



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

March 5, 2004

Ms. Julie Joe
Assistant County Attorney
Travis County
P. O. Box 1748
Austin, Texas 78767

OR2004-1690

Dear Ms. Joe:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 197281.

The Travis County Attorney's Office (the "county attorney") received a request for information pertaining to a specified incident. You state that the county attorney is providing the requestor with some responsive information. You claim, however, that some of the requested information is excepted from disclosure pursuant to sections 552.101 and 552.119 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and have reviewed the submitted information.

You claim that portions of the submitted videotape may be excepted from disclosure pursuant to section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with the common-law right to privacy.¹ Information is protected from disclosure under the common-law right to privacy if it (1) contains highly intimate or embarrassing facts, the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) is not of legitimate concern to the public. See *Industrial Found. v. Texas Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976), *cert. denied*, 430 U.S. 931 (1977). The type of information considered intimate and embarrassing by the Texas Supreme Court in *Industrial Foundation* included information relating to sexual assault, pregnancy, mental or physical abuse in the workplace, illegitimate children, psychiatric treatment of mental disorders, attempted suicide, and injuries to sexual organs. See *id.* at 683. This office has also found that some kinds of medical information or information indicating disabilities or specific illnesses are excepted

¹ Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision. See Gov't Code § 552.101. Section 552.101 encompasses information that is protected from disclosure by the common-law right to privacy.

from disclosure under common-law privacy. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 470 (1987) (illness from severe emotional and job-related stress), 455 (1987) (prescription drugs, illnesses, operations, and physical handicaps). After carefully reviewing your representations and the submitted videotape, we find that no portion of this videotape is protected from disclosure under the common-law right to privacy. Accordingly, we conclude that the county attorney may not withhold any portion of the submitted videotape under section 552.101 of the Government Code on that basis.

You also claim that portions of the submitted videotape are excepted from disclosure pursuant to section 552.119 of the Government Code. Section 552.119 excepts from public disclosure a photograph of a peace officer that, if released, would endanger the life or physical safety of the officer unless one of three exceptions applies. The three exceptions are: (1) the officer is under indictment or charged with an offense by information; (2) the officer is a party in a fire or police civil service hearing or a case in arbitration; or (3) the photograph is introduced as evidence in a judicial proceeding. This section also provides that a photograph excepted from disclosure under this section may be made public, only if the peace officer gives written consent to the disclosure. In this instance, you have not demonstrated, nor is it apparent from our review of the submitted videotape, that the release of any portion of the videotape would endanger the life or physical safety of any officer depicted in that videotape. Accordingly, we conclude that the county attorney may not withhold any portion of the submitted videotape under section 552.119 of the Government Code. Consequently, the county attorney must release the submitted videotape to the requestor in its entirety.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental


body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Ronald J. Bounds
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

RJB/lmt

Ref: ID# 197281

Enc. Submitted videotape

c: Mr. Albert Nelson
4209 Avenue B, #206
Austin, Texas 78751
(w/o enclosure)