



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

July 6, 2004

Ms. Darlene Woodson Smith  
Assistant District Attorney  
Dallas County  
411 Elm Street, Suite 500  
Dallas, Texas 75202-3384

OR2004-5511

Dear Ms. Smith:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 204629.

Dallas County (the "county") received a request for "a copy of the 5 year TCO analysis done on the disk acquisition." You claim that the requested information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.104 of the Government Code. Additionally, you state, and provide documentation showing, that you have notified all interested third parties, Qnet, Mainline Information Systems, and Dell, Inc., of the county's receipt of the request for information pursuant to section 552.305 of the Government Code. *See Gov't Code* § 552.305 (permitting interested third party to submit to attorney general reasons why requested information should not be released); *Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990)* (determining that statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in Public Information Act ("Act") in certain circumstances). We have considered the submitted arguments and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note that an interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why requested information relating to that party should be withheld from disclosure. *See Gov't Code* § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, none of the notified third parties has submitted any comments to this office explaining how release of the requested information would affect its proprietary interests. Thus, we have no basis for

concluding that any third party has a protected proprietary interest in any of the submitted information. *See, e.g.*, Gov't Code § 551.110(b) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual or evidentiary material, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that it actually faces competition and that substantial competitive injury would likely result from disclosure); Open Records Decision Nos. 639 at 4 (1996), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3 (1990). Thus, none of the submitted information may be withheld based on any proprietary interest of any third party.

You state that section 552.104 of the Government Code is applicable to the submitted information. However, you have not submitted arguments explaining how this exception applies to the submitted information. Section 552.104 is a discretionary exception to disclosure that a governmental body may waive. *See* Gov't Code § 552.007; Open Records Decision Nos. 665 at 2 n.5 (2000) (addressing discretionary exceptions generally), 592 at 8 (1991) (statutory predecessor to section 552.104 could be waived). Therefore, because you have not submitted any arguments in support of your claim of section 552.104, we conclude that you have waived this exception. *See* Gov't Code §§ 552.301, .302. Accordingly, because you claim no other exception to disclosure, we conclude the submitted information must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report

that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Sarah I. Swanson  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

SIS/krl

Ref: ID# 204629

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Robin Melanson  
IBM Storage Systems Sales Specialist  
400 West 15<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 1200  
Austin, Texas 78701  
(w/o enclosures)