



November 20, 2001

Mr. Paul C. Sarahan
Director
Litigation Law Division
Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

OR2001-5376

Dear Mr. Sarahan:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 155108.

The Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission (the "commission") received a request for "all documents produced by Alcoa in response to [the commission's] request for information." You advise us that you have provided the requestor with some of the information, but that the remainder of the requested information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101 and 552.110 of the Government Code. You have submitted a copy of a letter notifying Alcoa Inc. ("Alcoa") about the request as required by section 552.305(d).¹ We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the representative sample of information you submitted as Attachments C and D.²

Initially we note that this office has previously addressed the documents contained in Attachment C. In Open Records Letter No. 2001-4856 (2001), we concluded that the documents were protected from disclosure under section 552.110 of the Government Code.

¹See Gov't Code § 552.305 (permitting interested third party to submit to attorney general reasons why requested information should not be released); Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (determining that statutory predecessor to Gov't Code § 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in Public Information Act in certain circumstances).

²We assume that the "representative sample" of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. See Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

The commission must continue to withhold the documents in Attachment C in accordance with Open Records Letter No. 201-4856 (2001). *See* Open Records Decision No. 673 (2001) (regarding previous determinations).

We now turn to the information contained in Attachment D and your claim under section 552.101 and section 382.041 of the Health and Safety Code.³ Section 552.101 excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." This section encompasses information protected by statute. Section 382.041(a) provides in part, with exceptions that do not appear to apply here, that "a member, employee, or agent of the commission may not disclose information submitted to the commission relating to secret processes or methods of manufacture or production that is identified as confidential when submitted." In Open Records Decision No. 652 (1997), this office concluded that section 382.041 protects information submitted to the commission if a *prima facie* case is established that the information is a trade secret under the definition set forth in the Restatement of Torts, and if the information was identified as confidential by the submitting party when it was submitted to the commission.

Section 552.110 protects the property interests of private parties by excepting from disclosure two types of information: (1) trade secrets obtained from a person and privileged or confidential by statute or judicial decision; and (2) commercial or financial information for which it is demonstrated based on specific factual evidence that disclosure would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained.

A "trade secret"

may consist of any formula, pattern, device or compilation of information which is used in one's business, and which gives [one] an opportunity to obtain an advantage over competitors who do not know or use it. It may be a formula for a chemical compound, a process of manufacturing, treating or preserving materials, a pattern for a machine or other device, or a list of customers. It differs from other secret information in a business in that it is not simply information as to single or ephemeral events in the conduct of the business, as for example the amount or other terms of a secret bid for a

³We note that Attachment D consists of a representative sample of project status reports, process design criteria, calculation sheets, asset detail records, dryers' daily reports, upgrade studies, field reports, boiler stack opacity readings, construction contracts, engineering studies, and various financial documents. You inform us that the samples come from eleven boxes of documents. We further note that Alcoa, in conjunction with its arguments for keeping the documents confidential, submitted its own representative sample from the same eleven boxes of documents. This ruling, however, only addresses the representative sample submitted by you, the commission, not Alcoa. *See* Gov't Code § 552.301(e)(1)(D) (providing that the *governmental body* requesting a decision must submit a copy of the specific information requested or representative samples).

contract or the salary of certain employees. . . . A trade secret is a process or device for continuous use in the operation of the business. Generally it relates to the production of goods, as for example, a machine or formula for the production of an article. It may, however, relate to the sale of goods or to other operations in the business, such as a code for determining discounts, rebates or other concessions in a price list or catalogue, or a list of specialized customers, or a method of bookkeeping or other office management.

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939) (emphasis added); *see also Hyde Corp. v. Huffines*, 314 S.W.2d 763, 776 (Tex. 1958); Open Records Decision Nos. 255 (1980), 232 (1979), 217 (1978).

There are six factors to be assessed in determining whether information qualifies as a trade secret:

- 1) the extent to which the information is known outside of [the company's] business;
- 2) the extent to which it is known by employees and others involved in [the company's] business;
- 3) the extent of measures taken by [the company] to guard the secrecy of the information;
- 4) the value of the information to [the company] and to [its] competitors;
- 5) the amount of effort or money expended by [the company] in developing this information; and
- 6) the ease or difficulty with which the information could be properly acquired or duplicated by others.

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 232 (1979). This office must accept a claim that information is excepted as a trade secret if a *prima facie* case for exemption is made and no argument is submitted that rebuts the claim as a matter of law. Open Records Decision No. 552 (1990). However, where no demonstration of the factors necessary to establish a trade secret claim is made we cannot conclude that section 552.110 applies. Open Records Decision No. 402 (1983).

Section 552.110 also protects “[c]ommercial or financial information for which it is demonstrated based on specific factual evidence that disclosure would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained[.]” Gov’t Code § 552.110(b). This exception to disclosure requires a specific factual or evidentiary showing,

not conclusory or generalized allegations, that substantial competitive injury would likely result from release of the information at issue. Gov't Code § 552.110(b); *see also National Parks & Conservation Ass'n v. Morton*, 498 F.2d 765 (D.C. Cir. 1974).

Alcoa states that the information relates to how its facility produces electricity and how much it costs. You explain that the electricity is consumed by the adjacent aluminum smelter and that the cost of electricity is a substantial part of Alcoa's total cost for producing aluminum. Alcoa asserts that the aluminum market is highly competitive and that the cost of production is a significant factor in success or failure. Further, Alcoa contends that access to its information would save a prospective competitor significant time, effort, and money and give the competitor an unfair advantage. After reviewing the submitted arguments and information, we conclude that the commission must withhold the information in Attachment D pursuant to section 552.110 except for the boiler stack opacity readings, which Alcoa states are not confidential.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).


If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at 877/673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.--Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the General Services Commission at 512/475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Kevin J. White
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

KJW/seg

Ref: ID# 155108

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Ms. Kelly Haragan
Staff Attorney
Public Citizen
2812 Hemphill Park
Austin, Texas 78705
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Gregory Pfeifer
Counsel
ALCOA
P.O. Box 472
Rockdale, Texas 76567
(w/o enclosures)