



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

September 3, 2004

Ms. Martha C. Tobias-Baker
Office Assistant
Colleyville Police Department
5201 Riverwalk Drive
Colleyville, Texas 76034

OR2004-7559

Dear Ms. Tobias-Baker:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 208627.

The Colleyville Police Department (the "department") received four requests for arrest and search warrants, a mug shot, and other information relating to a case involving a named individual. You claim that the requested information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and have reviewed the information you submitted.

We first note that the submitted information includes arrest warrants and affidavits for arrest warrants. The 78th Legislature amended article 15.26 of the Code of Criminal Procedure to add language providing:

The arrest warrant, and any affidavit presented to the magistrate in support of the issuance of the warrant, *is public information*, and beginning immediately when the warrant is executed the magistrate's clerk shall make a copy of the warrant and the affidavit available for public inspection in the clerk's office during normal business hours. A person may request the clerk to provide copies of the warrant and affidavit on payment of the cost of providing the copies.

Crim. Proc. Code art. 15.26 (emphasis added). Thus, arrest warrants and affidavits for arrest warrants that have been presented to a magistrate are made public by and must be released under article 15.26 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. As a general rule, the exceptions to

disclosure found in the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code, do not apply to information that is made public by other statutes. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 623 at 3 (1994), 525 at 3 (1989). Therefore, the department must release the arrest warrants and affidavits for arrest warrants that we have marked under article 15.26 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

The submitted information also includes an affidavit for a search warrant. The release of the search warrant affidavit is governed by article 18.01 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, which provides in part:

(b) No search warrant shall issue for any purpose in this state unless sufficient facts are first presented to satisfy the issuing magistrate that probable cause does in fact exist for its issuance. A sworn affidavit setting forth substantial facts establishing probable cause shall be filed in every instance in which a search warrant is requested. The affidavit is public information if executed, and the magistrate's clerk shall make a copy of the affidavit available for public inspection in the clerk's office during normal business hours.

Crim. Proc. Code art. 18.01(b). Thus, the department must release the search warrant affidavit that we have marked under article 18.01(b) of the Code of Criminal Procedure. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 623 at 3 (1994), 525 at 3 (1989).

Next, we address your claim under section 552.101 of the Government Code. This section excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision," and encompasses statutory confidentiality provisions. You raise section 552.101 in conjunction with section 261.201 of the Family Code. Chapter 261 of the Family Code is applicable to information that relates to reports and investigations of alleged or suspected child abuse or neglect. Section 261.201 provides in part:

(a) The following information is confidential, is not subject to public release under Chapter 552, Government Code, and may be disclosed only for purposes consistent with this code and applicable federal or state law or under rules adopted by an investigating agency:

(1) a report of alleged or suspected abuse or neglect made under this chapter and the identity of the person making the report; and

(2) except as otherwise provided in this section, the files, reports, records, communications, and working papers used or developed in an investigation under this chapter or in providing services as a result of an investigation.

Fam. Code § 261.201(a). Because the rest of the submitted information consists of files, reports, records, communications, or working papers used or developed in an investigation under chapter 261, the remaining information is within the scope of section 261.201 of the Family Code. You have not indicated that the department has adopted a rule that governs the release of this type of information. Therefore, we assume that no such rule exists. Given that assumption, we conclude that the rest of the submitted information is confidential under section 261.201 of the Family Code. *See* Open Records Decision No. 440 at 2 (1986) (addressing statutory predecessor). Therefore, with the exception of the information that must be released under articles 15.26 and 18.01(b) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the department must withhold the submitted information under section 552.101 of the Government Code as information made confidential by law. Furthermore, because section 261.201(a) protects all “files, reports, records, communications, and working papers” that are related to an investigation of alleged child abuse or neglect, the department must not release front-page offense report information in such cases.

In summary: (1) the department must release the arrest warrants and arrest warrant affidavits under article 15.26 of the Code of Criminal Procedure; (2) the department must release the search warrant affidavit under article 18.01(b) of the Code of Criminal Procedure; and (3) the department must withhold the rest of the submitted information under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 261.201 of the Family Code.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov’t Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body’s intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor

should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "J.W. Morris, III", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

James W. Morris, III
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

JWM/sdk

Ref: ID# 208627

Enc: Submitted documents

c: Mr. Scott Price
The Courier
c/o Ms. Martha C. Tobias-Baker
Colleyville Police Department
5201 Riverwalk Drive
Colleyville, Texas 76034
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Debra Dennis
The Dallas Morning News
c/o Ms. Martha C. Tobias-Baker
Colleyville Police Department
5201 Riverwalk Drive
Colleyville, Texas 76034
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Diane Smith
Fort Worth Star-Telegram
3201 Airport Freeway, Suite 108
Bedford, Texas 76021
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Barbara Griffith
WFAA-TV
1200 Summit Avenue, Suite 102
Fort Worth, Texas 76102
(w/o enclosures)