



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

October 25, 2004

Mr. Leonard V. Schneider  
Ross, Banks, May, Cron & Cavin, P.C.  
2 Riverway, Suite 700  
Houston, Texas 77056-1918

OR2004-9075

Dear Mr. Schneider:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 214684.

The City of League City (the "city"), which you represent, received a request for all internal affairs investigations involving the requestor. You inform us that some information has been released. You claim that the remaining requested information may be withheld pursuant to a previous ruling from this office. We also understand you to claim that this information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code. We have considered your arguments and reviewed the submitted information.

You first assert that the information at issue may be withheld in accordance with a previous ruling from this office. In Open Records Decision No. 673 (2001), this office addressed the circumstances under which a governmental body could rely on a ruling from this office as previous determination for purposes of section 552.301 of the Government Code. In that ruling, we concluded that one situation in which a governmental body may rely on a prior ruling of this office as a previous determination arises when the following criteria are met: 1) the information at issue consists of precisely the same records or information previously submitted to this office pursuant to section 552.301(e)(1)(D) of the Government Code; 2) the governmental body that receives the request for the records or information is the same governmental body that previously requested and received a ruling from the attorney general; 3) the attorney general's prior ruling concluded that the precise information is or is not

excepted from disclosure under the Act; and 4) the law, facts, and circumstances on which the prior attorney general ruling was based have not changed since the issuance of the ruling. *See* Open Records Decision No. 673 (2001).

In Open Records Letter No. 2004-8024 (2004), this office determined that certain records maintained in the city police department's internal personnel file were confidential pursuant to section 143.089(g) of the Local Government Code and therefore excepted from disclosure pursuant to section 552.101 of the Government Code.<sup>1</sup> You represent that the information at issue here consists of the same records that were at issue in our prior ruling and that all of the other criteria of a previous determination are met in this instance. Based on your representations and our review of the submitted information and our records, we conclude that Open Records Letter No. 2004-8024 (2004) functions as a previous determination in this instance; therefore, the city must comply with that ruling. *See* Gov't Code § 552.301(f); Open Records Decision No. 673 (2001). As we are able to reach this conclusion, we need not address your other arguments.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839.

---

<sup>1</sup>Section 552.101 excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision" and encompasses information made confidential by statute.


The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Denis C. McElroy  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

DCM/jev

Ref: ID# 214684

Enc. Submitted documents