



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

October 29, 2003

Ms. J. Middlebrooks
Assistant City Attorney
Dallas Police Department
1400 South Lamar Street #300A
Dallas, Texas 75215-1801

OR2003-7781

Dear Ms. Middlebrooks:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 190147.

The Dallas Police Department (the "department") received a request for personnel files, citations, commendations, investigations, and employment records of a named detective. You claim that the requested information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.108, 552.117, and 552.130 of the Government Code.¹ We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.²

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." This section encompasses information protected by other statutes. Criminal history record information ("CHRI") generated by the National Crime Information Center ("NCIC") or by the Texas Crime Information Center ("TCIC") is confidential. Title 28, part 20 of the Code of Federal Regulations governs the release of CHRI that states obtain from the federal government or other states. Open Records Decision No. 565 (1990). The federal regulations

¹We note that the department also raises sections 552.103, 552.107, 552.111, 552.119, 552.122, 552.127, 552.136, and 552.137 of the Government Code as exceptions to disclosure. However, you have not provided this office with arguments applying those exceptions to the submitted information. Therefore, we will not consider whether the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under any of these sections. *See* Gov't Code §§ 552.301(e), .302.

²We assume that the "sample" of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

allow each state to follow its individual law with respect to CHRI it generates. *Id.* Section 411.083 of the Government Code deems confidential CHRI that the Department of Public Safety (“DPS”) maintains, except that the DPS may disseminate this information as provided in chapter 411, subchapter F of the Government Code. *See* Gov’t Code § 411.083.

Sections 411.083(b)(1) and 411.089(a) authorize a criminal justice agency to obtain CHRI; however, a criminal justice agency may not release CHRI except to another criminal justice agency for a criminal justice purpose. *Id.* § 411.089(b)(1). Other entities specified in chapter 411 of the Government Code are entitled to obtain CHRI from DPS or another criminal justice agency; however, those entities may not release CHRI except as provided by chapter 411.³ *See generally id.* §§ 411.090 - .127. Thus, any CHRI generated by the federal government or another state may not be made available to the requestor except in accordance with federal regulations. *See* Open Records Decision No. 565 (1990). Furthermore, any CHRI obtained from DPS or any other criminal justice agency must be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with Government Code chapter 411, subchapter F. Therefore, if the department has responsive CHRI in its possession and it falls within the ambit of these state and federal regulations, the department must withhold the CHRI from the requestor under section 552.101.

The submitted documents contain fingerprint information that is subject to sections 559.001, 559.002, and 559.003 of the Government Code. They provide as follows:

Sec. 559.001. DEFINITIONS. In this chapter:

- (1) “Biometric identifier” means a retina or iris scan, fingerprint, voiceprint, or record of hand or face geometry.
- (2) “Governmental body” has the meaning assigned by Section 552.003 [of the Government Code], except that the term includes each entity within or created by the judicial branch of state government

Sec. 559.002. DISCLOSURE OF BIOMETRIC IDENTIFIER. A governmental body that possesses a biometric identifier of an individual:

- (1) may not sell, lease, or otherwise disclose the biometric identifier to another person unless:
 - (A) the individual consents to the disclosure;

³We note that the statutory definition of CHRI does not encompass driving record information maintained by the DPS under subchapter C of chapter 521 of the Transportation Code. *See* Gov’t Code § 411.082(2) (defining “criminal history record information”).

(B) the disclosure is required or permitted by a federal statute or by a state statute other than Chapter 552 [of the Government Code]; or

(C) the disclosure is made by or to a law enforcement agency for a law enforcement purpose; and

(2) shall store, transmit, and protect from disclosure the biometric identifier using reasonable care and in a manner that is the same as or more protective than the manner in which the governmental body stores, transmits, and protects its other confidential information.

Sec. 559.003. APPLICATION OF CHAPTER 552. A biometric identifier in the possession of a governmental body is exempt from disclosure under Chapter 552.

It does not appear to this office that section 559.002 permits the disclosure of the submitted fingerprint information. Therefore, the department must withhold this information under section 552.101 in conjunction with section 559.003 of the Government Code.

The submitted information includes an accident report form that appears to have been completed pursuant to chapter 550 of the Transportation Code. *See* Transp. Code § 550.064 (Texas Peace Officer's Accident Report form). Section 550.065(b) of the Transportation Code states that except as provided by subsection(c), accident reports are privileged and confidential. Section 550.065(c)(4) provides for the release of accident reports to a person who provides two of the following three pieces of information: (1) date of the accident; (2) name of any person involved in the accident; and (3) specific location of the accident. Transp. Code § 550.065(c)(4). Under this provision, the Department of Public Safety or another governmental body is required to release a copy of an accident report to a person who provides the governmental body with two or more pieces of information specified by the statute. *Id.* In this instance, the requestor has not supplied two of the three pieces of information required by the statute. Accordingly, the department must withhold the marked accident report form pursuant to section 552.101 in conjunction with section 550.065(b) of the Transportation Code.

You assert that the portions of the requested information are confidential under the Medical Practice Act (the "MPA"), chapter 159 of the Occupations Code. Section 159.002 of the MPA provides in pertinent part:

(b) A record of the identity, diagnosis, evaluation, or treatment of a patient by a physician that is created or maintained by a physician is confidential and privileged and may not be disclosed except as provided by this chapter.

(c) A person who receives information from a confidential communication or record as described by this chapter, other than a person listed in Section 159.004 who is acting on the patient's behalf, may not disclose the information except to the extent that disclosure is consistent with the authorized purposes for which the information was first obtained.

Medical records must be released upon the patient's signed, written consent, provided that the consent specifies (1) the information to be covered by the release, (2) reasons or purposes for the release, and (3) the person to whom the information is to be released. Occ. Code §§ 159.004, .005. Section 159.002(c) also requires that any subsequent release of medical records be consistent with the purposes for which the governmental body obtained the records. Open Records Decision No. 565 at 7 (1990). Medical records may be released only as provided under the MPA. Open Records Decision No. 598 (1991). For your convenience, we have marked the documents which constitute medical records subject to the MPA.

You also indicate that the submitted information contains mental health records. Section 611.002 of the Health and Safety Code applies to "[c]ommunications between a patient and a professional, [and] records of the identity, diagnosis, evaluation, or treatment of a patient that are created or maintained by a professional." *See also* Health & Safety Code § 611.001 (defining "patient" and "professional"). We have marked mental health records that are within the scope of section 611.002 and may not be released except in accordance with sections 611.004 and 611.0045 of the Health and Safety Code. Health & Safety Code § 611.002(b); *see id.* §§ 611.004, 611.0045.

The submitted documents also include information relating to a polygraph examination. Section 1703.306(b) of the Occupations Code provides that "[a] governmental agency that acquires information from a polygraph examination under this section shall maintain the confidentiality of the information." The department must withhold the polygraph examination information we have marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 1703.306(b) of the Occupations Code.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses information protected by the common-law right to privacy. *Industrial Found. v. Texas Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668 (Tex. 1976). Common-law privacy protects information if it is highly intimate or embarrassing, such that its release would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, *and* it is of no legitimate concern to the public. *Id.* at 683-85. In *Industrial Foundation*, the Texas Supreme Court considered intimate and embarrassing information that relates to sexual assault, pregnancy, mental or physical abuse in the workplace, illegitimate children, psychiatric treatment of mental disorders, attempted suicide, and injuries to sexual organs. *Id.* at 683. This office has also determined that common-law privacy protects the following information: the kinds of prescription drugs a person is taking, Open Records Decision No. 455 (1987); the results of mandatory urine testing, *id.*; illnesses, operations, and physical handicaps of applicants, *id.*; the fact that a person attempted suicide, Open Records Decision No. 422 (1984); and information regarding drug overdoses, acute alcohol intoxication, obstetrical/gynecological illnesses, convulsions/seizures, or emotional/mental distress, Open

Records Decision No. 343 (1982). Further, prior decisions of this office have found that financial information relating only to an individual ordinarily satisfies the first requirement of the test for common-law privacy; however, the public has a legitimate interest in the essential facts about a financial transaction between an individual and a governmental body. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 600 (1992), 545 (1990), 373 (1983).

Upon review, we conclude that the some of the information submitted to this office is both highly intimate or embarrassing and of no legitimate public interest. The department must withhold the information we have marked as coming within the common-law right of privacy under section 552.101.

Next, we address your argument under section 552.117 of the Government Code. Section 552.117(a)(2) excepts from disclosure the present and former home addresses and telephone numbers, social security numbers, and family member information of a peace officer regardless of whether the officer requests confidentiality for that information under section 552.024.⁴ You indicate that the individual at issue was a licensed peace officer when the department received this request, and that the individual is currently a licensed peace officer. Therefore, the department must withhold the information you have marked, as well as additional information we have marked, under section 552.117(a)(2). We have also marked a small portion of information which you have marked, but which may not be withheld under section 552.117(a)(2).

We note that the submitted materials contain the social security number of an individual other than the named department officer. This social security number may be confidential under federal law. Section 552.101 also encompasses amendments to the Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. § 405(c)(2)(C)(viii)(I), that make confidential social security numbers and related records that are obtained and maintained by a state agency or political subdivision of the state pursuant to any provision of law enacted on or after October 1, 1990. *See* Open Records Decision No. 622 (1994). We have no basis for concluding that the social security number at issue is confidential under section 405(c)(2)(C)(viii)(I) and therefore excepted from public disclosure under section 552.101 on the basis of that federal provision. We caution, however, that section 552.352 of the Government Code imposes criminal penalties for the release of confidential information. Prior to releasing any social security number information, you should ensure that such information is not obtained or maintained by the department pursuant to any provision of law, enacted on or after October 1, 1990.

You also argue that portions of the submitted information which would identify undercover officers is excepted under section 552.108 of the Government Code. Section 552.108(b)(1) of the Government Code excepts from required public disclosure “[a]n internal record or notation of a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that is maintained for internal use in matters relating to law enforcement or prosecution . . . if . . . release of the internal record or notation would interfere with law enforcement or prosecution.” We have reviewed your

⁴“Peace officer” is defined by article 2.12 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

arguments for withholding the information at issue and conclude that you have established how the release of the requested information revealing the identities of undercover police officers would interfere with law enforcement. *See* Open Records Decision No. 211 (1978) (information revealing identity of undercover narcotics officers excepted under section 552.108). The department may, therefore, withhold the information you have marked pertaining to undercover police officers pursuant to section 552.108(b)(1).

Finally, section 552.130 excepts from public disclosure information relating to a driver's license or motor vehicle title or registration issued by an agency of this state. Gov't Code § 552.130. Thus, the department must withhold the Texas driver's license and license plate information we have marked under section 552.130.

In summary, we conclude the department must withhold the following information under section 552.101 of the Government Code: 1) any criminal history record information; 2) the submitted fingerprints under section 559.003 of the Government Code; 3) the accident report form under section 550.065(b) of the Transportation Code; 4) the information we have marked pursuant to section 1703.306 of the Occupations Code; and 5) the information we have marked under common-law privacy. Additionally, we conclude that: 6) the medical record information we have marked is subject to the MPA and may only be released accordingly; 7) the mental health record information we have marked is confidential under section 611.002 and may only be released in accordance with sections 611.004 and 611.0045 of the Health and Safety Code; and 8) you must withhold the section 552.117(a)(2) and 552.130 information. You may also withhold the information you have marked under section 552.108. All remaining information must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be

provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Sarah I. Swanson
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

SIS/lmt

Ref: ID# 190147

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Allen Manning
Desk Editor
KTVT/CBS 11 News
10111 North Central Expressway
Dallas, Texas 75231
(w/o enclosures)