



January 11, 2001

Ms. Bonnie Prosser Elder
VIA Metropolitan Transit Authority
P.O. Box 12489
San Antonio, Texas 78212

OR2001-0125

Dear Ms. Elder:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 143144.

The VIA Metropolitan Transit Authority (“VIA”) received a written request for, among other things, “a master list of disciplines and/or terminations.” You state that VIA will release the requested information with one employee’s name redacted. You contend that the fact that the employee’s name appears on the “master list” is excepted from required public disclosure pursuant to section 552.103 of the Government Code.

A governmental body has the burden of providing relevant facts and documents to show that section 552.103 is applicable in a particular situation. Under section 552.103(a) and (c), the test for meeting this burden is a showing that (1) litigation involving the governmental body is pending or reasonably anticipated at the time of the records request, and (2) the information at issue is related to that litigation. *See also University of Tex. Law Sch. v. Texas Legal Found.*, 958 S.W.2d 479, 481 (Tex. App.--Austin 1997, no pet.); *Heard v. Houston Post Co.*, 684 S.W.2d 210, 212 (Tex. App.--Houston [1st Dist.] 1984, writ ref’d n.r.e.); Open Records Decision No. 551 at 4 (1990). A governmental body must meet both prongs of this test for information to be excepted under section 552.103.

We have reviewed your arguments under section 552.103 and the documents at issue. We conclude that you have met your burden in establishing that litigation involving VIA was pending on the date VIA received the records request. We further conclude that the

information at issue “relates” to that litigation. VIA therefore may withhold the information at issue pursuant to section 552.103 of the Government Code.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov’t Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body’s intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general’s Open Government Hotline, toll free, at 877/673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.--Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the General Services Commission at 512/475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for

contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Jennifer Bialek
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

JHB/RWP/seg

Ref: ID# 143144

Encl. Submitted documents

cc: Mr. Brian Collister
Reporter, KMOL-TV
1031 Navarro Street
San Antonio, Texas 78205
(w/o enclosures)