OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL · STATE OF TEXAS
JOHN CORNYN

January 22, 2002

Mr. Terrell I. Murphy
Executive Director
Texas Commission for the Blind
P.O. Box 12866
Austin, Texas 78711

OR2002-0305

Dear Mr. Murphy:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 157562.

The Texas Commission for the Blind (the "commission") received a request for an independent accessibility evaluation related to the PeopleSoft software suite and documentation that addresses non-visual access of PeopleSoft's management software. You advise that you have released the requested evaluation. Pursuant to section 552.305 of the Government Code, the commission notified PeopleSoft USA, Inc. of the second part of the request because its proprietary interests are implicated.[1] However, as of the date of this ruling, this office has not received a response from PeopleSoft.

Because the commission does not oppose disclosure and because the third party whose proprietary interests are implicated has failed to submit arguments that an exception to disclosure applies, we have no basis for finding that the information at issue may be withheld. As you acknowledge, however, the submitted document entitled "Delivering Accessible Applications" appears to be protected by copyright. A custodian of public records must comply with the copyright law and is not required to furnish copies of records

[1] *See* Gov't Code § 552.305 (permitting interested third party to submit to attorney general reasons why requested information should not be released); Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (determining that statutory predecessor to Gov't Code § 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in Open Records Act in certain circumstances)

that are copyrighted. Attorney General Opinion JM-672 (1987). A governmental body must allow inspection of copyrighted materials unless an exception applies to the information. *Id.* If a member of the public wishes to make copies of copyrighted materials, the person must do so unassisted by the governmental body. In making copies, the member of the public assumes the duty of compliance with the copyright law and the risk of a copyright infringement suit. *See* Open Records Decision No. 550 (1990). In summary, the commission need only provide access to the copyrighted information; it need not furnish copies.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at 877/673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.--Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or

complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at 512/475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

Kristen Bates
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

KAB/seg

Ref: ID# 157562

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Glenn M. Crosby
Director of Governmnetal Affairs
National Federation of the Blind of Texas
1403 Cheshire Lane
Houston, Texas 77018-4134
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Karen Zucker
Senior Corporate Counsel
Education & Government
PeopleSoft USA, Inc.
6903 Rockledge Drive, Suite 1100
Bethesda, Maryland 20817
(w/o enclosures)