



Office of the Attorney General
State of Texas

DAN MORALES
ATTORNEY GENERAL

March 3, 1997

Mr. Ron M. Pigott
Assistant General Counsel
Texas Department of Public Safety
P.O. Box 4087
Austin, Texas 78773-0001

OR97-0467

Dear Mr. Pigott:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 104272.

The Texas Department of Public Safety (the "department") received a request from the wife of inmate Michael Allen Crow for copies of lab reports relating to his conviction for possession of illegal drugs. You claim that the requested information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.108 of the Government Code. You further contend that, in accordance with section 552.027, you are not required to comply with the request for information. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the information at issue.

Section 552.027 provides as follows:

(a) A governmental body is not required to accept or comply with a request for information from an individual who is imprisoned or confined in a correctional facility.

(b) Subsection (a) does not prohibit a governmental body from disclosing to an individual described by that subsection information held by the governmental body pertaining to that individual.

(c) In this section, "correctional facility" has the meaning assigned by Section 1.07(a), Penal Code.¹

¹Section 1.07(a)(14) of the Penal Code provides:

"Correctional facility" means a place designated by law for the confinement of a person arrested for, charged with, or convicted of a criminal offense. The

Gov't Code § 552.027 (footnote added). This provision permits governmental bodies to decline to accept or comply with requests for information submitted by "an individual who is imprisoned or confined in a correctional facility," as that statute defines correctional facility. The request before us was not in fact made by an inmate, but rather was made by the inmate's wife on his behalf. Nonetheless, we conclude section 552.007 governs the release of information to an individual who requests the information on behalf of an inmate.

We are bound to construe statutes in ways so as not to produce an absurd or unreasonable result. *City of Wilmer v. Laidlaw Waste Sys. (Dallas), Inc.*, 890 S.W.2d 459, 465 (Tex. App.—Dallas 1994), *aff'd*, 904 S.W.2d 656 (Tex. 1995); *see State Highway Dep't v. Gorham*, 162 S.W.2d 934 (Tex. 1942); *Anderson v. Penix*, 161 S.W.2d 455 (Tex. 1942). A construction of section 552.027 that would permit a governmental body to decline to comply with a request submitted by an inmate, on the one hand, but that would require the governmental body to comply with one submitted by an inmate's agent, on the other, is absurd on its face. We decline to adopt such a construction.

Second, construing the provision to require a governmental body to comply with a request submitted by an inmate's agent while at the same time permitting that governmental body to ignore a request submitted by the inmate himself would entail a manifest circumvention of the provision and frustrate the obvious intent of the legislature when it enacted section 552.027. A bill analysis for House Bill No. 949 describes the evil that the legislation was designed to prevent:

Currently, Texas inmates are able to receive information through Chapter [552], Government Code (Open Records Act). Through this avenue, inmates have been using information obtained through Chapter [552] to file bogus income tax returns on correctional officers, harass nurses at their home addresses, and send mail to the homes of Texas Department of Criminal Justice employees.

Tex. Sen. Criminal Justice Comm., Bill Analysis, Tex. H.B. 949, 74th Leg., R.S. (1995) (quoting from "Background") (available through Senate Research Center). If an agent of an inmate were permitted to avail himself of the Open Records Act to obtain information on behalf of an inmate who otherwise would be prevented by section 552.027 from obtaining

term includes:

(B) a confinement facility operated by the Texas Department of Criminal Justice;

(C) a confinement facility operated under contract with any division of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice; and


(D) a community corrections facility operated by a community supervision and corrections department.

the information, the manifest intention of the legislature would be thwarted. *See Crimmins v. Lawry*, 691 S.W.2d 582, 584 (Tex. 1985) (“legislative intent is the law itself, and must be enforced if determined although it may not be consistent with the strict letter of the statute”).

We conclude that section 552.027 of the Government Code permits a governmental body to decline to accept or comply with a request that is submitted by an inmate’s agent. Based on the information submitted to this office, we believe the requestor here is acting as the agent of an inmate. Accordingly, the department need not respond to the request.²

We are resolving this matter with an informal letter ruling rather than with a published open records decision. This ruling is limited to the particular records at issue under the facts presented to us in this request and should not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding other records. If you have questions about this ruling, please contact our office.

Yours very truly,



Karen E. Hattaway
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

KEH/cbh

Ref.: ID# 104272

Enclosures: Submitted documents

cc: Ms. Donna Crow
P.O. Box 445
Chilton, Texas 76632
(w/o enclosures)

²Because we conclude that the department is not required to respond to this request based on section 552.007 of the Government Code, we need not address your section 552.108 claim.