



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

September 2, 2004

Mr. James Hall  
Texas Department of Criminal Justice  
P.O. Box 4004  
Huntsville, Texas 77342

OR2004-7504

Dear Mr. Hall:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 208619.

The Texas Department of Criminal Justice (the "department") received a request for all records pertaining to an interview for a specified job posting. You claim that some of the requested information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.117, 552.122, 552.130, and 552.137 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted representative sample of information.<sup>1</sup>

First, we will address your claims regarding the submitted Interview Documentation Forms. Section 552.122(b) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "a test item developed by a . . . governmental body[.]" Gov't Code § 552.122(b). In Open Records Decision No. 626 (1994), this office determined that the term "test item" in section 552.122 includes "any standard means by which an individual's or group's knowledge or ability in a particular area is evaluated," but does not encompass evaluations of an employee's overall job performance or suitability. Open Records Decision No. 626 at 6 (1994). The question of whether specific information falls within the scope of section 552.122(b) must be determined

---

<sup>1</sup> We assume that the "representative sample" of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach and, therefore, does not authorize the withholding of any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

on a case-by-case basis. *Id.* Traditionally, this office has applied section 552.122 where release of "test items" might compromise the effectiveness of future examinations. *Id.* at 4-5; *see also* Open Records Decision No. 118 (1976). Section 552.122 also protects the answers to test questions when the answers might reveal the questions themselves. *See* Attorney General Opinion JM-640 at 3 (1987); Open Records Decision No. 626 at 8 (1994).

You contend that the submitted interview questions and responses are excepted from disclosure under section 552.122(b). After reviewing the information, we agree that interview questions 1 through 5 test an individual's knowledge in a particular area and thus constitute "test items" as contemplated by section 552.122(b). Accordingly, the department may withhold interview questions 1 through 5, and the corresponding preferred and actual responses, pursuant to section 552.122(b) of the Government Code. We find, however, that question 6 is not a test item for purposes of section 552.122(b). Thus, the department may not withhold question 6 or the corresponding preferred and actual response to this question pursuant to section 552.122(b).

We next consider your claim under section 552.117 of the Government Code. Section 552.117(a)(3) excepts from public disclosure the present and former home addresses and telephone numbers, social security number, and family member information of a current or former employee of the department or the predecessor in function of the department or any division of the department, regardless of whether the current or former employee complies with section 552.1175. Thus, you must withhold the information you have highlighted in addition to the information we have marked pursuant to section 552.117(a)(3).

The submitted information also contains social security numbers of individuals outside the scope of section 552.117. These social security numbers may be excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 in conjunction with the 1990 amendments to the federal Social Security Act, section 405(c)(2)(C)(viii)(I) of title 42 of the United States Code.<sup>2</sup> *See* Open Records Decision No. 622 (1994). These amendments make confidential social security numbers and related records that are obtained or maintained by a state agency or political subdivision of the state pursuant to any provision of law enacted on or after October 1, 1990. *See id.* We have no basis for concluding that the social security numbers at issue are confidential under section 405(c)(2)(C)(viii)(I), and therefore excepted from public disclosure under section 552.101 on the basis of that federal provision. We caution, however, that section 552.352 of the Government Code imposes criminal penalties for the release of confidential information. Prior to releasing any social security number information, you should ensure that no such information was obtained or is maintained by the department pursuant to any provision of law enacted on or after October 1, 1990. We note, however, that the requestor has a special right of access to his own social security number. *See* Gov't Code § 552.023 (person has special right of access to information held

---

<sup>2</sup> Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision."

by governmental body that relates to person and is protected from disclosure by laws intended to protect that person's privacy interests).

A portion of the remaining submitted information is protected under section 552.130 of the Government Code. This section excepts from disclosure "information [that] relates to . . . a motor vehicle operator's or driver's license or permit issued by an agency of this state [or] a motor vehicle title or registration issued by an agency of this state." Therefore, the department must withhold the Texas driver's license information you have highlighted and the information we have marked under section 552.130.

Finally, section 552.137 excepts from disclosure "an e-mail address of a member of the public that is provided for the purpose of communicating electronically with a governmental body" unless the member of the public consents to its release or the e-mail address is of a type specifically excluded by subsection (c). *See* Gov't Code § 552.137(a)-(c). Section 552.137 does not apply to a government employee's work e-mail address because such an address is not that of the employee as a "member of the public" but is instead the address of the individual as a government employee. The e-mail addresses at issue do not appear to be of a type specifically excluded by section 552.137(c). Thus, the department must withhold the e-mail addresses you have highlighted under section 552.137 unless their owners have affirmatively consented to their release. *See* Gov't Code § 552.137(b).

In summary, the department may withhold interview questions 1 through 5, and the corresponding preferred and actual responses, pursuant to section 552.122(b) of the Government Code. The department must withhold the marked information under sections 552.117(a)(3) and 552.130 of the Government Code. Unless the department has received affirmative consent to release any of the marked e-mail addresses, it must withhold them pursuant to section 552.137. The social security number of a member of the public other than the requestor may be excepted under section 552.101 in conjunction with federal law. The remaining information must be released to the requestor.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general

have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Debbie K. Lee  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

DKL/seg

Ref: ID# 298619

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Preston Monaghan  
77 North Calla Lily Court  
Lake Jackson, Texas 77566  
(w/o enclosures)