



August 15, 2001

Sergeant Erick Bohannon  
Amarillo Police Department  
200 East 3rd  
Amarillo, Texas 79101-1514

OR2001-3588

Dear Sergeant Bohannon:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 152568.

The Amarillo Police Department (the "department") received a request for a specified offense report. You claim that the requested information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.108 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Pursuant to section 552.301(b) of the Government Code, a governmental body must ask for an attorney general's decision and state the exceptions that apply not later than the tenth business day after the date of receiving the written request. Further, section 552.301(e)(1)(C) requires a governmental body to submit to this office within fifteen business days of receiving an open records request a signed statement or sufficient evidence showing the date the governmental body received the written request. You have not informed this office of the date that you received the written request for information. Further, the written request itself does not reflect the date that the department received the written request. Because you have failed to provide evidence of the date that you received the written request, we are unable to determine whether the department complied with the ten business day deadline. Accordingly, you failed to request a decision in accordance with section 552.301 of the Government Code.

Pursuant to section 552.302 of the Government Code, a governmental body's failure to comply with section 552.301 results in the legal presumption that the requested information is public and must be released unless the governmental body demonstrates a compelling reason to withhold the information from disclosure. *See* Gov't Code § 552.302; *Hancock v. State Bd. of Ins.*, 797 S.W.2d 379, 381-82 (Tex. App.--Austin 1990, no writ) (governmental body must make compelling demonstration to overcome presumption of openness pursuant to statutory predecessor to Gov't Code § 552.302); Open Records Decision No. 319 (1982). In this instance, section 552.108 does not

provide a compelling reason to overcome the presumption of openness. *See* Open Records Decision No. 473 at 2 (1987) (discretionary exceptions under the Act can be waived). However, portions of the submitted information are excepted under section 552.130. Section 552.130 of the Government Code provides a compelling reason to overcome the presumption of openness. *See* Open Records Decision No. 150 (1977) (presumption of openness overcome by a showing that the information is made confidential by another source of law or affects third party interests).

The submitted information contains some Texas driver's license numbers, a California driver's license number, and a license plate. Section 552.130(a) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure information that relates to a motor vehicle operator's or driver's license or permit issued by an agency of this state or a motor vehicle title or registration issued by an agency of this state. Thus, section 552.130 only excepts driver's license numbers issued by the state of Texas and does not except the California driver's license number. We have marked the driver's license numbers and license plate number which the department must withhold under section 552.130(a) of the Government Code.

The submitted information also contains social security numbers. Social security numbers may be excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 in conjunction with the 1990 amendments to the federal Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. § 405(c)(2)(C)(viii)(I). *See* Open Records Decision No. 622 (1994). These amendments make confidential social security numbers and related records that are obtained and maintained by a state agency or political subdivision of the state pursuant to any provision of law enacted on or after October 1, 1990. *See id.* However, it is not apparent to us that the social security numbers were obtained or maintained by the department pursuant to any provision of law enacted on or after October 1, 1990. You have cited no law, nor are we aware of any law, enacted on or after October 1, 1990, that authorizes the department to obtain or maintain social security numbers. Therefore, we have no basis for concluding that the social security numbers at issue were obtained or maintained pursuant to such a statute and are, therefore, confidential under section 405(c)(2)(C)(viii)(I). We caution the department, however, that section 552.352 of the Government Code imposes criminal penalties for the release of confidential information. Gov't Code § 552.352. Prior to releasing the social security numbers, the department should ensure that these numbers were not obtained or maintained by the department pursuant to any provision of law enacted on or after October 1, 1990.

In conclusion, you must withhold the marked information under section 552.130 of the Government Code. You must also withhold social security numbers if the numbers were obtained or maintained by the department pursuant to any provision of law enacted on or after October 1, 1990. You must release the remaining submitted information.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at 877/673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.--Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the General Services Commission at 512/475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Jennifer Bialek  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

JHB/sdk

Ref: ID# 152568

Enc: Submitted documents

c: Ms. Sheila Fay Anthony  
724 North Williams Street  
Amarillo, Texas 79107-5539  
(w/o enclosures)