



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

September 10, 2004

Mr. Thomas H. Arnold
City Attorney
City of Texarkana
P.O. Box 1967
Texarkana, Texas 75504

OR2004-7752

Dear Mr. Arnold:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 208656.

The Texarkana Police Department (the "department") received a request for copies of the personnel file of a named police detective, excluding the detective's current address, phone number, and names of children and spouse. You state that you have released some of the requested information, from which you redacted information under section 552.117(a)(2) of the Government Code.¹ You further state that you have no responsive information regarding a portion of the request. We note that the Public Information Act (the "Act") does not require a governmental body to disclose information that did not exist at the time the request was received. *Econ. Opportunities Dev. Corp. v. Bustamante*, 562 S.W.2d 266 (Tex.Civ.App.—San Antonio 1978, writ dismissed); Open Records Decision No. 452 at 3 (1986). You claim that the remaining requested information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.117, and 552.130 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

¹ See Open Records Decision No. 670 at 6 (2001) (authorizing all governmental bodies that are subject to chapter 552 of Government Code to withhold home addresses and telephone numbers, personal cellular telephone numbers, personal pager numbers, social security numbers, and family member information of peace officers without necessity of requesting attorney general decision under Gov't Code § 552.117(a)(2)); see also Gov't Code § 552.301(a); Open Records Decision No. 673 (2001) (delineating circumstances under which attorney general decision constitutes previous determination under Gov't Code § 552.301).

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure “information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision.” Gov’t Code §552.101. This section encompasses information protected by other statutes, such as section 143.089(g) of the Local Government Code. We understand that the City of Texarkana is a civil service city under chapter 143 of the Local Government Code.

Section 143.089 contemplates two different types of personnel files, a police officer’s civil service file that a city’s civil service director is required to maintain, and an internal file that a police department may maintain for its own use. Local Gov’t Code § 143.089(a), (g). The officer’s civil service file must contain certain specified items, including commendations, periodic evaluations by the police officer’s supervisor, and documents relating to any misconduct in any instance in which the department took disciplinary action against the officer under chapter 143 of the Local Government Code. *Id.* § 143.089(a)(1)-(2). Chapter 143 prescribes the following types of disciplinary actions: removal, suspension, demotion, and uncompensated duty. *Id.* §§ 143.051-.055. In cases in which a police department investigates a police officer’s misconduct and takes disciplinary action against an officer, it is required by section 143.089(a)(2) to place all investigatory records relating to the investigation and disciplinary action, including background documents such as complaints, witness statements, and documents of like nature from individuals who were not in a supervisory capacity, in the police officer’s civil service file maintained under section 143.089(a). *See Abbott v. Corpus Christi*, 109 S.W.3d 113, 122 (Tex. App.—Austin 2003, no pet.). All investigatory materials in a case resulting in disciplinary action are “from the employing department” when they are held by or are in the possession of the department because of its investigation into a police officer’s misconduct, and the department must forward them to the civil service commission for placement in the civil service personnel file. *Id.* Such records may not be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 143.089 of the Local Government Code. *See* Local Gov’t Code § 143.089(f); Open Records Decision No. 562 at 6 (1990). Information relating to alleged misconduct or disciplinary action taken must be removed from the police officer’s civil service file if the police department determines that there is insufficient evidence to sustain the charge of misconduct or that the disciplinary action was taken without just cause. *See* Local Gov’t Code § 143.089(b), (c).

Subsection (g) of section 143.089 authorizes the police department to maintain, for its own use, a separate and independent internal personnel file relating to a police officer. Section 143.089(g) provides as follows:

A fire or police department may maintain a personnel file on a fire fighter or police officer employed by the department for the department’s use, but the department may not release any information contained in the department file to any agency or person requesting information relating to a fire fighter or police officer. The department shall refer to the director or the director’s

designee a person or agency that requests information that is maintained in the fire fighter's or police officer's personnel file.

Id. § 143.089(g). In *City of San Antonio v. Texas Attorney General*, 851 S.W.2d 946 (Tex. App.—Austin 1993, writ denied), the court addressed a request for information contained in a police officer's personnel file maintained by the police department for its use and the applicability of section 143.089(g) to that file. The records included in the departmental personnel file related to complaints against the police officer for which no disciplinary action was taken. The court determined that section 143.089(g) made these records confidential. *See City of San Antonio*, 851 S.W.2d at 949 (concluding that “the legislature intended to deem confidential the information maintained by the . . . police department for its own use under subsection (g)”). The court stated that the provisions of section 143.089 governing the content of the civil service file reflect “a legislative policy against disclosure of unsubstantiated claims of misconduct made against police officers and fire fighters, except with an individual's written consent.” *Id.*; *see also City of San Antonio v. San Antonio Express-News*, 47 S.W.3d 556 (Tex. App.—San Antonio 2000, pet. denied.) (restricting confidentiality under Local Gov't Code § 143.089(g) to “information reasonably related to a police officer's or fire fighter's employment relationship”); Attorney General Opinion JC-0257 at 6-7 (2000) (addressing functions of Local Gov't Code § 143.089(a) and (g) files).

You indicate that the information in Exhibit H is maintained in the police department's personnel file pursuant to section 143.089(g). Based on your representations and our review, we find that Exhibit H is confidential pursuant to section 143.089(g) of the Local Government Code and must be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code.²

As for the information held in the detective's civil service file, you claim that portions of these documents may be withheld under sections 552.101, 552.117, and 552.130 of the Government Code. Section 552.101 encompasses information that federal law makes confidential. Section 6103(a) of title 26 of the United States Code provides that tax return information is confidential. *See* 26 U.S.C. § 6103(a)(2), (b)(2)(A), (p)(8); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 600 (1992); Attorney General Op. MW-372 (1981). Accordingly, pursuant to section 552.101 and section 6103(a), the department must withhold the W-4 form in Exhibit F.

Section 552.101 also encompasses the doctrine of common-law privacy, which protects information if it (1) contains highly intimate or embarrassing facts, the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) is not of legitimate concern to the public. *See Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976). The common-law right to privacy encompasses certain types of personal financial

² We note that commendations are also required to be placed in the civil service commission's personnel file under section 143.089(a) of the Local Government Code and must be released to the requestor unless otherwise excepted from disclosure. *Abbott*, 109 S.W.3d at 122.

information. This office has determined that financial information that relates only to an individual ordinarily satisfies the first element of the common-law privacy test, but the public has a legitimate interest in the essential facts about a financial transaction between an individual and a governmental body. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 600 at 9-12 (1992) (identifying public and private portions of certain state personnel records), 545 at 4 (1990) (attorney general has found kinds of financial information not excepted from public disclosure by common-law privacy to generally be those regarding receipt of governmental funds or debts owed to governmental entities), 523 at 4 (1989) (noting distinction under common-law privacy between confidential background financial information furnished to public body about individual and basic facts regarding particular financial transaction between individual and public body), 373 at 4 (1983) (determination of whether public's interest in obtaining personal financial information is sufficient to justify its disclosure must be made on case-by-case basis). Thus, a public employee's allocation of part of the employee's salary to a voluntary investment program offered by the employer is a personal investment decision, and information about that decision is protected by common-law privacy. *See, e.g.,* Open Records Decision Nos. 600 at 9-12 (1992) (participation in TexFlex), 545 at 3-5 (1990) (deferred compensation plan). Likewise, the details of an employee's enrollment in a group insurance program, the designation of the beneficiary of an employee's retirement benefits, and an employee's authorization of direct deposit of the employee's salary are protected by common-law privacy. *See* Open Records Decision No. 600 at 9-12. But where a transaction is funded in part by a governmental body, it involves the employee in a transaction with the governmental body, and the basic facts about that transaction are not private under section 552.101. *See id.* at 9 (basic facts of group insurance provided by governmental body not protected by common-law privacy). We have marked personal financial information in the civil service file that is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 in conjunction with common-law privacy.

Section 552.117(a)(2) excepts from disclosure the present and former home addresses and telephone numbers, social security number, and family member information of a peace officer regardless of whether the officer requests confidentiality for that information under section 552.024 of the Government Code.³ Gov't Code §552.117(a)(2). Thus, the department must withhold the social security number we have marked under section 552.117(a)(2).

The submitted information in the civil service file also contains a copy of a Texas driver's license that is excepted from disclosure under section 552.130 of the Government Code, which provides in pertinent part:

(a) Information is excepted from the requirements of Section 552.021 if the information relates to:

³ "Peace Officer" is defined by article 2.12 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

- (1) a motor vehicle operator's or driver's license or permit issued by an agency of this state; [or]
- (2) a motor vehicle title or registration issued by an agency of this state[.]

Gov't Code § 552.130. The department must withhold the copy of the Texas driver's license in Exhibit G under section 552.130 of the Government Code.

In summary, the department must withhold Exhibit H under section 552.101 in conjunction with section 143.089(g) of the Local Government Code. With respect to the information found in the civil service file, we conclude that the W-4 form we have marked must be withheld under section 552.101 in conjunction with federal law. The department must also withhold the information we have marked under sections 552.101, 552.117, and 552.130. The remaining submitted information must be released to the requestor.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Lauren E. Kleine
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

LEK/jev

Ref: ID# 208656

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Wes Bearden
President
Bearden Investigative Agency, Inc.
P.O. Box 5
Arlington, Texas 76004-0005
(w/o enclosures)