



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

May 12, 2004

Ms. Deena Wallace
Associate General Counsel
The Texas A & M University System
200 Technology Way, Suite 2079
College Station, Texas 77845-3424

OR2004-3868

Dear Ms. Wallace:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 201459.

Texas A&M University – Corpus Christi (the “university”) received a request for the winning proposal of the awarded vendor for Request for Proposal #3-0016 Print Management System. Although you make no arguments and take no position as to whether the submitted information is excepted from disclosure, pursuant to section 552.305 of the Government Code, you have notified IKON Office Solutions, Inc. (“IKON”) of the request and of its opportunity to submit comments to this office. *See* Gov’t Code § 552.305 (permitting interested third party to submit to attorney general reasons why requested information should not be released); Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (determining that statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception to disclosure in certain circumstances). We have reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, as you acknowledge, the university has not sought an open records decision from this office within the ten business day time period prescribed by section 552.301 of the Government Code. *See* Gov’t Code § 552.301(a), (b). When a governmental body fails to comply with the procedural requirements of section 552.301, the information at issue is presumed public. *See* Gov’t Code § 552.302; *Hancock v. State Bd. of Ins.*, 797 S.W.2d 379 (Tex. App.–Austin 1990, no writ); *City of Houston v. Houston Chronicle Publ’g Co.*, 673 S.W.2d 316, 323 (Tex. App.–Houston [1st Dist.] 1984, no writ); Open Records Decision No. 319 (1982). The governmental body must show a compelling interest to withhold the information to overcome this presumption. *See id.* Normally, a compelling interest exists when some other source of law makes the information confidential or when third party interests are at stake. *See* Open Records Decision No. 630 (1994). Because the university

indicates that release of the submitted information may implicate third party interests, which can provide a compelling reason to overcome the presumption of openness, we will address this issue.

We note that an interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why requested information relating to that party should be withheld from disclosure. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, IKON has not submitted any comments to this office explaining how release of the requested information would affect its proprietary interests. Thus, we have no basis for concluding that IKON has a protected proprietary interest in any of the submitted information. *See, e.g.*, Gov't Code § 551.110(b) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual or evidentiary material, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that it actually faces competition and that substantial competitive injury would likely result from disclosure); Open Records Decision Nos. 639 at 4 (1996), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3 (1990). Thus, none of the submitted information may be withheld based on any proprietary interest of IKON. Accordingly, as you assert no other exceptions for our consideration, the university must generally release the submitted information to the requestor.

We note, however, that a portion of the submitted information is protected by copyright. A custodian of public records must comply with the copyright law and is not required to furnish copies of records that are protected by copyright. Attorney General Opinion JM-672 (1987). A governmental body must allow inspection of copyrighted materials unless an exception applies to the information. *Id.* If a member of the public wishes to make copies of materials protected by copyright, the person must do so unassisted by the governmental body. In making copies, the member of the public assumes the duty of compliance with the copyright law and the risk of a copyright infringement suit. *See* Open Records Decision No. 550 (1990).

Accordingly, the submitted information must be released to the requestor; however, information protected by copyright must be released in accordance with the applicable copyright law.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the

governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Sarah I. Swanson
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

SIS/krl

Ref: ID# 201459

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Al Coalla
c/o Ms. Deena Wallace
The Texas A & M University System
(w/o enclosures)