



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

October 8, 2004

Ms. Nona Rivas
Director of Managed Services
Texas Panhandle Mental Health Mental Retardation
P.O. Box 3250
Amarillo, Texas 79116

OR2004-8530

Dear Ms. Rivas:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 210587.

The Texas Panhandle Mental Health Mental Retardation Center (the "center") received a request for information pertaining to the Pharmacy Benefits Management services contract and a copy of the winning proposal. You state that most of the responsive information was released to the requestor. However, you indicate that release of the remaining requested information may implicate the proprietary interests of a third party. Accordingly, you provide documentation showing that the center notified the interested third party, Pharmacy Health Care Network ("PHCN"), of the request and of its right to submit arguments to this office as to why the information should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d) (permitting interested third party to submit to attorney general reasons why requested information should not be released); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (determining that statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception to disclosure under Public Information Act ("Act") in certain circumstances). We have considered the arguments submitted to us by PHCN and have reviewed the submitted information.

PHCN contends that its information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.110(b) of the Government Code. Section 552.110(b) excepts from disclosure "[c]ommercial or financial information for which it is demonstrated based on specific factual evidence that disclosure would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained." Gov't Code § 552.110(b). An entity will not meet its burden under section 552.110(b) by a mere conclusory assertion of a possibility of commercial harm. *Cf. National Parks & Conservation Ass'n v. Morton*, 498 F.2d 765, 770 (D.C. Cir. 1974).

An interested third party raising section 552.110(b) must provide a specific factual or evidentiary showing that substantial competitive injury would likely result from disclosure of the requested information. *See* Open Records Decision No. 639 at 4 (1996) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual or evidentiary material, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that it actually faces competition and that substantial competitive injury would likely result from disclosure).

PHCN contends that “it will be irreparably harmed” by any disclosure of its financial profit and loss statements to a competitor. We conclude, however, that PHCN has not provided the specific factual evidence required by section 552.110(b) that the release of the company’s financial information would cause PHCN substantial competitive harm. Thus, PHCN has not demonstrated that any of the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.110(b) of the Government Code. Because there are no other claimed exceptions to disclosure, we conclude the submitted information must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov’t Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body’s intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general’s Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Debbie K. Lee
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

DKL/seg

Ref: ID# 210587

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Christopher Hotchkiss
NEC Networks, LLC
17300 Henderson Pass, Suite 200
San Antonio, Texas 78232
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. William Cartwright
Pharmacy Health Care Network
4343 N. Rancho, Suite 130
Las Vegas, Nevada 89130
(w/o enclosures)