



February 8, 2001

Ms. Linda Cloud
Executive Director
Texas Lottery Commission
P.O. Box 16630
Austin, Texas 78761-6630

OR2001-0486

Dear Ms. Cloud:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 144047.

The Texas Lottery Commission (the "commission") received a request for information related to three specified companies and documents related to any enforcement action initiated against a specified company. You state that you have released some responsive documents. You claim that Exhibit B is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code because it may be considered confidential by a third party. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(a) (providing that a governmental body may decline to release information for the purpose of requesting an attorney general decision when a person's privacy interests is involved). An attorney for the third party has submitted comments claiming that portions of Exhibit B are excepted under section 552.101 and privacy. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(b) (providing that a person whose privacy interests may be involved may submit to the attorney general reasons why the information should be withheld). We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code protects "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision," including information protected by the common law right of privacy. *Industrial Found. v. Texas Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 683-85 (Tex. 1976), *cert. denied*, 430 U.S. 931 (1977). The doctrine of common law privacy protects information that contains highly intimate or embarrassing facts about a person's private affairs such that its release would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person and the information must be of no legitimate concern to the public. *Id.* The type of information considered intimate and embarrassing by the Texas Supreme Court in *Industrial Foundation* included information relating to sexual assault, pregnancy, mental or physical abuse in the workplace, illegitimate children, psychiatric treatment of mental disorders, attempted suicide, and injuries to sexual organs. *Id.* at 683. After reviewing the

submitted information, we believe that compliance with state licensing requirements is of a legitimate public concern and, therefore, Exhibit B may not be withheld under section 552.101 in conjunction with common law privacy.

You also contend that the release of the information will place the individual in a false light. Since 1990, this office has held that “false light” privacy is not a proper consideration under the Public Information Act. Open Records Decision No. 579 (1990). Additionally, in *Cain v. Hearst Corp.*, 878 S.W.2d 577 (Tex. 1994), the Texas Supreme Court concluded that Texas does not recognize the tort of “false light” invasion of privacy. Consequently, you may not withhold any portion of Exhibit B merely because it contains information that may be untrue. In conclusion, you must release the submitted information.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov’t Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).


If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body’s intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general’s Open Government Hotline, toll free, at 877/673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.--Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Scholoss at the General Services Commission at 512/475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Jennifer H. Bialek
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

JHB/er

Ref: ID# 144047

Encl: Submitted documents

cc: Mr. Stephen Fenoglio
Attorney and Counselor at Law
3660 Stone Ridge, Suite B-102
Austin, Texas 78746-7759
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Brian J. O'Toole
Law Offices of Brian J. O'Toole, P.C.
823 Congress Avenue, Suite 1010
Austin, Texas 78701
(w/o enclosures)