



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

April 20, 2004

Ms. Jennifer Soldano
Associate General Counsel
Texas Department of Transportation
125 East 11th Street
Austin, Texas 78701-2483

OR2004-3195

Dear Ms. Soldano:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 199641.

The Texas Department of Transportation (the "department") received a request for "the entire project file on Project BR 2001 (208), including plans and specifications." You claim that the requested information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101 and 552.111 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted sample of information.¹

Initially, we note that the submitted information includes a document that is subject to section 552.022 of the Government Code. Section 552.022 enumerates several categories of information that are not excepted from required disclosure unless they "are expressly confidential under other law." This section provides in pertinent part:

- (a) Without limiting the amount or kind of information that is public information under this chapter, the following categories of information are public information and not excepted from required disclosure under this chapter unless they are expressly confidential under other law:

¹ We assume that the representative sample of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. See Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

(1) a completed report, audit, evaluation, or investigation made of, for, or by a governmental body, except as provided by Section 552.108[.]

Gov't Code § 552.022(a)(1). The submitted information contains a completed report, which is expressly public under section 552.022(a)(1). You argue that the completed report is excepted from disclosure under section 552.111. However, this exception is a discretionary exception under the Public Information Act ("Act") and does not constitute "other law" for purposes of section 552.022. See Open Records Decision Nos. 665 at 2 n.5 (2000) (discretionary exceptions in general), 470 (1987) (statutory predecessor to section 552.111 is discretionary exception). Consequently, you may not withhold the section 552.022 information we have marked under section 552.111 of the Government Code.

You also contend that the completed report is confidential under section 409 of title 23 of the United States Code. Section 409 provides as follows:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, reports, surveys, schedules, lists, or data compiled or collected for the purpose of identifying [sic] evaluating, or planning the safety enhancement of potential accident sites, hazardous roadway conditions, or railway-highway crossings, pursuant to sections 130, 144, and 152 of this title or for the purpose of developing any highway safety construction improvement project which may be implemented utilizing Federal-aid highway funds shall not be subject to discovery or admitted into evidence in a Federal or State court proceeding or considered for other purposes in any action for damages arising from any occurrence at a location mentioned or addressed in such reports, surveys, schedules, lists, or data.

Federal courts have stated that section 409 excludes from evidence data compiled for purposes of highway and railroad crossing safety enhancement and construction for which a state receives federal funding, in order to facilitate candor in administrative evaluations of highway safety hazards and to prevent federally-required record-keeping from being used for purposes of private litigation. See *Harrison v. Burlington N. R.R. Co.*, 965 F.2d 155, 160 (7th Cir. 1992); *Robertson v. Union Pac. R.R. Co.*, 954 F.2d 1433, 1435 (8th Cir. 1992). We agree that section 409 of title 23 of the United States Code is other law for purposes of section 552.022(a) of the Government Code. See *In re City of Georgetown*, 53 S.W.3d 328 (Tex. 2001); see also *Pierce County v. Guillen*, 123 S.Ct. 720 (2003) (upholding constitutionality of section 409, upon which county relied in denying request under state's Public Disclosure Act).

You state that bridges, including bridges not located on the National Highway System or the state highway system, are always eligible for federal aid under section 144 of title 23 of the United States Code and are therefore federal-aid highways within the meaning of section 409 of title 23 of the United States Code. You further assert that section 409 of title 23 would protect this information from discovery in civil litigation. Therefore, after reviewing your

arguments and the information subject to section 552.022, we conclude that the department must withhold this information under section 409 of title 23 of the United States Code.

As to the remaining information, you claim that it is excepted from disclosure under section 552.111 because it would be privileged from discovery under section 409 of title 23 of the United States Code. Section 552.111 excepts from disclosure “an interagency or intraagency memorandum or letter that would not be available by law to a party in litigation with the agency.” You assert that section 409 of title 23 would protect the remaining information, and you characterize the information that the department seeks to withhold as intraagency memoranda. You therefore contend that the remaining submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.111. Thus, based on your representations and our review, we determine that the remaining submitted information would be protected from discovery in litigation under section 409 of title 23 of the United States Code. We therefore conclude that the department may withhold the remaining submitted information under section 552.111 of the Government Code.

In summary, the department must withhold the submitted information that is subject to section 552.022 of the Government Code under section 409 of title 23 of the United States Code. The department may withhold the remaining submitted information under section 552.111 of the Government Code.²

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov’t Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the

² Because our ruling is dispositive, we need not address your other argument that the information at issue is also excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 in conjunction with section 418.181 of the Government Code.

governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Lauren E. Kleine
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

LEK/seg

Ref: ID# 199641

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Johnnie Dillard
Miller & McCarthy, P.C.
3811 Turtle Creek Boulevard, Suite 1950
Dallas, Texas 75219
(w/o enclosures)