



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

July 22, 2004

Ms. Kimberly Martinez  
Executive Director  
Navarro County Children's Advocacy Center  
P.O. Box 6024  
Corsicana, Texas 75151

OR2004-6110

Dear Ms. Martinez:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 205729.

The Navarro County Children's Advocacy Center (the "center") received a request for a specified statistical report relating to Texas Court Appointed Special Advocates; several categories of information related to volunteers, board members, staff, and advisory board members; various information related to children served; communications with judges; minutes of all meetings; and all newsletters. You claim that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101 to 552.1425 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we must address the center's obligations under section 552.301 of the Government Code. Under section 552.301(e), a governmental body receiving a request for information that the governmental body wishes to withhold pursuant to an exception to disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act") is required to submit to this office within fifteen business days of receiving the request (1) general written comments stating the reasons why the stated exceptions apply that would allow the information to be withheld, (2) a copy of the written request for information, (3) a signed statement or sufficient evidence showing the date the governmental body received the written request, and (4) a copy of the specific information requested or representative samples, labeled to indicate which exceptions apply to which parts of the documents. In this case, the center has not submitted to this office

written comments stating the reasons why the exceptions that you raised would allow the information to be withheld.

Pursuant to section 552.302 of the Government Code, a governmental body's failure to comply with the procedural requirements of section 552.301 results in the legal presumption that the requested information is public and must be released, unless the governmental body demonstrates a compelling reason to withhold the information from disclosure. *See* Gov't Code § 552.302; *Hancock v. State Bd. of Ins.*, 797 S.W.2d 379, 381-82 (Tex. App.—Austin 1990, no writ) (governmental body must make compelling demonstration to overcome presumption of openness pursuant to statutory predecessor to section 552.302); Open Records Decision No. 319 (1982). Generally, a governmental body may demonstrate a compelling reason to withhold information by a showing that the information is made confidential by another source of law or affects third party interests. *See* Open Records Decision No. 630 (1994).

Section 552.101 excepts "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision" and encompasses information made confidential by constitutional law or judicial decision. In the opinion *In re Bay Area Citizens Against Lawsuit Abuse*, 982 S.W.2d 371 (Tex. 1998), the Texas Supreme Court determined that the First Amendment right to freedom of association could protect an advocacy organization's list of contributors from compelled disclosure through a discovery request in pending litigation. In reaching this conclusion, the court stated:

Freedom of association for the purpose of advancing ideas and airing grievances is a fundamental liberty guaranteed by the First Amendment. *NAACP v. Alabama*, 357 U.S. 449, 460, 78 S.Ct. 1163, 2 L.Ed.2d 1488 (1958). Compelled disclosure of the identities of an organization's members or contributors may have a chilling effect on the organization's contributors as well as on the organization's own activity. *See Buckley v. Valeo*, 424 U.S. 1, 66-68, 96 S.Ct. 612, 46 L.Ed.2d 659 (1976). For this reason, the First Amendment requires that a compelling state interest be shown before a court may order disclosure of membership in an organization engaged in the advocacy of particular beliefs. *Tilton*, 869 S.W.2d at 956 (citing *NAACP*, 357 U.S. at 462-63, 78 S.Ct. 1163). "[I]t is immaterial whether the beliefs sought to be advanced by association pertain to political, economic, religious or cultural matters, and state action which may have the effect of curtailing the freedom to associate is subject to the closest scrutiny." *Id.*

*Bay Area Citizens*, 982 S.W.2d at 375-76 (footnote omitted). The court held that the party resisting disclosure bears the initial burden of making a *prima facie* showing that disclosure will burden First Amendment rights but noted that "the burden must be light." *Id.* at 376. Quoting the United State Supreme Court's decision in *Buckley v. Valeo*, 424 U.S. 1, 74 (1976), the Texas court determined that the party resisting disclosure must show "a

reasonable probability that the compelled disclosure of a party's contributors' names will subject them to threats, harassment, or reprisals from either Government officials or private parties." *Id.* Such proof may include "specific evidence of past or present harassment of members due to their associational ties, or of harassment directed against the organization itself." *Id.*

Considering the representations made to this office, the supporting information submitted, and the totality of the circumstances, we find that disclosure of the identities of contributors to the center in this instance will burden First Amendment rights of freedom of association. We believe the term "contributor" encompasses both the identities of those individuals and corporations who make financial donations to the center and volunteers who donate their time and services to the center. *Id.* However, we note that the term "contributor" does not encompass members of the center's governing board. In addition, *Bay Area Citizens* does not make confidential information pertaining to the donations themselves, such as the amount donated or types of donations. *See id.* at 376-77 (only the names of contributors were at issue). Therefore, you must withhold the information that identifies contributors under section 552.101 pursuant to the right of association. We emphasize that the information must be withheld on this basis only to the extent reasonable and necessary to protect the identity of the contributor.

We note that portions of the submitted information may be protected under section 552.117 of the Government Code. Section 552.117(a)(1) exempts from public disclosure the present and former home addresses and telephone numbers, social security numbers, and family member information of current or former officials or employees of a governmental body who timely request that such information be kept confidential under section 552.024. Whether a particular piece of information is protected by section 552.117 must be determined at the time the request for it is received by the governmental body. *See Open Records Decision No. 530 at 5 (1989)*. Therefore, pursuant to section 552.117(a)(1), the center must withhold the section 552.117 information of a current or former official or employee who elected under section 552.024, prior to the center's receipt of this request, to keep that information confidential. The center may not withhold such information under section 552.117(a)(1) for an individual who did not make a timely election.

Finally, we note that the remaining submitted information contains e-mail addresses that are subject to section 552.137 of the Government Code. Section 552.137 exempts from disclosure "an e-mail address of a member of the public that is provided for the purpose of communicating electronically with a governmental body" unless the member of the public consents to its release or the e-mail address is of a type specifically excluded by subsection (c). *See Gov't Code § 552.137(a)-(c)*. Section 552.137 does not apply to a government employee's work e-mail address because such an address is not that of the employee as a "member of the public" but is instead the address of the individual as a government employee. The e-mail addresses at issue do not appear to be of a type specifically excluded by section 552.137(c). We have marked the e-mail addresses that the

center must withhold under section 552.137 unless their owners have affirmatively consented to their release.

In summary, the center must withhold the information that identifies contributors under section 552.101 in conjunction with the First Amendment right of association. The center must withhold the section 552.117 information of a current or former official or employee who timely elected to keep their information confidential. Unless the center has received affirmative consent to release any of the marked e-mail addresses, it must withhold them pursuant to section 552.137. The remaining information must be released to the requestor.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Debbie K. Lee  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

DKL/seg

Ref: ID# 205729

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Gary W. Gates  
2205 Avenue I, #117  
Rosenberg, Texas 77471  
(w/o enclosures)