



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

January 30, 2004

Mr. Mike McEntire
Roanoke City Attorney
5613 Glenview Drive
Fort Worth, Texas 76117-2133

OR2004-0682

Dear Mr. McEntire:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 195266.

The City of Roanoke (the "city"), which you represent, received a request for all reports relating to a specified incident. You state that the city will release some information but claim that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.101 excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." You assert the information at issue is not subject to release pursuant to regulations promulgated pursuant to the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 ("HIPAA"), and that the information is therefore excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 in conjunction with these regulations. At the direction of Congress, the Secretary of Health and Human Services ("HHS") promulgated regulations setting privacy standards for medical records, which HHS issued as the Federal Standards for Privacy of Individually Identifiable Health Information. *See* Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, 42 U.S.C. § 1320d-2 (Supp. IV 1998) (historical & statutory note); Standards for Privacy of Individually Identifiable Health Information, 45 C.F.R. Pts. 160, 164; *see also* Attorney General Opinion JC-0508 at 2 (2002). These standards govern the releasability of protected health information by a covered entity. *See* 45 C.F.R. Pts. 160, 164. Under these standards, a covered entity may not use or disclose protected health information, excepted as provided by parts 160 and 164 of the Code of Federal Regulations. 45 C.F.R. § 164.502(a).

Section 160.103 defines a covered entity as a health plan, a health clearinghouse, or a health care provider who transmits any health information in electronic form in connection with a transaction covered by subchapter C, Subtitle A of Title 45. 45 C.F.R. § 160.103. In this instance, you have failed to adequately demonstrate how the city is a covered entity under HIPAA. Consequently, we conclude that HIPAA is inapplicable, and the submitted information may not be withheld under section 552.101 on that basis.

You also assert that the submitted information is confidential under section 773.091 of the Health and Safety Code. Section 773.091 is applicable to information that relates to the provision of emergency medical services and provides in part:

(a) A communication between certified emergency medical services personnel or a physician providing medical supervision and a patient that is made in the course of providing emergency medical services to the patient is confidential and privileged and may not be disclosed except as provided by this chapter.

(b) Records of the identity, evaluation or treatment of a patient by emergency medical services personnel or by a physician providing medical supervision that are created by the emergency medical services personnel or physician or maintained by an emergency medical services provider are confidential and privileged and may not be disclosed except as provided by this chapter.

(c) Any person who receives information from confidential communications or records as described by this chapter, other than a person listed in Section 773.092 who is acting on the survivor's behalf, may not disclose the information except to the extent that disclosure is consistent with the authorized purposes for which the information was obtained.

Health & Safety Code § 773.091(a)-(c). Section 773.091 further provides, however, that

[t]he privilege of confidentiality under this section does not extend to information regarding the presence, nature of injury or illness, age, sex, occupation, and city of residence of a patient who is receiving emergency medical services.

Id. § 773.091(g). Review of the submitted information indicates that it relates to communications and the medical evaluation and treatment of a patient by certified emergency medical personnel of the city's fire department. You state that the city has not received authorization for the release of the submitted information. *See id.* §§ 773.092, 773.093. Thus, we agree that most of the submitted information is made confidential by section 773.091.

However, as noted above, information regarding the presence, nature of injury or illness, age, sex, occupation, and city of residence of the patients receiving emergency medical services is not confidential under section 773.091 and may not be withheld on that basis. Because you claim no other exception for such information and it is not otherwise confidential by law, the city must release the listed information from the submitted records. The remaining information in the submitted records must be withheld in accordance with section 552.101 in conjunction with section 773.091.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or

complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Amy Peterson".

Amy D. Peterson
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

ADP/sdk

Ref: ID# 195266

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Ms. Pauline Twomey
203 Oakmont Drive
Trophy Club, Texas 76262
(w/o enclosures)