.00	12/01/97 - 06/30/01

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Kinkade, Jana K.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to a refund of diesel fuel tax paid on diesel fuel lost by drive-offs, a refund of gasoline tax and diesel fuel tax based on bad debt deductions, and a credit for motor fuel tax paid on sales of reefer fuel.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

***Vinson Oil Distribution v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Fuels Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$40,711.92	(Diesel)
\$1,861.38	(Gasoline)
	12/01-31/01
	12/01-31/02
	12/01-31/03

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Kinkade, Jana K. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Tourtellotte, Tom Hance Scarborough Wright Woodward &  
Weisbart, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to a refund of gasoline tax and diesel fuel tax based on bad debt deductions resulting from proprietary card usage. Plaintiff claims violation of due process, equal protection and equal and uniform taxation.

Status: Answer filed.

---



## Closed Cases

### ***Alleghany Pharmacal Corporation v. JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A., dba Bank One/Chase Manhattan and the Comptroller of Public Accounts, State of Texas***

Cause Number: CV-06-2123

AG Case #: 062338017

Filed: 5/8/2006

US District Court  
Eastern District of  
New York

Franchise Tax; Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$126,256.87	1998 - 2004

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Storie, Gene

OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Golkin, Dewey

Esquire / New York, NY

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to the release of its bank account funds frozen by the defendants. Whether Plaintiff owes franchise tax in Texas without sufficient nexus with the State. Plaintiff claims violation of constitutional rights and due process.

Status: Case settled.

---

### ***Alpine ISD v. Strayhorn***

Cause Number: GV402237

AG Case #: 041999202

Filed: 7/27/2004

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$0.00	2003

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Jackson, Christopher S.

OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Bonilla, Ray

Ray, Wood & Bonilla, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and valuing sample properties.

Whether the Comptroller's order on the value study is arbitrary and unreasonable.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 01/11/06.

---

***Alpine ISD v. Strayhorn***

Cause Number: GV503554

AG Case #: 052186590

Filed: 7/28/2005

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal

Claim Amount      Reporting Period

                  \$0.00      2004

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Kinkade, Jana K.

OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Bonilla, Ray

Ray, Wood & Bonilla, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and valuing sample properties in Category A. Whether the Comptroller erred in its procedures and methods used to properly value Category D1 property. Whether the Comptroller's order on the value study is arbitrary and unreasonable and supported by substantial evidence.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 02/21/06.

---

***Anderson-Clayton Bros. Funeral Home, Inc.; Restland of Dallas, Inc.; Restland Funeral Home; Singing Hills Funeral Homes, Inc.; Laurel Land Funeral Home of Fort Worth, Inc.; Blue Bonnet Hills Funeral Home, Inc.; et al. v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: 99-12183

AG Case #: 991227646

Filed: 10/18/1999

#03-03-00458-CV

#05-0063

Franchise Tax; Refund

Claim Amount      Reporting Period

\$407,212.91      1993 - 1996

\$107,861.97      1993 - 1996

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Jackson, Christopher S.

OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Rubenstein, Michael

Locke, Liddell & Sapp / Austin

Issue: Whether income earned on Plaintiff's trust accounts for prepaid funeral services gives rise to Texas gross receipts.

Status: Motion for Summary Judgment held 04/10/03; granted in favor of the State 06/24/03. Plaintiff's Notice of Appeal filed 07/31/03. Appellants' brief filed 09/18/03. Appellees' brief filed 10/24/03. Appellants' reply brief filed 11/12/03. Oral Argument completed 01/07/04. Appellees' post-submission brief filed 01/22/04. Appellants' reply brief filed 02/06/04. Opinion issued 08/12/04 in favor of State affirming the district trial court's judgment. Motion for Rehearing filed 10/01/04; overruled 12/09/04. Petition for Review filed in Texas Supreme Court 01/24/05. Respondents filed waiver to respond 02/02/05. Case forwarded to Court 02/08/05. Court requested response to the Petition for Review. Respondent filed a response to the Petition for Review 03/31/05. Petitioners' Reply Brief filed 04/19/05. Briefing on the Merits requested 06/06/05. Petitioner's Brief on the Merits filed 08/12/05. Respondents' brief filed 10/03/05. Petitioner's reply brief filed 10/18/05. Petition for Review denied 01/27/06. Final Order of Supreme Court sent to Appeals Court 03/23/06; disposition final. Case stored 03/27/06. Mandate issued 03/30/06 by Appeals Court affirming trial court's judgment.

---

***Baldry, Ann dba Annie's Housekeeping Services v. Sharp, et al.***

Cause Number: 95-02389

AG Case #: 95234990

Filed: 2/27/1995

Sales Tax; Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$63,588.00	04/01/88 - 06/30/92

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Kinkade, Jana K.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Trickey, Timothy M.                      The Trickey Law Firm / Austin

Issue: Whether sales tax is due on maid services provided by maids placed by Plaintiff's service but acting as independent contractors. Also, whether Plaintiff relied, to her detriment, on advice from the Comptroller's Office.

Status: Case dismissed 08/18/06 for Want of Prosecution.

---

***Beadles, Joe Haven v. Comptroller***

Cause Number: GN500155

AG Case #: 052100160

Filed: 1/14/2005

#03-05-00506-CV

Diesel Fuel Tax; Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,709,078.44	N/A

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Storie, Gene	OAG Taxation / Austin
--------------	-----------------------

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Pro Se

Issue: Plaintiff claims that the State issued a diesel fuel bonded suppliers' permit to Plaintiff without Plaintiff's knowledge, allowing diesel fuel taxes to be assessed against Plaintiff. Plaintiff claims he never purchased or sold diesel fuel. Plaintiff claims the State previously collected the taxes in question from subsidiaries who sold diesel fuel through truck stops. Plaintiff claims these subsidiaries bought the diesel fuel from an oil company which the State, through an "agreement with the oil company," exempted from paying taxes.

Status: Motion for Summary Judgment granted 05/26/05. Case reopened 08/19/05 due to Notice of Appeal filed 08/11/05. Court of Appeals sent notice of intent to dismiss unless appellant files motion reasonably explaining delay in filing brief. Appellant's brief filed 12/12/05. Appellees' brief filed 01/17/06. Set on briefs 01/20/06. Appellant's reply brief filed 01/31/06. Submitted on briefs 03/06/06. Opinion issued 06/16/06 affirming district court's judgment in favor of State. Petition for Review due 07/31/06. Mandate issued 09/12/06.

---

***BGK Operating Company, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN301224

AG Case #: 031786478

Filed: 4/17/2003

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$28,407.44	01/01/99 - 07/31/02

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Kinkade, Jana K.	OAG Taxation / Austin
------------------	-----------------------

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Malik, Kal	Kane, Russell, Coleman & Logan / Dallas
LeMay, Robert N.	

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is a lump-sum repairer of motor vehicles who should have paid tax on its purchases of oil and filters. Whether charging tax to the Plaintiff results in unconstitutional double taxation.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 03/13/06.

---

***Broadcast Satellite International, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN103568

AG Case #: 011518479

Filed: 10/26/2001

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$200,000.00	01/01/91 - 12/31/97

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Jackson, Christopher S.      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Ruebel, Alfred      Attorney at Law / Dallas

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's broadcast services are non-taxable information services under §151.0038(a). Whether Plaintiff's services are not taxable telecommunications services under §151.0103(1) or data processing under §151.0035. Whether the sale or use of Plaintiff's services occurred out-of-state. Whether Plaintiff's experts demonstrated that Plaintiff is exempt under federal law. Plaintiff asserts limitations as to part of the liability and also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Case dismissed 11/28/05.

---

***Centex Materials, L.P., As Successor in Interest to Centex Materials, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN301277

AG Case #: 031787146

Filed: 4/22/2003

Franchise Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$96,248.92	1997 - 2000

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Monzingo, Christine J.      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Cowling, David E.      Jones Day / Dallas

Lochridge, David

Issue: Whether the Comptroller's add-back of compensation to certain officers and directors included persons who lacked significant policy-making authority and was unconstitutional.

Whether the Comptroller improperly applied changes in Rule 3.558 to earlier periods. Whether the officer add-back is arbitrary and discriminatory. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Non-suited 01/12/06.

---

***E. de la Garza, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN003589

AG Case #: 0011395316

Filed: 12/15/2000

#03-05-00245-CV

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$83,138.14	01/01/93 - 12/31/96

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Simmons, Scott D.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

de la Garza, Rudy                      Attorney at Law / Brownsville

Issue: Whether sales of grocery bags and sacks are not taxable when sold to grocery stores who have provided a blanket sale for resale certificate. Plaintiff also complains of audit calculation errors.

Status: Dismissed for Want of Prosecution 06/25/04. Plaintiff filed Motion to Retain 07/08/04. Motion to reinstate filed 08/29/04; granted 10/04/04. Plaintiff's Partial Motion for Summary Judgment hearing held 11/23/04; denied. Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment granted in full 01/21/05. Notice of Appeal filed 04/22/05. Appellant's brief filed 06/15/05. Appellees' brief filed 07/21/05. Submitted on briefs 09/12/05. Opinion issued 11/10/05 affirming district court's judgment with modification of judgment by Court. Mandate issued 01/26/06.

---

***Gallegos, Gerardo v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-05-004330    AG Case #: 062276092

Filed: 12/7/2005

Controlled Substance Tax; Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$62,136.47	01/24/90

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Kinkade, Jana K.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Stafford, James

Attorney at Law / Houston

Issue: Whether the Controlled Substances Tax is unconstitutional because it subjects Plaintiff to double jeopardy. Whether the state should be required to release all liens and tax assessments filed against Plaintiff. Plaintiff asks for attorneys' fees.

Status: Non-suited 03/14/06.

---

***Greenville ISD v. Comptroller***

Cause Number: GV402276

AG Case #: 041999350

Filed: 7/29/2004

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$0.00	2003

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Jackson, Christopher S.      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Mott, Robert

Perdue, Brandon, Felder, Collins & Mott / Houston

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by misapplying burden of proof and not properly selecting and valuing sample properties. Whether the Comptroller's order on the value study is arbitrary and unreasonable.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 01/04/06.

---

***H.J. Wilson Company, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.***

Cause Number: 98-11574

AG Case #: 981063332

Filed: 10/13/1998

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,076,019.00	07/01/90 - 12/31/93

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Jackson, Christopher S.      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Cowling, David E.

Jones Day / Dallas

Issue: Whether the purchase of sales catalogs printed out-of-state and shipped to Plaintiff's customers in Texas (at no charge to the customer) incur sales tax.

Status: Plaintiff filed bankruptcy in Tennessee 03/25/99. Motion to Dismiss by Court granted 05/07/01. Plaintiff filed Motion to Retain 04/25/01; granted Order to Retain 08/14/01 on DWOP, again on 07/25/02, and again 01/16/03. Order of Dismissal for Want of Prosecution entered 06/15/05.

---

***Inland Truck Parts Company v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN302603                      AG Case #: 031831746                      Filed: 7/24/2003  
Franchise Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$47,775.25	1999

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Monzingo, Christine J.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Ohlenforst, Cynthia M.                      Hughes & Luce / Dallas

Issue: Whether an S corporation owned by an ESOP owes franchise tax when the shareholder has no income reportable to the IRS as taxable.

Status: Non-suited 01/26/06.

---

***INOVA Diagnostics, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN302862                      AG Case #: 031836471                      Filed: 8/11/2003  
#03-04-00503-CV  
#05-0517  
#05-1130

Franchise Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$4,658.00	1999 - 2003

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Monzingo, Christine J.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Bernal, Jr., Gilbert J.                      Stahl, Bernal & Davies / Austin

Issue: Whether taxpayer has nexus with Texas. Whether the capital- based franchise tax is measured by net income for purposes of P.L. 86-272. Whether the Comptroller wrongfully

forfeited Plaintiff's corporate privileges. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Non-jury trial held 07/13/04; Judgment granted for State. Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law filed 07/21/04. Notice of Appeal filed 08/16/04. Appellant's brief filed 12/29/04. Appellees' brief filed 02/16/05. Appellant's reply brief filed 03/31/05. Oral Argument held 04/13/05. Opinion affirming Judgment for the Comptroller issued 05/26/05. Petition for Review filed in Tx. Supreme Court 07/11/05. Response to Petition for Review waived by respondent 07/28/05. Case forwarded to Court 08/02/05. Court requested response; filed 09/30/05. Petitioner's reply filed 10/14/05. Petition for Review denied 12/02/05. Case stored 01/30/06; Supreme Court disposition final. INOVA's Petition for Writ of Certiorari filed 03/02/06 in U.S. Supreme Court. Respondents' waiver filed 03/23/06. U.S. Supreme Court denied Writ of Certiorari 04/17/06.

---

***JBS Packing Company, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN402498

AG Case #: 042003590

Filed: 8/5/2004

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,820.48	12/01/96 - 12/31/99

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Cichowski, Mike                      Attorney at Law / Port Arthur

Issue: Whether parts and services for an ice machine, a hydro-blasting machine, and for a steam cleaning machine are exempt from sales tax under the manufacturing exemption.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 11/18/05.

---

***Laredo Country Club, Inc., A Texas Corporation v. Sharp, et al.***

Cause Number: 98-11834

AG Case #: 981064363

Filed: 10/20/1998

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$2,054.00	08/01-31/1998

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Maloney, Natalie A.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Bernal, Jr., Gilbert J.

Stahl, Bernal & Davies / Austin

Issue: Whether sales tax is due on the portion of country club membership fees designated as "capital improvement fees" and "gratuities."

Status: Case settled. Agreed Judgment filed 11/09/06.

---

***Liaison Resources, L.P., and David S. Claunch v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN202795

AG Case #: 021663307

Filed: 8/14/2002

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount      Reporting Period

\$136,659.08      1991 - 1999

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Simmons, Scott D.

OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Martens, James F.

Martens & Associates / Austin

Mondrik, Christina A.

Issue: Whether Plaintiffs owe tax on computer-related temporary services. Whether the Comptroller improperly assessed tax on items sold out of state or on sales for resale. Plaintiffs also claim a violation of equal protection and seek attorneys' fees.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 08/11/05.

---

***LTV Aerospace & Defense Company v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN203321

AG Case #: 021676770

Filed: 9/13/2002

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount      Reporting Period

\$8,576,046.00      06/01/86 - 08/31/92

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B.

OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.

Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Langenberg, Ray

Sigel, Doug

Osterloh, Curtis J.

Issue: Plaintiff claims a sale for resale exemption on items resold to the federal government. Plaintiff also claims a denial of equal protection and that the incidence of the tax falls on the federal government. Plaintiff claims that the Comptroller violated the commerce clause by failing to follow title-passing regulations and also seeks a declaratory judgment and attorneys' fees.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 12/22/05.

---

***Marathon ISD v. Strayhorn***

Cause Number: GV402238

AG Case #: 041999236

Filed: 7/27/2004

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$0.00	2003

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Maloney, Natalie A.

OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Bonilla, Ray

Ray, Wood & Bonilla, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and valuing sample properties. Whether the Comptroller's order on the value study is arbitrary and unreasonable.

Status: Non-suited 02/21/06.

---

***Marathon ISD v. Strayhorn***

Cause Number: GV503555

AG Case #: 052186608

Filed: 7/28/2005

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$0.00	2004

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Kinkade, Jana K.

OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Bonilla, Ray

Ray, Wood & Bonilla, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred in its procedures and methods used to properly value

Category D1 property. Whether the Comptroller's order on the value study is arbitrary and unreasonable and supported by substantial evidence.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 02/21/06.

---

***Medaphis Physicians Services Corporation v. Sharp, et al.***

Cause Number: 94-11610

AG Case #: 94149390

Filed: 9/16/1994

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$17,063.27	05/01/94 - 06/30/94

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Miles, Garry M.                      Vinson & Elkins / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's services are taxable (1) insurance services, (2) debt collection services, or (3) data processing services, and whether Rules 3.330, 3.354, and 3.355 exceed the Comptroller's rule making authority.

Status: Order of Dismissal with Prejudice entered 05/15/06.

---

***MedSynergies, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-002431    AG Case #: 062382940

Filed: 7/3/2006

Franchise Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$48,777.48	01/01/99 - 12/31/03

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Monzingo, Christine J.              OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Bath, Jason                              Kane Russell Coleman & Logan, P.C. / Houston

Malik, Kal                                 Kane, Russell, Coleman & Logan / Dallas

Issue: Whether the Comptroller's add-back to earned surplus of compensation to certain officers and directors included persons who lacked significant policy-making authority and was unconstitutional. Plaintiff also claims violation of equal and uniform taxation.

Status: Non-suited 08/11/06.

---

***MG Building Materials, Ltd. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN301686

AG Case #: 031802978

Filed: 5/23/2003

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$2,015,426.24	01/01/96 - 04/30/99

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Sanders, Douglas W.                Oppenheimer, Blend, Harrison & Tate / San Antonio

Copeland, Elizabeth A.

Cullinane, Jeffrey T.

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's audit was flawed because the Comptroller improperly failed to consider late resale or other exemptions in the sample. Whether the sample methodology and 60-day letter made it impossible for Plaintiff to show that the assessment was wrong. Plaintiff also requests a jury trial.

Status: Court denied both cross-motions for Partial Summary Judgment 08/26/04. Plaintiff's Motion to Compel denied 11/18/04. Trial setting of 03/07/05 postponed. Case settled. Agreed Judgment entered 05/09/06.

---

***Nachhattar Tejpal Legha Enterprises, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN203398

AG Case #: 021676812

Filed: 9/18/2002

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$15,841.00	04/01/97 - 07/31/99

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Maloney, Natalie A.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Martens, James F.                      Martens & Associates / Austin

Issue: Plaintiff claims that the Comptroller wrongfully assessed additional sales tax by

misstating Plaintiff's gross taxable receipts and wrongfully failed to entertain Plaintiff's refund claim. Plaintiff also seeks a declaratory judgment and attorneys' fees.

Status: Agreed Judgment signed 06/05/06.

---

***Neiman Marcus Group, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.***

Cause Number: 93-10279-A

AG Case #: 93340549

Filed: 8/26/1993

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,046,465.00	01/01/87 - 03/31/90

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Jackson, Christopher S.      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Cowling, David E.      Jones Day / Dallas

Issue: Plaintiff's customers buy gifts from Plaintiff outside Texas and have the gifts delivered by common carrier to Texas "donees." Should the Comptroller have assessed use tax on these "gift sends." Second Issue: whether tax is due on certain remodeling services. Plaintiff asks for attorneys fees under 42 USC §§1983 and 1988.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 03/11/96 on the gift send issue. An Agreed Order for Severance was entered 03/11/96 on the remodeling issues and the attorneys' fees. Cause renumbered 93-10279-A. State filed a Plea to the Jurisdiction on attorneys' fees on 10/06/93. Order of Dismissal for Want of Prosecution entered 06/15/05.

---

***Neiman Marcus Group, Inc., The v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN102403

AG Case #: 011478294

Filed: 8/1/2001

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,908,969.01	04/01/90 - 12/31/93

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B.      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray

Issue: Whether printing charges for catalogs are not subject to use tax because: (a) the printing services were not used in Texas, (b) the printed catalogs were gifts for which title transferred outside Texas, (c) Plaintiff did not have sufficient control to be a Texas user, (d) the statute does not include distribution in the definition of use, (e) no use tax is due under the doctrine of Morton Bldgs., (f) Rule 3.346(b)(3)(A) does not apply or is invalid, and/or (g) Tax Code 151.3111(a) exempts the printing service. Whether photograph retouching is (a) a sale of tangible personal property, or (b) repair, remodeling, maintenance or restoration of tangible personal property, or (c) exempt under Tax Code 151.330(e). Also, whether remodeling contracts were tax included and whether sampling was improper. Plaintiff seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Non-suited 08/11/06.

---

***North Texas Asset Management, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.***

Cause Number: 94-08603

AG Case #: 94113766

Filed: 7/14/1994

Sales Tax; Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$24,307.00	05/02/91 - 12/31/91

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Cunningham, Judy M.              Attorney at Law / Austin

Issue: Whether a sale of a business approved by the SBA (which held a lien and received the proceeds) is tantamount to a foreclosure sale so that no successor liability should attach.

Status: Case dismissed 09/05/06.

---

***Quinlan ISD v. Strayhorn***

Cause Number: GV402239

AG Case #: 041999251

Filed: 7/27/2004

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$0.00	2003

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Jackson, Christopher S.              OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Bonilla, Ray

Ray, Wood & Bonilla, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and valuing sample properties and whether the Comptroller failed to consider local modifiers, sales and market information. Whether the Comptroller's order on the value study is arbitrary and unreasonable.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 01/11/06.

---

***Raytheon Company and Daimlerchrysler Corporation as Successors to Central Texas Airborne Systems, Inc., fka Chrysler Technologies Airborne Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN302082

AG Case #: 031816143

Filed: 6/13/2003

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$228,368.00	04/01/89 - 12/31/96

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 11/28/05.

---

***Raytheon Company v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN303644

AG Case #: 031853633

Filed: 9/9/2003

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$7,400,000.00	01/01/99 - 12/31/02

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.  
Langenberg, Ray  
Sigel, Doug

Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 11/28/05.

---

***Raytheon Company, as Successor in Interest to Raytheon Training, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN201022

AG Case #: 021588694

Filed: 3/28/2002

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$2,500,000.00	08/01/88 - 05/31/97

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 11/28/05.

---

***Raytheon Company, as Successor to Raytheon E-Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN303645

AG Case #: 031853641

Filed: 9/9/2003

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$4,000,000.00	01/01/97 - 12/31/98

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 11/28/05.

---

***Raytheon Company, as Successor to Raytheon E-Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN304089                      AG Case #: 031873441                      Filed: 10/16/2003  
Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$389,408.28	10/01/91 - 12/31/96

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 11/28/05.

---

***Raytheon Company, as Successor to Raytheon TI Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN303643                      AG Case #: 031853625                      Filed: 9/9/2003  
Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$3,500,000.00	07/01/97 - 12/31/98

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Langenberg, Ray

Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 11/28/05.

---

***Raytheon E-Systems, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN101511

AG Case #: 011451606

Filed: 5/17/2001

#03-02-00346-CV

#03-0416

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount      Reporting Period

\$5,381,609.00      06/01/89 - 12/31/96

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Langenberg, Ray

Sigel, Doug

Osterloh, Curtis J.

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Summary Judgment hearing held 03/05/02. Partial summary judgment for Plaintiff entered 03/29/02. Judgment for Raytheon granted 05/15/02. State's Notice of Appeal filed 06/04/02. Appellants' brief filed 09/20/02. Appellee's brief filed 10/18/02. Appellants' reply brief filed 11/07/02. Oral argument completed 12/04/02. Comptroller's post-submission brief filed 12/15/02. Trial court affirmed, in part, remanded, in part, 01/30/03. Motion for Rehearing

and Motion for En Banc Reconsideration filed by State 03/17/03; denied 03/27/03. Petition for Review filed by State 05/12/03. Response filed 05/20/03 by Raytheon. Reply filed by State 05/30/03. Petition for Review denied 08/28/03. Motion for Rehearing filed by State 09/12/03; denied 10/24/03. Final Order of the Supreme Court sent to Court of Appeals 12/09/03. State's Motion for Summary Judgment granted 06/03/04. Raytheon's Motion for Summary Judgment denied 06/08/04. Order ruling that case is not final setting deadline for status report entered 06/28/04. State's Report filed 07/16/04. Judgment hearing on 10/04/04 passed to consider settlement. Agreed Judgment entered 11/28/05.

---

***San Vicente ISD v. Strayhorn***

Cause Number: GV402240                      AG Case #: 041999194                      Filed: 7/27/2004

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$0.00	2003

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Jackson, Christopher S.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Bonilla, Ray                                      Ray, Wood & Bonilla, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and valuing sample properties. Whether the Comptroller's order on the value study is arbitrary and unreasonable.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 01/11/06.

---

***Service Merchandise Company, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.***

Cause Number: 98-11572                      AG Case #: 981063308                      Filed: 10/13/1998

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$413,569.00	01/01/92 - 12/31/93

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Jackson, Christopher S.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Cowling, David E.                              Jones Day / Dallas

Issue: Whether the purchase of sales catalogs printed out-of-state and shipped to Plaintiff's

customers in Texas (at no charge to the customer) incur sales tax.

Status: On hold. Plaintiff filed bankruptcy in Tennessee on 03/25/99. Motion to Dismiss set 05/07/01. Plaintiff filed Motion to Retain 04/25/01; granted 08/14/01. Motion to Dismiss set 07/25/02. Motion granted 01/16/03 to retain. Order of Dismissal for Want of Prosecution entered 06/15/05.

---

***Southern Sandblasting and Coatings, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN103910

AG Case #: 011532355

Filed: 11/27/2001

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$219,219.35	01/01/95 - 12/31/98
\$47.15	01/01/95 - 12/31/98

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Jackson, Christopher S.      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Bernal, Jr., Gilbert J.      Stahl, Bernal & Davies / Austin

Issue: Whether items used in vessel repair, such as paint-gun parts, are exempt materials. Whether denial of the exemption violates equal protection. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 04/03/06.

---

***Telecable Associates, Inc.; Teleservice Corporation of America; Texas Telecable, Inc.; TCA Cable of Amarillo, Inc.; and Texas Community Antennas, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN100705

AG Case #: 011422482

Filed: 3/7/2001

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$400,000.00	03/01/93 - 12/31/96

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Maloney, Natalie A.      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray

Issue: Whether cable equipment on the customer's premises qualifies for the sale for resale exemption for property used to provide a taxable service.

Status: Notice of Non-suit filed by Plaintiff 11/06/06.

---

***Texaco Refining & Marketing (East), Inc. v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: 99-14555

AG Case #: 991249228

Filed: 12/15/1999

Franchise Tax; Refund

Claim Amount      Reporting Period

\$1,028,616.15      1994

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Jackson, Christopher S.      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Langenberg, Ray      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to a franchise tax credit for sales tax on manufacturing equipment purchased by a joint venture that it co-owned.

Status: Order of Dismissal for Want of Prosecution entered 06/15/05.

---

***Union Carbide Corporation v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN000580

AG Case #: 001261452

Filed: 1/13/2000

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount      Reporting Period

\$575,857.40      01/01/89 - 12/31/92

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Storie, Gene      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Langenberg, Ray

Osterloh, Curtis J.

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to an exemption on labor charges for installing floating roofs on tanks at its chemical plant because: (1) the roofs are exempt pollution control equipment, (2) the labor was for non-taxable new construction, or (3) the labor was for

remodeling of tangible personal property.

Status: Dismissed for want of prosecution 06/15/05. Plaintiff has filed unopposed motion to reinstate. Case settled. Agreed Judgment of Dismissal entered 05/05/06.

---



---

# Index

## *Amusement Tax*

amusement tax v. sales tax	64
real property services	78
sale for resale	64

## *Apportionment of Interstate*

### *Security Service*

--	120
finality	76
nexus, taxable use	83
use tax--printed out of state	83
waiver	120

## *Assessment*

conspiracy	79, 117, 139
double taxation	30, 71
export items	59, 71
sample audit	51
successor liability for tax	42
tax overpayments	70

## *Audit*

double taxation	71
procedure	97
software services	71

## *Bad Debt Credit*

private label agreement	58
proprietary card usage	134

## *Business Loss Carry Forward*

limitations	3, 4
merger	13
tax credits	3

## *Catalogs*

nexus	60, 156
nexus, taxable use	80, 81, 143
use tax--printed out of state	60, 60, 150

## *Class Action*

sales tax	65
-----------	----

## *Computer Software*

software services	17
-------------------	----

## *Construction Contract*

lump sum or separated contract	24, 39, 40, 87
--------------------------------	----------------

## *Country Club Fees*

sales tax	145
-----------	-----

## *Credit for Overpaid Tax*

inventory or bankruptcy	98, 99
-------------------------	--------

## *Data Processing*

--	148
----	-----

## *Debt Collection Services*

--	148
insurance service	148

## *Depreciation*

straight line or accelerated	14
------------------------------	----

## *Domestic Insured*

constitutional limits on tax	112, 113, 113, 114
------------------------------	--------------------

## *Double Jeopardy*

--	142
drug tax	142

## *Electricity*

manufacturing exemption	23, 56, 62, 84, 85, 90
processing	52, 52, 82, 86, 86, 87, 94, 95, 96, 96

## *Estate Values*

liability for tax	132
partnership interest	132
taxable gifts	117

## *Factored Contracts*

cash-basis accounting	97
-----------------------	----

### *Financing Lease*

liability for tax	29
sample audit	18

### *Food Products*

convenience store/deli	77
mall vendor	61

### *Fuels*

bad debt credit	134, 134
drive-offs	134
reefer	134

### *Gas*

manufacturing exemption	84, 85
sale for resale	81

### *Gross Premiums*

defaulted auto policies	101
paid-up additions	107
premium reduction	102, 108, 111
renewal premiums	107
split premium to agent	104, 104, 105, 105, 109, 109, 109, 110, 110

### *Gross Receipts*

apportionment of accounts receivables receipts	5
apportionment of intangible receipts	8, 8, 14
Apportionment of Interstate Security Service	2
apportionment of pension reversion gain	11
double taxation	133
earned surplus	10
interstate telephone charges	3, 13
inventory depletion	128
merger expenses	6
severance pay	6
trust accounts	138

### *Gross Taxable Sales*

estimated audit	149
-----------------	-----

### *Health Care Supplies*

sales tax	28
-----------	----

### *High Cost Gas*

limitations	119
-------------	-----

### *Inaccurate Certification*

burden of proof	143
sampling method	118, 119, 120, 125, 126, 127, 130, 132, 137, 138, 147, 151, 156
valuation methods	118, 119, 120, 127, 130, 132, 138, 147

### *Independent Contractors*

maid service	139
--------------	-----

### *Installation Labor*

retail	70
telecommunications equipment	82

### *Installment Sales*

bad debt credit	126
vehicle financing	118

### *Insurance Services*

--	148
----	-----

### *Intracorporeal Transportation*

manufacturing exemption	89
-------------------------	----

### *Joint Venture*

sales tax credits	158
-------------------	-----

### *Labor*

labor	44, 87
sales tax	32, 39, 90

### *Leased Property*

authority of Comptroller	39
gas generation system	49
locatin of use	39
ships	39

### *Lien*

community liability	72
---------------------	----

drug tax	142	telecommunications	20, 34, 53, 54, 54,
homeowners' associations	119	equipment	55, 55, 56, 56
homestead	129	useful life period	19
<i>Lien Proceeds</i>			
successor liability for tax	151		
<i>Limitations</i>			
administrative proceedings	132		
subsequent refund claim	85		
<i>Local Sales Tax</i>			
consummation of sale	34		
<i>Lump Sum Motor Vehicle Repairs</i>			
double taxation	140		
estimates separated	21		
<i>Maid Services</i>			
real property services	139		
<i>Maintenance</i>			
real property services	44		
sale for resale	39		
utility poles	31		
<i>Manufacturing Exemption</i>			
--	90		
alteration property	40, 41, 70		
burden of proof	94		
candy manufacturing	71		
coal mining operations	20, 73, 78, 89		
electricity	23, 34, 52, 56, 62, 84, 85, 90		
gas	84, 85		
industrial solid waste	44, 81		
intraplant transportation	21, 75, 89, 145		
packaging	40, 41, 57, 70		
pipe	89		
pollution control	44, 75		
post-mix machines	63		
rolling stock	75		
sale for resale	37, 40, 57, 58, 70, 70, 82, 84, 85		
software licenses	95		
software services	18		
<i>Mixed Drinks</i>			
--	133		
sampling method	129		
<i>Motor Vehicle Property</i>			
nexus	76		
real property services	88		
<i>Motor Vehicle Seller</i>			
liability for tax	130		
<i>New Construction</i>			
drilling rigs	88		
finish-out work	28		
labor	32, 39, 90		
lump sum or separated contract	39, 91		
real property services	44		
tax credits	65		
<i>Nexus</i>			
catalogs printed out of state	143, 156		
delivery and installation of goods	66		
earned surplus	9		
liability for tax	38		
promotional materials	23, 36, 36, 45, 46, 47, 48, 48		
regional salesman	144		
taxable capital	9		
<i>Officer and Director Compensation</i>			
add-back to surplus	1, 1, 6, 10, 14		
income tax	2		
significant policy-making authority	1, 1, 141		
<i>Oil Well Services</i>			
manufacturing exemption	21		
<i>Packaging</i>			
sale for resale	51		
shipment out-of-state	31		

## *Penalty*

waiver 18, 120

## *Pipe*

manufacturing exemption 89

## *Pipeline Services*

new construction or  
maintenance 32

## *Post Production Costs*

natural gas company 121, 122, 122, 123  
order 94 payments 121, 122, 122, 123

## *Pre-acquisition Earnings*

write-down 12

## *Predominant Use*

electricity 57

## *Premiums*

home warranty insurance 114

## *Prizes*

cost of taxable 95

## *Promotional Materials*

nexus 23, 33, 36, 45, 46,  
47, 48, 48  
ownership of 22, 35, 36, 37, 45,  
45, 46  
use tax--printed out of state 60

## *Proof*

burden in administrative  
hearing 57

## *Push-down Accounting*

merger 4, 15

## *Real Property Repair and Remodeling*

-- 150  
finish-out work 28  
new construction, pollution  
control 158  
vs. maintenance 31

## *Real Property Service*

exempt entities 93  
landscaping services 40  
maid service 139  
temporary storage 70, 93

## *Remodeling*

ships 157

## *Resale Certificates*

good faith 84

## *Rule Making*

authority of Comptroller 148

## *S Corporation*

exempt shareholder 144

## *Sale for Resale*

blanket resale certificates 24, 142  
cable equipment 157  
computer software 99  
contractor 17  
detrimental reliance 29  
double taxation 30, 61  
electricity 84, 85  
federal contractor 25, 25, 26, 26, 27,  
27, 43, 43, 50, 50,  
67, 67, 68, 68, 69,  
69, 73, 77, 91, 92,  
92, 146, 152, 152,  
153, 153, 154, 154,  
155  
gas 81, 84, 85  
hotel amenities 46, 62, 98  
incidental lease 42  
manufacturing exemption 58, 84, 85  
rental furniture 88  
telecommunications 30, 79, 82  
equipment

## *Sample Audits*

compliance with procedures 49, 50  
sample audit 51  
timely exemption 149  
certificates

### *Sampling Technique*

bad debt credit	53
sales tax	50, 74, 75, 129
validity	50, 51, 124

### *Service Charges*

gratuities	125
------------	-----

### *Subsidiary*

valuation of	12
--------------	----

### *Successor Liability*

--	151
business interference	130, 131

### *Surplus Lines Insurer*

unauthorized insurance tax	102, 103, 106, 112
----------------------------	--------------------

### *Tax Credits*

deferred tax liability	12
------------------------	----

### *Taxable Surplus*

contra-asset accounts	4
impairment calculation	4
merger	15
natural gas company	7, 7

### *Telecommunication Services*

accounts receivable	15
liability for tax	133
networking services	15
public utility	124
satellite broadcasting	141
TIF assessment	128, 133

### *Telecommunications Equipment*

components	93
transfer of care, custody, and control of equipment	73

### *Temporary Workers*

computer services	146
-------------------	-----

### *Texas Investments*

mortgage pools	101
----------------	-----

### *Third Party Administration*

ERISA	107
-------	-----

### *Throwback Rule*

P.L. 86-272	9, 10
-------------	-------

### *Vending Machine Sales*

exempt entities	66
money validators	63

### *Waste Removal*

homeowners' associations	35
real property services	21, 44, 70

### *Write-down*

investment in subsidiaries	12
----------------------------	----