



December 6, 2000

Ms. Janice Mullenix  
Associate General Counsel  
Texas Department of Transportation  
125 East 11<sup>th</sup> Street  
Austin, Texas 78701-2483

OR2000-4609

Dear Ms. Mullenix:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 141922.

The Texas Department of Transportation (the "department") received a written request for the following information:

1. Any and all bridge inspection reports pertaining to the Womack Overpass on IH 10 in Orange County, 10 years prior to 1998.
2. All specifications, plans, and drawings for the Highway (IH-10) from approximately 1/4 mile west of Womack Overpass EB to the bridge.
3. Any and all documents reflecting the criteria used by TxDOT to design the bridge rail on Womack overpass on IH 10, Orange County.

You contend the requested information is excepted from public disclosure pursuant to, *inter alia*, section 552.103 of the Government Code.<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup>Because we resolve your request under section 552.103, we need not address the applicability of the other exception you raised.

A governmental body has the burden of providing relevant facts and documents to show that section 552.103 is applicable in a particular situation. Under section 552.103(a) and (c), the test for meeting this burden is a showing that (1) litigation is pending or reasonably anticipated at the time of the records request, and (2) the information at issue is related to that litigation. *See also University of Tex. Law Sch. v. Texas Legal Found.*, 958 S.W.2d 479, 481 (Tex. App.--Austin 1997, no pet.); *Heard v. Houston Post Co.*, 684 S.W.2d 210, 212 (Tex. App.--Houston [1st Dist.] 1984, writ ref'd n.r.e.); Open Records Decision No. 551 at 4 (1990). A governmental body must meet both prongs of this test for information to be excepted under section 552.103.

You inform us that the department has been served with a notice of claim regarding an automobile accident that occurred on the referenced bridge and that litigation has been brought against the department as a result of that accident. After reviewing the petition filed against the department, we conclude that the litigation was pending on the date the department received the current request. Furthermore, after reviewing the information at issue, we further conclude that the requested information "relates" to that litigation for purposes of section 552.103. The department therefore may withhold the requested information pursuant to section 552.103 of the Government Code.

In reaching this conclusion, we assume the opposing party in the litigation has not had prior access to the records at issue. Absent special circumstances, once information has been obtained by all parties to the litigation, *e.g.*, through discovery or otherwise, no section 552.103 interest exists with respect to that information. Open Records Decision Nos. 349 (1982), 320 (1982). We also note that the applicability of section 552.103 ends once the litigation has been concluded. Attorney General Opinion MW-575 (1982); Open Records Decision No. 350 (1982).

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).


If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at 877/673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.--Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the General Services Commission at 512/475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



E. Joanna Fitzgerald  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

EJF/RWP/ljp

Ref: ID# 141922

Encl. Submitted documents

cc: Mr. Kent Shaffer  
2215 Calder, Suite 203  
Beaumont, Texas 77701  
(w/o enclosures)