



Office of the Attorney General
State of Texas

DAN MORALES
ATTORNEY GENERAL

August 30, 1996

Mr. Arnold Viramontes
Executive Director
Telecommunications Infrastructure Fund Board
P.O. Box 12428
Austin, Texas 78711

OR96-1569

Dear Mr. Viramontes:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 100767.

The Telecommunications Infrastructure Fund Board (the "board") received a request for drafts of a proposed RFP which was considered at a June 14, 1996, meeting, current draft procedures, RFP, and any related information furnished to the board on or before June 14, 1996. You claim that some of the requested information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.111 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claimed and have reviewed the documents at issue.

Section 552.111 excepts "an interagency or intraagency memorandum or letter that would not be available by law to a party in litigation with the agency." In Open Records Decision No. 615 (1993), this office reexamined the predecessor to the section 552.111 exception in light of the decision in *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408 (Tex. App.--Austin 1992, no writ), and held that section 552.111 excepts only those internal communications consisting of advice, recommendations, opinions, and other material reflecting the policymaking processes of the governmental body. An agency's policymaking functions, however, do not encompass internal administrative or personnel matters; disclosure of information relating to such matters will not inhibit free discussion among agency personnel as to policy issues. Open Records Decision No. 615 (1993) at 5-6. In addition, section 552.111 does not except from disclosure purely factual information that is severable from the opinion portions of internal memoranda. *Id.* at 4-5.

Although the submitted documents pertain to the policy functions of the board, some of the information contained in these documents is purely factual. We agree with the highlighting on the first document. The remainder of the information on that document may not be withheld under section 552.111. The last submitted document may not be withheld, as it appears to be factual in nature.

Section 552.111 also excepts from required public disclosure a preliminary draft of a letter or document related to policymaking matters, since drafts represent the advice, opinion, and recommendation of the drafter as to the form and content of the final document. Open Records Decision No. 559 (1990). We have marked the draft documents that may be withheld under section 552.111. The last submitted document does not appear to be a draft and may not be withheld under section 552.111.

We are resolving this matter with an informal letter ruling rather than with a published open records decision. This ruling is limited to the particular records at issue under the facts presented to us in this request and should not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records. If you have questions about this ruling, please contact our office.

Yours very truly,



Stacy E. Sallee
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

SES/ch

Ref.: ID# 100767

Enclosures: Submitted documents

cc: Ms. Lynn M. Moak
Director
Moak Consulting
98 San Jacinto Boulevard, Suite 370
Austin, Texas 78701-4039
(w/o enclosures)