



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

September 29, 2003

Ms. Meredith Ladd  
Brown & Hofmeister, L.L.P.  
1717 Main Street, Suite 4300  
Dallas, Texas 75201

OR2003-6829

Dear Ms. Ladd:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 188464.

The Town of Flower Mound (the "town"), which you represent, received two requests for information relating to an arrest of a named individual. You claim that the requested information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.108 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

As a preliminary matter, you indicate that the town is holding the submitted documents on behalf of the grand jury. This office has concluded that grand juries are not subject to the Public Information Act (the "Act") and that records that are within the constructive possession of grand juries are not public information subject to disclosure under the Act. *See* Open Records Decision No. 513 (1988). When an individual or entity acts at the direction of the grand jury as its agent, information prepared or collected by the agent is within the grand jury's constructive possession and is not subject to the Act. *See id.* Information that is not so held or maintained is subject to the Act and may be withheld only if a specific exception to disclosure is applicable. *See id.* Thus, to the extent the submitted information is in the custody of the town as the agent of the grand jury, it is in the constructive possession of the grand jury and is therefore not subject to disclosure under the Act. However, to the extent that such information is not in the custody of the town as agent of the grand jury, we will address the public availability of the information under the Act.

We note that the submitted documents include an arrest warrant affidavit, the disclosure of which is governed by article 15.26 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. The 78<sup>th</sup> Legislature recently amended article 15.26 to add language providing:

The arrest warrant, and any affidavit presented to the magistrate in support of the issuance of the warrant, is public information, and beginning immediately when the warrant is executed the magistrate's clerk shall make a copy of the warrant and the affidavit available for public inspection in the clerk's office during normal business hours. A person may request the clerk to provide copies of the warrant and affidavit on payment of the cost of providing the copies.

Act of May 31, 2003, 78th Leg., R.S., ch. 390, § 1, 2003 Tex. Sess. Law Serv. 1631 (to be codified as amendment to Crim. Proc. Code art. 15.26). This provision makes the submitted affidavit expressly public. The exceptions found in the Act do not, as a general rule, apply to information that is made public by other statutes. *See* Open Records Decision No. 525 (1989) (statutory predecessor). Therefore, in the event the submitted information is not held by the town as the agent of the grand jury, the town must release the submitted affidavit, which we have marked, to the requestor.

We next address your claim under section 552.108 with respect to the remaining submitted information. Section 552.108(a) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure “[i]nformation held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime . . . if: (1) release of the information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime.” Generally, a governmental body claiming section 552.108 must reasonably explain how and why the release of the requested information would interfere with law enforcement. *See* Gov't Code §§ 552.108(a)(1), (b)(1), .301(e)(1)(A); *see also Ex parte Pruitt*, 551 S.W.2d 706 (Tex. 1977). You indicate that the submitted information relates to a pending criminal prosecution. Based on your representations and our review, we determine that the release of the remaining information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime. *See Houston Chronicle Publ'g Co. v. City of Houston*, 531 S.W.2d 177 (Tex. Civ. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 1975), *writ ref'd n.r.e. per curiam*, 536 S.W.2d 559 (Tex. 1976) (court delineates law enforcement interests that are present in active cases).

We note, however, that basic information about an arrested person, an arrest, or a crime is generally considered public. *See generally* Gov't Code § 552.108(c); *Houston Chronicle*, 531 S.W.2d 177; Open Records Decision No. 127 (1976) (summarizing types of information considered to be basic information). Thus, in the event the submitted information is not held by the town as the agent of the grand jury, you must release the types of information that are considered to be basic front page offense report information, even if this information is not actually located on the front page of the offense report.

In summary, if the town holds the submitted information as the agent of the grand jury, the information is in the constructive possession of the grand jury and is not subject to disclosure under the Act. However, if the information is not held by the town as the agent of the grand jury, the town must release the marked affidavit pursuant to article 15.26 of the Code of Criminal Procedure and must release basic information, but may withhold the remainder of the submitted information pursuant to section 552.108(a)(1) of the Government Code.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or

complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



David R. Saldivar  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

DRS/seg

Ref: ID# 188464

Enc: Submitted documents

c: Mr. Kenneth L. Johnson  
3eyes Investigations, L.L.C.  
2807 Allen Street, Suite 697  
Dallas, Texas 75204-4094  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Kevin Malone  
211 North Record Street, Suite 450  
Dallas, Texas 75202  
(w/o enclosures)