



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

March 15, 2004

Mr. Noble D. Walker, Jr.  
Scott, Walker & Bench  
P.O. Box 1353  
Greenville, Texas 75403-1353

OR2004-1952

Dear Mr. Walker:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "PIA"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 200265.

The City of Greenville Police Department (the "department") received a request for information. You claim that a debit card number in the requested information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.136 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.136 of the Government Code states that "[n]otwithstanding any other provision of this chapter, a credit card, debit card, charge card, or access device number that is collected, assembled, or maintained by or for a governmental body is confidential." Gov't Code § 552.136. The information at issue is a debit card number that, in the usual case, section 552.136 would protect. However, here, the owner of the number is no longer living. Section 552.136 was enacted to protect the privacy of an individual, and therefore, the protection extinguishes upon the individual's death. *See Moore v. Charles B. Pierce Film Enters., Inc.*, 589 S.W.2d 489, 491 (Tex. App.—Texarkana 1979, writ ref'd n.r.e.) (because "the right of privacy is purely personal," that right "terminates upon the death of the person whose privacy is invaded."); *see also Justice v. Belo Broadcasting Corp.*, 472 F. Supp. 145, 146-47 (N.D. Tex. 1979) ("action for invasion of privacy can be maintained only by a living individual whose privacy is invaded") (quoting Restatement of Torts 2d). This conclusion is consistent with prior decisions of this office, which held that exceptions in the PIA that only protect a person's privacy interest do not survive the death of that person. *See Attorney General Opinion H-917 (1976)* (common-law privacy under sections 552.101 and 552.102

lapses on person's death); Open Records Decision Nos. 536 (1989) (section 552.119 does not except peace officer's photograph after officer's death), 524 (1989) (section 552.114 does not except student records after student's death). Thus, pursuant to section 552.136, the department must withhold the debit number if the account was jointly owned by the deceased and a person who is a joint holder of the account. Otherwise, the department must release the debit card number.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or

complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Kay Hastings".

Kay Hastings  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

KH/seg

Ref: ID# 200265

Enc: Submitted documents

c: Ms. Dee Duke  
4608 Barbara Drive  
Knoxville, Tennessee 37918  
(w/o enclosures)