



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

October 18, 2004

Mr. G. Chadwick Weaver
First Assistant City Attorney
City of Midland
P.O. Box 1152
Midland, Texas 79702-1152

OR2004-8896

Dear Mr. Weaver:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 211202.

The City of Midland Fire Department (the "department") received a request for information pertaining to a certain apartment fire. You state that some of the requested information has been released to the requestor, but claim that some of the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101 and 552.130 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.101 excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." This section encompasses information protected by the Medical Practice Act (the "MPA"), chapter 159 of the Occupations Code. You assert that the autopsy reports in Exhibit C are confidential under the MPA. Section 159.002 of the MPA provides the following:

(b) A record of the identity, diagnosis, evaluation, or treatment of a patient by a physician that is created or maintained by a physician is confidential and privileged and may not be disclosed except as provided by this chapter.

(c) A person who receives information from a confidential communication or record as described by this chapter, other than a person listed in Section 159.004 who is acting on the patient's behalf, may not disclose the information except to the extent that disclosure is consistent with the authorized purposes for which the information was first obtained.

Occ. Code § 159.002(b), (c). The MPA defines a “patient” as “a person who, to receive medical care, consults with or is seen by a physician.” *Id.* § 159.001. Based on this definition, a deceased individual is not a “patient” under section 159.001 of the Occupations Code. Thus, the MPA protects only the medical records of people who were alive at the time the records were created. Therefore, autopsy reports are not medical records subject to the MPA, and they may not be withheld under section 552.101 on that ground.

You also contend that a deceased individual’s social security number contained in the submitted information is confidential. A social security number or “related record” may be excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 in conjunction with the 1990 amendments to the federal Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. § 405(c)(2)(C)(viii)(I). *See* Open Records Decision No. 622 (1994). These amendments make confidential social security numbers and related records that are obtained and maintained by a state agency or political subdivision of the state pursuant to any provision of law enacted on or after October 1, 1990. *See id.* This federal provision is intended to protect the privacy interests of individuals; therefore, this provision does not encompass the social security number of a deceased individual. *See* Attorney General Opinion H-917 at 3-4 (1976) (right of privacy lapses upon death); Open Records Decision No. 272 at 1 (1981). Because the individual at issue is deceased, her social security number is not confidential under federal law and may not be withheld under section 552.101 on that ground.

You also raise section 552.130 of the Government Code for the Texas driver’s license number of the deceased individual. Section 552.130 generally excepts from disclosure Texas driver’s license information. However, this section is intended to protect privacy interests, and thus does not encompass a deceased individual’s Texas driver’s license number. *See* Open Records Decision No. 272 (1981) (right of privacy lapses upon death). Accordingly, the department may not withhold this information under section 552.130.

In summary, the claimed exceptions to disclosure do not apply to the information at issue, and the information is not otherwise confidential. Therefore, the submitted information must be released to the requestor.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov’t Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the

governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).


If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



James L. Coggeshall
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

JLC/seg

Ref: ID# 211202

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. William E. Berry, Jr.
Shafer, Davis, Ashley, O'Leary & Stoker, P.C.
P.O. Drawer 1552
Odessa, Texas 79760-1552
(w/o enclosures)