



March 22, 2000

Mr. James G. Nolan
Supervising Attorney
Texas Workforce Commission
101 East 15th Street
Austin, Texas 78778-0001

OR2000-1127

Dear Mr. Nolan:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 134294.

The Texas Workforce Commission ("TWC") received a request for "copies of offer responses from IBM and Andersen Consulting" regarding RFO 2000-1129. You have provided for our review information that is responsive to the request. You state that the requested information may be excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101 and 552.110 of the Government Code, but that "TWC is unable to raise sufficient arguments as to the confidential or proprietary nature of [the information], as such information is peculiarly within the knowledge of IBM and Anderson [sic] Consulting." You have notified IBM and Andersen Consulting of the request by a letter dated February 16, 2000 in compliance with section 552.305 of the Government Code. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305 (permitting interested third party to submit to attorney general reasons why requested information should not be released); Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (determining that statutory predecessor to Gov't Code § 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in Public Information Act in certain circumstances).

Neither IBM nor Andersen Consulting responded to the notice; therefore, we have no basis to conclude that the responsive information is excepted from disclosure. *See* Gov't Code § 552.110(b) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual or evidentiary material, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that it actually faces competition and that substantial competitive injury would likely result from disclosure); Open Records Decision Nos. 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish prima facie case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3 (1990). The information must, therefore, be released to the requestor.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.


This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at 877/673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Michael Garbarnio
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

MG/ch

Ref: ID# 134294

Encl. Submitted documents

cc: Mr. Rob Chohan
Epic Edge
1150 Lakeway Drive, Suite 219
Austin, Texas 78734
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Chris Politte, Partner
Andersen Consulting
701 Brazos Street, Suite 1000
Austin, Texas 78701
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Charles Ashley
IBM
IBM State and Local Government
301 Congress Avenue
Austin, Texas 78701
(w/o enclosures)