



November 1, 2001

Mr. John M. Hill
Attorney for the Town of Addison
Cowles & Thompson
901 Main Street, Suite 4000
Dallas, Texas 75202-3793

OR2001-5033

Dear Mr. Hill:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 154213.

The Town of Addison (the "town"), which you represent, received a request for the town's contract with its Internet provider. The town takes no position as to whether the requested information is excepted from required public disclosure. The town believes, however, that this request for information may implicate the interests of the private party to the contract. By letter dated August 29, 2001, you notified the private party of the request for information and of its right to submit arguments to this office as to why the requested information should not be released.¹ You also submitted the responsive information to this office.

An interested third party is allowed 10 business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should be withheld from public disclosure. *See Gov't Code* § 552.305(d)(2)(B). This office has received no correspondence from the private party that you notified. Thus, the interested party has not demonstrated that the requested information must be withheld from disclosure. *See Gov't Code* § 552.110(a)-(b); Open Records Decision Nos. 552 at 5 (1990) (stating that if governmental body takes no position, attorney general will grant exception to disclosure if third party makes *prima facie* case that information qualifies as trade secret under statutory predecessor to Gov't Code § 552.110(a), and no

¹*See Gov't Code* § 552.305(d); Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (determining that statutory predecessor to Gov't Code § 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception to disclosure under chapter 552 of Government Code in certain circumstances).

argument is presented that rebuts claim as matter of law), 661 at 5-6 (1999) (stating that business enterprise that claims exception for commercial or financial information under Gov't Code § 552.110(b) must show by specific factual evidence that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm).

We note, however, that the submitted information contains e-mail addresses. The Seventy-seventh Legislature recently added section 552.137 to chapter 552 of the Government Code. Senate Bill 694, as passed May 14, 2001, signed by the Governor May 26, 2001, and made effective immediately, provides in relevant part:

Sec. 552.137. CONFIDENTIALITY OF CERTAIN E-MAIL ADDRESSES.

(a) An e-mail address of a member of the public that is provided for the purpose of communicating electronically with a governmental body is confidential and not subject to disclosure under this chapter.

(b) Confidential information described by this section that relates to a member of the public may be disclosed if the member of the public affirmatively consents to its release.

Act of May 14, 2001, 77th Leg., R.S., S.B. 694, § 1 (to be codified at Gov't Code § 552.137).²

Section 552.137 excepts from disclosure an e-mail address of a member of the public provided for the purpose of communicating electronically with a governmental body, unless the member of the public has affirmatively consented to its release. Thus, the town must withhold an e-mail address that an individual submitted for the purpose of communicating electronically with the town, unless the individual affirmatively consented to the release of the e-mail address. With this exception, the town must release the requested information.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must

²House Bill 2589, which also makes certain e-mail addresses confidential, took effect on September 1, 2001. See Act of May 22, 2001, 77th Leg., R.S., H.B. 2589, § 5 (to be codified at Gov't Code § 552.136). The language of section 552.136, as added by House Bill 2589, is identical to that of section 552.137.

appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at 877/673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dept. of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.--Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the General Services Commission at 512/475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "James W. Morris, III". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a large loop at the end.

James W. Morris, III
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division