



Office of the Attorney General
State of Texas

April 30, 1992

DAN MORALES
ATTORNEY GENERAL

Ms. Jo Wiginton
Senior Assistant City Attorney
City of Houston
Houston Police Department
61 Riesner Street
Houston, Texas 77002

OR92-175

Dear Ms. Wiginton:

On April 2, 1992, we received your request for an open records decision pursuant to section 7 of the Open Records Act, V.T.C.S. art. 6252-17a. Your request was assigned ID# 15523.


The Open Records Act imposes a duty on governmental bodies seeking an open records decision pursuant to section 7(a) to submit that request to the attorney general within 10 days to the governmental body's receipt of the request for information. The time limitation found in section 7 is an express legislative recognition of the importance of having public information produced in a timely fashion. *Hancock v. State Bd. of Ins.*, 797 S.W.2d 379, 381 (Tex. App.-Austin 1990, no writ). When a request for an open records decision is not made within the time prescribed by section 7(a), a heightened presumption of openness arises which can only be overcome by a compelling demonstration that the information should not be made public. *Id.*

However, we realize that the short time frame prescribed by section 7(a) may occasionally impose a substantial burden on governmental bodies seeking to comply with the act. Accordingly, when we receive an otherwise timely request for an open records decision that lacks some information necessary for us to make a determination, it has been our policy to give the governmental body an opportunity to complete the request. On April 3, 1992, we asked you for copies of the requested information. To date we have not received the requested copies.

The Open Records Act places on the custodian of public records the burden of establishing that records are excepted from public disclosure. Attorney General Opinion H-436 (1974). Without the information we requested of you, your request for an open records decision remains incomplete. Consequently, this office cannot consider your request for an open records decision, and we are closing the file. Should you at some future date request that this matter be reopened and considered, we will not consider your request timely, and will consider all discretionary exceptions to required public disclosure waived unless you can demonstrate compelling reasons why the information should not be released. *Hancock, supra*. In the absence of such a compelling demonstration, we find that you have not met your burden under the heightened presumption of openness and must release the requested information. Accordingly, we are closing the file without a finding.

We note that some of the requested information may be made confidential by law. While we cannot direct you to disclose information that is confidential under the law, neither can we provide you with an opinion upon which you can rely as an affirmative defense to prosecution under section 10(c)(1) of the Open Records Act. If you have questions regarding this matter, please refer to OR92-175.

Yours very truly,



Rick Gilpin
Assistant Attorney General
Opinion Committee

RG/GK/lmm

Ref.: ID# 15523
ID# 15657
ID# 15707

cc: Ms. Judith B. Sadler
Bruckner & Sykes
2700 Post Oak Boulevard, Suite 2100
Houston, Texas 77056