



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

August 13, 2004

Ms. Jennifer Soldano
Associate General Counsel
Texas Department of Transportation
125 East 11th Street
Austin, Texas 78701-2483

OR2004-6877

Dear Ms. Soldano:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 207235.

The Texas Department of Transportation (the "department") received a request for the questions, answers, and scores pertaining to a specified job posting, as well as the "applicant applications" associated with that posting. You claim that some of the requested information is excepted from disclosure pursuant to section 552.122 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and have reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note that the department only submitted the following information related to the selected applicant for our review: 1) questions 3, 5, 6, and 10; 2) two "job simulations"; 3) the recommended and actual answers associated with those questions and simulations; and 4) test scores. We, therefore, presume that the department has already provided the requestor with all other information that is responsive to this request for information to the extent that it existed on the date that the department received this request. If not, then the department must do so at this time. *See Gov't Code §§ 552.006, .301, .302; see also Open Records Decision No. 664 (2000) (noting that if governmental body concludes that no exceptions apply to requested information, it must release information as soon as possible under circumstances).*

Section 552.122(b) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure test items developed by a licensing agency or governmental body. *See Gov't Code § 552.122(b).* In Open

Records Decision No. 626 (1994), this office determined that the term "test item" in section 552.122 includes any standard means by which an individual's or group's knowledge or ability in a particular area is evaluated, but does not encompass evaluations of an employee's overall job performance or suitability. Whether information falls within the section 552.122 exception must be determined on a case-by-case basis. *See* Open Records Decision No. 626 at 6 (1994). This office has generally found section 552.122 to apply in cases where release of "test items" might compromise the effectiveness of future examinations. *See id.* at 4-5; *see also* Open Records Decision No. 118 (1976). Additionally, when answers to test questions might reveal the questions themselves, the answers may be withheld under section 552.122(b). *See* Open Records Decision No. 626 at 8 (1994).

Based on your arguments and our review of the submitted information, we find that questions 3, 5, 6, and 10, and both job simulations test an individual's knowledge or ability in a particular area. We also find that the release of the answers to these questions and simulations would reveal the questions themselves. Accordingly, we conclude that the department may withhold those questions and simulations, as well as the recommended and actual answers associated with those questions and simulations, pursuant to section 552.122(b) of the Government Code. However, we also find that the remaining submitted information does not test an individual's knowledge or ability in a particular area. Accordingly, we also conclude that the department may not withhold any other portion of the submitted information under section 552.122(b) of the Government Code. Consequently, the department must release the remaining submitted information to the requestor.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be

provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Ronald J. Bounds
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

RJB/jev

Ref: ID# 207235

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. James R. Hancock
130 Britni Loop
Kyle, Texas 78640
(w/o enclosures)