



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

September 15, 2003

Ms. Larissa T. Roeder  
Assistant District Attorney  
County of Dallas  
Frank Crowley Court's Building  
133 North Industrial Blvd., LB-19  
Dallas County, Texas 75207-4399

OR2003-6428

Dear Ms. Roeder:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 187714.

The Dallas County Medical Examiner's Office (the "M.E.'s Office") received a request for a specified autopsy report. The Dallas County District Attorney (the "DA") has submitted briefing to this office on behalf of the DA and the M.E.'s Office. The DA states that it has released a redacted copy of the requested autopsy report. The DA claims that the portions of the autopsy report you have marked are excepted from disclosure under sections 552.103 and 552.108 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.108(a) excepts from disclosure "[i]nformation held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime . . . if: (1) release of the information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime." The M.E.'s Office is not a "law enforcement agency" for purposes of section 552.108. *See* Open Records Decision No. 199 (1978) (predecessor statute). However, a non-law-enforcement agency may withhold information under section 552.108 if the information relates to possible criminal conduct and has been or will be forwarded to an appropriate law enforcement agency for investigation. *See* Attorney General Opinion MW-575 (1982), Open Records Decision No. 493 (1988); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 372 (1983) (where incident involving allegedly criminal conduct is still under active investigation or prosecution, law enforcement exception may be invoked by any proper custodian of information which relates to incident). A governmental body that raises an exception to disclosure under section 552.108 must reasonably explain how and why

section 552.108 is applicable to that information. *See* Gov't Code § 552.301(e)(1)(A); *see also Ex parte Pruitt*, 551 S.W.2d 706 (Tex. 1977); Open Records Decision No. 434 at 2-3 (1986).

You state that “[a]lthough the requestor addressed his request to the [M.E.’s Office], the information sought is information directly related to an active criminal investigation being jointly conducted by [the DA], the Drug Enforcement Administration, the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the Texas Attorney General’s office, the Dallas Police Department, and the Health and Human Services Office of the Inspector General.” You further state that in response to this criminal investigation, law enforcement officers executed multiple search warrants at the business and residence of a named physician, and that the requested autopsy report is “inextricably related to the pending criminal investigation.” Finally, you state that the DA has reviewed the requested report and believes that “premature release of some of the information contained within the report would interfere with the active criminal investigation and subsequent criminal prosecution of individuals connected with this investigation.” Based on these representations and our review of the submitted report, we conclude that the M.E.’s Office may withhold the marked information in the report pursuant to section 552.108(a)(1). *See Houston Chronicle Publ’g Co. v. City of Houston*, 531 S.W.2d 177, 186-87 (Tex. Civ. App.–Houston [14th Dist.] 1975), *writ ref’d n.r.e. per curiam*, 536 S.W.2d 559 (Tex. 1976) (court delineates law enforcement interests that are present in active cases). Because we base our ruling on section 552.108, we need not address your argument under section 552.103 of the Government Code.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov’t Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be

provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Sarah I. Swanson  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

SIS/lmt

Ref: ID# 187714

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Mark Smith  
WFAA-TV Producer  
606 Young Street  
Dallas, Texas 75202-4870  
(w/o enclosures)