ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

October 5, 2004

Mr. Carey E. Smith
General Counsel
Texas Health and Human Services Commission
P.O. Box 13247
Austin, Texas 78711

OR2004-8470

Dear Mr. Smith:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 212965.

The Texas Health and Human Services Commission (the "commission") received requests for information: 1) related to prescriptions written by a named physician, 2) related to prescriptions filled at a named pharmacy, 3) related to total yearly prescriptions written and filled in the State of Texas over the period of 1993-2003, 4) related to total yearly prescriptions for certain named pharmaceuticals in the State of Texas over the period of 1993-2003. You claim that the requested information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.103 and 552.108 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.[1]

Because your claim regarding section 552.108 is the broadest, we address it first. This section excepts from disclosure "[i]nformation held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime . . . if: (1) release of the information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime[.]" Gov't Code § 552.108(a)(1). An agency whose function is essentially regulatory in nature is not a "law enforcement agency" for purposes of section 552.108. *See* Open Records Decision No. 199 (1978) (predecessor statute). However, a non-law-enforcement agency may withhold information under section 552.108 if the information relates to possible criminal conduct and has been or will be forwarded to

[1]We assume that the sample of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. See Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

an appropriate law enforcement agency for investigation. *See* Attorney General Opinion MW-575 (1982), Open Records Decision No. 493 (1988); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 372 (1983) (where incident involving allegedly criminal conduct is still under active investigation or prosecution, law enforcement exception may be invoked by any proper custodian of information which relates to incident). A governmental body that raises an exception to disclosure under section 552.108 must reasonably explain, if the requested information does not supply the explanation on its face, how and why section 552.108 is applicable to that information. *See* Gov't Code § 552.301(e)(1)(A); *see also Ex parte Pruitt*, 551 S.W.2d 706 (Tex. 1977); Open Records Decision No. 434 at 2-3 (1986).

You state that the requested information pertains to an on-going case by the Medicaid Integrity division of the commission's Office of the Inspector General ("OIG") regarding possible violations of Medicaid regulations. You also state that the commission is required to cooperate with the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit ("MFCU") of the Office of the Attorney General and other law enforcement agencies in appropriate cases. You state that this case has been referred to MFCU and the Dallas County District Attorney (the "district attorney") for further investigation and possible prosecution. The district attorney explains that releasing the requested information would interfere with his criminal investigation and preparation of the case for presentation to the grand jury. Based on these representations, we conclude that the submitted information may be withheld pursuant to section 552.108(a)(1). *See Houston Chronicle Publ'g Co. v. City of Houston*, 531 S.W.2d 177, 186-87 (Tex. Civ. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 1975), writ ref'd n.r.e. per curiam, 536 S.W.2d 559 (Tex. 1976) (court delineates law enforcement interests that are present in active cases). As our ruling on these issues is dispositive, we need not address your remaining arguments.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public

records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

L. Joseph James
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

LJJ/seg

Ref: ID# 212965

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Ms. Leslie Oberhaus
FOI Services, Inc.
11 Firstfield Road
Gaithersburg, Maryland 20878-1704
(w/o enclosures)