



Office of the Attorney General  
State of Texas

DAN MORALES  
ATTORNEY GENERAL

June 16, 1998

Mr. Miles K. Risley  
City Attorney - Legal Department  
City of Victoria  
P.O. Box 1758  
Victoria, Texas 77902-1758

OR98-1469

Dear Mr. Risley:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 116793.

The City of Victoria (the "city") received a request for information relating to an assault by contact that occurred in December 1997. You contend that the requested documents are excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 58.007 of the Family Code. You also contend that the social security numbers contained in the requested documents are confidential pursuant to federal law. We have considered your arguments and have reviewed the documents at issue.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Section 552.101 encompasses confidentiality provisions such as Family Code section 58.007. Juvenile law enforcement records relating to conduct that occurred on or after September 1, 1997 are confidential under section 58.007. The relevant language of section 58.007(c) reads as follows:

(c) Except as provided by Subsection (d), law enforcement records and files concerning a child may not be disclosed to the public and shall be:

- (1) kept separate from adult files and records; and
- (2) maintained on a local basis only and not sent to a central state or federal depository, except as provided by Subchapter B.

The documents at issue involve juvenile conduct that occurred after September 1, 1997. It does not appear that any of the exceptions in section 58.007 apply;

therefore, the requested documents are confidential pursuant to section 58.007(c) of the Family Code. The city must withhold the documents from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code.

Because we are able to resolve this matter under section 552.101, we need not address your other arguments against disclosure. We are resolving this matter with an informal letter ruling rather than with a published open records decision. This ruling is limited to the particular records at issue under the facts presented to us in this request and should not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records. If you have questions about this ruling, please contact our office.

Yours very truly,



Karen E. Hattaway  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

KEH/mjc

Ref: ID# 116793

Enclosures: Submitted documents