



Office of the Attorney General
State of Texas

November 2, 1992

DAN MORALES
ATTORNEY GENERAL

Ms. Roxanne Nemcik
Senior Assistant City Attorney
City of College Station
P. O. Box 9960
College Station, Texas 77842-0960

OR92-632

Dear Ms. Nemcik:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Texas Open Records Act, article 6252-17a, V.T.C.S. Your request was assigned ID# 17774.

The City of College Station received an open records request for certain records that you contend may be withheld from the public pursuant to section 3(a)(3) of the Open Records Act. To secure the protection of section 3(a)(3), a governmental body must demonstrate that requested information "relates" to a pending or reasonably anticipated judicial or quasi-judicial proceeding. Open Records Decision No. 551 (1990). In this instance you have made the requisite showing that the requested information relates to pending litigation for purposes of section 3(a)(3). The city may therefore withhold the requested records, with the following exception: the city must release the information typically contained in the front page of the requested offense report; those types of information may not be withheld pursuant to section 3(a)(3). See Open Records Decision No. 597 (1991) (copy enclosed).

In reaching our conclusion, however, we assume that the opposing party to the litigation has not previously had access to the records at issue; absent special circumstances, once information has been obtained by all parties to the litigation, e.g., through discovery or otherwise, no section 3(a)(3) interest exists with respect to that information. Open Records Decision Nos. 349, 320 (1982). If the opposing parties in the litigation have seen or had access to any of the information in these records, there would be no justification for now withholding that information from the requestor pursuant to section 3(a)(3).

We also note that because section 3(a)(3) protects only information that is relevant to the litigation, this section is inapplicable to documents that the presiding judge has ruled undiscoverable because they lack relevance to the lawsuit. Finally, the applicability of section 3(a)(3) ends once the litigation has been concluded. Attorney General Opinion MW-575 (1982); Open Records Decision No. 350 (1982).

Because case law and prior published open records decisions resolve your request, we are resolving this matter with this informal letter ruling rather than with a published open records decision. If you have questions about this ruling, please refer to OR92-632.

Yours very truly,



Kay H. Guajardo
Assistant Attorney General
Opinion Committee

KHG/RWP/lmm

Ref.: ID# 17774

Enclosures: Submitted documents
Open Records Decision No. 597

cc: Ms. Michele Esparza
Youngkin, Catlin, Bryan & Stacy
P. O. Box 4629
Bryan, Texas 77805
(w/o enclosures)