



Office of the Attorney General
State of Texas

DAN MORALES
ATTORNEY GENERAL

July 30, 1996

Mr. Randy Dale
Regional Attorney
Texas Department of Protective and
Regulatory Services
P.O. Box 6635
Abilene, Texas 79608-6635

OR96-1333

Dear Mr. Dale:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 34690.

The Texas Department of Protective and Regulatory Services (the "department") received a request for the "social history, medical and any chronological data (forms, correspondences)[,] legal documents" for a particular case handled by the department. You claim that the requested information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101 and 552.108 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claimed and have reviewed the documents at issue.

Section 261.201 of the Family Code provides:

(a) The following information is confidential, is not subject to public release under Chapter 552, Government Code, and may be disclosed only for purposes consistent with this code and applicable federal or state law or under rules adopted by an investigating agency:

(1) a report of alleged or suspected abuse or neglect made under this chapter and the identity of the person making the report;

(2) except as otherwise provided in this section, the files, reports, records, communications, and working papers used or developed in an investigation under this chapter or in providing services as a result of an investigation.

We must examine the agency's rules to see if they permit review of the requested documents by this requestor. Section 700.102 of title 40 of the Texas Administrative Code states that:

Information about a child protective services client is confidential and may not be released except as authorized by statute, federal regulation, court direction, attorney general's opinion, and the [department's] rules concerning disclosure of information and confidentiality of information in Chapter 734 of this title (relating to Public Information).

Section 700.102 directs us to consider other department rules concerning the disclosure of client information. Section 700.103 of title 40 of the Texas Administrative Code provides:

A child protective services client may review all information in the client's case record except the identity of the complainant, *information exempted from disclosure under the Open Records Act*, and information exempted under other state laws.

40 T.A.C. § 700.103 (emphasis added). This rule permits a "client" to review that client's case record, with the exception of the complainant's identity. *See also* 31 T.A.C. § 734.11(c) (permitting client review of case record information, with certain exceptions). We assume that the requestor is a client for purposes of section 700.103. This regulation makes an exception to a client's right to review information in the client's case record for information "exempted from disclosure under the Open Records Act." We now proceed to consider whether the information is exempted from disclosure under the Open Records Act.

Section 552.108(a) excepts from disclosure records of law enforcement agencies or prosecutors that deal with criminal investigations and prosecutions. When applying section 552.108, this office distinguishes between cases that are still under active investigation and those that are closed. Open Records Decision No. 611 (1992) at 2. In cases that are still under active investigation, section 552.108 excepts from disclosure all information except that generally found on the first page of the offense report. *See generally Houston Chronicle Publishing Co. v. City of Houston*, 531 S.W.2d 177 (Tex. Civ. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 1975), *writ ref'd n.r.e. per curiam*, 536 S.W.2d 559 (Tex. 1976); Open Records Decision No. 127 (1976). Once a case is closed, information may be withheld under section 552.108 only if its release "will unduly interfere with law enforcement or crime prevention." *See Ex parte Pruitt*, 551 S.W.2d 706 (Tex. 1977); Attorney General Opinion MW-446 (1982); Open Records Decision Nos. 444 (1986), 434 (1986).

You assert that section 552.108 applies to the requested information because it relates to a pending criminal prosecution. You state that the Taylor County Criminal District Attorney (the "district attorney") is conducting a criminal investigation into this case. You also inform us that the district attorney has requested that the department withhold the requested documents, and you have submitted a letter from Ms. Sandra Self,

First Assistant District Attorney, in which Ms. Self requests that the department withhold the requested information because “[t]his case is currently pending criminal prosecution by this office.” Ms. Self also states that the release of the requested records could hinder the investigation and prosecution of the case. This office has previously held that any proper custodian of records can claim the section 552.108 exception while an incident involving allegedly criminal conduct is still under active investigation. Open Records Decision Nos. 474 (1987), 372 (1983). Accordingly, you may withhold the requested information at this time under section 552.108 of the Government Code.

We are resolving this matter with an informal letter ruling rather than with a published open records decision. This ruling is limited to the particular records at issue under the facts presented to us in this request and should not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records. If you have questions about this ruling, please contact our office.

Yours very truly,



Stacy E. Sallee
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

SES/ch

Ref.: ID# 34690

Enclosures: Submitted documents