



June 14, 2001

Mr. Jose R. Guerrero
Montalvo & Ramirez
900 North Main
McAllen, Texas 78501

OR2001-2532

Dear Mr. Guerrero:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 148422.

The La Joya Independent School District (the "district"), which you represent, received a written request for the sexual harassment investigation of a district employee. You have submitted to this office as responsive to the request twelve documents, labeled Exhibits A through L. You contend that with the exception of Exhibits F, J, and K, the requested information is excepted from required public disclosure pursuant to sections 552.026, 552.101, 552.114, 552.117, and 552.131 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code protects from public disclosure information coming within the common law right of privacy. *Industrial Found. v. Texas Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 683-85 (Tex. 1976) (common law privacy protects information that is highly intimate or embarrassing, such that its release would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and is of no legitimate concern to the public). In *Morales v. Ellen*, 840 S.W.2d 519 (Tex. App.--El Paso 1992, writ denied), the court addressed the applicability of the common law privacy doctrine to files of an investigation of allegations of sexual harassment. The investigatory files at issue in *Ellen* contained individual witness and victim statements, an affidavit given by the individual accused of the misconduct in response to the allegations, and the conclusions of the board of inquiry that conducted the investigation.

The court held that the names of witnesses and their detailed affidavits regarding allegations of sexual harassment are exactly the types of information specifically excluded from disclosure under the privacy doctrine as described in *Industrial Foundation. Ellen*, 840 S.W.2d at 525. However, the court ordered the release of the affidavit of the person under investigation. *Id.* The *Ellen* court also ordered the disclosure of the summary of the investigation with the identities of the victims and witnesses deleted from the documents, noting that the public interest in the matter was sufficiently served by disclosure of such documents and that in that particular instance “the public [did] not possess a legitimate interest in the identities of the individual witnesses, nor the details of their personal statements.” *Id.*

In this instance, we believe that Exhibits F, J, and K, read together, constitute an adequate summary of the sexual harassment investigation. Consequently, in accordance with *Ellen*, the district must release these three exhibits, with the identities of any witnesses and the alleged victim of the sexual harassment withheld pursuant to common law privacy as incorporated into section 552.101 of the Government Code. The district must withhold the remaining exhibits in their entirety.¹ *See id.*

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov’t Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body’s intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one

¹Because we resolve your request under section 552.101, we need not address the applicability of the other exceptions you raise.

of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at 877/673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.--Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the General Services Commission at 512/475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Cindy M. Nettles
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

CMN/RWP/seg

Ref: ID# 148422

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Juan J. Cruz
Escamilla & Poneck, Inc.
5219 McPherson, Suite 306
Laredo, Texas 78041
(w/o enclosures)