



Office of the Attorney General
State of Texas

DAN MORALES
ATTORNEY GENERAL

January 9, 1998

Ms. Kate Herrington
Open Records Coordinator
Texas Department of Mental
Health & Mental Retardation
P.O. Box 12668
Austin, Texas 78711-2668

OR98-0101

Dear Ms. Herrington:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Texas Open Records Act, chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 111888.

The Texas Department of Mental Health and Mental Retardation (the "department") received a request for information relating to the outside lighting in the area of a fatal accident. You claim that the requested information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.103 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.103(a) excepts from disclosure information relating to litigation to which the state or a political subdivision is or may be a party. The department has the burden of providing relevant facts and documents to show that the section 552.103(a) exception is applicable in a particular situation. The test for meeting this burden is a showing that (1) litigation is pending or reasonably anticipated, and (2) the information at issue is related to that litigation. *Heard v. Houston Post Co.*, 684 S.W.2d 210, 212 (Tex. App.--Houston [1st Dist.] 1984, writ ref'd n.r.e.); Open Records Decision No. 551 (1990) at 4. The department must meet both prongs of this test for information to be excepted under section 552.103(a).


The mere chance of litigation will not trigger section 552.103(a). Open Records Decision No. 452 (1986) at 4 and authorities cited therein. To demonstrate that litigation is reasonably anticipated, the governmental body must furnish *concrete* evidence that litigation involving a specific matter is realistically contemplated and is more than mere conjecture. *Id.* Whether litigation is reasonably anticipated must be determined on a case-by-case basis. Open Records Decision No. 452 (1986) at 4. After reviewing your arguments, we conclude that you have demonstrated that litigation is reasonably anticipated. Furthermore, we

conclude that the submitted documents relate to the anticipated litigation, and may be withheld.

Generally, however, once information has been obtained by all parties to the litigation through discovery or otherwise, no section 552.103(a) interest exists with respect to that information. Open Records Decision Nos. 349 (1982), 320 (1982). Thus, information that has either been obtained from or provided to the opposing party in the anticipated litigation is not excepted from disclosure under section 552.103(a), and it must be disclosed. Further, the applicability of section 552.103(a) ends once the litigation has been concluded. Attorney General Opinion MW-575 (1982); Open Records Decision No. 350 (1982).

We are resolving this matter with an informal letter ruling rather than with a published open records decision. This ruling is limited to the particular records at issue under the facts presented to us in this request and should not be relied on as a previous determination regarding any other records. If you have any questions regarding this ruling, please contact our office.

Yours very truly,



June B. Harden
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

JBH/ulg

Ref.: ID# 111888

Enclosures: Submitted documents

cc: Mr. Carl M. Weeks
Weeks and Associates
508 W. 12th Street
Austin, Texas 78701
(w/o enclosures)