



Office of the Attorney General
State of Texas

DAN MORALES
ATTORNEY GENERAL

April 29, 1998

Ms. Julie B. Ross
Haynes and Boone, L.L.P.
201 Main Street, Suite 2200
Fort Worth, Texas 76102-3126

OR98-1084

Dear Ms. Ross:

On behalf of the City of Coppell (the "city"), you ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Open Records Act, chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 115177.

The city received numerous requests for information. You assert that the requested information is excepted from required public disclosure based on sections 552.101, 552.103 and 552.108 of the Government Code.

Section 552.103(a) of the Government Code reads as follows:

(a) Information is excepted from [required public disclosure] if it is information:

(1) relating to litigation of a civil or criminal nature or settlement negotiations, to which the state or a political subdivision is or may be a party or to which an officer or employee of the state or a political subdivision, as a consequence of the person's office or employment, is or may be a party; and

(2) that the attorney general or the attorney of the political subdivision has determined should be withheld from public inspection.

To secure the protection of section 552.103(a), a governmental body must demonstrate that requested information "relates" to a pending or reasonably anticipated judicial or quasi-judicial proceeding. Open Records Decision No. 588 (1991). A governmental body has the burden of providing relevant facts and documents to show the applicability of an exception

in a particular situation. The test for establishing that section 552.103 applies is a two-prong showing that (1) litigation is pending or reasonably anticipated, and (2) the information at issue is related to that litigation. *Heard v. Houston Post Co.*, 684 S.W.2d 210 (Tex. App.--Houston [1st Dist.] 1984, writ ref'd n.r.e.). In this instance, you have made the requisite showing that the requested information relates to reasonably anticipated litigation for purposes of section 552.103(a). The city may withhold the requested records from the requestor based on section 552.103.¹

We are resolving this matter with this informal letter ruling rather than with a published open records decision. This ruling is limited to the particular records at issue under the facts presented to us in this request and may not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records. If you have questions about this ruling, please contact our office.

Yours very truly,



Kay Hastings
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

KHH/rho

Ref.: ID# 115177

Enclosures: Submitted documents

cc: Mr. Jason P. Shanks
2112 Wentworth
Flower Mound, Texas 75028
(w/o enclosures)

¹If the opposing party in the litigation has seen or had access to any of the information in these records, there would be no justification for now withholding that information from the requestor pursuant to section 552.103(a). Open Records Decision Nos. 349 (1982), 320 (1982). In particular, section 552.103 does not cover the information the potential opposing party apparently submitted to the city nor does section 552.101 cover such information in this instance. In addition, the applicability of section 552.103(a) ends once the litigation is concluded. Attorney General Opinion MW-575 (1982); Open Records Decision No. 350 (1982).