



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

September 30, 2003

Mr. Carey L. Bovey  
Bovey, Akers & Bojorquez, LLP  
12325 Hymeadow Drive, Suite 3-200  
Austin, Texas 78750

OR2003-6875

Dear Mr. Bovey:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 188640.

The Gun Barrel City Economic Development Corporation (the "corporation"), which you represent, received two requests from different requestors for "insurance policies covering directors and officers of the [corporation]" for specified periods of time. You claim that the requested information is excepted from disclosure pursuant to sections 552.101 and 552.103 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and have reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note that the submitted information is subject to section 552.022 of the Government Code. Section 552.022 makes certain information public, unless it is expressly confidential under other law. *See* Gov't Code § 552.022(a). One category of public information under section 552.022 is "information in an account, voucher, or contract relating to the receipt or expenditure of public or other funds by a governmental body[.]" *Id.* § 552.022(a)(3). The submitted insurance policies consist of information in a contract relating to the expenditure of funds by a governmental body. Thus, the submitted information must be released, unless it is confidential under other law or is excepted from disclosure under section 552.108 of the Government Code.<sup>1</sup> Although the corporation claims that this information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.103 of the Government

---

<sup>1</sup> We note that the corporation does not claim that any portion of the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.108 of the Government Code.

Code, we note that this exception to disclosure is a discretionary exception to disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act") and, as such, does not constitute "other law" that makes information confidential.<sup>2</sup> Accordingly, we conclude that the corporation may not withhold any portion of the submitted information under section 552.103 of the Government Code. However, since the corporation claims that the submitted information is also excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code, we will address that claim.

You claim that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure pursuant to section 552.101 in conjunction with section 101.104 of the Civil Practice and Remedies Code.<sup>3</sup> Section 101.104 provides:

- (a) Neither the existence nor the amount of insurance held by a governmental unit is admissible in the trial of a suit under [the Texas Tort Claims Act].
- (b) Neither the existence nor the amount of the insurance is subject to discovery.

Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code § 101.104. Section 101.104 provides that insurance information is not discoverable or admissible as evidence during litigation proceeding under the Texas Tort Claims Act, chapter 101 of the Civil Practice and Remedies Code. *See City of Bedford v. Schattman*, 776 S.W.2d 812, 813-14 (Tex. App.--Fort Worth 1989, orig. proceeding) (protection from producing evidence of insurance coverage under section 101.104 limited to actions brought under Tort Claims Act). Section 101.104, however, is a civil discovery privilege and does not make insurance information expressly confidential for purposes of section 552.101. *See* Open Records Decision No. 551 at 3 (1990) (provisions of section 101.104 "are not relevant to the availability of the information to the public"); *see also* Attorney General Opinion JM-1048 (1989); Open Records Decision Nos. 647 at 2 (1996) (information that may be privileged in civil discovery context may not be withheld pursuant to section 552.101), 575 at 2 (1990) (explicitly stating that discovery privileges are

---

<sup>2</sup> Discretionary exceptions are intended to protect only the interests of the governmental body, as distinct from exceptions which are intended to protect information deemed confidential by law or the interests of third parties. *See, e.g.*, Open Records Decision Nos. 630 at 4 (1994) (governmental body may waive attorney-client privilege, section 552.107(1)), 551 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.103 serves only to protect governmental body's position in litigation and does not itself make information confidential), 522 at 4 (1989) (discretionary exceptions in general), 473 (1987) (governmental body may waive statutory predecessor to section 552.111); *see also Dallas Area Rapid Transit v. Dallas Morning News*, 4 S.W.3d 469, 475-76 (Tex. App.--Dallas 1999, no pet.) (governmental body may waive section 552.103). Discretionary exceptions, therefore, do not constitute "other law" that makes information confidential.

<sup>3</sup> Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision. *See* Gov't Code § 552.101. Section 552.101 encompasses information that is protected from disclosure by other statutes.

not covered under statutory predecessor to section 552.101). The Texas Supreme Court has determined that the discovery privileges found in the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure and the Texas Rules of Evidence "are 'other law' within the meaning of section 552.022." *In re City of Georgetown*, 53 S.W.3d 328 (Tex. 2001). However, section 101.104 of the Civil Practices and Remedies Code is not such a privilege. Accordingly, we conclude that the corporation may not withhold any portion of the submitted information under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 101.104 of the Civil Practice and Remedies Code.

We note, however, that portions of the submitted information are excepted from disclosure pursuant to section 552.130 of the Government Code. Section 552.130(a) excepts from disclosure information that relates to: "(1) a motor vehicle operator's or driver's license or permit issued by an agency of this state; (2) a motor vehicle title or registration issued by an agency of this state; or (3) a personal identification document issued by an agency of this state or a local agency authorized to issue an identification document." Gov't Code § 552.130(a)(1)-(3). Accordingly, we conclude that the corporation must withhold the information that we have marked pursuant to section 552.130 of the Government Code.

Further, we note that portions of the submitted information are copyrighted. A custodian of public records must comply with the copyright law and is not required to furnish copies of records that are copyrighted. *See* Attorney General Opinion JM-672 (1987). A governmental body must allow inspection of copyrighted materials unless an exception applies to the information. *See id.* If a member of the public wishes to make copies of copyrighted materials, the person must do so unassisted by the governmental body. In making such copies, the member of the public assumes the duty of compliance with the copyright law and the risk of a copyright infringement suit. *See* Open Records Decision No. 550 (1990).

In summary, the corporation must withhold the information that we have marked pursuant to section 552.130 of the Government Code. The corporation must release the remaining submitted information to the requestors in compliance with applicable copyright law.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the

governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Ronald J. Bounds  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

RJB/lmt

Ref: ID# 188640

Enc. Marked documents

c: Mr. Clayton L. Melton  
Shaw & Associates  
620 West Main Street  
Gun Barrel City, Texas 75156  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Henry Burton  
110 Randy Street  
Gun Barrel City, Texas 75156  
(w/o enclosures)