OFFICE *of the* ATTORNEY GENERAL
GREG ABBOTT

August 5, 2003

Mr. Thomas H. Arnold
City Attorney
City of Texarkana
P.O. Box 1967
Texarkana, Texas 75504

OR2003-5442

Dear Mr. Arnold:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 185415.

The Texarkana Police Department (the "department") received a written request for "each and every e-mail written by Sgt. Mike Wiser on any and all computer systems and e-mail addresses that are either owned or maintained by the City of Texarkana, Texas." You indicate that some of the responsive information will be released to the requestor. You contend, however, that the remaining information coming within the scope of the request is not "public information" that is subject to public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code.

You characterize the e-mail communications at issue as being purely personal in nature. Chapter 552 of the Government Code is only applicable to "public information." *See* Gov't Code § 552.021. Section 552.002(a) defines public information as "information that is collected, assembled, or maintained under a law or ordinance or in connection with the transaction of official business: (1) by a governmental body; or (2) for a governmental body and the governmental body owns the information or has a right of access to it." Information that is collected, assembled, or maintained by a third party may be subject to disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code if it is maintained for a governmental body, the governmental body owns or has a right of access to the information, and the information pertains to the transaction of official business. *See* Open Records Decision No. 462 (1987).

You argue that the submitted e-mails were not collected, assembled, or maintained in connection with the transaction of any official business of the department, nor were they collected, assembled, or maintained pursuant to any law or ordinance. Based on your comments and our review of the e-mails at issue, we agree that these communications do not appear to relate to the transaction of official police business and therefore do not constitute "public information" of the department. Consequently, the department is not required to disclose the e-mail communications that you have highlighted under chapter 552 of the Government Code. *Cf.* Open Records Decision No. 635 (1995) (statutory predecessor not applicable to personal information unrelated to official business and created or maintained by state employee involving *de minimis* use of state resources).

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

David R. Saldivar
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

DRS/RWP/sdk

Ref: ID# 185415

Enc: Submitted documents

c: Ms. Lisa Bose McDermott
Texarkana Gazette
P.O. Box 621
Texarkana, Texas 75504
(w/o enclosures)