



August 8, 2001

Mr. Stephen R. Zastrow
Assistant City Attorney / Police Legal Advisor
Corpus Christi Police Department
321 John Sartain
Corpus Christi, Texas 78401

OR2001-3459

Dear Mr. Zastrow:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 150476.

The Corpus Christi Police Department (the “department”), which you represent, received a request for 1) a complaint filed by a named individual; 2) a recording of a telephone call made from the department to the named individual; and 3) the identity of the department employee who made the referenced phone call. You inform us that the department does not possess information responsive to categories two and three above, but believes the department does have information responsive to category one. You assert that the responsive information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

First, with regard to the requested information which you state does not exist, we note that the Public Information Act does not require a governmental body to disclose information that did not exist at the time the request was received. *Economic Opportunities Dev. Corp. v. Bustamante*, 562 S.W.2d 266 (Tex. Civ. App.--San Antonio 1978, writ dismissed); Open Records Decision No. 452 at 3 (1986).

We next address your argument for the responsive information. Section 552.101 excepts from disclosure “information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision.” You state that Corpus Christi is a civil service city pursuant to chapter 143 of the Local Government Code. Chapter 143 contemplates two

different types of personnel files, one that the civil service director or designee is required to maintain as part of the police officer's civil service file, and one that a police department may maintain for its own internal use. See Local Gov't Code § 143.089(a), (g). Section 143.089 of the Local Government Code provides in pertinent part:

(a) The director or the director's designee shall maintain a personnel file on each . . . police officer. The personnel file must contain *any* letter, memorandum, or document relating to:

(1) a commendation, congratulation, or honor bestowed on the . . . police officer by a member of the public or by the employing department for an action, duty, or activity that relates to the person's official duties;

(2) any misconduct by the . . . police officer if the letter, memorandum, or document is from the employing department and *if the misconduct resulted in disciplinary action by the employing department in accordance with this chapter*; and

(3) the periodic evaluation of the fire fighter or police officer by a supervisor.

....

(g) A . . . police department may maintain a personnel file on a . . . police officer employed by the department for the department's use, but the department may not release any information contained in the department file to any agency or person requesting information relating to a . . . police officer. The department shall refer to the director or the director's designee a person or agency that requests information that is maintained in the . . . police officer's personnel file.

Local Gov't Code § 143.089(a), (g) (emphasis added).

Section 143.089(b) of the Local Government Code specifically prohibits information regarding alleged misconduct from being placed in the officer's civil service file "if the employing department determines that there is insufficient evidence to sustain the charge of misconduct." *Id.* §143.089(b). The only information regarding misconduct that is to be placed in the civil service file is that which relates to "misconduct [that] resulted in disciplinary action by the employing department." Local Gov't Code § 143.089(a)(2); *see also* Local Gov't Code §§ 143.051-.055 (describing "disciplinary action" for purposes of section 143.089(a)(2)). Section 143.089(a) contains an exclusive list of the documents that

must be maintained in the director's personnel file. Attorney General Opinion JC-0257 (2000).

Information that reasonably relates to an officer's employment relationship with the police department and that is maintained in a police department's internal file pursuant to section 143.089(g) is confidential and must not be released. *City of San Antonio v. San Antonio Express-News*, No. 04-99-00848-CV, 2000 WL 1918877 (Tex. App.--San Antonio Dec. 20, 2000, no pet. h.); *City of San Antonio v. Texas Attorney General*, 851 S.W.2d 946, 949 (Tex. App.--Austin 1993, writ denied).

As you inform us that the information requested relates to a complaint that is currently being investigated, and that it is unknown at this time whether any disciplinary action will result against a peace officer, we conclude that the submitted information is not information required to be maintained in the civil service personnel file. Thus, the submitted information is confidential under section 143.089(g) of the Local Government Code and must be withheld from the requestor under section 552.101 of the Government Code.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at 877/673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.--Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the General Services Commission at 512/475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Michael A. Pearle
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

MAP/seg

Ref: ID# 150476

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Michael C. F. White
2554 Lincoln Boulevard # 209
Marina Del Ray, California 90291
(w/o enclosures)