



**ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS**

**TAXATION DIVISION**

**COMPTROLLER OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS  
CASE LIST AND SUMMARY OF ISSUES**

**APRIL 2010**



# Table of Contents

## Table of Cases xi

---

### Franchise Tax

Anadarko E&P Co., L.P. vs Combs, et al.	1
Anadarko OGC Company v. Combs, et al.	1
Anadarko Petroleum Corporation v. Combs, et al.	2
Apache Corp. vs Compt., et al.	2
AROC (Texas), Inc. v. Combs, et al.	3
Brink's Home Security, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	3
Central Telephone Company of Texas and United Telephone Company of Texas v. Rylander, et al.	4
Chevron Chemical Company, L.L.C., as Successor to Chevron Chemical Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	4
Chevron USA Holdings, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	5
Fairfield Industries, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	5
Fairfield Industries, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	6
Fairfield Industries, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	6
Galland Henning Nopak, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	7
Gulf Chemical & Metallurgical Corp. v. Compt., et al.	7
Gulf Chemical & Metallurgical Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al.	8
Lone Star Industries, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	8
Millennium Inorganic Chemicals, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	9
Papa John's USA, Inc. v. Compt., et al.	9
Shell Trading Services Co. v. Combs, et al.	10
Southwestern Bell Telephone Company v. Rylander, et al.	10
Taco Bell Corp. v. Combs, et al.	11
Texaco, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	12
TGS-NOPEC Geophysical Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	12
Viacom International, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	13
York International Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al.	14

### Sales Tax

7-Eleven, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	15
7-Eleven, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	15
AccuTel of Texas, L.P. v. Rylander, et al.	16
Aetna Life Ins. Co. v. Compt., et al.	16

Air Liquide America, L.P. v. Compt., et al.	17
Alcon Research, Ltd., et al. v. Combs, et al.	17
Allegiance Telecom of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	18
Alumax Mill Products, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	18
Anadarko Petroleum Corp. v. Combs, et al.	19
Anh Thai Corp. v. Compt., et al.	19
Apache Corp. v. Combs, et al.	20
Apache Corp. vs. Compt., et al.	20
Aramis Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	21
Aramis Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	21
AT&T Corporation; Teleport Communications of Houston, Inc.; TCG of Dallas, Inc.; AT&T Network Procurement, L.P.; AT&T Communications of Texas, L.P.; and AT&T Communications of the Southwest, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	22
Austin Engineering Co., Inc. v. Combs, et al.	23
Awad, Mike v. Strayhorn, et al.	23
BBB Trading Co. v. State of Texas, et al.	24
Bell Bottom Foundation Company v. Rylander, et al.	24
Bell Helicopter Textron, Inc. v. Compt., et al.	25
BHR Texas L.P. v. Combs, et al.	25
Black Thirst, LLC v. Combs, et al.	26
Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	26
Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	27
Boeing North America, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	28
Boeing North America, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	28
BP America Inc. v. Compt., et al.	29
BP America, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	29
Broadwing Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al.	29
Burns, Kevin D. v. Strayhorn, et al.	30
C & T Stone Company v. Rylander, et al.	30
C.C. Carlton Industries, Ltd. v. Combs, et al.	31
CallSource, Inc. v. Compt., et al.	31
Capitol Aggregates, Ltd. v. Compt., et al.	32
Carino's Italian Kitchen, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	32
Cashiola, James v. Strayhorn, et al.	33
CEC Entertainment, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	33
Centreport Partners, L.P. v. Combs, et al.	34
Chapal Zenray, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	34

Chevron USA Holdings v. Combs, et al.	35
Chevron USA, Inc. v. Compt., et al.	35
Chevron USA, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	36
Church & Dwight Company, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	37
Cingular Wireless of Austin, LP, formerly known as GTE Mobilnet of Austin, LP; GTE Mobilnet of South Texas, LP; GTE Mobilnet of Texas RSA #17, LP; et al. v. Strayhorn, et al.	37
City of Webster and the Webster Economic Development Corporation v. Strayhorn	38
Clear Lake City Community Association, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	39
Clinique Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	40
Clinique Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	40
Clinique Services, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	41
Coastal Industries, Inc. v. Compt., et al.	41
Coca-Cola Company, The v. Strayhorn, et al.	42
Cosmair, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	42
Crown Central Petroleum Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al.	43
Crown Central, L.L.C., et al. v. Combs, et al.	44
Day Cruises Maritime, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.	44
Del Monte Fresh Produce (Texas), Inc. v. Combs, et al.	44
Delta Air Lines, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	45
Dick Roberts Corp., et al. v. Combs, et al.	46
EFW, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	46
EFW, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	47
El Paso Electric Co. v. Combs, et al.	47
El Paso Merchant Energy-Petroleum Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	48
ELC Beauty, L.L.C., as a Successor-in-Interest to Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	48
ELC Beauty, L.L.C., as Successor-in-Interest to Aramis Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	49
ELC Beauty, L.L.C., as Successor-in-Interest to Origins Services, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	49
Embassy Equity Development Corporation, et al. v. Strayhorn, et al.	50
Energy Education of Montana, Inc. v. Combs	51
Energy Education of Montana, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	51
Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	52
Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	52
Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	53
F M Express Food Mart, Inc., and Fouad Hanna Mekdessi v. Rylander, et al.	53
First Class Enterprises, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	54

Florida Management, Inc., et al. v. Compt., et al.	54
Frito-Lay, Inc. v. Compt., et al.	55
Future A's Limited Liability v. Combs, et al.	55
General Dynamics Corporation v. Rylander, et al.	56
General Dynamics Corporation v. Rylander, et al.	56
GEO Group, Inc., The v. Combs, et al.	57
Geosapes of Texas, Inc. v. State of Texas, et al.	57
Gift Box Corporation of America, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	58
Glazier Foods Co. v. Combs, et al.	58
Grocers Supply Co., Inc. v. Combs, et al.	58
Grocers Supply-Institutional-Convenience, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	59
Grocers Supply-Institutional-Convenience, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	59
Grocers Supply-Produce Co., Inc. v. Combs, et al.	60
GSC Enterprises, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	60
GTE Mobilnet of the Southwest, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.	61
GTE Mobilnet of the Southwest, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.	61
GTE Southwest, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	62
GTE Southwest, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	62
GTE Southwest, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	63
GTE Southwest, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	64
GTE Southwest, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	64
GTE Southwest, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	65
GTE Southwest, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	65
GTE Southwest, Inc. vs Compt., et al.	66
Harsco Corp. vs Combs, et al.	66
Health Care Service Corp., et al. vs. Compt., et al.	67
High Tech Document Service v. Combs, et al.	67
Home & Garden Party, Ltd. v. Combs, et al.	68
Home & Garden Party, Ltd. v. Strayhorn, et al.	68
Home Depot, USA, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	69
Hoss Equipment Co. v. Combs, et al.	69
Jerman Cookie Company v. Rylander, et al.	70
Jetman, L.C. v. Combs, et al.	71
Joseph Dobransky v. Combs, et al.	71
Kenneth O. Lester Co., et al. v. Susan Combs, Compt., et al.	71

La Frontera Lodging Partners, L.P., Tex-Air Investment Company, John Q. Hammons Hotels Two, L.P. and John Q. Hammons Hotels, L.P. v. Strayhorn, et al.	72
Laredo Coca-Cola Bottling Company, and Coca-Cola Enterprises, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	73
Laredo Coca-Cola Bottling Company, and Coca-Cola Enterprises, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	73
Lee Construction and Maintenance Company v. Rylander, et al.	74
Lewis & Lambert, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	75
Liberty Vending Services, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	75
Lockheed Corporation v. Rylander, et al.	76
Lockheed Martin Corporation v. Rylander, et al.	76
Lone Star Steel Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	77
Lubrizol Corp., The v. Combs, et al.	77
Lyondell Chemical Co. v. Combs, et al.	78
Marco A. Mascorro v. Compt., et al.	78
Mars, Inc. v. Compt., et al.	79
Mars, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	79
Matoka, Inc. vs. Compt., et al.	80
Maxus Energy Corporation as Successor in Interest to Maxus Corporate Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	80
Olmos Abatement, Inc. v. Compt., et al.	81
Reynolds Metals Co. vs. Combs, et al.	81
Richard's Heating & Air Conditioning, Inc. v. State of Texas, et al.	82
Roadway Express, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	82
Roark Amusement & Vending, L.P. v. Strayhorn, et al.	83
Roark Amusement & Vending, L.P. v. Strayhorn, et al.	83
Salim Abbas Merchant v. Combs, et al.	84
San Antonio Spurs, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.	84
Shanks Surveyors, L.L.P. v. Compt., et al.	85
Southern Union Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	86
Southern Union Gas v. Combs, et al.	86
Southwest Royalties, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	87
Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P. v. Strayhorn, et al.	87
Southwestern Bell Yellow Pages, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	88
Spacenet Services, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	89
Spirit Drilling Fluids, GP, LLC v. Combs, et al.	89
Sysco Food Services of Austin, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	90
Sysco Food Services of Houston, L.P. (fka Sysco Food Service of Houston, Inc.) v. Rylander, et al.	90

Sysco Food Services of Houston, L.P. (fka Sysco Food Services of Houston, Inc.) v. Strayhorn, et al.	91
Sysco Food Services of San Antonio, LP, et al. v. Combs	91
Tara Levy, Robert Tycast, Vivian Daywood, John Butler, Rocky & Linda Piazza and Paul DeNucci, et al. v. Combs, et al.	91
Target Corp. v. Combs, et al.	92
Target Corporation v. Combs, et al.	93
Target Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al.	93
Texas and Kansas City Cable Partners LP v. Combs, et al.	94
Texas Gulf, Inc. v. Bullock, et al.	94
Time Warner Entertainment & Advance Newhouse v. Combs, et al.	95
Time Warner Telecom of Texas, L.P. v. Combs, et al.	95
Time Warner Telecom of Texas, L.P. v. Combs, et al.	96
T-Mobile West Corp. v. Combs, et al.	96
Tyler Holding Company, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	97
U.S. Foodservice, Inc., et al. v. Combs, et al.	97
U.S. Foodservices, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	98
United Scaffolding, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	98
United Space Alliance, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.	98
United Space Alliance, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.	99
United Space Alliance, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.	100
United Space Alliance, LLC v. Combs, et al.	100
V.H. Salas & Associates, Inc. v. Comptroller	101
Verizon Business Network Services, Inc. v. Compt. Et. Al.	101
Watson Sysco Food Services, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	102
White Swan, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	102
White Swan, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	103
Wyndham International Operating Partnership, LP v. Strayhorn, et al.	103
Zale Delaware, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	104
Zale Delaware, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	104
Zale Delaware, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	105
Zimmer US, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	105

## **Insurance Tax**

AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	107
Fireman's Fund Insurance Company of Ohio v. Rylander, et al.	107
Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, et al. v. Combs, et al.	108

New York Life Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	109
Prudential Insurance Company, The v. Strayhorn, et al.	109
Warranty Underwriters Insurance Company v. Rylander, et al.	110

## Other Taxes

35 Bar & Grill, LLC, et al. v. Compt., et al.	111
A & D Interests, Inc., dba Heartbreakers v. Compt., et al.	111
Badger Tavern L.P. et al. v. Susan Combs, Compt., et al.	111
Bassam Jaber Hantouli v. Susan Combs, Compt., et al.	112
Benelux Corp., dba The Palazzo & Ziggfeld's Entertainment, Inc., dba Expose v. Susan Combs, Compt., et al.	112
Benelux Corp., dba, et al. v. Compt., et al.	113
Combs, et al. v. Texas Entertainment Association, Inc. and Karpod, Inc.	113
D. Houston, Inc., dba v. Compt., et al.	114
Dickens, Larry & Mary and Kevin & Jennifer Zaputil v. Combs and Connie Perry, Grimes County Tax Assessor and Collector	115
El Paso Entertainment, Inc. dba v. Compt., et al.	115
El Paso Natural Gas Company v. Sharp	116
Enterprise Operating Co., Inc., dba v. Compt., et al.	116
Eustace ISD v. Compt., et al.	116
FW, Inc. and S & S Bros., Inc. v. Compt., et al.	117
Golden Productions JCG Fort Worth LLC., dba v. Compt., et al.	117
I Gotcha, Inc., dba, et al. v. Compt., et al.	118
Isis Partners, L.P., et al. vs. Combs, et al.	118
John P. Bellam, dba Showgirl v. Compt., et al.	119
Karpod, Inc., dba, et al. v. Compt., et al.	119
Manana Entertainment, Inc., dba v. Susan Combs, Compt., et al.	120
MC/VC, Inc. v. Compt., et al.	120
Mirage Real Estate, Inc., et al. v. Richard Durbin, et al.	121
Mulligan's North Bar & Grill, LLC vs. Compt., et al.	121
North By East, Inc., et al. v. Compt., et al.	121
Price & Company v. Combs, et al.	122
Ranger Fuels & Maintenance, L.L.C. v. Rylander, et al.	122
Ranger Fuels & Maintenance, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.	123
RPM Entertainment, Inc., et al. v. Compt., et al.	123
Savvy, Inc., dba v. Compt., et al.	124
SIFA Investment Inc. v. Compt., et al.	124

SSD Enterprises, Inc. v. Compt., et al.	125
Stuart, Robert T. Jr., Estate of v. Strayhorn, et al.	125
Texas Cabaret, Inc., dba, et al. v. Compt., et al.	126
Texas Richmond Corp. v. Compt., et al.	126
The King Lounge, Inc., dba v. Compt., et al.	127
The Men's Club Corp. v. Compt., et al.	127
TPI Petroleum, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	127
Travis Co., Texas, Nelda Wells Spears, et al. v. Susan Combs, Compt., et al.	128
Valero Retail Holdings, Inc. & MRP Properties Co., LLC v. Compt., et al.	128
Vinson Oil Distribution v. Strayhorn, et al.	129

## **Closed Cases**

Ardsey, Inc. dba Noche Caliente Nightclub v. Strayhorn, et al.	131
Cellular City Ltd. v. Strayhorn, et al.	131
Chrysler Financial Services Americas v. Combs, et al.	132
DaimlerChrysler Services North American, L.L.C.	132
Day Cruises Maritime, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.	133
Entertainment Publications, Inc. v. Compt., et al.	133
I-Ball Corp., dba The Gatsby Social Club v. Combs, et al.	134
J.C. Penney Company, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	135
J.C. Penney Company, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	135
Kroger Company, The v. Combs, et al.	136
Kroger Texas, LP v. Combs, et al.	136
Kroger Texas, LP v. Compt., et al.	137
Levy, Tara, et al. v. OfficeMax, Inc. and Best Buy Stores, L.P.	137
Macy's TX I, LP, Successor in Interest to the May Department Stores Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	138
Nextel of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	138
Olarnpunsagoon, Suchon v. Combs, et al.	139
TDI-Halter, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	140
Verizon North, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	140
Wireless Now, L.P. v. Combs, et al.	141

<b>Index</b>	<b>143</b>
--------------	------------

# Table of Cases

35 Bar & Grill, LLC, et al. v. Compt., et al.	111
7-Eleven, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	15
7-Eleven, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	15
A & D Interests, Inc., dba Heartbreakers v. Compt., et al.	111
AccuTel of Texas, L.P. v. Rylander, et al.	16
Aetna Life Ins. Co. v. Compt., et al.	16
Air Liquide America, L.P. v. Compt., et al.	17
Alcon Research, Ltd., et al. v. Combs, et al.	17
Allegiance Telecom of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	18
Alumax Mill Products, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	18
Anadarko E&P Co., L.P. vs Combs, et al.	1
Anadarko OGC Company v. Combs, et al.	1
Anadarko Petroleum Corp. v. Combs, et al.	19
Anadarko Petroleum Corporation v. Combs, et al.	2
Anh Thai Corp. v. Compt., et al.	19
Apache Corp. v. Combs, et al.	20
Apache Corp. vs Compt., et al.	2
Apache Corp. vs. Compt., et al.	20
Aramis Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	21
Aramis Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	21
Ardsey, Inc. dba Noche Caliente Nightclub v. Strayhorn, et al.	131
AROC (Texas), Inc. v. Combs, et al.	3
AT&T Corporation; Teleport Communications of Houston, Inc.; TCG of Dallas, Inc.; AT&T Network Procurement, L.P.; AT&T Communications of Texas, L.P.; and AT&T Communications of the Southwest, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	22
Austin Engineering Co., Inc. v. Combs, et al.	23
Awad, Mike v. Strayhorn, et al.	23
AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	107
Badger Tavern L.P. et al. v. Susan Combs, Compt., et al.	111
Bassam Jaber Hantouli v. Susan Combs, Compt., et al.	112
BBB Trading Co. v. State of Texas, et al.	24
Bell Bottom Foundation Company v. Rylander, et al.	24
Bell Helicopter Textron, Inc. v. Compt., et al.	25
Benelux Corp., dba The Palazzo & Ziggfeld's Entertainment, Inc., dba Expose v. Susan Combs, Compt., et al.	112
Benelux Corp., dba, et al. v. Compt., et al.	113
BHR Texas L.P. v. Combs, et al.	25
Black Thirst, LLC v. Combs, et al.	26
Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	26
Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	27

Boeing North America, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	28
Boeing North America, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	28
BP America Inc. v. Compt., et al.	29
BP America, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	29
Brink's Home Security, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	3
Broadwing Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al.	29
Burns, Kevin D. v. Strayhorn, et al.	30
C & T Stone Company v. Rylander, et al.	30
C.C. Carlton Industries, Ltd. v. Combs, et al.	31
CallSource, Inc. v. Compt., et al.	31
Capitol Aggregates, Ltd. v. Compt., et al.	32
Carino's Italian Kitchen, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	32
Cashiola, James v. Strayhorn, et al.	33
CEC Entertainment, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	33
Cellular City Ltd. v. Strayhorn, et al.	131
Central Telephone Company of Texas and United Telephone Company of Texas v. Rylander, et al.	4
Centreport Partners, L.P. v. Combs, et al.	34
Chapal Zenray, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	34
Chevron Chemical Company, L.L.C., as Successor to Chevron Chemical Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	4
Chevron USA Holdings v. Combs, et al.	35
Chevron USA Holdings, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	5
Chevron USA, Inc. v. Compt., et al.	35
Chevron USA, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	36
Chrysler Financial Services Americas v. Combs, et al.	132
Church & Dwight Company, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	37
Cingular Wireless of Austin, LP, formerly known as GTE Mobilnet of Austin, LP; GTE Mobilnet of South Texas, LP; GTE Mobilnet of Texas RSA #17, LP; et al. v. Strayhorn, et al.	37
City of Webster and the Webster Economic Development Corporation v. Strayhorn	38
Clear Lake City Community Association, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	39
Clinique Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	40
Clinique Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	40
Clinique Services, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	41
Coastal Industries, Inc. v. Compt., et al.	41
Coca-Cola Company, The v. Strayhorn, et al.	42
Combs, et al. v. Texas Entertainment Association, Inc. and Karpod, Inc.	113
Cosmair, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	42
Crown Central Petroleum Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al.	43
Crown Central, L.L.C., et al. v. Combs, et al.	44
D. Houston, Inc., dba v. Compt., et al.	114
DaimlerChrysler Services North American, L.L.C.	132
Day Cruises Maritime, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.	44

Day Cruises Maritime, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.	133
Del Monte Fresh Produce (Texas), Inc. v. Combs, et al.	44
Delta Air Lines, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	45
Dick Roberts Corp., et al. v. Combs, et al.	46
Dickens, Larry & Mary and Kevin & Jennifer Zaputil v. Combs and Connie Perry, Grimes County Tax Assessor and Collector	115
EFW, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	46
EFW, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	47
El Paso Electric Co. v. Combs, et al.	47
El Paso Entertainment, Inc. dba v. Compt., et al.	115
El Paso Merchant Energy-Petroleum Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	48
El Paso Natural Gas Company v. Sharp	116
ELC Beauty, L.L.C., as a Successor-in-Interest to Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	48
ELC Beauty, L.L.C., as Successor-in-Interest to Aramis Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	49
ELC Beauty, L.L.C., as Successor-in-Interest to Origins Services, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	49
Embassy Equity Development Corporation, et al. v. Strayhorn, et al.	50
Energy Education of Montana, Inc. v. Combs	51
Energy Education of Montana, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	51
Enterprise Operating Co., Inc., dba v. Compt., et al.	116
Entertainment Publications, Inc. v. Compt., et al.	133
Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	52
Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	52
Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	53
Eustace ISD v. Compt., et al.	116
F M Express Food Mart, Inc., and Fouad Hanna Mekdessi v. Rylander, et al.	53
Fairfield Industries, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	5
Fairfield Industries, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	6
Fairfield Industries, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	6
Fireman's Fund Insurance Company of Ohio v. Rylander, et al.	107
First Class Enterprises, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	54
Florida Management, Inc., et al. v. Compt., et al.	54
Frito-Lay, Inc. v. Compt., et al.	55
Future A's Limited Liability v. Combs, et al.	55
FW, Inc. and S & S Bros., Inc. v. Compt., et al.	117
Galland Henning Nopak, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	7
General Dynamics Corporation v. Rylander, et al.	56
General Dynamics Corporation v. Rylander, et al.	56
GEO Group, Inc., The v. Combs, et al.	57
Geoscapes of Texas, Inc. v. State of Texas, et al.	57
Gift Box Corporation of America, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	58
Glazier Foods Co. v. Combs, et al.	58
Golden Productions JCG Fort Worth LLC., dba v. Compt., et al.	117
Grocers Supply Co., Inc. v. Combs, et al.	58

Grocers Supply-Institutional-Convenience, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	59
Grocers Supply-Institutional-Convenience, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	59
Grocers Supply-Produce Co., Inc. v. Combs, et al.	60
GSC Enterprises, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	60
GTE Mobilnet of the Southwest, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.	61
GTE Mobilnet of the Southwest, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.	61
GTE Southwest, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	62
GTE Southwest, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	62
GTE Southwest, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	63
GTE Southwest, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	64
GTE Southwest, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	64
GTE Southwest, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	65
GTE Southwest, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	65
GTE Southwest, Inc. vs Compt., et al.	66
Gulf Chemical & Metallurgical Corp. v. Compt., et al.	7
Gulf Chemical & Metallurgical Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al.	8
Harsco Corp. vs Combs, et al.	66
Health Care Service Corp., et al. vs. Compt., et al.	67
High Tech Document Service v. Combs, et al.	67
Home & Garden Party, Ltd. v. Combs, et al.	68
Home & Garden Party, Ltd. v. Strayhorn, et al.	68
Home Depot, USA, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	69
Hoss Equipment Co. v. Combs, et al.	69
I Gotcha, Inc., dba, et al. v. Compt., et al.	118
I-Ball Corp., dba The Gatsby Social Club v. Combs, et al.	134
Isis Partners, L.P., et al. vs. Combs, et al.	118
J.C. Penney Company, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	135
J.C. Penney Company, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	135
Jerman Cookie Company v. Rylander, et al.	70
Jetman, L.C. v. Combs, et al.	71
John P. Bellam, dba Showgirl v. Compt., et al.	119
Joseph Dobransky v. Combs, et al.	71
Karpod, Inc., dba, et al. v. Compt., et al.	119
Kenneth O. Lester Co., et al. v. Susan Combs, Compt., et al.	71
Kroger Company, The v. Combs, et al.	136
Kroger Texas, LP v. Combs, et al.	136
Kroger Texas, LP v. Compt., et al.	137
La Frontera Lodging Partners, L.P., Tex-Air Investment Company, John Q. Hammons Hotels Two, L.P. and John Q. Hammons Hotels, L.P. v. Strayhorn, et al.	72
Laredo Coca-Cola Bottling Company, and Coca-Cola Enterprises, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	73
Laredo Coca-Cola Bottling Company, and Coca-Cola Enterprises, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	73
Lee Construction and Maintenance Company v. Rylander, et al.	74
Levy, Tara, et al. v. OfficeMax, Inc. and Best Buy Stores, L.P.	137

Lewis & Lambert, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	75
Liberty Vending Services, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	75
Lockheed Corporation v. Rylander, et al.	76
Lockheed Martin Corporation v. Rylander, et al.	76
Lone Star Industries, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	8
Lone Star Steel Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	77
Lubrizol Corp., The v. Combs, et al.	77
Lyondell Chemical Co. v. Combs, et al.	78
Macy's TX I, LP, Successor in Interest to the May Department Stores Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	138
Manana Entertainment, Inc., dba v. Susan Combs, Compt., et al.	120
Marco A. Mascorro v. Compt., et al.	78
Mars, Inc. v. Compt., et al.	79
Mars, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	79
Matoka, Inc. vs. Compt., et al.	80
Maxus Energy Corporation as Successor in Interest to Maxus Corporate Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	80
MC/VC, Inc. v. Compt., et al.	120
Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, et al. v. Combs, et al.	108
Millennium Inorganic Chemicals, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	9
Mirage Real Estate, Inc., et al. v. Richard Durbin, et al.	121
Mulligan's North Bar & Grill, LLC vs. Compt., et al.	121
New York Life Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	109
Nextel of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	138
North By East, Inc., et al. v. Compt., et al.	121
Olarnpunsagoon, Suchon v. Combs, et al.	139
Olmos Abatement, Inc. v. Compt., et al.	81
Papa John's USA, Inc. v. Compt., et al.	9
Price & Company v. Combs, et al.	122
Prudential Insurance Company, The v. Strayhorn, et al.	109
Ranger Fuels & Maintenance, L.L.C. v. Rylander, et al.	122
Ranger Fuels & Maintenance, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.	123
Reynolds Metals Co. vs. Combs, et al.	81
Richard's Heating & Air Conditioning, Inc. v. State of Texas, et al.	82
Roadway Express, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	82
Roark Amusement & Vending, L.P. v. Strayhorn, et al.	83
Roark Amusement & Vending, L.P. v. Strayhorn, et al.	83
RPM Entertainment, Inc., et al. v. Compt., et al.	123
Salim Abbas Merchant v. Combs, et al.	84
San Antonio Spurs, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.	84
Savvy, Inc., dba v. Compt., et al.	124
Shanks Surveyors, L.L.P. v. Compt., et al.	85
Shell Trading Services Co. v. Combs, et al.	10

SIFA Investment Inc. v. Compt., et al.	124
Southern Union Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	86
Southern Union Gas v. Combs, et al.	86
Southwest Royalties, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	87
Southwestern Bell Telephone Company v. Rylander, et al.	10
Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P. v. Strayhorn, et al.	87
Southwestern Bell Yellow Pages, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	88
Spacenet Services, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	89
Spirit Drilling Fluids, GP, LLC v. Combs, et al.	89
SSD Enterprises, Inc. v. Compt., et al.	125
Stuart, Robert T. Jr., Estate of v. Strayhorn, et al.	125
Sysco Food Services of Austin, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	90
Sysco Food Services of Houston, L.P. (fka Sysco Food Service of Houston, Inc.) v. Rylander, et al.	90
Sysco Food Services of Houston, L.P. (fka Sysco Food Services of Houston, Inc.) v. Strayhorn, et al.	91
Sysco Food Services of San Antonio, LP, et al. v. Combs	91
Taco Bell Corp. v. Combs, et al.	11
Tara Levy, Robert Tycast, Vivian Daywood, John Butler, Rocky & Linda Piazza and Paul DeNucci, et al. v. Combs, et al.	91
Target Corp. v. Combs, et al.	92
Target Corporation v. Combs, et al.	93
Target Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al.	93
TDI-Halter, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	140
Texaco, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	12
Texas and Kansas City Cable Partners LP v. Combs, et al.	94
Texas Cabaret, Inc., dba, et al. v. Compt., et al.	126
Texas Gulf, Inc. v. Bullock, et al.	94
Texas Richmond Corp. v. Compt., et al.	126
TGS-NOPEC Geophysical Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	12
The King Lounge, Inc., dba v. Compt., et al.	127
The Men's Club Corp. v. Compt., et al.	127
Time Warner Entertainment & Advance Newhouse v. Combs, et al.	95
Time Warner Telecom of Texas, L.P. v. Combs, et al.	95
Time Warner Telecom of Texas, L.P. v. Combs, et al.	96
T-Mobile West Corp. v. Combs, et al.	96
TPI Petroleum, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	127
Travis Co., Texas, Nelda Wells Spears, et al. v. Susan Combs, Compt., et al.	128
Tyler Holding Company, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	97
U.S. Foodservice, Inc., et al. v. Combs, et al.	97
U.S. Foodservices, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	98
United Scaffolding, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	98
United Space Alliance, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.	98

United Space Alliance, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.	99
United Space Alliance, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.	100
United Space Alliance, LLC v. Combs, et al.	100
V.H. Salas & Associates, Inc. v. Comptroller	101
Valero Retail Holdings, Inc. & MRP Properties Co., LLC v. Compt., et al.	128
Verizon Business Network Services, Inc. v. Compt. Et. Al.	101
Verizon North, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	140
Viacom International, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	13
Vinson Oil Distribution v. Strayhorn, et al.	129
Warranty Underwriters Insurance Company v. Rylander, et al.	110
Watson Sysco Food Services, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	102
White Swan, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	102
White Swan, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	103
Wireless Now, L.P. v. Combs, et al.	141
Wyndham International Operating Partnership, LP v. Strayhorn, et al.	103
York International Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al.	14
Zale Delaware, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	104
Zale Delaware, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	104
Zale Delaware, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	105
Zimmer US, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	105



## Franchise Tax

### ***Anadarko E&P Co., L.P. vs Combs, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-07003385 AG Case #: 072475932

Filed: 10/3/2007

Franchise Tax; Protest & Refund

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$4,518,016.85 1999-2001 Texas Franchise Tax Report

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Van Oort, Kevin OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller correctly calculated the value of impairment of its long-lived assets under the applicable principles for successful efforts accounting.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

### ***Anadarko OGC Company v. Combs, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-09-004036 AG Case #: 093165967

Filed: 11/25/2009

Franchise Tax; Refund

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$2,019.43 \$5,387,747.55/\$1,013,096.12 (1997 through 2002)

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Van Oort, Kevin OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Langenberg, Ray

Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether the Comptroller correctly calculated the value of impairment of taxpayer's long-lived assets under the applicable principles for successful efforts accounting. Whether the taxpayer is entitled to use an alternate method of computing accumulated depreciation.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Anadarko Petroleum Corporation v. Combs, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-07-000670 AG Case #: 072441751

Filed: 3/6/2007

Franchise Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$3,100,129.00	1995 - 2002

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Van Oort, Kevin OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray

Issue: Whether Plaintiff may include proved reserves when computing impairment for long-lived assets. Whether Plaintiff is entitled to use an alternative GAAP method of computing accumulated depreciation and net pension liabilities. Whether Plaintiff is entitled to a franchise tax credit for tax paid on property used in manufacturing. Plaintiff requests that penalty and interest be waived.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

***Apache Corp. vs Compt., et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-07003861 AG Case #: 072481518

Filed: 11/6/2007

Franchise Tax;

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$2,121,145.00	1998-1999

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Van Oort, Kevin OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray

Issue: Whether Plaintiff may make an impairment adjustment to its long-lived assets under the successful efforts accounting method and whether it may use a double declining balance

method of depreciation.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***AROC (Texas), Inc. v. Combs, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-07-000882 AG Case #: 072445745

Filed: 3/23/2007

Franchise Tax; Protest & Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$241,435.17	01/01/01 - 12/31/02
\$114,245.78	01/01/01 - 12/31/02

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Tourtellotte, Tom Hance Scarborough Wright Woodward &  
Weisbart, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether debts of the Plaintiff are inter-company debts or equity infusions, causing the debts to be treated as equity and therefore taxable. Plaintiff claims its assets had been collateralized to a third party lender in return for funding.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

***Brink's Home Security, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-004615 AG Case #: 062430392

Filed: 12/14/2006

Franchise Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$91,372.00	2000

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Bernal, Jr., Gilbert J. Stahl, Bernal & Davies / Austin  
Sewell, David J.

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's gross receipts should include those receipts for services apportioned

outside of the State. Plaintiff claims the Comptroller has misapplied the statutes and rules at issue and imposition of tax against Plaintiff is unconstitutional. Plaintiff claims violation of the Commerce Clause.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

***Central Telephone Company of Texas and United Telephone Company of Texas v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN100332

AG Case #: 011409646

Filed: 2/1/2001

Franchise Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$300,772.95	1988 - 1994
\$204,616.25	1988 - 1994

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray

Issue: Whether inclusion of access charges in Texas' gross receipts violates Comptroller rules on franchise tax treatment of interstate telephone receipts. Whether inclusion of the charges violates equal protection.

Status: Discovery stayed pending appeal of Southwestern Bell case. Case set for a bench trial on 12/06/10.

---

***Chevron Chemical Company, L.L.C., as Successor to Chevron Chemical Company v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-000789

AG Case #: 062297486

Filed: 3/6/2006

Franchise Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$559,579.09	1994 - 1995

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

McKinney, Dennis                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.  
Langenberg, Ray  
Sigel, Doug

Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller correctly applied Plaintiff's business loss carry-forward on earned surplus during years when the earned surplus surtax was computed at zero.

Status: Case placed on Dismissal docket for 03/28/07; Motion to Retain granted 12/23/08.

---

***Chevron USA Holdings, Inc. v. Combs, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-09-000748 AG Case #: 093110088

Filed: 3/6/2009

Franchise Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
	01/01/97 through 12/31/00

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Brugnoli, Darlene OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Langenberg, Ray Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff may carry forward its impairment losses and exclude abandonment costs in computing its taxable capital.

Status: Answer filed. Discovery in progress.

---

***Fairfield Industries, Inc. v. Combs, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-09-001289 AG Case #: 093131944

Filed: 4/21/2009

Franchise Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$2,557,040.47	2005-2007

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Van Oort, Kevin OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Mann, Christopher S.

Jones, Walker, Waechter, Poitevent, Carrere &  
Denegre, L.L.P / New Orleans, LA

Issue: Whether the Comptroller incorrectly apportioned gross receipts from licensing seismic data.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Fairfield Industries, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-05-003289 AG Case #: 052214558

Filed: 9/13/2005

Franchise Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,107,256.04	2002 - 2004

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Van Oort, Kevin OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

White, John D. Jones, Walker, Waechter, Poitevent, Carrère &  
Denégre, L.L.P. / The Woodlands

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's gross receipts should be treated as receipts from intangibles apportioned based on the location of the payor or the location of the alleged use of data. Whether the transfer of seismic data is a "license" or the transfer of an intangible for franchise tax apportionment purposes. Plaintiff also requests that penalties be waived and recovery of attorneys' fees.

Status: Order consolidating with *Fairfield Industries, Inc. v. Compt., et al.*, Cause No. D-1-GN-06-000797 entered 07/11/07. Inactive. Pending disposition of TGS-NOPEC case, Cause #D-1-GN-05-00637.

---

***Fairfield Industries, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-000797 AG Case #: 062296884

Filed: 3/7/2006

Franchise Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$769,839.19	1999 - 2001

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Van Oort, Kevin OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

White, John D.

Jones, Walker, Waechter, Poitevent, Carrère &  
Denégre, L.L.P. / The Woodlands

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's gross receipts should be treated as receipts from intangibles apportioned based on the location of the payor or the location of the alleged use of data. Whether the transfer of seismic data is a "license" or the transfer of an intangible for franchise tax apportionment purposes. Plaintiff also requests that penalties be waived and recovery of attorneys' fees.

Status: Case consolidated into *Fairfield Industries, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.*, Cause #GN503289.

---

***Galland Henning Nopak, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-001409 AG Case #: 062312129  
#03-09-00347-CV  
#07-09-00250-CV

Filed: 4/21/2006

Franchise Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$16,751.35	1995 - 2004

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Barenblat, Marc A.

OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Davidson, William C.

Chamberlain & McHaney / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff had sufficient nexus in Texas to be assessed taxes under both the taxable capital component and the earned surplus component of the Texas Franchise Tax.

Status: Summary Judgment hearing reset by agreement for 05/28/09. The trial court granted Defendants' Plea to the Jurisdiction and Motion for Summary Judgment and denied Defendants' No-evidence Motion for Summary Judgment and Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment. Plaintiff's Notice of Appeal filed 06/18/09. Case transferred to 7th COA on 07/20/09. Clerk's Record filed 08/07/09. Appellant's brief due 09/08/09. Appellant's Motion for Extension of Time to File Brief filed and granted 09/03/09. Appellant's Second Motion for Extension of Time to File Brief filed and granted 10/05/09. Supplemental Clerk's Record filed 10/15/09. Appellant's brief filed 11/20/09. Appellee's brief filed 01/21/10. Case set for submission on briefs on 05/21/10.

---

***Gulf Chemical & Metallurgical Corp. v. Compt., et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-002313 AG Case #: 082518937

Filed: 7/2/2008

Franchise Tax; Protest & Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$262,066.00	2001 through 2004

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Barenblat, Marc A.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether tax credits were properly applied. Whether gross receipts were properly determined for fee/credit transactions. Whether the officer add-back provisions of the franchise tax are unconstitutional. Whether penalty should be waived.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Gulf Chemical & Metallurgical Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-004636    AG Case #: 062430582                      Filed: 12/15/2006

Franchise Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$245,571.02	1997 - 2000

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Van Oort, Kevin                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Langenberg, Ray

Issue: How should processing fees and metals credit be calculated for franchise tax apportionment purposes. Whether Plaintiff is entitled to a refund resulting from the elimination of the addback for officer and director compensation.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

***Lone Star Industries, Inc. v. Combs, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-10-000065    AG Case #: 103172730                      Filed: 1/7/2010

Franchise Tax; Protest & Refund

Claim Amount      Reporting Period  
\$428,568.50      Report years 1999-2002

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Brugnoli, Darlene                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray

Issue: Whether taxpayer's taxable capital should be based on its historical cost without regard to applicable push-down adjustments.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

***Millennium Inorganic Chemicals, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-000655    AG Case #: 062295894

Filed: 2/23/2006

Franchise Tax; Protest

Claim Amount      Reporting Period  
\$2,862,261.31      1996 - 1999

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Brugnoli, Darlene                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Hagenswold, R. Eric

Issue: Whether Plaintiff may deduct from its surplus the pre-acquisition negative retained earnings of a subsidiary's subsidiary. Whether Plaintiff may write-down subsidiary's investments in subsidiaries. Whether the Comptroller correctly determined Plaintiff's original cost basis in its subsidiary.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

***Papa John's USA, Inc. v. Compt., et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-002376    AG Case #: 082519620

Filed: 7/7/2008

Franchise Tax; Refund

Claim Amount      Reporting Period  
\$48,842.33      2001-2004

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Sigel, Doug                              Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether the officer add-back provision is unconstitutional.

Status: Notice of Nonsuit filed 12/09/08.

---

***Shell Trading Services Co. v. Combs, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-09-003859      AG Case #: 093163046

Filed: 11/9/2009

Franchise Tax; Refund

Claim Amount      Reporting Period  
\$1,416,829.00      2002-2003

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Brugnoli, Darlene                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Schmauch, Jason Michael      Houston

Issue: Whether payments made to certain individuals were payments subject to the officer and director add back provision, notwithstanding taxpayer's contention that it was reimbursed for such salary payments by a third party.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Southwestern Bell Telephone Company v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN204559

AG Case #: 031730666

Filed: 12/20/2002

#03-07-00142-CV

#07-07-00172-CV

#09-0128

Franchise Tax; Protest

Claim Amount      Reporting Period  
\$25,163,579.92      1996 - 1999; 2001

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Van Oort, Kevin                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray

Issue: Whether local loop access charges are Texas receipts for franchise tax purposes. Whether treating the revenues as Texas receipts violates the Comptroller's Rule on interstate calls and the Due Process, Equal Protection and Commerce Clauses of the Constitution. Whether other charges related to message services are Texas receipts.

Status: First Amended Original Petition adding 2001 final report filed. Cross-MSJ hearing held 02/14/07. On 02/16/07 Defendants' MSJ granted; Plaintiff's denied. Notice of Appeal filed 03/08/07. Clerk's Record filed 03/21/07. Appellant's brief filed 04/20/07. Case transferred to Seventh Court of Appeals 05/01/07. Appellee's amended brief filed 06/27/07. Appellants' reply brief filed 07/23/07. Appellees' Pre-submission filed 05/27/08. Case submitted on oral argument to the Amarillo COA sitting in Austin on 06/09/08. Opinion issued affirming trial court's judgment 10/28/08. Appellant's Motion for Extension of Time to File Motion for Rehearing filed 11/07/08; granted 11/12/08. Motion for Rehearing filed 11/26/08; overruled 12/30/08. Southwestern Bell's Petition for Review filed in the Texas Supreme Court on 02/12/09. Waiver of response filed 03/03/09. Response to Petition for Review requested 04/10/09. Motion for Extension of Time to File Response filed 04/16/09; granted 04/17/09. Response filed 06/10/09. Briefing on the merits requested 08/21/09. Petitioner's brief on the merits filed 10/21/09. Respondent's brief on the merits filed 01/15/10. Petitioner's Reply Brief filed 03/04/10.

---

***Taco Bell Corp. v. Combs, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-09-003637      AG Case #: 093159101

Filed: 10/21/2009

Franchise Tax; Protest

Claim Amount      Reporting Period  
\$2,273,294.00      1999 through 2002

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Phillips, Wade                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Gilliland, David H.

Clark, Thomas & Winters / Austin

Issue: Whether the Taxpayer, a foreign corporation, conducted business within Texas during the audit period. Whether the activities of a franchisee, performed on behalf of the Taxpayer, would be sufficient to establish a physical presence.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Texaco, Inc. v. Combs, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-09-001386 AG Case #: 093123461

Filed: 4/30/2009

Franchise Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,136,124.00	01/01/1992 through 12/31/1996

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Brugnoli, Darlene

OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Langenberg, Ray

Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Plaintiff seeks a reduction in franchise tax for various reasons including abandonment costs and impairment of assets, intercompany expense reimbursements, alternative depreciation, and manufacturing credits.

Status: Response to Plaintiff's Discovery filed 10/05/09. Disclosures filed.

---

***TGS-NOPEC Geophysical Company v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN500637

AG Case #: 052114220

Filed: 3/1/2005

#03-07-00640-CV

#08-1056

Franchise Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$390,471.26	1997 - 2000
\$1,422,008.76	2001 - 2003

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Van Oort, Kevin

OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

McBride, James T.

Jackson Walker L.L.P. / Houston

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's gross receipts should be treated as receipts from intangibles apportioned based on the location of the payer or the location of the alleged use of data. Whether the transfer of seismic data is a "license" or the transfer of an intangible for franchise tax apportionment purposes. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Hearing on Cross-Motions for Summary Judgment heard on 07/16/07. Final Summary Judgment signed on 10/15/07. The court granted Summary Judgment to Defendants on the apportionment issue and granted Summary Judgment to Plaintiff on the penalty and interest issue. Defendants'/Cross-Appellants' Notice of Appeal filed 11/15/07. Court Reporter's Record due 12/14/07. Notice of Late Record sent 01/15/08. Clerk's record filed 01/17/08. Appellant TGS and Cross-Appellant Comptroller filed a Joint Motion for Extension of Time to File Briefs 02/04/08; granted 02/07/08. Cross-Appellant's brief filed 04/18/08; Oral Argument requested. Appellant's brief filed 04/21/08; oral argument not requested. Appellee's brief filed 05/22/08; oral argument requested. Cross-Appellee's brief filed 05/20/08; oral argument not requested. Oral Argument denied 05/30/08. Appellants' reply brief filed 06/11/08. Trial court's judgment affirmed on 08/15/08. Appellee's Motion for Rehearing filed 09/02/08. Appellant's Motion for Rehearing filed 10/13/08. Appellants' and Appellees' Motion for Rehearing overruled 11/03/08. Petitioner's Unopposed Motion for Extension of Time to File Petition for Review in the Supreme Court filed and granted 12/17/08. Petition for Review filed 01/21/09. Respondent's Response to Petition for Review waived 02/18/09. The International Association of Geophysical Contractors submitted an amicus brief in support of TGS on 03/13/09. Response to Petition for Review requested on 03/27/09. Response to Petition filed 05/27/09. Petitioner's Reply filed 06/11/09. Briefing on the merits requested 06/26/09. Petitioner's Brief on The Merits filed 08/26/09. Petitioner's Amended Brief filed 08/27/09. Respondent's Motion for Extension of Time to File Brief filed 10/23/09; granted 10/27/09. Respondent's briefing on the merits filed 11/20/09. Petitioner's reply brief filed 12/14/09. Amicus letter filed 12/31/09. Petition for Review granted 03/12/10. Case submitted on oral argument on 04/15/10.

---

***Viacom International, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN402433

AG Case #: 041999269

Filed: 7/30/2004

Franchise Tax; Protest

Claim Amount      Reporting Period

\$754,178.16      1997 - 1999

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.

OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Gilliland, David H.

Clark, Thomas & Winters / Austin

Issue: Whether revenue received from third-party cable television system operators is revenue earned from licensing or from the service of producing, creating, editing, packaging and transmitting 24-hour-per-day network programming performed out-of-state. Should revenue from providing these services be considered Texas receipts for franchise tax purposes. Plaintiff also claims violation of Due Process and the Commerce Clause.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

***York International Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN600153

AG Case #: 062275193

Filed: 1/13/2006

Franchise Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$362,337.18	1993 - 1996

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

McKinney, Dennis

OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.

Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to record the assets and liabilities of previously acquired entities at their historical book values for purposes of determining taxable capital under Tax Code Section 171.109(b). Whether the Comptroller incorrectly calculated Plaintiff's push-down adjustments under Tax Code Section 171.109(m). Whether the Comptroller used the proper accounting method to value transferred assets. Whether Plaintiff's claim is barred as a second refund.

Status: Agreed Judgment signed 12/14/09.

---

## Sales Tax

### ***7-Eleven, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN403369

AG Case #: 042046367

Filed: 10/8/2004

#03-08-00212-CV

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$299,328.98	04/01/93 - 09/30/96

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray

Issue: Whether the purchase of bookkeeping software installed on computers located out-of-state and subsequently shipped to stores in-state qualifies for the sale for resale exemption.

Status: Hearing on cross-motions for summary judgment and defendants' plea to the jurisdiction held 02/05/08. Judgment granted for the State on 03/24/08. Plaintiff filed Notice of Appeal 04/07/08. Clerk's Record filed 06/19/08. Appellant's brief filed 07/21/08. Appellees' brief filed 08/20/08. Appellant's Reply Brief filed 09/16/08; accepted for oral argument. Appellant's Motion to Postpone Oral Argument filed 01/12/09. Submission cancelled 01/13/09. Submitted on oral argument on 04/08/09. Opinion issued 08/31/09, reversing the summary judgment in favor of the State, rendering judgment that 7-Eleven is entitled to a partial sales-tax refund with respect to the software that it transferred to its franchise stores, and remanding to the trial court the portion of the cause pertaining to software that was delivered to its out-of-state company stores. The State filed a Motion for Rehearing on 10/06/09 and re-filed its Motion for Rehearing on 11/02/09. Response requested 11/18/09. Appellant's Response to the Motion for Rehearing filed 12/03/09. Substitute Opinion issued 04/22/10, reversing the Court of Appeals' 08/31/09 decision, remanding both issues to the trial court. Appellant filed its Motion for Rehearing on 05/07/10.

---

### ***7-Eleven, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-002424

AG Case #: 062380290

Filed: 6/30/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount      Reporting Period  
\$615,638.45      04/01/93 - 09/30/96

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray

Issue: Whether Plaintiff purchased non-taxable programming services rather than taxable software.

Status: Inactive.

---

***AccuTel of Texas, L.P. v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN300091

AG Case #: 031735236

Filed: 1/10/2003

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount      Reporting Period  
\$45,658.15      06/01/97 - 11/30/00

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Phillips, Wade                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Feiger, Robert E.                      Friedman & Feiger, L.L.P. / Dallas

Issue: Whether Plaintiff should have been assessed interest and penalty.

Status: Case Dismissed for Want of Prosecution 04/27/07. Plaintiff's Agreed Motion to Reinstate granted 09/04/07.

---

***Aetna Life Ins. Co. v. Compt., et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-002422

AG Case #: 082519794

Filed: 7/10/2008

Sales and use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount      Reporting Period  
\$1,228,278.73      02/01/97 thru 01/31/01 & 02/01/01 thru 6/30/02

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Osterloh, Curtis J.

Issue: Whether Aetna received data processing services. If so, whether services were properly allocated to Texas.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

***Air Liquide America, L.P. v. Compt., et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-09-000193    AG Case #: 093101491

Filed: 1/21/2009

Sales and use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$2,769,627.00	01/01/98 through 12/31/01

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to credit interest on the gross amount of credits rather than the net amount.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Alcon Research, Ltd., et al. v. Combs, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-10-000065    AG Case #: 103172755

Filed: 1/8/2010

Sales and use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$2,574,603.00	07/01/99 thru 12/31/02

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

McKinney, Dennis                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether taxpayer's amended returns were in compliance with, and subject to, a percentage-based reporting agreement.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

***Allegiance Telecom of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-000056    AG Case #: 062269030                      Filed: 1/6/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$2,660,546.29	10/01/97 - 12/31/00

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Storie, Gene                              OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Hagenswold, R. Eric

Issue: Whether equipment purchased by Plaintiff is exempt from sales tax as tangible personal property used in manufacturing and processing. Whether freight charges are exempt from sales tax under the manufacturing exemption.

Status: Answer filed. Court sent Notice to Dismiss for Want of Prosecution on 01/30/08.

Unopposed Motion to Reinstate filed 09/22/08. Trial set for 12/06/10.

---

***Alumax Mill Products, Inc. v. Combs, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-07-000165    AG Case #: 072435746                      Filed: 1/22/2007

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$78,359.28	07/01/98 - 06/30/02

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether industrial solid waste removal is exempt as a real property service. Whether Plaintiff's purchases of repair and replacement parts for and repair services performed on rolling stock equipment are exempt from sales and use tax as services performed on exempt tangible personal property.

Status: Answer filed. Agreed Scheduling Order filed 03/04/09.

---

***Anadarko Petroleum Corp. v. Combs, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-10-000501 AG Case #: 103181905 Filed: 2/17/2010

Sales and use Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$2,726,326.08	(plus principal & interest) 01/01/00 thru 12/31/03

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Van Oort, Kevin OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray

Issue: Whether the Comptroller correctly calculated the value of impairment of taxpayer's long-lived assets under the applicable principles for successful efforts accounting.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Anh Thai Corp. v. Compt., et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-003086 AG Case #: 082526096 Filed: 8/26/2008

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$158,443.19	April 1, 2001 through Dec. 31, 2004

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Buck, E. Rhett                      Houston

Issue: Whether percentages of sales were properly computed. Whether Plaintiff had sufficient records to perform audit without relying on standards of AP92.

Status: Answer filed. Plaintiff's Supplemental Pleading for Tax Refund filed 09/25/08.  
Plaintiff's Oath of Inability to Pay filed 09/19/08.

---

***Apache Corp. v. Combs, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-09-004344    AG Case #: 103170098

Filed: 12/21/2009

Sales and use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$7,080,790.79	Jan. 1, 1995 through Dec. 31, 2002

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Phillips, Wade                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray

Issue: Plaintiff's refund suit raises multiple exemptions to the application of the sales and use tax to its operations. Claims include manufacturing exemptions, sale for resale, and services performed on exempt TPP.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Apache Corp. vs. Compt., et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-001989    AG Case #: 082513300

Filed: 6/6/2008

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$5,894,089.15	1/01/2003 through 06/30/2005

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's property qualifies for exemption under various provisions of section 151.318. Whether Plaintiff paid tax on non-taxable services. Whether some property was used for exempt environmental work. Whether sales prices were correctly determined.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Aramis Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: 0000384

AG Case #: 001273051

Filed: 2/11/2000

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$281,676.36	04/01/94 - 12/31/97

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Wolfe, Susan                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Cowling, David E.                      Jones Day / Dallas  
Lyda, Kirk

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed. Whether Rule 3.346(b)(3)(A) is invalid and whether the Comptroller has authority to change its long-standing policy. Alternatively, whether penalty should be waived.

Status: Court sent Notice of DWOP for 08/23/02. Plaintiff filed Motion to Retain; granted 02/27/03. Court DWOP the case 06/15/05. Plaintiff filed Motion to Reinstate 07/12/05. Defendants filed first amended answer, plea to the jurisdiction, special exceptions and motion for attorneys' fees 11/17/06.

---

***Aramis Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.***

Cause Number: 98-03527

AG Case #: 98930349

Filed: 4/3/1998

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$291,196.00	04/01/90 - 03/31/94

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Wolfe, Susan                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Cowling, David E.              Jones Day / Dallas  
Lyda, Kirk

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed.

Status: Court sent Notice of DWOP for 12/20/00. Plaintiff filed Motion to Retain 12/15/00; granted 01/25/01. Court sent DWOP notice for 07/22/02. Plaintiff filed Motion to Retain 07/15/02; granted 01/16/03. Defendants filed Motion to Dismiss 05/11/04; set for 05/20/04. Hearing passed by agreement.

---

***AT&T Corporation; Teleport Communications of Houston, Inc.; TCG of Dallas, Inc.; AT&T Network Procurement, L.P.; AT&T Communications of Texas, L.P.; and AT&T Communications of the Southwest, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-002080    AG Case #: 062365986

Filed: 6/7/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$21,934,496.00	01/01/95 - 07/31/04
\$1,484,356.00	01/01/00 - 07/31/04
\$1,391,152.00	01/01/00 - 07/31/04
\$22,827,857.00	01/01/00 - 07/31/04
\$4,435,506.00	01/01/99 - 07/31/04
\$4,435,506.00	01/01/00 - 07/31/04

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Storie, Gene                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.  
Langenberg, Ray  
Sigel, Doug

Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether purchases of electricity used in a manufacturing process are exempt from sales tax. Whether the manufacturing process used by Plaintiff results in a physical change to tangible personal property being resold. Whether electricity purchased and used to process tangible personal property for sale as tangible personal property is exempt from sales tax under the manufacturing and processing exemption. Whether Plaintiffs' purchases and/or leases of tangible personal property directly used or consumed in or during a manufacturing process are exempt from sales tax.

Status: Motion to retain filed and granted. Trial set for 12/06/10.

---

***Austin Engineering Co., Inc. v. Combs, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-07-000565 AG Case #: 072440159

Filed: 2/23/2007

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$53,654.00	01/01/00 - 12/31/03

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

McKinney, Dennis OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Mondrik, Christina A. Mondrik & Associates / Austin

Issue: Whether fees that Plaintiff received for erosion control services, environmental construction services and utility construction services are exempt from sales and use tax. Whether services performed by Plaintiff to exempt entities are exempt from sales and use tax. Whether Plaintiff's transactions with its customers qualify as non-taxable or exempt services, or included the sale of tangible personal property, thus making certain items taxable. Plaintiff claims the Comptroller erroneously assessed tax on purchases which were non-taxable or exempt, or on which the sales and use tax had already been paid. Plaintiff claims violation of equal protection, equal and uniform taxation, and the Commerce clause.

Status: Discovery in progress. Cross-Motions for Summary Judgment heard on 04/27/10. Awaiting ruling of the court.

---

***Awad, Mike v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-003807 AG Case #: 062419668

Filed: 10/6/2006

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$196,853.60	07/01/00 - 12/31/03

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Van Oort, Kevin                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Roberts, William A.                The Roberts Law Firm / Dallas  
Coleman, Kyle

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's business products are exempt as "sale for resale" items or taxable. Whether the Comptroller erred by misapplying burden of proof and whether the requirement is constitutional. Whether Tax Code §112.108 is constitutional. Plaintiff claims violation of due process, that all penalties and interest be waived, and attorneys' fees.

Status: Jurisdictional plea, motion to dismiss and counterclaim filed.

---

***BBB Trading Co. v. State of Texas, et al.***

Cause Number: C-1-CV-08-011446    AG Case #: 082539305

Filed: 10/28/2008

Sales and use Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$426,282.46	June 01, 2003 to Nov. 30, 2006

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Phillips, Wade                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Leeper, David P.                      El Paso

Issue: Plaintiff claims that the Comptroller should grant insolvency relief. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief, exemplary damages, and attorney's fees.

Status: Case transferred to the Bankruptcy & Collections Division, to AAG David Randell.

---

***Bell Bottom Foundation Company v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: 99-01092

AG Case #: 991112186

Filed: 1/29/1999

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount      Reporting Period  
\$81,571.73      01/01/91 - 12/31/94

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Phillips, Wade                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Trickey, Timothy M.                  The Trickey Law Firm / Austin

Issue: Whether taxpayer's sub-contract was a separated contract since the general contractor's construction contract was separated.

Status: Case dismissed for want of prosecution 06/17/03. Motion to Reinstate granted.  
Negotiating an agreed scheduling order. Motion to Retain filed 11/29/06; granted 03/27/07.

---

***Bell Helicopter Textron, Inc. v. Compt., et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-002279    AG Case #: 082516972

Filed: 6/27/2008

Sales and use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount      Reporting Period  
\$1,438,127.83      01/01/01 - 06/30/04

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to interest on the gross amount of credit in a managed audit. Whether Plaintiff is entitled to the manufacturing exemption for property sold under the applicable FAR's even though the government may not take possession of the manufactured property. Whether Plaintiff's gas and electricity are used in manufacturing.

Status: Docket control order in place.

---

***BHR Texas L.P. v. Combs, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-09-003056    AG Case #: 093150829

Filed: 9/10/2009

Sales and use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount      Reporting Period  
\$19,590.14      05/01/2000 through 07/31/2004

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Bonilla, Ray                              Ray, Wood & Bonilla, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether certain amenity and consumable items such as shampoo, stationery & similar items provided to hotel guests are exempt from sales tax as sales for resale.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Black Thirst, LLC v. Combs, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-09-001389      AG Case #: 093123933                      Filed: 4/30/2009

Sales and use Tax; Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount      Reporting Period  
\$281,499.71

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B.                              OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Hopkins, Mark D.                      Savrick, Schumann, Johnson, McGarr, Kaminski  
& Shirley / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes tax as a successor to a business with outstanding tax liabilities.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN401955                      AG Case #: 041988023                      Filed: 6/21/2004  
#03-09-00617-CV

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount      Reporting Period  
\$3,750,000.00      12/01/88 - 05/31/95

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert.

Status: Order consolidating with Cause #D-1-GN-06-000787 signed 05/14/07. Summary Judgment hearing set for 01/22/08. Partial Summary Judgment for Blue Cross granted 02/01/08. Trial held 09/02/08. Evidence reopened. Letter ruling in favor of Blue Cross issued 07/16/09. Judgment for Plaintiff on 07/31/09. Notice of Appeal filed 10/28/09. Reporter's Record filed 11/24/09. Clerk's Record filed 01/05/10. Appellant's brief filed 03/08/10. Appellee's Motion for Extension of Time to File Brief filed 03/24/10; granted 04/01/10. Appellee's brief due 05/07/10. Appellant's Reply due 05/27/10.

---

***Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-000787    AG Case #: 062296876

Filed: 3/6/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$3,029,344.00	06/01/95 - 12/31/98

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert.

Status: Order consolidating into Cause # GN401955 signed 05/14/07.

---

***Boeing North America, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN203340

AG Case #: 021676804

Filed: 9/13/2002

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$343,487.00	01/01/95 - 12/31/96

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Gilliland, David H.              Clark, Thomas & Winters / Austin

Issue: Plaintiff claims a sale for resale exemption on items resold to the federal government. Plaintiff also claims a denial of equal protection and an exemption under §151.3111.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Boeing North America, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN304372

AG Case #: 031884471

Filed: 11/10/2003

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$500,000.00	01/01/95 - 12/31/99

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Plaintiff claims a sale for resale exemption on items resold to the federal government. Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***BP America Inc. v. Compt., et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-004207 AG Case #: 083091371

Filed: 11/20/2008

Sales and use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$10,457,007.25	01/01/97 - 12/31/96 and 01/01/97 - 06/30/00

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Plaintiff brings about fifty different issues on sales and use tax in connection with its production and refining operations. Claims include casualty losses, manufacturing exemptions, tax credits, and various service issues.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***BP America, Inc. v. Combs, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-10-000049 AG Case #: 103172706

Filed: 1/6/2010

Sales and use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,684,875.00	07/01/00 through 12/31/01

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray

Issue: Plaintiff brings approximately twenty-five different sales and use tax refund issues in connection with its production and refining operations. Claims include waste removal, environmental services, credit interest, and various manufacturing exemption claims.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Broadwing Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-003733 AG Case #: 062412879

Filed: 9/29/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$217,355.92	01/01/99 - 04/30/02

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

McKinney, Dennis OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Osterloh, Curtis J.

Issue: Whether finish-out work or improvements to real property is subject to tax when a part of the structure and leased space had been previously used and occupied.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

***Burns, Kevin D. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN504208

AG Case #: 052253457

Filed: 11/28/2005

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,300,000.00	01/01/96 - 10/31/00

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Barenblat, Marc A. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Cunningham, Judy M. Attorney at Law / Austin

Issue: Whether the transfer of certain tangible personal property from customers to Plaintiff to be leased back to customers with a purchase option are non-taxable financing transactions. Whether sales taxes previously submitted are binding within Plaintiff's bankruptcy plan. Plaintiff claims violation of equal and uniform taxation, and also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Inactive.

---

***C & T Stone Company v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN002428

AG Case #: 001344233

Filed: 8/18/2000

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount      Reporting Period  
\$207,454.40      04/01/94 - 12/31/97

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Peckham, William T.                  Attorney at Law / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes sales tax on its sales of limestone to third parties under §151.311(a). Whether Plaintiff detrimentally relied on advice from the Comptroller's Office. Whether exemption certificates covered some sales that were assessed tax. Whether Plaintiff is entitled to the manufacturing exemption under §151.318(g). Whether penalty and interest should be waived.

Status: Inactive.

---

***C.C. Carlton Industries, Ltd. v. Combs, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-003460    AG Case #: 082530270

Filed: 9/22/2008

Sales and use Tax; Protest

Claim Amount      Reporting Period  
\$247,570.73      01/01/00 through 12/31/03

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

McKinney, Dennis                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Holcomb, Donald W.                  Knolle, Holcomb, Kothmann & Callahan / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes tax on construction and electrical work.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

***CallSource, Inc. v. Compt., et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-09-000188    AG Case #: 093101202

Filed: 1/21/2009

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount      Reporting Period  
\$244,033.70      10/01/03 through 05/31/07

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

McKinney, Dennis                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Wahby, Peter S.                      Greenberg Traurig, LLP / Dallas

Issue: Whether customer information tracking services (associated w/marketing campaigns) are taxable as information services or exempt as proprietary information. Whether other, non-taxable, information services were included in lump-sum customer invoices. Preemption under the Internet Tax Freedom Act. Plaintiff also asserts multi-state benefit & lack of nexus.

Status: Answer & Request for Disclosure filed 02/12/09. Discovery in progress. Plaintiff's MSJ passed by agreement. Trial set for 11/15/10.

---

***Capitol Aggregates, Ltd. v. Compt., et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-003096    AG Case #: 082526229

Filed: 8/26/2008

Sales and use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$563,053.71	March 1, 1999 through Dec. 31, 2002

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Osterloh, Curtis J.

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's coal mill qualifies for the manufacturing exemption. Whether real property repair and other services are exempt transactions among affiliated entities.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Carino's Italian Kitchen, Inc. v. Combs, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-10-000524    AG Case #: 103179644

Filed: 2/18/2010

Sales and use Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$97,924.98	07/01/02 through 03/31/03

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Barenblat, Marc A.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether certain cleaning supplies used in food processing areas qualify for the manufacturing exemption. Whether the Comptroller used the proper calculation method for interest applied to overpayments.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Cashiola, James v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-004629    AG Case #: 072434863

Filed: 12/15/2006

Sales Tax; Administrative Appeal

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,112,768.76	11/21/01 - 12/31/03

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Grimsinger, William O.              Chamberlain, Hrdlicka, White, Williams & Martin  
/ Houston

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes sales tax under successor liability. Plaintiff claims the Comptroller audited the acquired company for the same telecommunications consulting services and previously found no sales tax liability due. Plaintiff claims debts were created without his knowledge and the exercise of reasonable diligence would not have revealed the intention to create a tax debt.

Status: No Evidence Motion filed by Plaintiff. Consideration on repleading answer.

---

***CEC Entertainment, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-004594    AG Case #: 062430368

Filed: 12/12/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount      Reporting Period  
\$244,808.38      01/01/02 - 09/30/04

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Barenblat, Marc A.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Tourtellotte, Tom                      Hance Scarborough Wright Woodward &  
Weisbart, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Plaintiff claims that paying sales tax on prizes awarded to successful contestants of coin-operated and non-coin operated games and on the admission price of non-coin operated games, in addition to annual occupational taxes, would be double taxation. Plaintiff claims violation of equal and uniform taxation, and due process.

Status: Inactive.

---

***Centreport Partners, L.P. v. Combs, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-07-000152      AG Case #: 072435795

Filed: 1/19/2007

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount      Reporting Period  
\$14,095.15      07/01/00 - 06/30/04

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Bonilla, Ray                      Ray, Wood & Bonilla, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether certain amenity and consumable items such as shampoo, stationery and similar items resold to hotel guests are exempt from sales tax as sales for resale.

Status: Answer filed. Court sent Notice of DWOP on 08/21/09. Plaintiff's Motion to Retain filed 08/19/09; granted 09/23/09.

---

***Chapal Zenray, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN204506

AG Case #: 031729197

Filed: 12/16/2002

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount      Reporting Period  
\$210,943.91      01/01/94 - 12/31/97

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

McKinney, Dennis                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Osterloh, Curtis J.

Issue: Whether items such as boxes, foam pads and twist ties are not subject to tax pursuant to Tex. Tax Code §151.011 (f)(2) and Rule 3.346 (c)(1)(c) when purchased by a person who uses the items to secure jewelry for shipment out-of-state.

Status: Plaintiff's Partial Motion for Summary Judgment granted.

---

***Chevron USA Holdings v. Combs, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-10-000084      AG Case #: 103172664                      Filed: 1/8/2010

Sales and use Tax; Protest & Refund

Claim Amount      Reporting Period  
\$7,666,889.93      01/01/91 through 12/31/98

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Phillips, Wade                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray

Issue: Plaintiff's suit raises approximately 30 sales and use tax issues in relation to its oil and gas production operations. Claims include environmental services, credit interest, new construction, and various manufacturing exemption claims.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Chevron USA, Inc. v. Compt., et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-09-000333      AG Case #: 093103190                      Filed: 4/27/2007

Sales and use Tax; Refund



denying its plea to the jurisdiction and in granting Chevron's motion for partial summary judgment. Clerk's Record filed 03/20/07. Court Reporter's Record filed 03/29/07. Appellants' brief filed 05/17/07; Oral Argument requested. Appellee's brief filed 06/15/07; Oral Argument requested. Appellants' reply brief filed 07/23/07. Case submitted on Oral Argument on 11/28/07. Appellant's Response filed 06/10/09. Appellant's Motion for Leave filed 06/16/09; granted 06/23/09. Opinion issued 02/05/10, reversing and rendering judgment for the Comptroller on both issues. Appellee's Motion for Rehearing filed 02/22/10; denied 04/09/10. Opinion issued 02/05/10 was withdrawn and a substitute opinion was issued on 04/09/10. Appellee's Second Motion for Rehearing filed 04/28/10.

---

***Church & Dwight Company, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN000525

AG Case #: 001258201

Filed: 1/12/2000

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$64,868.50	10/01/90 - 12/31/93

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Benesh, W. Stephen                  Bracewell & Patterson / Austin  
Sampson, Jr., Phillip L.

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes use tax on promotional materials shipped from out-of-state. Whether the Comptroller's imposition of use tax is invalid because Plaintiff made no use of the materials in Texas. Whether Rule 3.346(b)(3)(A) is invalid. Whether the tax violates the Commerce and Due Process Clauses of the United States Constitution.

Status: Plaintiff waiting for outcome of Estee Lauder Services, Inc. cases. Case dismissed for want of prosecution 06/15/05. Case re-opened. Reinstated by bill of review 11/22/05.

---

***Cingular Wireless of Austin, LP, formerly known as GTE Mobilnet of Austin, LP; GTE Mobilnet of South Texas, LP; GTE Mobilnet of Texas RSA #17, LP; et al. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN502649

AG Case #: 052186616

Filed: 7/29/2005

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$10,177,377.49	01/01/93 - 12/31/96



suit. Plaintiffs also request attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress. Defendant's Plea to the Jurisdiction filed 02/14/07. Original Plea in Intervention & Third Party Petition filed 04/18/07 by cities of Denton, Humble, Lewisville, Mesquite, North Richland Hills, and Plano, and Denton County Transportation Authority and Fort Worth Transportation Authority. Original Answer filed by City of Grand Prairie, third party defendant, on 05/29/07. First Amended Plea in Intervention filed on 06/12/07, adding the City of Waco as a party. Second Amended Plea in Intervention And Third-Party Petition filed 09/28/07. Hearing on Defendant's First Amended Plea to the Jurisdiction 02/07/08 at 9:00 a.m. Letter Ruling issued on 03/26/08, denying Defendant's First Amended Plea to the Jurisdiction and First Supplemental Plea to the Jurisdiction; Proposed Order submitted to court on 04/09/08 by Counsel for Intervenors. 04/11/08 Order denying Comptroller's 1st Amended & 1st Supplemental Pleas to the Jurisdiction signed by the court. Notice of Appeal filed 05/01/08. Hearing on Intervenors' Motion to Compel 06/11/08. Court ordered that commencement of trial, and all other proceedings in the trial court, including discovery, are automatically stayed pending resolution of the Comptroller's interlocutory appeal on 06/17/08. Appellant's brief filed 07/11/08. Appellee's brief filed 08/18/08. Appellant's Reply Brief filed 09/15/08. Submitted on oral argument on 06/10/09. Supplemental brief received from Appellee on 06/19/09. Response due 06/29/09. Appellee's Motion for Leave filed 06/29/09; granted 07/02/09. Opinion issued 10/02/09, affirming the trial court's denial of the plea to the jurisdiction as to the UDJA claim on the issue of whether the comptroller acted outside her authority regarding the determination of where specific sales were consummated, but reversed the trial court and dismissed the other UDJA claims, constitutional claims and APA claims and dismissed those claims for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. Supplemental Clerk's Record filed 10/15/09. Appellee's Motion for Rehearing filed 10/20/09; denied 04/16/10.

---

***Clear Lake City Community Association, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-004281 AG Case #: 062425582 Filed: 11/13/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$83,936.63	08/01/00 - 10/31/04

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Phillips, Wade OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Knobelsdorf II, John C. Attorney at Law / Houston

Issue: Whether Plaintiff, as an exempt organization, is an exempt consumer of taxable real property services and not a seller of such services. Whether waste hauling service provided to

association homeowners and paid for by Plaintiff is exempt from sales tax.

Status: Answer filed. Clerk sent notice to Dismiss for Want of Prosecution on 03/11/09.  
Plaintiff's Motion to Retain filed 03/31/09; granted 08/14/09.

---

***Clinique Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN000376

AG Case #: 001273069

Filed: 2/11/2000

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$650,361.82	04/01/94 - 03/31/98

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Wolfe, Susan

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Cowling, David E.

Jones Day / Dallas

Lyda, Kirk

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed. Whether Rule 3.346(b)(3)(A) is invalid and whether the Comptroller has authority to change its long-standing policy. Alternatively, whether penalty should be waived.

Status: Court sent Notice of DWOP for 08/23/02. Plaintiff filed Motion to Retain; granted 02/27/03. Court DWOP on 06/15/05. Plaintiff filed Motion to Reinstate 07/12/05; granted 07/12/05. Defendants filed first amended answer, plea to the jurisdiction, special exceptions and motion for attorneys' fees 11/17/06.

---

***Clinique Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.***

Cause Number: 98-03533

AG Case #: 98930330

Filed: 4/3/1998

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$519,192.00	04/01/90 - 03/31/94

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Wolfe, Susan

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Cowling, David E.  
Lyda, Kirk

Jones Day / Dallas

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed.

Status: Court sent Notice of DWOP for 12/20/00. Plaintiff filed Motion to Retain 12/15/00; granted 01/24/01. Court sent Notice of DWOP for 07/22/02. Plaintiff filed Motion to Retain 07/15/02; granted 01/16/03. Plaintiff filed Motion to Retain; granted 03/27/06. Set for trial on 11/10/08.

---

***Clinique Services, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN500049

AG Case #: 052085933

Filed: 1/6/2005

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$654,245.96	04/01/98 - 03/31/02

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Wolfe, Susan

OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Cowling, David E.  
Lyda, Kirk

Jones Day / Dallas

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed. Whether Rule 3.346(b)(3)(A) is invalid and whether the Comptroller has authority to change its long-standing policy. Alternatively, whether penalty should be waived. Plaintiff also claims violation of rights under the Commerce and Due Process Clauses, and right to equal and uniform taxation. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Coastal Industries, Inc. v. Compt., et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-004273

AG Case #: 083092296

Filed: 11/18/2008

Sales and use Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$78,625.00	Oct. 1, 2000 - June 30, 2003

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

McKinney, Dennis                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Mondrik, Christina A.                Mondrik & Associates / Austin

Issue: Whether mold remediation services are taxable. Whether work was done in a disaster area. Whether Comptroller rules are invalid. Whether equal protection and the commerce clause were violated. Whether Plaintiff detrimentally relied on Comptroller advice. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

***Coca-Cola Company, The v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN504213

AG Case #: 052253473

Filed: 11/28/2005

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$2,060,883.03	07/01/97 - 03/31/02

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Barenblat, Marc A.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Hagenswold, R. Eric  
Osterloh, Curtis J.

Issue: Whether replacement parts and the repair of fountain drink machines leased to customers by Plaintiff are exempt from sales tax as manufacturing equipment and the sale for resale exemption.

Status: Scheduling order filed 01/09/09.

---

***Cosmair, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN302009

AG Case #: 031816135

Filed: 6/9/2003

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,322,536.67	07/01/96 - 12/31/98

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

McKinney, Dennis                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Cowling, David E.                      Jones Day / Dallas  
Lyda, Kirk

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes use tax on items transferred free of charge that are subsequently brought into Texas. Plaintiff specifically challenges whether: 1) "use" includes distribution; 2) use was only out-of-state where control transferred; 3) longstanding policy may be changed; 4) Rule 3.346 does not support tax on promotional materials; 5) use tax applies without title or possession; 6) no consideration for transfer; 7) Rule 3.346(b)(3)(A) is invalid; 8) tax is bared by Commerce, Due Process and Equal Protection Clauses; and 9) resale exemption applies. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Agreed Motion to Retain filed 04/23/07; granted 08/14/07.

---

***Crown Central Petroleum Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN504190

AG Case #: 052260197

Filed: 11/22/2005

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$136,903.16	12/01/96 - 12/31/99

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Barenblat, Marc A.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether charges of contractors for erecting, moving and dismantling scaffolding are exempt from sales and use tax as a non-taxable service, or taxable as rental of tangible personal property. Whether certain work performed by contractors is new construction under a lump sum contract and thus not taxable.

Status: Discovery in progress. Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment filed 02/11/08. Awaiting decision in Chevron.

---

***Crown Central, L.L.C., et al. v. Combs, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-09-000509 AG Case #: 093107126

Filed: 2/17/2009

Sales and use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$159,825.70	01/01/00 to 09/30/03

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Barenblat, Marc A. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes sales tax on scaffolding. Whether scaffolding charges were readily separable from charges for the lease or rental of property.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Day Cruises Maritime, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-063567 AG Case #: 062410139

Filed: 9/21/2006

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$243,910.85	12/01/01 - 12/31/03

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Beam, Patrick L. Attorney at Law / Aransas Pass

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's charter of a vessel is leased property subject to sales and use tax. Whether the vessel was used or received within the state. Plaintiff claims that the Comptroller does not have legal authority to collect the assessed tax.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

***Del Monte Fresh Produce (Texas), Inc. v. Combs, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-09-002414 AG Case #: 093142628

Filed: 7/28/2009

Sales and use Tax; Protest



***Dick Roberts Corp., et al. v. Combs, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-09-002917 AG Case #: 093150027

Filed: 9/2/2009

Sales and use Tax; Declaratory Relief

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$451,000.00	10/01/1997 through 06/30/2004

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Bonilla, Ray Ray, Wood & Bonilla, L.L.P. / Austin  
Ray, Doug W.

Issue: Whether the 50% penalty under §111.061 was properly applied to the underlying assessment. Whether the assessment of interest should be waived. Whether the Comptroller properly denied insolvency relief under §111.102.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

***EFW, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN200906 AG Case #: 021579578

Filed: 3/19/2002

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$123,440.25	04/01/94 - 03/31/98

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Sigel, Doug  
Osterloh, Curtis J.

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***EFW, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-000058 AG Case #: 062269022

Filed: 1/9/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$600,000.00	04/01/98 - 08/31/04

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Sigel, Doug  
Osterloh, Curtis J.

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***El Paso Electric Co. v. Combs, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-09-001489 AG Case #: 093130326

Filed: 5/11/2009

Sales and use Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$707,570.46	08/01/1995 through 06/30/1999

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether certain items were exempt under §151.318 (g) prior to Oct. 1, 1997. Whether a cross arm arrestor was exempt under §151.318 (a)(4) after Oct. 1, 1997.

Status: Trial set for 03/29/10. Judgment for El Paso on 04/29/10.

---

***El Paso Merchant Energy-Petroleum Company v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-003071 AG Case #: 062403696 Filed: 8/23/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,416,604.28	01/01/92 - 06/30/96

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Hagenswold, R. Eric

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to a refund of sales and use tax on services provided by contract labor, certain manufacturing equipment, services performed on manufacturing equipment, materials needed for machinery and equipment used in the manufacturing process, maintenance of real property, new construction, non-taxable services, programming services, manufacturing equipment with a useful life of six months or less, property shipped out-of-state, repair of real or tangible personal property resulting in a casualty loss, hazardous and industrial waste removal services, safety supplies, items and materials used for quality control purposes, pollution control equipment, and other non-taxable items.

Status: Plea to the Jurisdiction filed 07/31/07. Hearing held 12/20/07. Plea to the Jurisdiction denied 01/16/08. Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment and Defendant's Second Plea to the Jurisdiction to be heard on 05/25/10.

---

***ELC Beauty, L.L.C., as a Successor-in-Interest to Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN500048 AG Case #: 052085990 Filed: 1/6/2005

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$586,255.47	07/01/99 - 06/30/01

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Wolfe, Susan                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Cowling, David E.              Jones Day / Dallas  
Lyda, Kirk

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed. Whether Rule 3.346(b)(3)(A) is invalid and whether the Comptroller has authority to change its long-standing policy. Alternatively, whether penalty should be waived. Plaintiff also claims violation of rights under the Commerce and Due Process Clauses, and right to equal and uniform taxation. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***ELC Beauty, L.L.C., as Successor-in-Interest to Aramis Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN203514

AG Case #: 021681226

Filed: 9/26/2002

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$284,508.69	01/01/98 - 12/31/00

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Wolfe, Susan                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Cowling, David E.              Jones Day / Dallas  
Lyda, Kirk

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed. Whether Rule 3.346(b)(3)(A) is invalid and whether the Comptroller has authority to change its long-standing policy. Alternatively, whether penalty should be waived.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***ELC Beauty, L.L.C., as Successor-in-Interest to Origins Services, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN500047

AG Case #: 052085966

Filed: 1/6/2005

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$750,946.09	03/01/98 - 06/30/01

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Wolfe, Susan                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Cowling, David E.              Jones Day / Dallas  
Lyda, Kirk

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed. Whether Rule 3.346(b)(3)(A) is invalid and whether the Comptroller has authority to change its long-standing policy. Alternatively, whether penalty should be waived. Plaintiff also claims violation of rights under the Commerce and Due Process Clauses, and right to equal and uniform taxation. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Embassy Equity Development Corporation, et al. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-004267

AG Case #: 062425566

Filed: 11/9/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$11,487.10	01/01/96 - 12/31/98
	06/01/97 - 05/31/01
\$10,494.52	01/01/95 - 12/31/98
\$17,485.53	12/01/98 - 03/31/02
\$2,615.82	01/01/98 - 12/31/00
\$4,190.26	09/01/94 - 06/30/97
\$1,658.68	09/01/94 - 05/31/98
\$2,894.76	09/01/94 - 03/31/98
\$4,044.05	07/01/95 - 12/31/98
	01/01/99 - 05/31/02
\$1,440.73	09/01/94 - 08/31/98

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Bonilla, Ray                              Ray, Wood & Bonilla, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether certain amenity and consumable items such as shampoo, stationery and similar items resold to hotel guests are exempt from sales tax as sales for resale.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

***Energy Education of Montana, Inc. v. Combs***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-09-001249    AG Case #: 093120491

Filed: 4/17/2009

Sales and use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$890,601.19	06/06/03 to 06/30/03

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Seaquist, Gunnar                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Rogers, Harold D.                      Wichita Falls

Johnson III, Robert F.              Gardere Wynne & Sewell / Dallas

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's purchase of an aircraft is non-taxable when the aircraft is delivered out of state and registered there.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Energy Education of Montana, Inc. v. Combs, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-09-002728    AG Case #: 093146496

Filed: 8/20/2009

Sales and use Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$154,800.33	06/01/2003 through 06/30/2003

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Seaquist, Gunnar                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Johnson III, Robert F.              Gardere Wynne & Sewell / Dallas

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's purchase of an aircraft is non-taxable when the aircraft is delivered out of state and registered there.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN101312

AG Case #: 011439874

Filed: 5/1/2001

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$614,814.78	04/01/96 - 06/30/99

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Wolfe, Susan                              OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Cowling, David E.                      Jones Day / Dallas  
Lyda, Kirk

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.***

Cause Number: 98-03525

AG Case #: 98930358

Filed: 4/3/1998

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$472,225.00	01/01/89 - 09/30/92

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Wolfe, Susan                              OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Cowling, David E.  
Lyda, Kirk

Jones Day / Dallas

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed.

Status: Court sent Notice of DWOP for 12/20/00. Plaintiff filed Motion to Retain 12/15/00; granted 01/24/01. Court sent Notice of DWOP for 07/22/02. Plaintiff filed Motion to Retain 06/15/02; granted 02/03/03. See *Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.*, Cause #98-03524.

---

***Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.***

Cause Number: 98-03524

AG Case #: 98930367

Filed: 4/3/1998

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$748,773.00	10/01/92 - 03/31/96

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Wolfe, Susan

OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Cowling, David E.  
Lyda, Kirk

Jones Day / Dallas

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed.

Status: Court sent Notice of DWOP for 12/20/00. Plaintiff filed Motion to Retain 12/15/00; granted 01/24/01. Court sent Notice of DWOP for 07/22/02. Plaintiff filed Motion to Retain 07/15/02; granted 02/03/03. Numerous scheduling orders have been entered in this case since 2003; the latest being 11/2006. Discovery in progress.

---

***F M Express Food Mart, Inc., and Fouad Hanna Mekdessi v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN002724

AG Case #: 001353960

Filed: 9/15/2000

Sales Tax; Injunction

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$360,671.05	12/01/90 - 11/30/97

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.

OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Isgitt, Percy L. "Wayne"      Law Offices of Percy L. "Wayne" Isgitt, P.C. /  
Houston

Issue: Whether Comptroller's "estimated audit" is invalid. Whether Plaintiffs are entitled to an injunction of collection and of cancellation of their sales tax permits. Whether Tax Code §§112.051, 112.052, 112.101 and 112.108 are unconstitutional violations of the open courts provision. Plaintiffs seek a re-audit and a refund of money paid under protest in excess of the re-audited amount.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

***First Class Enterprises, Inc. v. Combs, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-09-001271    AG Case #: 093120772      Filed: 4/17/2009

Sales and use Tax; Declaratory Judgment & Injunction

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$150,000.00	10/01/00 through 04/30/04

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Fowler, Gerald Fife      Houston

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is liable for tax as successor when assessment was made after Plaintiff bought business.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Florida Management, Inc., et al. v. Compt., et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-004244    AG Case #: 083091280      Filed: 11/21/2008

Sales and use Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$85,965.30	Oct. 1, 2001 - Dec. 31, 2003

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Seaquist, Gunnar      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Stratton, C. Mark                      Austin  
Lyon, Ted B.                              Mesquite

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is a "retailer" or "seller" for the sales tax. Whether sale of an airplane in connection with an unpaid loan is a taxable transaction.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Frito-Lay, Inc. v. Compt., et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-004051    AG Case #: 082539784                      Filed: 11/7/2008  
Sales and use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount      Reporting Period  
\$450,735.13      11/01/1999 thru 12/31/2003

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

McKinney, Dennis                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Plaintiff claims the manufacturing exemption for tangible personal property used to develop and test new products and manufacturing processes.

Status: Discovery in progress. Hearing on Cross-Motions for Summary Judgment set for 06/15/10.

---

***Future A's Limited Liability v. Combs, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-09-003565    AG Case #: 093157964                      Filed: 10/15/2009  
Sales and use Tax; Redetermination

Claim Amount      Reporting Period  
\$134,706.00      12/31/2004 through 03/31/2006

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

McKinney, Dennis                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Mastrangelo, John                      Houston

Issue: Whether the audit procedures applied in the audit were appropriate.

Status: Plea to the Jurisdiction and Special Exceptions filed 11/16/09. Discovery in progress.

---

***General Dynamics Corporation v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN201322

AG Case #: 021598057

Filed: 4/22/2002

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$7,000,000.00	09/01/88 - 11/30/91

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***General Dynamics Corporation v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN201323

AG Case #: 021598073

Filed: 4/22/2002

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$4,500,000.00	12/01/91 - 02/28/93

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert.

Status: Motion and Order consolidating into Lockheed Martin Corporation v. Rylander, et al.,

Cause #GN200999 entered 01/30/08.

---

***GEO Group, Inc., The v. Combs, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-09-002855 AG Case #: 093146850

Filed: 8/28/2009

Sales and use Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,367,377.14	05/01/2001 through 04/30/2005

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Brugnoli, Darlene OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Hagenswold, R. Eric

Issue: Whether electricity and natural gas consumed by a correctional facility is subject to the residential use exemption under §151.317(c).

Status: Answer filed. Disclosures filed.

---

***Geoscapes of Texas, Inc. v. State of Texas, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-004185 AG Case #: 083091967

Filed: 11/18/2008

Sales and use Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$364,905.81	07/01/02 through 02/28/06

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

McKinney, Dennis OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Tourtellotte, Tom Hance Scarborough Wright Woodward &  
Weisbart, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Plaintiff seeks a declaration that materials used in landscaping services qualified for the sale for resale exemption. Plaintiff also claims detrimental reliance and that it did not qualify as a contractor. Plaintiff also seeks injunctive relief.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 06/26/09.

---

***Gift Box Corporation of America, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN102934

AG Case #: 011492865

Filed: 9/5/2001

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$359,929.22	10/1991 - 03/1997

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Lipstet, Ira A.                      DuBois Bryant Campbell & Schwartz, L.L.P. /  
Austin

Issue: Whether additional resale certificates should have been accepted for Plaintiff's sales of boxes and packaging materials.

Status: Case reinstated. Discovery in progress.

---

***Glazier Foods Co. v. Combs, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-09-002137    AG Case #: 093136810

Filed: 7/2/2009

Sales and use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$148,709.00	02/01/1999 through 03/31/2002

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

McKinney, Dennis                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Cunningham, Judy M.                      Attorney at Law / Austin

Issue: Plaintiff claims an exemption for electricity used in its food business.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Grocers Supply Co., Inc. v. Combs, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-09-001804    AG Case #: 093131431

Filed: 6/6/2009

Sales and use Tax; Protest

Claim Amount      Reporting Period  
\$208,304.00      11/01/1999 through 03/31/2003

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

McKinney, Dennis      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Cunningham, Judy M.      Attorney at Law / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's purchase of electricity, equipment and parts were exempt because of their use in processing by lowering the temperature of food products. Plaintiff also seeks attorney's fees.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Grocers Supply-Institutional-Convenience, Inc. v. Combs, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-09-001803      AG Case #: 093131415      Filed: 6/6/2009

Sales and use Tax; Protest

Claim Amount      Reporting Period  
\$55,893.00      08/01/1999 through 03/31/2003

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

McKinney, Dennis      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Cunningham, Judy M.      Attorney at Law / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's purchase of electricity, equipment and parts were exempt because of their use in processing by lowering the temperature of food products. Plaintiff also seeks attorney's fees.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Grocers Supply-Institutional-Convenience, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN300904      AG Case #: 031782931      Filed: 3/20/2003

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount      Reporting Period  
\$79,688.23      06/01/95 - 05/31/98

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

McKinney, Dennis                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Cunningham, Judy M.                  Attorney at Law / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's purchase of electricity used to lower the temperature of food products is exempt as electricity used in processing.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

***Grocers Supply-Produce Co., Inc. v. Combs, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-09-001805    AG Case #: 093131423

Filed: 6/6/2009

Sales and use Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$78,796.00	08/01/1999 through 03/31/2003

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

McKinney, Dennis                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Cunningham, Judy M.                  Attorney at Law / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's purchase of electricity, equipment and parts were exempt because of their use in processing by lowering the temperature of food products. Plaintiff also seeks attorney's fees.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***GSC Enterprises, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN501091                  AG Case #: 052132271

Filed: 4/7/2005

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$241,656.28	02/01/97 - 04/30/00

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

McKinney, Dennis                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Cunningham, Judy M. Attorney at Law / Austin

Issue: Whether electricity used to lower the temperature of food products is exempt as electricity used in processing. Whether the Comptroller violated the rules of statutory construction. Plaintiff claims violation of equal and uniform taxation. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

***GTE Mobilnet of the Southwest, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN501921

AG Case #: 052163441

Filed: 5/27/2005

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$130,801.55	10/01/91 - 12/31/94

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Barenblat, Marc A. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray

Issue: Whether Plaintiff used the proper sampling method to determine the amount of credit/reimbursement due on bad debt deductions. Plaintiff seeks waiver of penalty assessed in the audit. Plaintiff also claims violation of due course of law, due process, equal and uniform taxation, equal rights, equal protection, and other provisions of the Texas Tax Code, Rules, Texas and U.S. Constitutions.

Status: Motion to Retain granted 05/28/08. Discovery abated.

---

***GTE Mobilnet of the Southwest, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-000649 AG Case #: 062295480

Filed: 2/23/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,193,519.44	10/01/91 - 12/31/94

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Storie, Gene

OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.

Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Langenberg, Ray

Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether tangible personal property used or consumed in providing telecommunications is exempt from sales tax. Whether electricity is exempt because of use in a manufacturing area.

Status: Trial set for 12/06/10.

---

***GTE Southwest, Inc. v. Combs, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-07-000058 AG Case #: 072433519

Filed: 1/8/2007

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$260,313.96	01/01/96 - 02/28/98

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Storie, Gene

OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.

Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Langenberg, Ray

Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether telecommunication signals constitute tangible personal property exempt from tax under the manufacturing and processing exemption. Whether equipment used in or during the processing of telecommunication signals causes a physical change to the signals. Whether the processing of telecommunication signals, which Plaintiff claims are tangible personal property, should be treated as a sale.

Status: Trial set for 12/06/10.

---

***GTE Southwest, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN501139

AG Case #: 052132818

Filed: 4/11/2005

#03-08-00561-CV

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$22,847,194.00	01/01/95 - 02/28/98



Osterloh, Curtis J.

Issue: Whether equipment purchased by Plaintiff to provide customers-subscribers telecommunications products is exempt as tangible personal property used in manufacturing and processing or as tangible personal property that was resold. Whether penalty should be waived because Plaintiff had substantial overpayment during the audit period.

Status: Court order consolidating with GTE Southwest, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al., Cause #GN504191 signed 02/03/08. Trial set for 12/06/10.

---

***GTE Southwest, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN502330

AG Case #: 052177326

Filed: 7/6/2005

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$2,615,825.26	05/01/91 - 02/28/98

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Storie, Gene                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether equipment purchased by Plaintiff to provide customers-subscribers telecommunications products is exempt as tangible personal property used in manufacturing and processing or as tangible personal property that was resold. Whether penalty should be waived because Plaintiff had substantial overpayment during the audit period.

Status: Trial set for 12/06/10.

---

***GTE Southwest, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN504191

AG Case #: 052252699

Filed: 11/22/2005

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$260,489.27	01/01/96 - 02/28/98

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Storie, Gene                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether equipment purchased by Plaintiff to provide customers-subscribers telecommunications products is exempt as tangible personal property used in manufacturing and processing or as tangible personal property that was resold.

Status: Case consolidated into case styled GTE Southwest, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al., Cause #GN501829 per court order signed 02/03/08.

---

***GTE Southwest, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-003732    AG Case #: 062412887                      Filed: 9/29/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$2,900,000.00	03/01/98 - 12/31/02

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Storie, Gene                              OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether electricity purchased by Plaintiff to perform telecommunications services is exempt as tangible personal property that was resold. Whether tangible personal property used or consumed in providing telecommunications is exempt from sales tax. Whether electricity is exempt because of use in a manufacturing area.

Status: Trial set for 12/06/10.

---

***GTE Southwest, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-002468    AG Case #: 062380522                      Filed: 7/6/2006

Sales and use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$22,847,194.00	01/01/1995 through 02/28/1998

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Storie, Gene                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether tangible personal property used or consumed in providing telecommunications is exempt from sales tax.

Status: Answer filed 07/26/06. DWOP notice sent from the court on 11/12/08. Motion to Retain filed 11/24/08. Trial set for 12/06/10.

---

***GTE Southwest, Inc. vs Compt., et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-001419    AG Case #: 082507401                      Filed: 4/24/2008

Sales and use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$694,870.88	May-June 2004

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether Plaintiff may recover additional interest and payment discounts on taxes for which it provided a refund assignment.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

***Harsco Corp. vs Combs, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-07-004512    AG Case #: 082486747                      Filed: 12/28/2007

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$886,138.23	02/01/97-06/30/01

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Barenblat, Marc A.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Martin, Mark R.                      Gardere Wynne & Sewell / Dallas

Issue: Whether scaffolding is exempt. Whether interest and penalty should be waived.  
Whether interest was properly calculated.

Status: Hearing on Cross-Motions for Partial Summary Judgment held on 11/10/09. Partial  
Summary Judgment granted for Harsco on scaffolding. Summary Judgment granted for  
Comptroller on interest calculations.

---

***Health Care Service Corp., et al. vs. Compt., et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-001771    AG Case #: 082512302

Filed: 5/23/2008

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,475,798.29	1-1-1999 through 12-31-2003

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to the resale exemption pursuant to the Day & Zimmerman  
and Raytheon cases.

Status: Answer filed. Trial set for 06/01/10.

---

***High Tech Document Service v. Combs, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-10-000220    AG Case #: 103175469

Filed: 1/20/2010

Sales and use Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$61,592.65	09/01/00 through 01/31/04

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

McKinney, Dennis

OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Tourtellotte, Tom

Hance Scarborough Wright Woodward &  
Weisbart, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether the purchase of certain items subsequently leased to a third party are eligible for the sale-for-resale exemption.

Status: Answer & Special Exception filed.

---

***Home & Garden Party, Ltd. v. Combs, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-10-000051 AG Case #: 103174561

Filed: 1/6/2010

Sales and use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$313,133.93	07/01/04 through 04/30/07

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Phillips, Wade

OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Hobbs, Mark C.

Beard Kultgen Brophy Bostwick & Dickson,  
L.L.P. / Waco

Issue: Whether packaging materials and supplies used in the manufacturing of tangible personal property for sale are exempt under the sale for resale exemption. Plaintiff claims unconstitutional administrative discrimination and violation of due process and equal protection under the U.S. and Texas Constitutions.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Home & Garden Party, Ltd. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-001392 AG Case #: 062311402  
#03-09-00673-CV

Filed: 4/21/2006

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$791,634.49	01/01/98 - 05/31/04

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Phillips, Wade

OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Brophy, Jr., Richard E.

Beard Kultgen Brophy Bostwick & Dickson,  
L.L.P. / Waco

Hobbs, Mark C.

Issue: Whether packaging materials and supplies used in the repackaging of tangible personal property for sale are exempt under the sale for resale exemption. Plaintiff claims unconstitutional administrative discrimination and violation of due process and equal protection under the U.S. and Texas Constitutions.

Status: Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment held 08/31/09. Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment granted 09/22/09. Judgment signed 11/04/09. Notice of Appeal filed 11/24/09. Clerk's Record filed 01/14/10. Appellant's brief filed 02/12/10. Appellee's brief filed 03/16/10. Appellant's Reply Brief filed 04/01/10.

---

***Home Depot, USA, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-002463 AG Case #: 062380324

Filed: 7/6/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$2,595,000.00	01/01/95 - 12/31/99

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B.

OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.

Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Langenberg, Ray

Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether Plaintiff may take bad debt credit under private label credit agreement.

Status: Trial set for 06/28/10.

---

***Hoss Equipment Co. v. Combs, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-09-000614 AG Case #: 093107316

Filed: 2/25/2009

Sales and use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$29,452.00	(plus interest and penalty) 7/1/00-2/29/04

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Seaquist, Gunnar                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Sigel, Doug                              Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff made sales for resale and should not be bound by the limits of the 60-day letter. Whether Plaintiff made exempt sales for export. Plaintiff also seeks penalty and interest waiver.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Jerman Cookie Company v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN101492                      AG Case #: 011451598                      Filed: 5/16/2001  
#03-08-00562-CV

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$43,121.45	12/01/92 - 03/31/97

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

McKinney, Dennis                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Williard, Steve M.                      Meyer, Knight & Williams / Houston  
Knight, L. Don

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's sales of cookies and brownies are taxable under Tax Code 151.314(c)(3) and Comptroller Rule 3.293 as food products served, prepared, or sold ready for immediate consumption.

Status: Amended Petition filed. Discovery in progress. Plaintiff's Motion to Retain filed 07/13/05; granted 10/03/05. Hearing on Cross-Motions for Summary Judgment held 06/09/08. Trial Court's Judgment granting Defendants' Cross-Motions for Summary Judgment entered 06/25/08. Notice of Appeal filed 09/11/08. Clerk's Record filed 09/25/08. Appellant's brief filed 11/24/08. Appellees' Motion for Extension of Time to File Brief filed and granted 12/19/08. Appellees' brief filed 01/12/09. Appellant's Reply Brief filed 01/29/09. Set for submission on oral argument on 02/25/09. Appellees' Motion to Postpone Oral Argument filed 02/09/09. Submitted on oral argument on 04/24/09. Memorandum Opinion issued 07/23/09, reversing the judgment of the district court and remanding for further proceedings consistent with the opinion. Mandate issued 10/05/09. Jury trial set for 03/22/10 was passed.

---

***Jetman, L.C. v. Combs, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-10-000311 AG Case #: 103176657

Filed: 1/28/2010

Sales and use Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$165,547.03	08/01/03 through 08/31/03

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Seaquist, Gunnar	OAG Taxation / Austin
------------------	-----------------------

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Mondrik, Christina A.	Mondrik & Associates / Austin
-----------------------	-------------------------------

Issue: Whether the purchase of an aircraft was subject to exemption from the sales/use tax pursuant to §151.328(a)(1).

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Joseph Dobransky v. Combs, et al.***

Cause Number: 296-04789-2009 AG Case #: 103175402

Filed: 12/2/2009

Sales and use Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$7,187.50	2004

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Seaquist, Gunnar	OAG Taxation / Austin
------------------	-----------------------

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Wilson, Sr., J. R.	Plano
--------------------	-------

Issue: Whether a purchaser of an aircraft, for which delivery is accepted out-of-state, is liable for the use tax on that aircraft.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Kenneth O. Lester Co., et al. v. Susan Combs, Compt., et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-003762 AG Case #: 082534553

Filed: 10/17/2008

Sales and use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount      Reporting Period  
\$180,000.00      Sept. 1, 1999 through Feb. 29, 2004

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Cunningham, Judy M.              Attorney at Law / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's purchase of electricity is exempt as electricity used in processing when Plaintiff lowers the temperature of food products. Whether packing supplies, replacement parts, and repairs are exempt.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***La Frontera Lodging Partners, L.P., Tex-Air Investment Company, John Q. Hammons Hotels Two, L.P. and John Q. Hammons Hotels, L.P. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-004633    AG Case #: 062430566

Filed: 12/15/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$6,958.18	07/01/00 - 06/30/04
\$5,591.87	07/01/00 - 06/30/04
\$31,330.82	07/01/00 - 06/30/04
\$21,811.57	07/01/00 - 06/30/04

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Bonilla, Ray                              Ray, Wood & Bonilla, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether certain amenity and consumable items such as shampoo, stationery and similar items resold to hotel guests are exempt from sales tax as sales for resale.

Status: Answer filed. Court sent Notice of DWOP on 06/12/09. Plaintiffs' Amended Motion to Retain filed 08/19/09; granted 08/28/09.

---

***Laredo Coca-Cola Bottling Company, and Coca-Cola Enterprises, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-03-000575 AG Case #: 031759657  
#03-09-00157-CV

Filed: 2/21/2003

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$6,726.00	05/01/93 - 06/30/96
	10/01/91 - 06/30/96
\$591,086.00	01/01/90 - 12/31/92
	07/01/91 - 06/30/96

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Osterloh, Curtis J.

Issue: Whether post-mix machines qualify for manufacturing tax exemption. Whether some of the machines also qualify for the sale for resale exemption, because Plaintiff received consideration even if not valued in money.

Status: Plaintiffs filed a Motion for Summary Judgment 04/23/05. Discovery in progress. Court ruled in favor of Defendants Motion for Summary Judgment. Plaintiffs filed Notice of Appeal on 03/26/09. Appellant's brief filed 06/05/09. Appellee's Motion for Extension of Time to File Brief filed 06/26/09; granted 06/29/09. Second Motion for Extension of Time to File Appellee's brief filed 08/05/09; granted 08/10/09. Brief filed 08/06/09. Appellant's Motion for Extension of Time to File Brief filed 08/25/09; granted 08/27/09. Appellant's Reply Brief filed 09/15/09. State's Reply Brief filed 10/06/09. Appellee's Reply Brief filed 10/19/09. Case submitted on oral argument on 02/10/10. Opinion issued 04/15/10, affirming the judgment of the district court. Appellant's Motion for Rehearing filed 04/30/10.

---

***Laredo Coca-Cola Bottling Company, and Coca-Cola Enterprises, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN401379

AG Case #: 041964941

Filed: 4/30/2004

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$18,579.66	05/01/93 - 06/30/96
	10/01/91 - 06/30/96
\$443,299.77	01/01/90 - 12/31/92
	07/01/91 - 06/30/96

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
 Langenberg, Ray  
 Osterloh, Curtis J.

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes sales tax on the purchase of money validators due to the integration of the validators into the final product, the vending machine.

Status: Discovery in progress. Defendants' Motion to Strike Deemed Admissions granted 02/20/09. Defendants' Amended Responses to Plaintiff's Second Requests for Admissions signed 02/23/09. Court denied Plaintiffs' MSJ heard on 04/01/10.

***Lee Construction and Maintenance Company v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: 99-01091

AG Case #: 991112160

Filed: 1/29/1999

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$31,830.47	01/01/92 - 12/31/95

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Phillips, Wade                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Trickey, Timothy M.                      The Trickey Law Firm / Austin

Issue: Various issues, including credits for bad debts, tax paid, tax on new construction and tax paid in Louisiana, resale exemptions and waiver of penalty and interest.

Status: Trial to be reset. Motion to Retain filed by Plaintiff 11/29/06. Order granting Motion to Retain signed 03/27/07.

---

***Lewis & Lambert, Inc. v. Combs, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-09-001963 AG Case #: 093134492

Filed: 6/18/2009

Sales and use Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$113,401.71	11/01/02-04/30/06

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Barenblat, Marc A. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Martens, James F. Martens & Associates / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's construction contracts are separated rather than lump-sum, such that the sales tax obligation passes to the property owners. Plaintiff also seeks a declaration that the Comptroller misapplied §151.056.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Liberty Vending Services, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN502836 AG Case #: 052198108

Filed: 8/11/2005

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$9,000.00	10/01/98 - 06/30/02

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Martens, James F. Martens & Associates / Austin

Mondrik, Christina A. Mondrik & Associates / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is liable for sales and use tax on sales of food items, soft drinks and candy sold through contracted vending machines located at exempt locations. Whether the Comptroller improperly categorized certain food item purchases as taxable. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief and release of all state tax liens. Plaintiff claims violation of constitutional rights and equal protection and equal taxation. Plaintiff also claims violation of the Commerce Clause and the Supremacy Clause.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Lockheed Corporation v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN201000  
D-1-GN-02-001000

AG Case #: 021583745

Filed: 3/26/2002

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$7,000,000.00	03/01/93 - 01/31/96

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert.

Status: Plaintiff filed Motion to Retain; granted 05/23/07.

---

***Lockheed Martin Corporation v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN200999

AG Case #: 021583737

Filed: 3/26/2002

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$3,500,000.00	01/01/96 - 09/30/97

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert.

Status: Motion and Order consolidating with General Dynamics Corp. v. Rylander, et al., Cause #GN201323 entered 01/30/08. Amended Notice of Trial Setting filed 01/30/09.

Amended Agreed Scheduling Order filed 11/17/09.

---

***Lone Star Steel Company v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-000500 AG Case #: 062286174

Filed: 2/9/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$350,000.00	12/01/97 - 11/30/01

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Barenblat, Marc A. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Gilliland, David H. Clark, Thomas & Winters / Austin  
Smith, L. G. (Skip)

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's horizontal rollers used to alter steel strips qualify for the manufacturing exemption. Whether the horizontal rollers are consumed and become an ingredient or component part of the steel strip during the production process and exempt under the sale for resale exemption. Whether the Comptroller used the proper calculation method for interest applied to tax overpayments.

Status: Court sent Notice of Setting for DWOP on 02/29/08. Motion to Retain filed and granted 12/23/08. Scheduling order filed 01/22/09. Exemption claim dropped. Hearing on Cross-Motions for Summary Judgment set for 02/02/10 was passed.

---

***Lubrizol Corp., The v. Combs, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-09-003193 AG Case #: 093151769

Filed: 9/18/2009

Sales and use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$300,000.00	01/01/1998 through 12/31/2002

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Barenblat, Marc A. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Gilliland, David H. Clark, Thomas & Winters / Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller used the proper calculation method for interest on tax

overpayments applied to tax underpayments.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Lyondell Chemical Co. v. Combs, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-09-003194 AG Case #: 093151751

Filed: 9/18/2009

Sales and use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,600,000.00	01/01/1998 through 12/31/2002

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Barenblat, Marc A. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Gilliland, David H. Clark, Thomas & Winters / Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller used the proper calculation method for interest on tax overpayments applied to tax underpayments. Whether charges of contractors for erecting, maintaining and dismantling scaffolding are exempt as a non-taxable service, or taxable as rental of tangible personal property.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Marco A. Mascorro v. Compt., et al.***

Cause Number: CL-09-0255-B AG Case #: 093103745

Filed: 1/30/2009

Sales Tax; Declaratory Judgment & Injunction

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$88,708.86	08/01/2004 through 12/31/2007

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Barenblat, Marc A. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

McKinnis, Kelly McAllen

Issue: Plaintiff seeks declaratory and injunctive relief regarding the suspension of his sales tax permit. Plaintiff claims he should have received a redetermination hearing on an audit liability for export transactions.

Status: Original Answer, Plea to the Jurisdiction, and Motion to Transfer of Defendant signed

02/12/09. DWOP hearing scheduled for 05/28/10.

---

***Mars, Inc. v. Compt., et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-004471 AG Case #: 093096741

Filed: 12/12/2008

Sales and use Tax; Protest & Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$804,889.00	10/1/1997 through 12/31/2001

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

McKinney, Dennis OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's purchases of certain equipment and related items are exempt from sales tax under the manufacturing exemption. Whether Plaintiff's purchases of magazine subscriptions are exempt from sales tax. Whether Plaintiff's purchases of waste removal services are exempt from sales tax.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

***Mars, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN401349

AG Case #: 041965336

Filed: 4/29/2004

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$726,024.00	01/01/94 - 09/30/97

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

McKinney, Dennis OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Hagenswold, R. Eric

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's purchases of certain equipment and related items are exempt from sales tax under the manufacturing exemption. Whether Plaintiff's purchases of installation labor are exempt as purchases of non-taxable stand-alone installation services.

Status: Discovery in progress. Trial passed by agreement. Hearing on Plaintiff's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment set for 05/27/10.

---

***Matoka, Inc. vs. Compt., et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-001217 AG Case #: 082505595

Filed: 4/10/2008

Sales and use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$171,963.00	04/01/2001 through 11/30/2004

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

McKinney, Dennis OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Mondrik, Christina A. Mondrik & Associates / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is engaged in non-taxable erosion control services. Whether the essence of Plaintiff's transactions is services. Whether Plaintiff's services are exempt as environmental services. Whether Rule 3.291 is invalid. Whether the Comptroller violated equal protection and the Commerce Clause. Plaintiff also seeks penalty and interest abatement and declaratory relief.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Maxus Energy Corporation as Successor in Interest to Maxus Corporate Company v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN404187 AG Case #: 052082260

Filed: 12/27/2004

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,794,780.29	09/01/95 - 12/31/98

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

McKinney, Dennis OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Cowling, David E. Jones Day / Dallas

Lochridge, Robert

Issue: Whether items purchased by Plaintiff to be exported outside of the U.S. by a freight

consolidator and not invoiced individually are exempt from sales and use tax. Whether the Comptroller's auditing techniques can assess tax on transactions previously audited and non-assessed. Whether Plaintiff "purchased" or "rented" software, and whether services provided to implement the software are taxable. Whether services performed on tangible personal property provided by a third party are exempt from sales and use tax. Plaintiff claims violation of equal and uniform taxation, and due process. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Olmos Abatement, Inc. v. Compt., et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-004361 AG Case #: 083092882

Filed: 12/3/2008

Sales and use Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$9,739.97	10/01/01 through 12/31/04

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Trickey, Timothy M. The Trickey Law Firm / Austin

Issue: Whether expense items used in the asbestos abatement process are exempt. Whether the items were resold to the exempt entities for whom the services were performed.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Reynolds Metals Co. vs. Combs, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN07003574 AG Case #: 072477284

Filed: 10/18/2007

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$486,159.70	Feb. 1, 1990 through Feb. 28, 1994

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Hagenswold, R. Eric

Issue: Whether ship unloaders qualify as rolling stock and exempt from sales tax. Whether replacement parts and services for the unloaders are exempt. Whether denying the exemption violates equal protection.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Richard's Heating & Air Conditioning, Inc. v. State of Texas, et al.***

Cause Number: C-1-CV-08-006490 AG Case #: 082517020 Filed: 6/30/2008

Sales and use Tax; Injunction

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$325,245.13	Apr. 1, 2003 - Aug. 31, 2005

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Phillips, Wade OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Leeper, David P. El Paso

Issue: Whether sales tax was correctly calculated. Whether Plaintiff qualifies for insolvency relief. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief, damages, and attorney's fees.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Roadway Express, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN002831 AG Case #: 001357631 Filed: 9/25/2000

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$713,686.05	04/01/88 - 05/31/92
\$206,053.87	04/01/88 - 05/31/92

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Cowling, David E. Jones Day / Dallas  
Lochridge, Robert

Issue: Whether various equipment used by the Plaintiff with its trucks is exempt from use tax as tangible personal property sold to a common carrier for use outside the state. Alternatively, whether the equipment had been taxed as vehicle components under the interstate motor carrier tax and could not be taxed as "accessories." Alternatively, whether taxing 100% of the value of the equipment violates the Commerce Clause because of a lack of substantial nexus and of fair apportionment. Whether all tax was paid on Plaintiff's repair and remodeling contracts and capital assets. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Trial setting passed. Discovery in progress.

---

***Roark Amusement & Vending, L.P. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-004726 AG Case #: 072431166

Filed: 12/22/2006

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,027,105.00	10/01/00 - 02/29/04

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Barenblat, Marc A. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Martens, James F. Martens & Associates / Austin

Seay, Michael B.

Issue: Whether toys purchased for crane machines are tax exempt as sale for resale. Whether the service provided by crane machines is tax exempt as part of a taxable service. Whether the unsuccessful operation of a crane machine can include possession of a toy by the operator and constitute a legal rental. Whether operation of a crane machine results in the care, custody and control of the machine being transferred to the operator. Whether Plaintiff owes tax on rental payments of equipment located out-of-state. Plaintiff claims the Comptroller has erroneously applied statutes and rules, unconstitutionality of Comptroller Rule 3.301 and Tex. Tax Code §151.151, double taxation, violation of equal protection, due process, equal and uniform taxation, and seeks declaratory relief.

Status: Case consolidated into Cause #D-1-GN-06-004725 on 12/02/09.

---

***Roark Amusement & Vending, L.P. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-004725 AG Case #: 072431158

Filed: 12/22/2006

#03-10-00105-CV

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$443,221.70	10/01/00 - 02/29/04

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Barenblat, Marc A.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Martens, James F.                      Martens & Associates / Austin  
Seay, Michael B.

Issue: Whether toys purchased for crane machines are tax exempt as sale for resale. Whether the service provided by crane machines is tax exempt as part of a taxable service. Whether the unsuccessful operation of a crane machine can include possession of a toy by the operator and constitute a legal rental. Whether operation of a crane machine results in the care, custody and control of the machine being transferred to the operator. Whether Plaintiff owes tax on rental payments of equipment located out-of-state. Plaintiff claims the Comptroller has erroneously applied statutes and rules, unconstitutionality of Comptroller Rule 3.301 and Tex. Tax Code §151.151, double taxation, violation of equal protection, due process, equal and uniform taxation, and seeks declaratory relief.

Status: Case consolidated with D-1-GN-06-004726 and set for MSJ hearing on 12/02/09. Motion to Retain filed 06/01/09. MSJ hearing reset by agreement for 02/17/09. Order granting Defendant's MSJ and denying Plaintiff's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment signed and entered on 02/22/10. Notice of Appeal filed 02/23/10. Clerk's Record filed 03/25/10. Appellant's Motion for Extension of Time to File Brief filed 04/28/10; granted 05/03/10. Appellant's brief due 06/02/10.

---

***Salim Abbas Merchant v. Combs, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-09-000511    AG Case #: 093107688

Filed: 2/17/2009

Sales and use Tax; Protest

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Canfield, George W.                      San Antonio

Issue: Plaintiff seeks review under the APA of a sales tax deficiency. Plaintiff claims that the Comptroller used unreliable data and incorrect mark-up percentages.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

***San Antonio Spurs, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN403429

AG Case #: 042050401

Filed: 10/15/2004

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$913,435.03	06/01/97 - 06/30/00

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

McKinney, Dennis	OAG Taxation / Austin
------------------	-----------------------

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.	Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Osterloh, Curtis J.	

Issue: Whether suite rental fees are exempt from sales tax as non-taxable rentals or licenses for the use of real property.

Status: Motion to Retain filed 08/20/07; granted 01/08/08. Notice of Nonsuit signed 01/15/10.

---

***Shanks Surveyors, L.L.P. v. Compt., et al.***

Cause Number: 2008-42440

AG Case #: 082519802

Filed: 7/16/2008

Sales and use Tax; Injunction

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$36,869.68	Jan. 1, 2004 - Sep. 30, 2007

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.	OAG Taxation / Austin
------------------	-----------------------

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Milledge, Samuel L.	Houston
---------------------	---------

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is liable for use tax on purchases where vendor records were not produced. Whether Plaintiff may get injunctive relief.

Status: Following Comptroller freeze of bank Plaintiff's bank account, the Plaintiff filed for a TRO which was granted. Plaintiff filed for a temporary injunction, a permanent injunction and pleaded on the merits. Plea to the Jurisdiction to be filed 7/23/2008, together with Comptroller's response to the requested injunctions. Hearing on the injunctive relief on 7/24/2008 in Harris County found in favor of Comptroller. No order signed. Enforcement in progress.

---

***Southern Union Company v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-004637 AG Case #: 062430574

Filed: 12/15/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$747,733.01	07/01/93 - 06/30/97

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Phillips, Wade	OAG Taxation / Austin
----------------	-----------------------

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.	Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray	
Hagenswold, R. Eric	

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's purchases of gas pipes, valves and meters are exempt from sales and use tax as tangible personal property under the sale for resale exemption.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Southern Union Gas v. Combs, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-09-001536 AG Case #: 093127603

Filed: 5/14/2009

Sales and use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$2,910,000.00	07/01/1997 through 06/30/2001

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

McKinney, Dennis	OAG Taxation / Austin
------------------	-----------------------

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.	Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Hagenswold, R. Eric	

Issue: Whether property used in gas processing and distribution is exempt under the manufacturing exemption. Whether the property is exempt as property used to comply with public health laws. Whether services performed on that property were exempt under §151.3111. Whether pipes, valves, and meters installed on customers' premises are exempt as sales for resale.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Southwest Royalties, Inc. v. Combs, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-09-004284 AG Case #: 103170106

Filed: 12/17/2009

Sales and use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$960,000.00	Jan. 1, 1997 through April 30, 2001

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Phillips, Wade OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray

Issue: Plaintiff's refund suit raises approximately 50 sales and use tax issues in relation to its production and refining operations. Claims include waste removal, sale for resale, environmental services, and various manufacturing exemption claims.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN402300

AG Case #: 041998360

Filed: 7/22/2004

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$291,516,385.00	06/01/05 - 12/31/98

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Storie, Gene OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Hagenswold, R. Eric  
Osterloh, Curtis J.

Issue: Whether equipment used in telecommunications is exempt from sales tax under the manufacturing and processing exemption. Whether payphones purchased by Plaintiff to

perform taxable telecommunications services qualify for the sale for resale exemption. Whether electricity purchased and resold as an integral part of other tangible personal property and used to perform taxable telecommunications services is exempt from sales tax. Whether stand-alone installation labor provided directly to a customer by a vendor or by a third-party installer is taxable.

Status: Court sent Notice of Setting for DWOP on 08/24/07. Plaintiff filed Motion to Retain, Memorandum in Support of Motion to Retain and proposed Order Granting Motion to Retain on 08/15/07. Order Granting Motion to Retain signed 01/08/08. Scheduling order filed. Trial set for 12/06/10.

---

***Southwestern Bell Yellow Pages, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-004500 AG Case #: 062428495  
#03-07-00638-CV  
#09-0372

Filed: 12/1/2006

**Sales Tax; Refund**

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$6,917,047.67	10/01/03 - 12/31/05

**Counsel Associated With This Case:**

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes use tax on printing charges for directories printed out-of-state but ultimately distributed within Texas. Plaintiff claims the directories were "manufactured" rather than "purchased" outside of Texas, resulting in the printing operations occurring outside of Texas and used and consumed outside of Texas.

Status: Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and Notice of Hearing filed 09/10/07. Plaintiff's reply filed 09/20/07. Motion for Summary Judgment hearing held 10/02/07. Defendant's Judgment granted 10/17/07. Plaintiff's Notice of Appeal filed 11/13/07. Clerk's record filed 12/13/07. Appellant's brief filed 01/11/08; Oral Argument requested. Appellee filed Motion for Extension of Time to File Brief 02/05/08; granted 02/06/08. Appellee's brief filed 02/25/08; Oral Argument requested. Appellant's Reply filed 03/17/08. Appellee's Motion to Postpone Oral argument filed and granted 05/20/08. Oral argument held 10/22/08. Opinion issued on 01/30/09, affirming the judgment. Appellant's Motion for Extension of Time to File Motion for Rehearing filed and granted 02/09/09. Motion for Rehearing filed 03/09/09; overruled 03/27/09. Petitioner's Motion for Extension of Time to File Petition for

Review filed 05/05/09; granted 05/07/09. Petition filed 06/09/09. Conditional Waiver of Response filed 07/13/09. Supreme Court of Texas requested response on 08/13/09. Respondent's Response to Petition for Review filed 09/25/09. Petition for Review denied 11/20/09. Mandate issued 01/13/10.

---

***Spacenet Services, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-002437 AG Case #: 062380332

Filed: 7/3/2006

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$650,940.41	09/01/95 - 12/31/98

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

McKinney, Dennis OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes no tax because it accepted resale certificates in good faith. Whether all penalty and interest should be waived.

Status: Discovery in progress. Trial set for 09/13/10.

---

***Spirit Drilling Fluids, GP, LLC v. Combs, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-09-002542 AG Case #: 093144038

Filed: 8/7/2009

Sales and use Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$378,328.05	08/01/2002 through 09/30/2005

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Brugnoli, Darlene OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Morris, Joe Scott J. Scott Morris, P.C. / Austin

Issue: Whether sales of drilling mud are consummated at the well sites, making them not subject to local tax at Plaintiff's headquarters in Houston.

Status: Discovery in progress. Trial scheduled for September 2010.

---

***Sysco Food Services of Austin, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN400465

AG Case #: 041925850

Filed: 2/17/2004

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$92,357.48	05/01/98 - 04/30/01

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

Masters, Paul H.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Osterloh, Curtis J.

Issue: Whether electricity used to lower the temperature of food products is exempt as electricity used in processing.

Status: Inactive.

---

***Sysco Food Services of Houston, L.P. (fka Sysco Food Service of Houston, Inc.) v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN100633

AG Case #: 011420734

Filed: 3/1/2001

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$196,492.74	01/01/94 - 12/31/96

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

Masters, Paul H.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

Cunningham, Judy M.                      Attorney at Law / Austin  
Blume, James                              Blume & Studdard / Dallas

Issue: Whether electricity used to lower the temperature of food products is exempt as electricity used in processing. Whether equipment is exempt for the same reason.

Status: Pending Sysco Food Services of Austin, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al., Cause #GN400465.

---

***Sysco Food Services of Houston, L.P. (fka Sysco Food Services of Houston, Inc.) v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN302075

AG Case #: 031816119

Filed: 6/13/2003

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$270,401.80	07/01/94 - 06/30/98

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.	OAG Taxation / Austin
------------------	-----------------------

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Cunningham, Judy M.	Attorney at Law / Austin
---------------------	--------------------------

Blume, James	Blume & Studdard / Dallas
--------------	---------------------------

Issue: Whether electricity used to lower the temperature of food products is exempt as electricity used in processing. Whether equipment is exempt for the same reason.

Status: Pending Sysco Food Services of Austin, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al., Cause #GN400465.

---

***Sysco Food Services of San Antonio, LP, et al. v. Combs***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-09001026

AG Case #: 093116531

Filed: 3/31/2009

Sales and use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$239,634.20	01/01/02 through 09/30/05

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.	OAG Taxation / Austin
------------------	-----------------------

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Osterloh, Curtis J.	Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
---------------------	--

Issue: Whether electricity used to lower the temperature of food products is exempt as electricity used in processing.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Tara Levy, Robert Tycast, Vivian Daywood, John Butler, Rocky & Linda Piazza and Paul DeNucci, et al. v. Combs, et al.***

Sales and use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,604,367.17	Comp USA
\$11,017,104.44	Best Buy
\$1,999,730.71	Office Max

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Seaquist, Gunnar OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Perlmutter, Mark L. Perlmutter & Schuelke, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether claimant had standing to present the refund claim. Whether the claimant's documentation was sufficient to verify the claimed refund amount. Whether certain transactions are barred by the statute of limitations.

Status: Citation issued.

**Target Corp. v. Combs, et al.**

Sales and use Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$443,218.66	08/01/1999 through 12/31/2003

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether charges for assembly & installation of display items in taxpayer's stores are non-taxable third party installation services.

Status: Answer filed.

***Target Corporation v. Combs, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-09-002395 AG Case #: 093141778

Filed: 7/27/2009

Sales and use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,367,689.00	08/01/1999 through 12/31/2003

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether parts for refrigeration and freezing equipment qualify for the manufacturing exemption. Whether services performed on that equipment are exempt. Whether security systems in new stores are non-taxable new construction. Whether display racks and shelving were assembled and installed by non-taxable third party installation services.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Target Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN502440

AG Case #: 052184538

Filed: 7/14/2005

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$591,242.98	02/01/96 - 07/31/99

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray

Issue: Whether charges for labor under separated contracts and charges under lump sum contracts constitute non-taxable new construction. Whether charges for assembly and installation of display items in retail stores are non-taxable third party installation services. Whether components purchased outside the state and used outside the state to construct other items, including assembly labor charges, are taxable. Whether installation charges for purchases of tangible personal property are non-taxable as separable charges.

Status: Summary Judgment hearing postponed.

---

***Texas and Kansas City Cable Partners LP v. Combs, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-09-001257 AG Case #: 093127587 Filed: 4/17/2009

Sales and use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$18,434,607.00	01/01/2003 through 12/31/2003

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*  
\_\_\_\_\_

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*  
\_\_\_\_\_

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's equipment is exempt as property used in manufacturing. Whether equipment used to insert commercials and other programming into television signals is exempt as equipment used in the production of motion picture, video or audio programming or as the production of broadcasts and television programming. Whether electricity and various services are exempt purchases by Plaintiff. Whether interest and penalty should be waived.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Texas Gulf, Inc. v. Bullock, et al.***

Cause Number: 485,228 AG Case #: 90311185 Filed: 6/5/1990

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$294,000.00	01/01/85 - 06/30/88

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*  
\_\_\_\_\_

Barenblat, Marc A. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*  
\_\_\_\_\_

Lipstet, Ira A. DuBois Bryant Campbell & Schwartz, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Are pipes exempt as manufacturing equipment or taxable as intra-plant transportation.

Status: Inactive.

---

***Time Warner Entertainment & Advance Newhouse v. Combs, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-09-001982 AG Case #: 093136828

Filed: 6/19/2009

Sales and use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$5,413,530.44	01/01/2000 through 12/31/2003

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray

Issue: Whether Plaintiff qualifies for the exemption on manufacturing and processing in sections 151.318 and 151.317. Whether services were exempt under §151.3111. Whether Plaintiff resold electricity as part of a taxable service. Whether some equipment is exempt under §151.3185 and various service issues. Plaintiff also seeks penalty and interest waiver.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Time Warner Telecom of Texas, L.P. v. Combs, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-09-001223 AG Case #: 093121176

Filed: 4/15/2009

Sales and use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$3,625,383.95	08/01/00 through 12/31/03

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff qualifies for the exemption on manufacturing and processing in sections 151.318 and 151.317. Whether services were exempt under §151.3111. Whether Plaintiff resold electricity as part of a taxable service.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Time Warner Telecom of Texas, L.P. v. Combs, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-09-003588 AG Case #: 093158319

Filed: 10/16/2009

Sales and use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,777,836.99	(plus statutory interest) 02/31/04 thru 10/31/07

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B.	OAG Taxation / Austin
----------------	-----------------------

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.	Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray	
Sigel, Doug	

Issue: Whether Plaintiff qualifies for the manufacturing exemption under §151.318 (c) (2). Whether services were exempt under §151.3111.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***T-Mobile West Corp. v. Combs, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-09-000270 AG Case #: 093104230

Filed: 1/27/2009

Sales and use Tax; Protest & Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$3,964,604.84	06/01/1999 through 12/31/2001

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Storie, Gene	OAG Taxation / Austin
--------------	-----------------------

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.	Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
-----------------	--

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's purchases of electricity were exempt as electricity used in manufacturing. Whether purchases of tangible personal property were exempt as property used in manufacturing. Whether services performed on that property were exempt under Tex. Tax Code § 151.3111. Whether penalty should be waived.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Tyler Holding Company, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-004608 AG Case #: 062430350

Filed: 12/13/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$47,129.21	10/01/96 - 12/31/99

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether purchases of tangible personal property by Plaintiff's predecessor were exempt from sales and use tax under the manufacturing exemption. Whether charges of contractors for erecting, dismantling and moving scaffolding are exempt from sales and use tax as a non-taxable service, or taxable as rental of tangible personal property.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***U.S. Foodservice, Inc., et al. v. Combs, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-09-003215 AG Case #: 093153260

Filed: 9/18/2009

Sales and use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$48,908.29	07/01/1998 through 07/31/2002

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Tourtellotte, Tom Hance Scarborough Wright Woodward &  
Weisbart, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether electricity used to lower temperature of food products is exempt as electricity used in processing.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***U.S. Foodservices, Inc. v. Combs, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-10-000060 AG Case #: 103174488

Filed: 1/7/2010

Sales and use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$200,000.00	(Plus penalty and interest)06/01/01 thru 09/30/04

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Cunningham, Judy M. Attorney at Law / Austin

Issue: Whether electricity used to lower the temperature of food products is exempt as electricity used in processing. Petition also asserts manufacturing exemption claims for replacement parts, wrapping & packaging materials and certain work clothes.

Status: Citation issued.

---

***United Scaffolding, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-002270 AG Case #: 062375514

Filed: 6/21/2006

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$897,633.51	10/01/97 - 04/30/01

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Ohlenforst, Cynthia M. Hughes & Luce / Dallas

Villa, Richard D. Hughes & Luce / Austin

Issue: Whether scaffolding services provided by Plaintiff are taxable rentals of tangible personal property in regard to certain lump sum contracts, or exempt as non-taxable services. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***United Space Alliance, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN401174

AG Case #: 041954488

Filed: 4/14/2004

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$975,000.00	07/01/99 - 07/31/03

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***United Space Alliance, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN501793

AG Case #: 052151891

Filed: 5/17/2005

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$881,264.71	03/01/00 - 06/30/03

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***United Space Alliance, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN504467

AG Case #: 062267356

Filed: 12/16/2005

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$297,739.30	04/01/03 - 08/31/05

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Langenberg, Ray

Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether security services provided to Plaintiff in connection with services to the federal government qualify for the sale for resale exemption. Whether tax on tangible personal property should be refunded pursuant to the Raytheon case. Whether electricity used to produce software qualifies for the manufacturing and processing exemption. Whether certain software maintenance is a non-taxable service.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***United Space Alliance, LLC v. Combs, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-09-004310    AG Case #: 103169785

Filed: 12/18/2009

Sales and use Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$22,353.86	August, October and November 2009

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Langenberg, Ray

Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether electricity consumed by the Plaintiff is eligible for the sale for resale exemption.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***V.H. Salas & Associates, Inc. v. Comptroller***

Cause Number: GN403975

AG Case #: 042071365

Filed: 12/6/2004

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$66,543.64	08/01/98 - 04/30/02

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Barenblat, Marc A.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Lopez, Diego A.                      The Law Offices of Diego A. Lopez / San Antonio

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes sales tax on purchased equipment used in the manufacturing of wood and metal products. Whether Plaintiff owes sales tax on electricity used to operate the equipment. Whether Plaintiff was denied due process of law and the right to equal protection of the law. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Inactive.

---

***Verizon Business Network Services, Inc. v. Compt. Et. Al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-07-004221    AG Case #: 072484389

Filed: 12/7/2007

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$20,179,336.77	01/01/96 - 03/31/02

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray

Issue: Whether programming services were taxable. If the services are taxable, whether their sale or use occurred in Texas.

Status: Bifurcated trial held 01/19/10. Case submitted to court after trial on 01/20/10. Post-

submission briefs submitted on 02/03/10. Court ruled for Defendants on creation of TPP and first use in Texas, and against Defendants on delivery of other TPP (non-custom software).

---

***Watson Sysco Food Services, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-002879 AG Case #: 062397849

Filed: 8/10/2006

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$63,720.38	04/01/01 - 07/31/04

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Hagenswold, R. Eric Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Osterloh, Curtis J.

Issue: Whether electricity used to lower the temperature of food products is exempt as electricity used in processing.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

***White Swan, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN304767 AG Case #: 041904608

Filed: 12/18/2003

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$415,185.61	10/01/93 - 12/31/97

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

McKinney, Dennis OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Cunningham, Judy M. Attorney at Law / Austin

Issue: Whether the purchase of electricity used to lower the temperature of food products is exempt under Tax Code Sections 151.317 and 151.318. Whether the process causes a physical change to the products. Whether the decision of the Comptroller violated the statute and long-standing Comptroller policy.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

***White Swan, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-002987 AG Case #: 062398086

Filed: 8/17/2006

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$219,297.54	01/01/98 - 12/31/00

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

McKinney, Dennis OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Cunningham, Judy M. Attorney at Law / Austin

Issue: Whether the purchase of electricity used to lower the temperature of food products is exempt under Tax Code Sections 151.317 and 151.318. Whether the process causes a physical change to the products. Whether the purchases of packing supplies and repairs to and replacement parts of processing are exempt from sales tax. Whether the decision of the Comptroller violated the rules of statutory construction and long-standing Comptroller policy. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

***Wyndham International Operating Partnership, LP v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-004260 AG Case #: 062425574

Filed: 11/9/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$31,283.31	01/01/99 - 09/30/02

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Bonilla, Ray Ray, Wood & Bonilla, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether certain amenity and consumable items such as shampoo, stationery and similar items resold to hotel guests are exempt from sales tax as sales for resale.

Status: Answer filed. Court sent Notice of DWOP on 04/03/09. Plaintiff's Amended Motion to Retain filed and granted 08/19/09.

---

***Zale Delaware, Inc. v. Combs, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-10-000069 AG Case #: 103172771

Filed: 1/8/2010

Sales and use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$754,000.00	08/01/01 through 07/31/05

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Barenblat, Marc A. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether the Comptroller used the proper calculation method for interest applied to tax overpayments. Whether the Plaintiff is entitled to exemption for inventory items temporarily stored in-state. Petition also includes various other sales and use tax issues.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

***Zale Delaware, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN202030

AG Case #: 021640669

Filed: 6/24/2002

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$333,602.57	08/01/92 - 02/28/97

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is liable for tax on items temporarily stored in Texas. Whether tax on services purchased by Plaintiff should be reduced to reflect the out-of-state benefit of those services. Whether Plaintiff should get a refund or credit for tax paid on inventory. Whether the Comptroller should be barred from off-setting debts in the period between the filing of

Plaintiff's bankruptcy petition and the confirmation of its reorganization plan.

Status: Case consolidated with Zale Delaware, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al., Cause #GN301725, per court order signed 12/12/07. Discovery in progress.

---

***Zale Delaware, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN301725

AG Case #: 031806045

Filed: 5/27/2003

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,170,404.64	08/01/92 - 02/28/97

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Masters, Paul H.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to exemption on items of inventory temporarily stored in-state. Whether tax was improperly assessed on services performed outside the state. Whether installation services on counters and software were readily separable from taxable tangible property. Whether the Comptroller should be enjoined from taking offsets pursuant to Plaintiff's bankruptcy plea.

Status: Case consolidated into Zale Delaware, Inc. v. Rylander, et al., Cause #GN202030. Order to consolidate signed 12/12/07.

---

***Zimmer US, Inc. v. Combs, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-09-002096    AG Case #: 093136620

Filed: 6/30/2009

Sales and use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$947,827.00	09/01/03 through 02/28/07

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Masters, Paul H.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W.  
Langenberg, Ray

Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Plaintiff claims that surgical instrument kits used to install prosthetic devices are "supplies" under §151.313 (a)(5). Alternatively, Plaintiff claims that the kits are either purchased for resale or are donated to an exempt organization.

Status: Answer filed.

---

## Insurance Tax

### ***AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN501095

AG Case #: 052135712

Filed: 4/7/2005

Gross Premium & Maintenance Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$57,166.00	2004
\$28,583.00	2005
\$849.00	2004 (Maintenance Tax)

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Phillips, Wade                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Werkenthin, Fred B.                Jackson Walker, L.L.P. / Austin

Small, Edward C.

Moore, Steven D.

Fitzgerald, Pat

Issue: Whether dividends retained and applied to reduce premiums should be included in gross premiums subject to tax under Article 4.11 and Article 4.17. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Stayed by agreement pending final decision in Metropolitan Life Insurance Co., et al. v. A.W. Pogue, et al., Cause No. 484,745.

---

### ***Fireman's Fund Insurance Company of Ohio v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN101899

AG Case #: 011464476

Filed: 6/20/2001

Insurance Premium Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$439,074.12	1992 - 1998

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Phillips, Wade                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Alexander, Richard

Richard W. Alexander / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff, an authorized surplus lines insurer, is liable for unauthorized insurance premiums tax. Whether the Comptroller lacks authority to determine that Plaintiff is an unauthorized insurer, and whether the Texas Department of Insurance is required to make that determination. Whether the Comptroller engaged in selective and improper enforcement. Whether the assessment violates Due Process and the McCarran-Ferguson Act. Alternatively, whether penalty should be waived. Plaintiff also seeks injunctive relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Revised Scheduling Order filed 02/02/10. Scheduling Order suspended by agreement of the parties.

---

***Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, et al. v. Combs, et al.***

Cause Number: 484,745

AG Case #: 90304512

Filed: 5/24/1990

#03-06-00446-CV

#10-0038

Gross Premium Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
--------------	------------------

\$10,817,043.00	1989 - 2003
-----------------	-------------

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Phillips, Wade

OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Werkenthin, Fred B.

Jackson Walker, L.L.P. / Austin

Moore, Steven D.

Harrison, Breck

Rogers, Tom

Issue: Whether insurance taxes are owed by insurance companies on dividends applied to paid-up additions and renewal premiums.

Status: Ninth Amended Petition filed. Settlement discussed, and partial settlement agreed to. Final Judgment entered on paid-up additions issue. Renewal premium issue severed and retained on docket. Plaintiffs made settlement offer on remainder of case. Motion for Summary Judgment hearing held 02/14/06. Judgment granted for Plaintiffs 06/29/06. State filed Notice of Appeal 07/26/06; docketing statement filed 08/01/06. Clerk's Record filed 08/24/06. Appellants' brief filed 09/25/06. Appellees' brief filed 10/25/06. Appellants' reply brief filed 11/14/06. Submitted on Oral Argument 02/14/07. Opinion issued 10/09/09, reversing the trial court's judgment and rendering summary judgment in favor of the Comptroller. Appellee's

Motion for Rehearing filed 10/26/09; overruled 12/03/09. Petition for Review filed in the Supreme Court on 01/19/10. Response waived 02/02/10. Response requested by Supreme Court on 04/06/10. Response filed 05/05/10.

---

***New York Life Insurance Company v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN501094

AG Case #: 052130697

Filed: 4/7/2005

Gross Premium & Maintenance Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$105,822.00	2004
\$52,911.00	2005
\$1,572.00	2004 (Maintenance Tax)

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Phillips, Wade

OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Werkenthin, Fred B.

Jackson Walker, L.L.P. / Austin

Small, Edward C.

Moore, Steven D.

Fitzgerald, Pat

Issue: Whether dividends retained and applied to reduce premiums be included in gross premiums subject to tax under Article 4.11 and Article 4.17. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Stayed by agreement pending final decision in Metropolitan Life Insurance Co., et al. v. A.W. Pogue, et al., Cause No. 484,745.

---

***Prudential Insurance Company, The v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN501093

AG Case #: 052137189

Filed: 4/7/2005

Gross Premium & Maintenance Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$230,578.00	2004
\$115,289.00	2005
\$3,426.00	2004 (Maintenance Tax)

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Phillips, Wade OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Werkenthin, Fred B. Jackson Walker, L.L.P. / Austin  
Small, Edward C.  
Moore, Steven D.  
Fitzgerald, Pat

Issue: Whether dividends retained and applied to reduce premiums be included in gross premiums subject to tax under Article 4.11 and Article 4.17. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Stayed by agreement pending final decision in Metropolitan Life Insurance Co., et al. v. A.W. Pogue, et al., Cause No. 484,745.

---

***Warranty Underwriters Insurance Company v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: 99-12271 AG Case #: 991226739 Filed: 10/20/1999

Insurance Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$416,462.73	1993 - 1997
\$214,893.74	1993 - 1997

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

White, Raymond E. Akin, Gump, Strauss, Hauer & Feld / Austin  
Micciche, Daniel

Issue: Whether the Comptroller improperly included amounts not received by Plaintiff in Plaintiff's gross premiums tax base. Whether any maintenance tax is payable on Plaintiff's business of home warranty insurance. Whether the Comptroller is bound by the prior actions and determinations of the Texas Department of Insurance. Whether the assessments of tax violate due process and equal taxation. Whether penalty and interest should have been waived.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

## Other Taxes

### ***35 Bar & Grill, LLC, et al. v. Compt., et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-002535 AG Case #: 082520511

Filed: 7/16/2008

Other Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,913,112.25	Jan. - Apr. 2008

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Van Oort, Kevin OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Deegear III, James O.  
Matthews-Kasson, Michell

Issue: Whether the Sexually Oriented Business fee is unconstitutional. Plaintiff also claims due process violations, and seeks declaratory and injunctive relief.

Status: Answer filed.

---

### ***A & D Interests, Inc., dba Heartbreakers v. Compt., et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-002410 AG Case #: 082519083

Filed: 7/10/2008

Other Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$67,785.00	Jan. - Apr. 2008

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Barenblat, Marc A. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Pianelli, James V. Houston

Issue: Whether the Sexually Oriented Business fee is unconstitutional.

Status: Discovery abated until resolution of Texas Entertainment case.

---

### ***Badger Tavern L.P. et al. v. Susan Combs, Compt., et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-003794 AG Case #: 082534447

Filed: 10/20/2008

Other Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$21,065.00	Apr. - June 2008

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Barenblat, Marc A. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Swander, Steven H. Fort Worth

Issue: Whether the Sexually Oriented Business fee is unconstitutional.

Status: Discovery abated until resolution of Texas Entertainment case.

---

***Bassam Jaber Hantouli v. Susan Combs, Compt., et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-003547 AG Case #: 082531468

Filed: 9/26/2008

Mixed Beverage Gross Receipts Tax; Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$352,819.92	Jan. 1, 2003 - Aug. 31, 2006

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Gamboa, John L. Gamboa & White / Fort Worth

Issue: Whether the Comptroller correctly estimated Plaintiff's tax on beer sales. Whether penalty and interest should be waived. Plaintiff seeks declaratory and injunctive relief.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

***Benelux Corp., dba The Palazzo & Ziggfeld's Entertainment, Inc., dba Expose v. Susan Combs, Compt., et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-003385 AG Case #: 082529652

Filed: 9/16/2008

Other Tax; Protest

Claim Amount      Reporting Period  
\$70,620.00      Apr. - June 2008

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Van Oort, Kevin                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Swander, Steven H.                  Fort Worth

Issue: Whether the Sexually Oriented Business fee is unconstitutional.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Benelux Corp., dba, et al. v. Compt., et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-002489    AG Case #: 082520487

Filed: 7/14/2008

Other Tax; Protest

Claim Amount      Reporting Period  
\$91,240.00      Jan. - Apr. 2008

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Van Oort, Kevin                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Swander, Steven H.                  Fort Worth

Issue: Whether the Sexually Oriented Business fee is unconstitutional. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorney's fees.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Combs, et al. v. Texas Entertainment Association, Inc. and Karpod, Inc.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-07-004179    AG Case #: 072480643

Filed: 12/7/2007

#03-08-00213-CV

#09-0481

S.O.B. Fee Tax; Declaratory Judgment & Injunction

Claim Amount      Reporting Period  
2008

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Van Oort, Kevin                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Whitehead, G. Stewart              Winstead P.C. / Austin

Issue: Whether collection of a fee on sexually oriented businesses where alcohol is consumed violates the First Amendment as an illegal restriction on free speech. Whether the fee is an occupation tax that violates equal protection and fails to allocate revenue to public.

Status: Plaintiffs' application for temporary injunction was denied on 12/18/07. Plaintiffs filed a Motion for Partial Summary Judgment on 12/21/07, and set it for a hearing on 01/22/08. Defendants filed a Conditional Motion for Partial Summary Judgment and Motion for Leave to Supplement the Motion or for Continuance on 12/28/07. The parties agreed to continue the hearing until 02/05/08 at 2 p.m. The parties' responses are due 01/29/08. Hearing on Plaintiff's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment held on 02/05/08. Plaintiff's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment was denied 03/04/08. Court signed judgment for Plaintiffs on 03/28/08. Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law signed 05/07/08. Additional Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law signed 06/10/08. Motion to Supersede & Petition for Mandamus proceedings. Appellants' brief filed 08/11/08. Argued by Solicitor General on 02/11/09. Opinion issued 06/05/09, affirming district court's judgment. The Comptroller filed a Petition for Review with the Texas Supreme Court on 06/11/09. Response filed 07/10/09. Briefing on the merits requested 08/26/09. Petitioner's Brief filed 09/25/09. Respondent's Brief filed 10/15/09. Petitioner's Reply Brief filed 10/30/09. Case submitted on oral argument on 03/25/10.

---

***D. Houston, Inc., dba v. Compt., et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-002483    AG Case #: 082519117

Filed: 7/14/2008

Other Tax; Protest

Claim Amount      Reporting Period

\$482,440.00      Jan. - Apr. 2008

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Barenblat, Marc A.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Monshaugen, Ronald A.              Monshaugen & Van Huff, P.C. / Houston  
Van Huff, Albert T.

Issue: Whether the Sexually Oriented Business fee is unconstitutional.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Dickens, Larry & Mary and Kevin & Jennifer Zaputil v. Combs and Connie Perry, Grimes County Tax Assessor and Collector***

Cause Number: 30861

AG Case #: 072457880

Filed: 6/1/2007

Motor Vehicle Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$180.00	2007

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Brugnoli, Darlene                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Clevenger, Ty                              Attorney at Law / Bryan

Issue: Plaintiffs claim Section 152.023 of the Tax Code violates the Privileges and Immunities Clause of Article IV, Section 2 of the United States Constitution; the Commerce Clause of Article I, Section 8 of the United States Constitution; and the Privileges and Immunities Clause and the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution. Plaintiffs also seek attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed. Plaintiff transferred to Travis County.

---

***El Paso Entertainment, Inc. dba v. Compt., et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-002548    AG Case #: 082520578

Filed: 7/21/2008

Other Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$64,767.00	Jan. - Apr. 2008

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Van Oort, Kevin                              OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Swander, Steven H.                              Fort Worth

Issue: Whether the Sexually Oriented Business fee is unconstitutional. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorney's fees.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***El Paso Natural Gas Company v. Sharp***

Cause Number: 91-6309

AG Case #: 9178237

Filed: 5/6/1991

Gas Production Tax; Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$3,054,480.60	01/01/87 - 12/31/87

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray

Issue: Whether Comptroller should have granted Plaintiff a hearing on penalty waiver and related issues.

Status: State's Plea in Abatement granted pending outcome of administrative hearing on audit liability.

---

***Enterprise Operating Co., Inc., dba v. Compt., et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-002575    AG Case #: 082520545

Filed: 7/21/2008

Other Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$76,780.00	Jan. - Apr. 2008

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Van Oort, Kevin                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Serper, Lauren M.                      Houston

Issue: Whether the Sexually Oriented Business fee is unconstitutional.

Status: Discovery suspended by Rule 11 Agreement. Pending final disposition of Texas Entertainment case.

---

***Eustace ISD v. Compt., et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-001573 AG Case #: 082520941

Filed: 7/18/2008

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
	2007

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Phillips, Wade	OAG Taxation / Austin
----------------	-----------------------

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Swinney, Kirk	McCreary, Veselka, Bragg & Allen, P.C. / Austin
Tepper, Matthew	

Issue: Whether the Comptroller overvalued property by using non-market transactions.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***FW, Inc. and S & S Bros., Inc. v. Compt., et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-002617 AG Case #: 082526575

Filed: 7/21/2008

Other Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$23,685.00	FW, Inc.
\$15,881.25	S&S Bros, Inc.

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Van Oort, Kevin	OAG Taxation / Austin
-----------------	-----------------------

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Deegear III, James O.	
Matthews-Kasson, Michell	

Issue: Whether the Sexually Oriented Business fee is unconstitutional.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Golden Productions JCG Fort Worth LLC., dba v. Compt., et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-002522 AG Case #: 082519992

Filed: 7/16/2008

Other Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$11,055.00	Jan. - Apr. 2008

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Van Oort, Kevin                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Swander, Steven H.                      Fort Worth

Issue: Whether the Sexually Oriented Business fee is unconstitutional. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorney's fees.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***I Gotcha, Inc., dba, et al. v. Compt., et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-002546    AG Case #: 082520503

Filed: 7/17/2008

Other Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$79,195.00	Jan. - Apr. 2008

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Van Oort, Kevin                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Gamboa, John L.                      Gamboa & White / Fort Worth

Issue: Whether the Sexually Oriented Business fee is unconstitutional.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Isis Partners, L.P., et al. vs. Combs, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-07-002828    AG Case #: 072470107

Filed: 9/4/2007

Mixed Beverage Gross Receipts Tax; Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$20,409.70	09/01/02 through 11/30/05

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Bonilla, Ray                              Ray, Wood & Bonilla, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Plaintiff claims that the Comptroller did not properly compute liability for mixed beverage gross receipts tax under Tax Code 111.008 and did not send notice of liability in compliance with federal and state due process requirements.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***John P. Bellam, dba Showgirl v. Compt., et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-002491    AG Case #: 082519125

Filed: 7/14/2008

Other Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$8,430.00	Jan. - Apr. 2008

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Barenblat, Marc A.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Swander, Steven H.                      Fort Worth

Issue: Whether the Sexually Oriented Business fee is unconstitutional. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorney's fees.

Status: Discovery abated until resolution of Texas Entertainment case.

---

***Karpod, Inc., dba, et al. v. Compt., et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-002521    AG Case #: 082520479

Filed: 7/14/2008

Other Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$67,580.25	Jan. - Apr. 2008

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Van Oort, Kevin                              OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Swander, Steven H.                      Fort Worth

Issue: Whether the Sexually Oriented Business fee is unconstitutional. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorney's fees.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Manana Entertainment, Inc., dba v. Susan Combs, Compt., et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-003280    AG Case #: 082530288                      Filed: 9/16/2008

Other Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$14,115.00	Apr. - June 2008

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Barenblat, Marc A.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Swander, Steven H.                      Fort Worth

Issue: Whether the Sexually Oriented Business fee is unconstitutional.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***MC/VC, Inc. v. Compt., et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-003092    AG Case #: 082526187                      Filed: 8/26/2008

Other Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$9,516.55	Jan. - Apr. 2008

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Van Oort, Kevin                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Deegear III, James O.

Issue: Whether the Sexually Oriented Business fee is unconstitutional.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Mirage Real Estate, Inc., et al. v. Richard Durbin, et al.***

Cause Number: 92-16485

AG Case #: 92190294

Filed: 12/3/1992

Alcoholic Beverage Gross Receipts Tax; Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$0.00	N/A

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.	OAG Taxation / Austin
------------------	-----------------------

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Mattox, Jim	Attorney at Law / Paris
Lasley, Lowell	
Mosher, Michael D.	

Issue: Whether the TABC and Comptroller were allowed to use inventory depletions analysis to determine amount of gross receipts tax owed. Plaintiffs seek class certification.

Status: Inactive.

---

***Mulligan's North Bar & Grill, LLC vs. Compt., et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-001093 AG Case #: 082503913

Filed: 4/2/2008

Mixed Beverage Gross Receipts Tax; Administrative Appeal

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$51,847.61	July 2001 - March 31, 2005

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.	OAG Taxation / Austin
------------------	-----------------------

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Davis, Mark T.	El Paso
----------------	---------

Issue: Whether price and volume should be adjusted. Whether inventory should be removed from audit schedules. Whether credit for spills should be allowed. Plaintiff seeks de novo review under the APA.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***North By East, Inc., et al. v. Compt., et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-002624 AG Case #: 082520495

Filed: 7/21/2008

Other Tax; Protest

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$37,710.00 Jan. - Apr. 2008

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Van Oort, Kevin OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Hopkins, Mark D. Savrick, Schumann, Johnson, McGarr, Kaminski  
& Shirley / Austin

Issue: Whether the Sexually Oriented Business fee is unconstitutional. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorney's fees.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Price & Company v. Combs, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-09-002439 AG Case #: 093144020

Filed: 7/30/2009

Cigarette and Tobacco Tax; Protest

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$150,687.46 01/01/2003 through 08/31/2006

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Brugnoli, Darlene OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Tourtellotte, Tom Hance Scarborough Wright Woodward &  
Weisbart, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller improperly assessed tax on cigarettes that were taxed and sold in Louisiana.

Status: Answer filed. Disclosures filed.

---

***Ranger Fuels & Maintenance, L.L.C. v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN204124

AG Case #: 021705900

Filed: 11/14/2002

Fuels Tax; Declaratory Judgment & Injunction

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$115,000.00	N/A

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.	OAG Taxation / Austin
------------------	-----------------------

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Grissom, Donald H.	Grissom & Thompson / Austin
--------------------	-----------------------------

Issue: Whether fuels tax is actually owed by an unrelated company. Whether the Comptroller abused its discretion and violated Plaintiff's constitutional rights. Plaintiff seeks injunctive and declaratory relief.

Status: Inactive.

---

***Ranger Fuels & Maintenance, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN504104

AG Case #: 052245941

Filed: 11/15/2005

Fuels Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$208,428.70	05/01/02 - 05/31/02 (Diesel)
	01/01/02 - 04/30/02 (Gasoline)
	03/01/02 - 04/30/02 (Diesel)
	05/01/02 - 05/31/02 (Gasoline)

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.	OAG Taxation / Austin
------------------	-----------------------

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Grissom, Donald H.	Grissom & Thompson / Austin
Thompson, III, William W.	

Issue: Whether Plaintiff acquired a business and its assets by filing a sales tax application with the Comptroller. Whether such acquisition was a fraudulent transfer. Whether Plaintiff owes fuel taxes under successor liability.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

***RPM Entertainment, Inc., et al. v. Compt., et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-002622 AG Case #: 082520552

Filed: 7/21/2008

Other Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$69,909.00	Jan. - Apr. 2008

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Van Oort, Kevin	OAG Taxation / Austin
-----------------	-----------------------

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Martens, James F.	Martens & Associates / Austin
Seay, Michael B.	

Issue: Whether the Sexually Oriented Business fee is unconstitutional. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Savvy, Inc., dba v. Compt., et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-002520 AG Case #: 082520016

Filed: 7/16/2008

Other Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$159,595.00	Jan. - Apr. 2008

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Van Oort, Kevin	OAG Taxation / Austin
-----------------	-----------------------

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Swander, Steven H.	Fort Worth
--------------------	------------

Issue: Whether the Sexually Oriented Business fee is unconstitutional. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorney's fees.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***SIFA Investment Inc. v. Compt., et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-004097 AG Case #: 083091199

Filed: 11/12/2008

Tax;

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Canfield, Gregory W.                San Antonio

Issue:

Status: Answer filed.

---

***SSD Enterprises, Inc. v. Compt., et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-002301    AG Case #: 082518697

Filed: 7/1/2008

Other Tax; Protest

Claim Amount      Reporting Period

\$64,485.00      Jan. - Apr. 2008

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Barenblat, Marc A.                    OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Pianelli, James V.                    Houston

Issue: Whether the Sexually Oriented Business fee is unconstitutional.

Status: Discovery abated until resolution of the Texas Entertainment case.

---

***Stuart, Robert T. Jr., Estate of v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN503318              AG Case #: 052216702

Filed: 9/14/2005

Inheritance Tax; Protest

Claim Amount      Reporting Period

\$1,293,469.96      N/A

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

McKinney, Dennis                    OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Wheat, David  
Hill, Frank

Thompson & Knight, L.L.P. / Dallas  
Thompson & Knight, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's partnership interest located out-of-state is intangible personal property taxable in Texas. Plaintiff claims double taxation.

Status: Discovery in progress.

---

***Texas Cabaret, Inc., dba, et al. v. Compt., et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-002490 AG Case #: 082520032

Filed: 7/16/2008

Other Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$49,795.00	Jan. - Apr. 2008

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Van Oort, Kevin OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Swander, Steven H. Fort Worth

Issue: Whether the Sexually Oriented Business fee is unconstitutional. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorney's fees.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Texas Richmond Corp. v. Compt., et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-002438 AG Case #: 082519075

Filed: 7/10/2008

Other Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$102,535.00	Jan. - Apr. 2008

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Barenblat, Marc A. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Pianelli, James V. Houston

Issue: Whether the Sexually Oriented Business fee is unconstitutional.

Status: Discovery abated until resolution of the Texas Entertainment case.

---

***The King Lounge, Inc., dba v. Compt., et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-003793 AG Case #: 082536822

Filed: 10/20/2008

Other Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$138,875.00	Apr. - Sept. 2008

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Barenblat, Marc A.	OAG Taxation / Austin
--------------------	-----------------------

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Shells, T. Craig	Richardson
------------------	------------

Issue: Whether the Sexually Oriented Business fee is unconstitutional. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorney's fees.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***The Men's Club Corp. v. Compt., et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-002439 AG Case #: 082519091

Filed: 7/10/2008

Other Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$60,890.00	Jan. - Apr. 2008

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Barenblat, Marc A.	OAG Taxation / Austin
--------------------	-----------------------

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Pianelli, James V.	Houston
--------------------	---------

Issue: Whether the Sexually Oriented Business fee is unconstitutional.

Status: Discovery abated until resolution of the Texas Entertainment case.

---

***TPI Petroleum, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN502629

AG Case #: 052186657

Filed: 7/28/2005

Fuels Tax; Refund

Claim Amount      Reporting Period  
\$528,639.00      12/01/97 - 06/30/01

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Barenblat, Marc A.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to a refund of diesel fuel tax paid on diesel fuel lost by drive-offs, a refund of gasoline tax and diesel fuel tax based on bad debt deductions, and a credit for motor fuel tax paid on sales of reefer fuel.

Status: Order consolidating with Valero Retail Holdings , Inc. & MRP Properties Co. v. Compt., et al., Cause #D-1-GN-08-004672 entered on 09/22/09. Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment heard and denied on 03/01/10. Trial set for 05/24/10.

---

***Travis Co., Texas, Nelda Wells Spears, et al. v. Susan Combs, Compt., et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-002211      AG Case #: 082531500                      Filed: 9/16/2008

Motor Vehicle Tax; Refund

Claim Amount      Reporting Period  
\$26,105.98      Jan. 1, 2001 through Mar. 31, 2004

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Phillips, Wade                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Martin, Gary Duncan                      Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller may refuse to credit the county for checks used to pay motor vehicle taxes that were returned for insufficient funds more than four years ago.

Status: Answer filed.

---

***Valero Retail Holdings, Inc. & MRP Properties Co., LLC v. Compt., et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-004672      AG Case #: 093097376                      Filed: 12/24/2008

Motor fuel tax Tax; Refund





## Closed Cases

### ***Ardsey, Inc. dba Noche Caliente Nightclub v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-004768 AG Case #: 072431349

Filed: 12/28/2006

Sales Tax; Declaratory Judgment & Injunction

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$343,876.21	03/01/02 - 08/31/05 -Sales Tax
\$39,699.43	03/01/02 - 08/31/05 -Mixed Beverage Gross Receipts

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Martens, James F. Martens & Associates / Austin  
Seay, Michael B.

Issue: Whether Plaintiff should be assessed sales tax on door receipts collected by bands. Whether excess fees above an agreed dollar amount collected at the door and paid to Plaintiff are royalty rentals and real property rentals and not door receipts, which would be taxable sales. Plaintiff seeks injunction and attorneys' fees.

Status: Case DWOP'd 08/24/09.

---

### ***Cellular City Ltd. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-004410 AG Case #: 062427919

Filed: 11/21/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$352,932.44	09/01/00 - 06/30/04

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray

Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether telephones purchased by Plaintiff, and subsequently sold to customers who contract for telephone service with a carrier associated with the Plaintiff, are exempt from sales tax under the sale for resale exemption.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 03/11/10.

---

***Chrysler Financial Services Americas v. Combs, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-09-002293 AG Case #: 093139905

Filed: 7/17/2009

Franchise Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$899,270.00	01/01/1999 through 12/31/2001

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Van Oort, Kevin OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray

Issue: How should proceeds from the sale of accounts receivables, including retail and wholesale, be calculated for franchise tax apportionment purposes. Whether Plaintiff's accounts receivables are capital assets or investments. Plaintiff claims that the Comptroller's use of the net gain method instead of the gross receipts method in calculating Plaintiff's total gross receipts for franchise tax apportionment purposes violates the Texas Tax Code, the Comptroller's rules, Comptroller policy, and the constitutional requirements of equal protection and equal and uniform taxation.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 02/25/10.

---

***DaimlerChrysler Services North American, L.L.C.***

Cause Number: GN401380

AG Case #: 041965591

Filed: 4/30/2004

Franchise Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$2,123,382.74	1988 - 1991

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Van Oort, Kevin OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Meese, Matthew J.

Issue: How should proceeds from the sale of accounts receivables, including retail and wholesale, be calculated for franchise tax apportionment purposes. Whether Plaintiff's accounts receivables are capital assets or investments. Plaintiff claims that the Comptroller's use of the net gain method instead of the gross receipts method in calculating Plaintiff's total gross receipts for franchise tax apportionment purposes violates the Texas Tax Code, the Comptroller's rules, Comptroller policy, and the constitutional requirements of equal protection and equal and uniform taxation.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 02/25/10.

---

***Day Cruises Maritime, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-004734    AG Case #: 072432578                      Filed: 12/27/2006

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$243,910.85	12/01/01 - 12/31/03

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Beam, Patrick L.                      Attorney at Law / Aransas Pass

Issue: Plaintiff filed suit 09/21/06 under protest questioning the assessed tax based on whether Plaintiff's charter of a vessel is leased property subject to sales and use tax, and whether the vessel was used or received within the State. Plaintiff now seeks judgment that the tax in question is unconstitutional and may not be legally demanded or collected by the Comptroller. Plaintiff requests jury trial.

Status: Case DWOP'd on 08/24/09.

---

***Entertainment Publications, Inc. v. Compt., et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-002240    AG Case #: 082517616                      Filed: 6/26/2008  
#03-08-00474-CV

Sales Tax; Protest

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Van Oort, Kevin                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Baker, Scott                              McGinnis, Lochridge & Kilgore, LLP / Austin  
Galant, Carl

Issue: Whether Plaintiff may be considered a retailer under Tex. Tax Code §151.024, for fund-raising materials that it provided to school groups, PTA's, and similar organizations. Whether Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive and declaratory relief. Whether the sale for resale exemption applies.

Status: Trial court denied Defendant's Plea to the Jurisdiction and granted a temporary injunction. Comptroller appealed 07/25/08. Joint Motion for Extension of Time to File Appellant's brief filed and granted on 10/10/08. Brief filed 11/12/08; oral argument requested. Appellee's brief filed 12/02/08. Appellant's Reply brief filed 12/22/08. Submitted on oral argument on 03/25/09. Opinion issued 06/12/09, affirming the trial court's judgment. Appellant's Motion for Rehearing filed 06/29/09. Response filed 07/16/09. Appellant's Motion for Rehearing overruled 08/27/09. Mandate issued 12/02/09.

---

***I-Ball Corp., dba The Gatsby Social Club v. Combs, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-07-001100    AG Case #: 072449465                      Filed: 4/13/2007  
Sales Tax; Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$81,872.57	07/01/00 - 09/30/03

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.                              OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Monshaugen, Ronald A.                      Monshaugen & Van Huff, P.C. / Houston  
Van Huff, Albert T.  
Gaunt, Deborah L.

Issue: Whether the Plaintiff is liable for sales tax on admission/cover fees into its facility for promotional events held by a contracted third party.

Status: Plaintiff's First Amended Petition filed 10/18/07, seeking to recover sales tax paid under protest. Defendants' Amended Original Answer filed 10/23/07. Discovery in progress.

Agreed Judgment entered 12/17/09.

---

***J.C. Penney Company, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN300883

AG Case #: 031770613

Filed: 3/19/2003

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$951,802.17	01/01/91 - 03/31/93

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Cowling, David E.                Jones Day / Dallas  
Lochridge, Robert

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes use tax on paper, ink and the printing of catalogs printed out-of-state. Whether local use tax in McAllen, Texas applies to Plaintiff's aircraft. Alternatively, whether the printing service is performed outside Texas. Whether a sales and use tax on the catalogs violates the Commerce Clause, due process or equal protection. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 03/22/10.

---

***J.C. Penney Company, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-002496    AG Case #: 062381678

Filed: 7/7/2006

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$4,007,735.00	04/01/93 - 06/30/97

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Cowling, David E.                Jones Day / Dallas  
Lyda, Kirk  
Schenck, David J.

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes sales or use tax on paper, ink and printing labor of catalogs

printed out-of-state; on unidentified transactions used in the CAMS sample; on duplicated software licenses distributed to users outside of Texas; on catalogs and promotional materials mailed and distributed into Texas; and wrapping and packaging supplies used to package goods for delivery to customers. Plaintiff claims violation of the Commerce Clause and the Due Process Clause, and equal and uniform protection. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 03/22/10.

---

***Kroger Company, The v. Combs, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-07-000175 AG Case #: 072435787

Filed: 1/22/2007

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$3,049,056.93	01/01/94 - 06/30/97

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Van Oort, Kevin OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Hagenswold, R. Eric

Issue: Whether paper and plastic bags, refrigeration units, refrigerant, freezers and other various supplies and equipment purchased by Plaintiff are exempt from sales tax under the manufacturing exemption. Whether Plaintiff is entitled to a refund of tax on industrial solid waste removal services. Whether purchases of services to restore and repair real property damaged in natural disasters, services to construct new improvements, and non-enumerated services are exempt from sales and use tax. Whether leased property donated for use by a charitable organization is exempt from sales and use tax.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 02/11/10.

---

***Kroger Texas, LP v. Combs, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-09-002428 AG Case #: 093142644

Filed: 7/29/2009

Sales and use Tax; Refund

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

McKinney, Dennis OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Tourtellotte, Tom

Hance Scarborough Wright Woodward &  
Weisbart, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Plaintiff seeks a bill of review for its earlier suit that was dismissed without notice.  
Same as Kroger, AG #042058032, Cause No. GN403582.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 02/11/10.

---

***Kroger Texas, LP v. Compt., et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-004103 AG Case #: 083091355

Filed: 11/12/2008

Sales and use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$298,318.00 Jan. 01, 2001 through June 30, 2002

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Van Oort, Kevin

OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Langenberg, Ray

Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether wrapping and packaging materials used in Plaintiff's supermarkets qualify for the manufacturing exemption. Whether Plaintiff's refrigeration and freezer units are exempt for manufacturing or health purposes. Whether waste removal services are for removal of industrial solid waste. Whether certain repair services were non-taxable repairs of property damaged in natural disasters.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 02/11/10.

---

***Levy, Tara, et al. v. OfficeMax, Inc. and Best Buy Stores, L.P.***

Cause Number: GN201252

AG Case #: 041926635

Filed: 4/17/2002

#03-06-00391-CV

Sales Tax; Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$0.00 N/A

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Storie, Gene

OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Perlmutter, Mark L.  
Schuelke, C. Brooks

Perlmutter & Schuelke, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Plaintiff claims a refund for the class of persons who paid sales tax on rebates. Plaintiff seeks declaratory judgment interpreting Texas Tax Code Sections pertaining to cash discounts and exemption from sales tax.

Status: Class-action suit. Comptroller named defendant. Comptroller's Plea to the Jurisdiction and Plaintiffs' Motion for Declaratory Judgment heard 10/19/04. Plea granted. Court requested briefs to address whether any part of case survives the Amended Order dismissing all claims against the Comptroller. Court signed order of severance and Notice of Appeal filed by Plaintiffs 07/06/06 to include all parties. Clerk's Record filed 08/07/06. Appellants' brief due 10/30/06. Appellees' brief due 11/29/06. Appellant filed amended docketing statement 10/20/06 excluding Comptroller from appeal. Oral argument held 03/07/07. Affirmed in part, reversed in part. Plaintiffs have filed a refund claim.

---

***Macy's TX I, LP, Successor in Interest to the May Department Stores Company v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-003122 AG Case #: 062403712

Filed: 8/24/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$275,000.00	04/01/96 - 03/31/99

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Phillips, Wade

OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.

Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Langenberg, Ray

Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to a refund of tax on industrial solid waste removal services, purchases of wrapping and packaging supplies, installation labor, purchases for sale for resale, and temporary storage of tangible personal property.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 03/23/10.

---

***Nextel of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: GN501852

AG Case #: 052154796

Filed: 5/23/2005

Telecommunications Infrastructure Fund (TIF) Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,764,025.45	01/01/99 - 12/31/03

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Aterno, Tony	OAG Taxation / Austin
--------------	-----------------------

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W. Langenberg, Ray Sigel, Doug	Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
---	--

Issue: Whether receipts for equipment sold to customers and listed separately on invoices are subject to an additional TIF assessment as taxable telecommunications receipts. Whether TIF charges which Plaintiff passed on and collected from its customers are allowable reimbursements as TIF assessment. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Final Judgment entered on 09/10/09.

---

***Olarnpunsagoon, Suchon v. Combs, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-07-000134 AG Case #: 072436124

Filed: 1/18/2007

Sales Tax; Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$57,808.30	10/01/00 - 03/31/04

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Seaquist, Gunnar	OAG Taxation / Austin
------------------	-----------------------

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Tourtellotte, Tom	Hance Scarborough Wright Woodward & Weisbart, L.L.P. / Austin
-------------------	---

Issue: Plaintiff claims the estimating method used by the Comptroller's office resulted in a significantly large amount of tax due to the State. Plaintiff claims if actual records were used for the audit little, if any, tax would be owed. Plaintiff also claims the methodology used did not allow credits.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 11/12/09.

---

***TDI-Halter, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.***

Cause Number: GN100339

AG Case #: 011409653

Filed: 2/1/2001

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$475,000.00	01/01/93 - 06/30/96

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Hagenswold, R. Eric

Issue: Whether conversion of drilling rigs to self-propelled, deep water rigs is manufacturing under the statute and Comptroller rules. Whether dredging is non-taxable maintenance of real property. Alternatively, whether interest should be waived.

Status: DWOP notice sent by court 03/29/05. Order retaining case entered 08/04/05. Agreed Judgment entered 12/07/09.

---

***Verizon North, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-001295    AG Case #: 062309349

Filed: 4/13/2006

#03-08-00151-CV

#09-0538

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,116,225.00	06/01/96 - 02/29/00

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Masters, Paul H.                      OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W.                      Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether purchases of software licenses qualify as tangible personal property. Whether some portion of the software license not stored, used or consumed in or during the manufacturing, processing, or fabrication of tangible personal property for ultimate sale is exempt from sales tax.

Status: Trial court rendered judgment for the state on 12/13/07. Plaintiff filed Notice of Appeal 03/06/08. Appellant's brief filed 05/27/08. Appellee's Motion for Extension of Time to File Brief filed 06/12/08; granted 06/13/08. Supplemental Clerks' record filed 06/23/08. Appellees' brief filed 08/11/08. Appellant's Reply Brief filed 09/09/08. Submitted on oral argument on 02/11/09. Opinion issued 05/22/09, affirming the district court's judgment. Petition for Review filed in the Supreme Court on 07/02/09. Response filed 09/28/09. Petition for Review denied 11/20/09. Mandate issued 01/11/10, affirming the district court's judgment.

---

***Wireless Now, L.P. v. Combs, et al.***

Cause Number: D-1-GN-07001038 AG Case #: 072447469

Filed: 4/6/2007

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$29,431.70	09/01/01 - 08/31/05

Counsel Associated With This Case:

*Assistant Attorney General*

---

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

*Opposing Counsel*

---

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin  
Langenberg, Ray  
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether telephones purchased by Plaintiff, and subsequently sold to customers who contract for telephone service with a carrier associated with the Plaintiff, are exempt from sales tax under the sale for resale exemption. Index: Sale for Resale; Sub-Index: telecommunications equipment.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 03/11/10.

---



---

# Index

## *aircraft*

collateral	54
out of state registration	51, 51
out-of-state	71
purchase/occasional sale	
use by a certificated carrier	71

## *Amusement Tax*

real property services	84
------------------------	----

## *Apportionment of Interstate*

### *Security Service*

--	116
nexus, taxable use	88
use tax--printed out of state	88
waiver	116

## *Assessment*

authority of Comptroller	133
cigarette stamps	122
convenience store	19
convenience store/deli	139
double taxation	23, 33, 80, 83, 83
estimated audit	55, 139
export items	80
insolvency relief	82
interest on overpayments	77, 77
liability for tax	33, 131, 134
sales tax	131
successor liability for tax	33

## *Audit*

double taxation	80
procedure	84, 85
software services	80

## *Bad Debt Credit*

private label agreement	69
proprietary card usage	129

## *Business Loss Carry Forward*

limitations	4
-------------	---

tax credits	4
-------------	---

## *Cash Infusion*

cash infusion	3
---------------	---

## *Catalogs*

nexus	135
use tax--printed out of state	135, 135

## *Class Action*

sales tax	137
-----------	-----

## *Computer Software*

services	101
software services	15

## *Construction Contract*

exempt entities	31
lump sum or separated contract	24, 43, 75, 93

## *Credit for Overpaid Tax*

inventory or bankruptcy	104, 105
-------------------------	----------

## *credit interest*

temporary storage; invoices	104
-----------------------------	-----

## *Data Processing*

allocation	16
------------	----

## *Depreciation*

net pension liabilities	2
-------------------------	---

## *Direct Pay Permit*

Percentage-base reporting	17
---------------------------	----

## *Electricity*

manufacturing exemption	22, 65
processing	59, 60, 90, 90, 91, 91, 101, 102, 102, 103
refrigeration	97, 98
residential use	57

## *Environmental Services*

essence of the transaction	80
new construction or maintenance	23

## *Estate Values*

liability for tax	125
partnership interest	125

## *Financing Lease*

liability for tax	30
-------------------	----

## *Food Products*

mall vendor	70
-------------	----

## *Fuels*

bad debt credit	127, 129
bad debt credits; drive-offs; reefer; off-road use	128
drive-offs	127
reefer	127

## *Gas*

sale for resale	86
-----------------	----

## *Gross Premiums*

paid-up additions	108
premium reduction	107, 109, 109
renewal premiums	108

## *Gross Receipts*

apportionment of accounts receivables receipts	132, 132
apportionment of intangible receipts	5, 6, 6, 12
Apportionment of Interstate Security Service	3
earned surplus	8
interstate telephone charges	4, 10
inventory depletion	121

## *Inaccurate Certification*

valuation methods	116
-------------------	-----

## *Information services*

Internet Tax Freedom Act	31
lump-sum billing; multi-state benefit; nexus	31

## *insolvency relief*

	#Error
final estimated audit liability	24

## *Installation Labor*

retail	92, 138
telecommunications equipment	87

## *Inter-Company Debt*

collateral	3
------------	---

## *Interest waiver*

	#Error
refund assignment	66

## *Interest waiver/Scaffolding*

--	66
----	----

## *Intraplant Transportation*

manufacturing exemption	94
-------------------------	----

## *Labor*

labor	48, 93
sales tax	35, 36, 43, 44, 97

## *Leased Property*

authority of Comptroller	44
location of use	44
ships	44

## *Local Sales Tax*

consummation of sale	38, 89
----------------------	--------

## *Maintenance*

real property services	48
sale for resale	45

## *Managed audit*

Assessment	104
credit interest	17, 25, 32

## *Manufacturing Exemption*

--	97
alteration property	77
burden of proof	101

candy manufacturing	79
candy manufacturing; intraplant transportation	79
cleaning supplies	32
coal mill	32
electricity	22, 37, 47, 60, 65, 71, 94, 95, 95, 97, 136
electricity;wrapping&packa ging;clothes	98
food products	44, 55, 58, 58, 59, 60, 93
gas distribution	86
industrial solid waste	48
intraplant transportation	94
oil field operations	20, 20, 29, 29, 35, 87
packaging	68, 68, 136, 137, 138
pipe	94
pollution control	48
post-mix machines	73
sale for resale	42, 68, 77, 87, 138
software licenses	140
telecommunications	96, 96
telecommunications equipment	18, 37, 61, 62, 62, 63, 64, 64, 65, 65

### *Medical instruments*

supplies	105
----------	-----

### *Mixed Drinks*

audit adjustments	121
sampling method	112

### *Motor Vehicle Property*

	#Error
nexus	82

### *New Construction*

drilling rigs	140
environmental services	23
finish-out work	29
labor	36, 43, 97
lump sum or separated contract	43, 98
real property services	48
tax credits	74

### *Nexus*

earned surplus	7
Franchisees	11
promotional materials	21, 40, 41, 48, 49, 52, 52, 53
taxable capital	7

### *NSF checks*

county collector	128
------------------	-----

### *Officer and Director Compensation*

add-back to surplus	7, 8, 10
constitutionality	9

### *Packaging*

sale for resale	58
shipment out-of-state	34

### *Penalty*

waiver	16, 116
--------	---------

### *penalty waiver*

#Error

### *Pipe*

manufacturing exemption	94
-------------------------	----

### *Pre-acquisition Earnings*

write-down	9
------------	---

### *Premiums*

home warranty insurance	110
-------------------------	-----

### *Prizes*

sale for resale	83, 83
-----------------	--------

### *Promotional Materials*

nexus	21, 37, 40, 48, 49, 52, 52, 53
ownership of	21, 40, 41, 42, 48, 49, 49
use tax--printed out of state	135

### *Push-down Accounting*

merger	8, 14
--------	-------

### *real property repair*

mold remediation	41
------------------	----

## *Real Property Repair and Remodeling*

finish-out work	29
refrigeration	136

## *Real Property Service*

asbestos abatement	81
landscaping services	57
rolling stock	18
temporary storage	138

## *Repair and replacement parts*

#Error

## *Resale Certificates*

good faith	89
------------	----

## *Sale for Resale*

60-day letter	69
blanket resale certificates	23
contractor	15
detrimental reliance	30
double taxation	33
equipment	67
federal contractor	26, 27, 28, 28, 46, 47, 56, 56, 67, 76, 76, 98, 99, 100
federal contractor; electricity	100
fund-raising materials	133
gas	86
hotel amenities	25, 34, 50, 72, 103
prizes	83, 83
telecommunications equipment	87, 131, 141
transfer of care, custody, and control of equipment	83, 83

## *sales tax*

rebate coupons	91
repair and remodeling	46

## *sales tax permit*

redetermination	78
-----------------	----

## *Sample Audits*

compliance with procedures	53
----------------------------	----

## *Sampling Technique*

bad debt credit	61
-----------------	----

## *Scaffolding/Assessment*

interest on overpayments	78
--------------------------	----

## *sexually oriented business fee*

constitutionality	111, 111, 111, 112, 113, 113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 117, 118, 119, 119, 120, 120, 121, 123, 124, 125, 126, 126, 127, 127
-------------------	--

## *Ship unloaders*

#Error

## *Subsidiary*

valuation of	9
--------------	---

## *Successor Liability*

assessment after sale	54
business interference	122, 123
disputed ownership of assets	26

## *Surplus Lines Insurer*

unauthorized insurance tax	107
----------------------------	-----

## *Taxable Surplus*

impairment	5
impairment calculation	1, 1, 2, 19
merger	14
oil and gas properties	12

## *Telecommunication Services*

accounts receivable	13
networking services	13
TIF assessment	138

## *Third Party Lender*

inter-company debt	3
sale of collateral	54

## *Valuation Methods*

impairment calculation	2
valuation methods	2

### *Vending Machine Sales*

exempt entities	75
money validators	73

### *Waste Removal*

homeowners' associations	39
industrial solid waste	79
real property services	18, 48, 136, 138

### *Write-down*

investment in subsidiaries	9
----------------------------	---