



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

September 27, 2004

Ms. Julia Gannaway
Lynn Pham Moore & Ross, P.C.
University Centre II
1320 South University Drive, Suite 720
Fort Worth, Texas 76107

OR2004-8172

Dear Ms. Gannaway:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 209853.

The City of Mineola (the "city") received seven requests for information from the same requestor, including (1) police personnel files, (2) incidents reports related to traffic stops resulting in automobile searches during a specified time period, (3) "reports made under Article 2.133," and (4) "videos made of traffic stops for the last 90 days." You state that some responsive information has been provided to the requestor. You indicate that the city does not have any documents responsive to several parts of the requests.¹ The city seeks to withhold from disclosure some of the information responsive to three of the requests under sections 552.101, 552.108, 552.1175, 552.130, and 552.136 of the Government Code. We

¹We note that it is implicit in several provisions of the Act that the Act applies only to information already in existence. See Gov't Code §§ 552.002, .021, .227, .351. The Act does not require a governmental body to prepare new information in response to a request. See Attorney General Opinion H-90 (1973); see also Open Records Decision Nos. 572 at 1 (1990), 555 at 1-2 (1990), 452 at 2-3 (1986), 416 at 5 (1984), 342 at 3 (1982), 87 (1975); *Economic Opportunities Dev. Corp. of San Antonio v. Bustamante*, 562 S.W.2d 266 (Tex. Civ. App. -San Antonio 1978, writ dism'd). A governmental body must only make a good faith effort to relate a request to information which it holds. See Open Records Decision No. 561 at 8 (1990).

have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted representative sample of information.²

We first address your argument under section 552.108 of the Government Code. Section 552.108(a) excepts from disclosure “[i]nformation held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime . . . if: (1) release of the information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime.” Generally, a governmental body claiming section 552.108 must reasonably explain how and why the release of the requested information would interfere with law enforcement. *See* Gov’t Code §§ 552.108(a)(1), .301(e)(1)(A); *see also Ex parte Pruitt*, 551 S.W.2d 706 (Tex. 1977).

You explain that the police report submitted as Exhibit D relates to a pending case and contend that release of the information that you have marked would interfere with the prosecution. Based on your representations and our review of the information at issue, we conclude that section 552.108(a)(1) is applicable to the information in Exhibit D. *See Houston Chronicle Publ’g Co. v. City of Houston*, 531 S.W.2d 177 (Tex. Civ. App.--Houston [14th Dist.] 1975), writ ref’d n.r.e. per curiam, 536 S.W.2d 559 (Tex. 1976) (court delineates law enforcement interests that are present in active cases). However, although you inform this office that the videotape submitted as Exhibit E “contains evidence of a pending criminal prosecution,” you have not specified which of the traffic stops on the videotape pertains to an ongoing criminal investigation or prosecution. Furthermore, you have failed to adequately explain how the release of this information would interfere in some way with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime. *See* Gov’t Code § 552.108(a)(1). Thus, you have not met your burden under section 552.108(a)(1) for the information in Exhibit E, and no portion of that information may be withheld from disclosure on that basis.

Additionally, section 552.108 does not except from disclosure basic information about an arrested person, an arrest, or a crime. Gov’t Code § 552.108(c). We believe such basic information refers to the information held to be public in *Houston Chronicle*, including the social security number of an arrestee. *See* 531 S.W.2d at 185. Thus, the city may not withhold the social security number of the arrestee under section 552.108. *See* Open Records Decision No. 127 (1976) (summarizing types of information made public by *Houston Chronicle*). Although section 552.108(a)(1) authorizes you to withhold the remaining information at issue in Exhibit D from disclosure, you may choose to release all or part of it that is not otherwise confidential by law. *See* Gov’t Code § 552.007.

²We assume that the “representative sample” of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

Although not excepted from disclosure under section 552.108, the arrestee's social security number may be confidential under federal law. Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." This section encompasses amendments to the Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. § 405(c)(2)(C)(viii)(I), that make confidential social security numbers and related records that are obtained or maintained by a state agency or political subdivision of the state pursuant to any provision of law enacted on or after October 1, 1990. *See* Open Records Decision No. 622 (1994). We have no basis for concluding that the social security number at issue is confidential under section 405(c)(2)(C)(viii)(I) and therefore excepted from public disclosure under section 552.101 on the basis of that federal provision. We caution, however, that section 552.352 of the Government Code imposes criminal penalties for the release of confidential information. Prior to releasing any social security number information, you should ensure that such information is not obtained or maintained by the city pursuant to any provision of law enacted on or after October 1, 1990.

Section 1703.306 of the Occupations Code, which is also encompassed by section 552.101, provides as follows:

(a) A polygraph examiner, trainee, or employee of a polygraph examiner, or a person for whom a polygraph examination is conducted or an employee of the person, may not disclose information acquired from a polygraph examination to another person other than:

- (1) the examinee or any other person specifically designated in writing by the examinee;
- (2) the person that requested the examination;
- (3) a member, or the member's agent, of a governmental agency that licenses a polygraph examiner or supervises or controls a polygraph examiner's activities;
- (4) another polygraph examiner in private consultation; or
- (5) any other person required by due process of law.

Occ. Code § 1703.306. The submitted documents contain information that was acquired from a polygraph examination. It does not appear that any of the exceptions in section 1703.306 apply in this instance. *See* Open Records Decision 565 (1990) (construing predecessor statute). Accordingly, we conclude that the city must withhold the information we have marked pursuant to section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 1703.306 of the Occupations Code.

We note that portions of Exhibits B and C are confidential pursuant to section 552.101 in conjunction with section 1701.306 of the Occupations Code. Section 1701.306 of the Occupations Code governs certain declarations of medical condition and of psychological and emotional health and provides:

(a) The commission may not issue a license to a person as an officer or county jailer unless the person is examined by:

(1) a licensed psychologist or by a psychiatrist who declares in writing that the person is in satisfactory psychological and emotional health to serve as the type of officer for which a license is sought; and

(2) a licensed physician who declares in writing that the person does not show any trace of drug dependency or illegal drug use after a physical examination, blood test, or other medical test.

(b) An agency hiring a person for whom a license as an officer or county jailer is sought shall select the examining physician and the examining psychologist or psychiatrist. The agency shall prepare a report of each declaration required by Subsection (a) and shall maintain a copy of the report on file in a format readily accessible to the commission. A declaration is *not public information*.

Occ. Code § 1701.306 (emphasis added). Thus, the information we have marked in Exhibits B and C must be withheld under section 552.101 in conjunction with section 1701.306 of the Occupations Code.

The submitted information also includes a Report of Resignation or Separation of License Holder addressed to the Texas Commission on Law Enforcement (“the commission”). This form, commonly referred to as an “F-5,” is made confidential by section 1701.454 of the Occupations Code. Section 1701.452 requires that a law enforcement agency submit a report to the commission regarding an officer licensed under chapter 1701 who resigns or is terminated from the law enforcement agency. *See* Occ. Code § 1701.452. Section 1701.454 provides in relevant part:

(a) A report or statement submitted to the commission under this subchapter is confidential and is not subject to disclosure under Chapter 552 of the Government Code.

Occ. Code § 1701.454. Therefore, the city must withhold the form F-5 pursuant to section 552.101 in conjunction with section 1701.454 of the Occupations Code.

A portion of the submitted information constitutes medical record information, access to which is governed by the Medical Practice Act ("MPA"), chapter 159 of the Occupations Code. Section 159.002 provides in pertinent part:

(b) A record of the identity, diagnosis, evaluation, or treatment of a patient by a physician that is created or maintained by a physician is confidential and privileged and may not be disclosed except as provided by this chapter.

(c) A person who receives information from a confidential communication or record as described by this chapter, other than a person listed in Section 159.004 who is acting on the patient's behalf, may not disclose the information except to the extent that disclosure is consistent with the authorized purposes for which the information was first obtained.

Occ. Code § 159.002(b), (c). This office has concluded that the protection afforded by section 159.002 extends only to records created by either a physician or someone under the supervision of a physician. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 487 (1987), 370 (1983), 343 (1982). Further, information that is subject to the MPA also includes information that was obtained from medical records. *See* Occ. Code. § 159.002(a), (b), (c); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 598 (1991).

Medical records must be released upon the governmental body's receipt of the patient's signed, written consent, provided that the consent specifies (1) the information to be covered by the release, (2) reasons or purposes for the release, and (3) the person to whom the information is to be released. *See* Occ. Code §§ 159.004, .005. Section 159.002(c) also requires that any subsequent release of medical records be consistent with the purposes for which the governmental body obtained the records. *See* Open Records Decision No. 565 at 7 (1990). We have marked the medical record information that is subject to the MPA. Absent the applicability of an MPA access provision, the city must withhold this information pursuant to the MPA.

You note that criminal history record information ("CHRI") is confidential and not subject to disclosure. Federal regulations prohibit the release of CHRI maintained in state and local CHRI systems to the general public. *See* 28 C.F.R. § 20.21(c)(1) ("Use of criminal history record information disseminated to noncriminal justice agencies shall be limited to the purpose for which it was given."), (2) ("No agency or individual shall confirm the existence or nonexistence of criminal history record information to any person or agency that would not be eligible to receive the information itself."). Section 411.083 provides that any CHRI maintained by the Department of Public Safety ("DPS") is confidential. Gov't Code § 411.083(a). Similarly, CHRI obtained from the DPS pursuant to statute is also confidential and may only be disclosed in very limited instances. *Id.* § 411.084; *see also id.* § 411.087 (restrictions on disclosure of CHRI obtained from DPS also apply to CHRI obtained from other criminal justice agencies). However, the definition of CHRI does not include driving

record information maintained by DPS under chapter 521 of the Transportation Code. *See* Gov't Code § 411.082(2)(B). The city must withhold any CHRI falling within the ambit of these state and federal regulations pursuant to section 552.101 of the Government Code.

The submitted records also contain fingerprint information, the public availability of which is governed by sections 560.001, 560.002, and 560.003 of the Government Code. These sections provide as follows:

Sec. 560.001. DEFINITIONS. In this chapter:

- (1) "Biometric identifier" means a retina or iris scan, fingerprint, voiceprint, or record of hand or face geometry.
- (2) "Governmental body" has the meaning assigned by Section 552.003 [of the Government Code], except that the term includes each entity within or created by the judicial branch of state government.

Sec. 560.002. DISCLOSURE OF BIOMETRIC IDENTIFIER. A governmental body that possesses a biometric identifier of an individual:

- (1) may not sell, lease, or otherwise disclose the biometric identifier to another person unless:
 - (A) the individual consents to the disclosure;
 - (B) the disclosure is required or permitted by a federal statute or by a state statute other than Chapter 552 [of the Government Code]; or
 - (C) the disclosure is made by or to a law enforcement agency for a law enforcement purpose; and
- (2) shall store, transmit, and protect from disclosure the biometric identifier using reasonable care and in a manner that is the same as or more protective than the manner in which the governmental body stores, transmits, and protects its other confidential information.

Sec. 560.003. APPLICATION OF CHAPTER 552. A biometric identifier in the possession of a governmental body is exempt from disclosure under Chapter 552.

Gov't Code §§ 560.001, 560.002, 560.003. There is no indication that the requestor has a right of access to this information under section 560.002. Therefore, the city must withhold the fingerprint information that we have marked under section 552.101 in conjunction with section 560.003 of the Government Code.

We note that some of the submitted records pertain to juveniles. Juvenile law enforcement records relating to conduct that occurred on or after September 1, 1997 are confidential under section 58.007. The relevant language of section 58.007(c) reads as follows:

(c) Except as provided by Subsection (d), law enforcement records and files concerning a child and information stored, by electronic means or otherwise, concerning the child from which a record or file could be generated may not be disclosed to the public and shall be:

- (1) if maintained on paper or microfilm, kept separate from adult files and records;
- (2) if maintained electronically in the same computer system as records or files relating to adults, be accessible under controls that are separate and distinct from controls to access electronic data concerning adults; and
- (3) maintained on a local basis only and not sent to a central state or federal depository, except as provided by Subchapter B.

Fam. Code § 58.007(e)(1)-(3). A portion of the submitted information involves juvenile conduct that occurred after September 1, 1997. It does not appear that any of the exceptions in section 58.007 apply; therefore, the information that we have marked is confidential pursuant to section 58.007(c) of the Family Code and must be withheld from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code.

Section 552.101 also encompasses the doctrine of common-law privacy. Information must be withheld from disclosure under the common-law right to privacy when it (1) contains highly intimate or embarrassing facts, the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) is not of legitimate concern to the public. *See Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976). The type of information considered intimate and embarrassing by the Texas Supreme Court in *Industrial Foundation* included information relating to sexual assault, pregnancy, mental or physical abuse in the workplace, illegitimate children, psychiatric treatment of mental disorders, attempted suicide, and injuries to sexual organs. *See id.* at 683.

This office has since concluded that the following types of information are also excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 in conjunction with the common-law right to privacy:

some kinds of medical information or information indicating disabilities or specific illnesses, *see* Open Records Decision Nos. 470 (1987) (illness from severe emotional and job-related stress), 455 (1987) (prescription drugs, illnesses, operations, and physical handicaps); personal financial information not relating to a financial transaction between an individual and a governmental body, *see* Open Records Decision Nos. 545 (1990), 523 (1989) (individual's mortgage payments, assets, bills, and credit history); certain personal choices relating to financial transactions between the individual and the governmental body, *see* Open Records Decision No. 600 (1992) (designation of beneficiary of employee's retirement benefits and optional insurance coverage; choice of particular insurance carrier; direct deposit authorization; and forms allowing employee to allocate pretax compensation to group insurance, health care, or dependent care); identities of juvenile offenders, *see* Open Records Decision No. 394 at 4-5 (1983); identities of victims of sexual abuse, *see* Open Records Decision Nos. 440 (1986), 393 (1983), 339 (1982); and a compilation of a person's criminal history information, *see United States Dep't of Justice v. Reporters Comm. for Freedom of the Press*, 489 U.S. 749 (1989).

However, the work behavior of a public employee is a matter of legitimate public interest not protected by the common-law right of privacy. Open Records Decision No. 438 (1986). Similarly, information about a public employee's qualifications, disciplinary action and background is not protected by common-law privacy. Open Records Decision No. 444 (1986) (public has obvious interest in having access to information concerning qualifications and performances of governmental employees, particularly employees who hold positions as sensitive as those held by members of sheriff's department); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 562 at 9, n.2 (1990) (public has interest in preserving credibility and effectiveness of police force). In addition, this office has found that information regarding an individual's profession or business, organizational memberships, or religious affiliation is not excepted from required public disclosure under common-law privacy. Open Records Decision No. 674 (2001).

After reviewing the submitted information, we find that portions are protected from disclosure under the common-law right to privacy. We have marked the information that the city must withhold under section 552.101 in conjunction with common-law privacy.

The submitted records also contain information that is excepted from disclosure under section 552.117 of the Government Code. Section 552.117(a)(2) excepts from required public disclosure the home address, home telephone number, social security number, and the family member information of a peace officer as defined by article 2.12 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. *See* Open Records Decision No. 622 (1994). The city must withhold those portions of the records that reveal peace officers' home addresses, home telephone

numbers, and social security numbers.³ The city must also withhold the officers' *former* home addresses and telephone information from disclosure. *See* Open Records Decision No. 622 (1994). We have marked the information in the submitted records that the city must withhold under section 552.117(a)(2).

We also note that the city may be required to withhold some of the submitted information pursuant to section 552.1175 of the Government Code. Section 552.1175 also applies to peace officers as defined by article 2.12 of the Code of Criminal Procedure and provides in pertinent part:

(b) Information that relates to the home address, home telephone number, or social security number of an individual to whom this section applies, or that reveals whether the individual has family members is confidential and may not be disclosed to the public under this chapter if the individual to whom the information relates:

(1) chooses to restrict public access to the information; and

(2) notifies the governmental body of the individual's choice on a form provided by the governmental body, accompanied by evidence of the individual's status.

Gov't Code § 552.1175(b). The submitted information contains personal information of other "officers" who do not work for the city. If any of these individuals are currently peace officers and elect to restrict access to this information in accordance with section 552.1175, the city must withhold the information we have marked pursuant to section 552.1175. Otherwise, the city must release this information.

We note that the remaining submitted information includes Texas-issued motor vehicle record information. Section 552.130 provides in relevant part:

(a) Information is excepted from the requirements of Section 552.021 if the information relates to:

(1) a motor vehicle operator's or driver's license or permit issued by an agency of this state; [or]

(2) a motor vehicle title or registration issued by an agency of this state[.]

³We note that a post office box number is not a "home address" for purposes of section 552.117. *See* Gov't Code § 552.117; Open Records Decision No. 622 at 4 (1994) (legislative history makes clear that purpose of section 552.117 is to protect public employees from being harassed at home).

The city must withhold the Texas driver's license numbers and license plate numbers under section 552.130.

Finally, the submitted information includes access device numbers. Section 552.136 of the Government Code states that "[n]otwithstanding any other provision of this chapter, a credit card, debit card, charge card, or access device number that is collected, assembled, or maintained by or for a governmental body is confidential." Gov't Code § 552.136. The city must, therefore, withhold the information we have marked under section 552.136.

In summary, the information that you have marked in Exhibit D may generally be withheld under section 552.108(a)(1). However, basic information must be released, with the possible exception of the arrestee's social security number, which may be confidential under federal law. The city must withhold the following information under section 552.101 of the Government Code: 1) polygraph information pursuant to section 1703.306 of the Occupations Code; 2) declarations of medical condition and of psychological and emotional health in conjunction with section 1701.306 of the Occupations Code; 3) form F-5 pursuant to section 1701.454 of the Occupations Code; 4) medical record information that is subject to the MPA; 5) any criminal history record information; 6) biometric identifiers in conjunction with section 560.003 of the Government Code; 7) information that is confidential under section 58.007 of the Family Code; and 8) the information we have marked under common-law privacy. Additionally, we conclude that the city must withhold the information we have marked under sections 552.117(a)(2), 552.130, and 552.136 of the Government Code. The city must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.1175, provided that the individuals whose information is at issue are currently peace officers and elect to restrict access to this information in accordance with section 552.1175. All remaining information must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877)673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512)475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Cindy Nettles
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

CN/jh

Ref: ID# 209853
Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Steven Williams
210 Pamela
Mineola, Texas 75773
(w/o enclosures)