



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

October 13, 2004

Mr. Douglas L. Baker
Assistant District Attorney
Wichita County
900 Seventh Street
Wichita Falls, Texas 76301-2482

OR2004-8683

Dear Mr. Baker:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 210791.

The Wichita County District Attorney's Office (the "district attorney") received a request for reports and photographs related to a specific case.¹ You assert that items of physical evidence are not subject to the Public Information Act (the "Act"). We note, however, that the requestor, in his clarification, has withdrawn his request for physical evidence related to the case in question. Therefore, any physical evidence related to this case is not responsive to the request for information, and we need not address the applicability of the Act to it. In regard to the responsive information, we understand you to claim that it is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.107, and 552.111 of the Government Code.² We have also considered comments submitted by the requestor. *See* Gov't Code § 552.304 (providing that person may submit comments stating why information should or should not be released).

Pursuant to section 552.301(e) of the Government Code, a governmental body is required to submit to this office within fifteen business days of receiving an open records request (1) general written comments stating the reasons why the stated exceptions apply that would

¹The district attorney sought and received a clarification of the request for information. *See* Gov't Code § 552.222 (providing that if request for information is unclear, governmental body may ask requestor to clarify request); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 31 (1974) (stating that when governmental bodies are presented with broad requests for information rather than for specific records, governmental body may advise requestor of types of information available so that request may be properly narrowed).

²Please note that section 552.107 encompasses the attorney-client privilege and section 552.111 encompasses the attorney work product privilege.

allow the information to be withheld, (2) a copy of the written request for information, (3) a signed statement or sufficient evidence showing the date the governmental body received the written request, and (4) a copy of the specific information requested or representative samples, labeled to indicate which exceptions apply to which parts of the documents. You did not, however, submit to this office comments stating the reasons why the stated exceptions apply or a copy of the specific information requested or representative samples of the information. Consequently, you have failed to comply with section 552.301.

Pursuant to section 552.302 of the Government Code, a governmental body's failure to comply with section 552.301 results in the legal presumption that the requested information is public and must be released unless the governmental body demonstrates a compelling reason to withhold the information from disclosure. *See* Gov't Code § 552.302; *Hancock v. State Bd. of Ins.*, 797 S.W.2d 379, 381-82 (Tex. App.—Austin 1990, no writ) (governmental body must make compelling demonstration to overcome presumption of openness pursuant to statutory predecessor to Gov't Code § 552.302); Open Records Decision No. 319 (1982). Sections 552.107 and 552.111 of the Government Code are discretionary exceptions under the Act and do not constitute compelling reasons sufficient to overcome the presumption of openness. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 665 at 2 n.5 (2000) (discretionary exceptions in general), 630 (1994) (section 552.107 is discretionary exception), 470 (1987) (statutory predecessor to section 552.111 is discretionary exception). Therefore, you may not withhold the requested information under section 552.107 or 552.111 of the Government Code. Section 552.101 of the Government Code can provide a compelling reason for overcoming the presumption of openness. *See* Open Records Decision No. 150 at 2 (1977). However, because you have not submitted the information, we have no basis for finding it confidential. Thus, we have no choice but to order the information released per section 552.302. If you believe the information is confidential and may not lawfully be released, you must challenge the ruling in court as outlined below.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the

governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



W. Montgomery Meitler
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

WMM/krl

Ref: ID# 210791

c: Mr. Dan Grothaus
Grothaus Investigations
305 East 63rd Street
Kansas City, Missouri 64113