



October 5, 2001

Mr. Dennis P. Duffy
General Counsel
University of Houston System
311 East Cullen Building, Suite 212
Houston, Texas 77204-2028

OR2001-4482

Dear Mr. Duffy:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 152866.

The University of Houston System (the "system") received a written request for the following information:

Any correspondence between Ed Sheridan and any grievant that has requested a review of his or her grievance by Sheridan, where Sheridan advises them that he will give them one hour to present their grievance to him.

You state that the system will make available to the requestor those responsive records to which he has had prior access. You contend that other documents, which you submitted to our office, are exempted from required public disclosure pursuant to section 552.103 of the Government Code.

Section 552.103 is commonly referred to as the "litigation exception." Under section 552.103(a) and (c), the governmental body raising this exception must demonstrate that (1) litigation involving the governmental body was pending or reasonably anticipated at the time of the records request, and (2) the information at issue is related to that litigation. *See also University of Tex. Law Sch. v. Texas Legal Found.*, 958 S.W.2d 479, 481 (Tex. App.--Austin 1997, no pet.); *Heard v. Houston Post Co.*, 684 S.W.2d 210, 212

(Tex. App.--Houston [1st Dist.] 1984, writ ref'd n.r.e.); Open Records Decision No. 551 at 4 (1990). A governmental body must meet both prongs of this test for information to be excepted under section 552.103.

In this instance, you have demonstrated that the system is currently the defendant in a lawsuit brought by the requestor. Furthermore, we conclude that you have met your burden of demonstrating that the records you submitted to this office as responsive to the request "relate" to that litigation for purposes of section 552.103. Accordingly, the system may withhold the submitted records pursuant to section 552.103 of the Government Code. Note that the applicability of section 552.103 ends once the litigation has been concluded. Attorney General Opinion MW-575 (1982); Open Records Decision No. 350 (1982).

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at 877/673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.--Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the General Services Commission at 512/475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Nathan E. Bowden
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

NEB/RWP/seg

Ref: ID# 152866

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Stephen Barth
Conrad North Hilton College of Hotel And Restaurant Management
University of Houston
Houston, Texas 77204
(w/o enclosures)