



OFFICE *of the* ATTORNEY GENERAL  
GREG ABBOTT

April 11, 2003

Ms. R. Yvette Clark  
General Counsel  
Stephen F. Austin State University  
P.O. Box 13065, SFA Station  
Nacogdoches, Texas 75962-3065

OR2003-2448

Dear Ms. Clark:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 179398.

Stephen F. Austin University (the "university") received a written request for the proposals submitted to the university in connection with two certain construction projects.<sup>1</sup> You do not contend that the submitted information is excepted from required public disclosure, but rather have requested a decision from this office pursuant to section 552.305 of the Government Code, which allows governmental bodies to rely on third parties having a privacy or property interest in the information to submit their own arguments as to why the requested information should be withheld from the public.

In accordance with section 552.305(d), the university notified representatives of the interested third parties of the records request and of their right to submit arguments to this office as to why the requested proposals should not be released to the public. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d); Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990). An interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should be withheld from public disclosure. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B).

This office did not receive a response from any of the third parties indicating that they wished to have any portion of their respective records withheld from the public. This office

---

<sup>1</sup>The requestor subsequently amended his request to exclude the proposal submitted by J.E. Kingham Construction Company. Accordingly, this ruling does not address the extent to which that proposal is subject to required public disclosure.

therefore has no basis for concluding that the interested third parties have a privacy or property interest in this information. Consequently, the university must release the requested proposals to the requestor in their entirety, except for the following information.

Some of the submitted proposals contain certain e-mail addresses that the university is required to withhold from the public. Section 552.137 of the Government Code makes certain e-mail addresses confidential and provides in relevant part:

(a) An e-mail address *of a member of the public* that is provided for the purpose of communicating electronically with a governmental body is confidential and not subject to disclosure under this chapter.

(b) Confidential information described by this section that relates to a member of the public may be disclosed if the member of the public affirmatively consents to its release. [Emphasis added.]

Some of the documents at issue contain private e-mail addresses. Accordingly, section 552.137 of the Government Code requires the university to withhold the e-mail addresses that we have marked unless the university receives an affirmative consent to release from the person to whom an e-mail address belongs. We note that section 552.137 does not apply to a public employee's governmental e-mail address or a business' general e-mail or web page address.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records

will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



W. Montgomery Meitler  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

WMM/RWP/seg

Ref: ID# 179398

Enc: Submitted documents

c: Mr. Leo Castleberry  
Castleberry Contractors, Inc.  
2711 South Street  
Nacogdoches, Texas 75964  
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Sandra J. Cox  
President  
Cox Contractors, Inc.  
P.O. Box 631447  
Nacogdoches, Texas 75963-1447  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Danny Berry  
Berry & Clay  
201 West 1<sup>st</sup> Street  
Rusk, Texas 75785  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Mark Lambert  
Vice President  
Hill & Wilkerson, Ltd.  
800 Klein Road, Suite 100  
Plano, Texas 75074  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Gary A. Frazier  
Lee Lewis Construction, Inc.  
P.O. Box 795037  
Dallas, Texas 75379  
(w/o enclosures)