



OFFICE *of the* ATTORNEY GENERAL  
GREG ABBOTT

April 15, 2003

Ms. R. Yvette Clark  
General Counsel  
Stephen F. Austin State University  
P.O. Box 13065, SFA Station  
Nacogdoches, Texas 75962-3065

OR2003-2524

Dear Ms. Clark:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 179527.

Stephen F. Austin University (the "university") received a written request for the "conceptual design and detailed pricing section" of a particular proposal submitted to the university by Wireless Dynamics. You do not contend that the requested information is excepted from required public disclosure, but rather have requested a decision from this office pursuant to section 552.305 of the Government Code, which allows governmental bodies to rely on third parties having a privacy or property interest in the information to submit their own arguments as to why the requested information should be withheld from the public.

In accordance with section 552.305(d), the university notified representatives of Wireless Dynamics of the records request and of their right to submit arguments to this office as to why the requested information should not be released to the public. *See Gov't Code* § 552.305(d); Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990). An interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should be withheld from public disclosure. *See Gov't Code* § 552.305(d)(2)(B).

This office did not receive a response from Wireless Dynamics indicating that it wished to have any portion of the requested information withheld from the public. This office therefore has no basis for concluding that Wireless Dynamics has a privacy or property interest in this

information. Consequently, the university must release the requested information to the requestor in its entirety, except for the following information.

We note that some of the submitted records contain e-mail addresses obtained from members of the public. Section 552.137 makes certain e-mail addresses confidential. Section 552.137 provides:

- (a) An e-mail address of a member of the public that is provided for the purpose of communicating electronically with a governmental body is confidential and not subject to disclosure under this chapter.
- (b) Confidential information described by this section that relates to a member of the public may be disclosed if the member of the public affirmatively consents to its release.

Gov't Code §552.137. You do not inform us that a member of the public has affirmatively consented to the release of any e-mail address contained in the submitted materials. The university must, therefore, withhold e-mail addresses of members of the public under section 552.137. We have marked the e-mail addresses that must be withheld under section 552.137. We note that section 552.137 does not apply to a business' general e-mail or website address or to a government employee's work e-mail address.

Finally, we note that portions of the submitted materials are copyrighted. A custodian of public records must comply with the copyright law and is not required to furnish copies of records that are copyrighted. Attorney General Opinion JM-672 (1987). A governmental body must allow inspection of copyrighted materials unless an exception applies to the information. *Id.* If a member of the public wishes to make copies of copyrighted materials, the person must do so unassisted by the governmental body. In making copies, the member of the public assumes the duty of compliance with the copyright law and the risk of a copyright infringement suit. *See* Open Records Decision No. 550 (1990).

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney

general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Cindy Nettles  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

CMN/RWP/seg

Ref: ID# 179527

Enc: Submitted documents

c: Ms. Jennifer Greene  
Pexx, Inc.  
P.O. Box 40245  
Houston, Texas 77240-0245  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Russell V. Buras  
Wireless Dynamics  
P.O. Box 515  
Lufkin, Texas 75902-0515  
(w/o enclosures)