



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

November 5, 2004

Mr. Joe R. Tanguma
Gary, Thomasson, Hall & Marks, P.C.
PO Box 2888
Corpus Christi, Texas 78403-2888

OR2004-9451

Dear Mr. Tanguma:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 212402.

The Corpus Christi Independent School District (the "district"), which you represent, received a request for information related to an incident involving a named district employee. You claim that the requested information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.026, 552.101, 552.102, 552.114, 552.117, and 552.135 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.114 excepts from disclosure student records at an educational institution funded completely or in part by state revenue. This office generally applies the same analysis under section 552.114 and the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act of 1974 ("FERPA").¹ See Open Records Decision No. 539 (1990). FERPA provides that no federal funds will be made available under any applicable program to an educational agency or institution that releases personally identifiable information (other than directory information) contained in a student's education records to anyone but certain enumerated federal, state, and local officials and institutions, unless otherwise authorized by the student's parent. See 20 U.S.C. § 1232g(b)(1). "Education records" means those records that contain information directly

¹Section 552.101 excepts from required public disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision," and incorporates confidentiality provisions such as FERPA into the Act. Gov't Code § 552.101.

related to a student and are maintained by an educational agency or institution or by a person acting for such agency or institution. *Id.* § 1232g(a)(4)(A). Section 552.026 of the Government Code provides that “information contained in education records of an educational agency or institution” may only be released under the Act in accordance with FERPA.

In Open Records Decision No. 634 (1995), this office concluded that (1) an educational agency or institution may withhold from public disclosure information that is protected by FERPA and excepted from required public disclosure by sections 552.026 and 552.101 without the necessity of requesting an attorney general decision as to those exceptions, and (2) an educational agency or institution that is state-funded may withhold from public disclosure information that is excepted from required public disclosure by section 552.114 as a “student record,” insofar as the “student record” is protected by FERPA, without the necessity of requesting an attorney general decision as to that exception. *See* Open Records Decision No. 634 at 6-8 (1995). In this instance, you have submitted information that you contend is confidential under FERPA. Accordingly, we will address your claim.

Information must be withheld from required public disclosure under FERPA only to the extent “reasonable and necessary to avoid personally identifying a particular student.” *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 332 (1982), 206 (1978). Such information includes both information that directly identifies a student, as well as information that, if released, would allow the student’s identity to be easily traced. *See* Open Records Decision No. 224 (1979) (finding student’s handwritten comments protected under FERPA because they make identity of student easily traceable through handwriting, style of expression, or particular incidents related). Having reviewed the responsive information, we have marked the information that must be redacted pursuant to section 552.114 as well as FERPA.²

We next address your privacy claim under sections 552.101 and 552.102 for the remaining information. Section 552.102 excepts from disclosure “information in a personnel file, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.” Gov’t Code § 552.102(a). In *Hubert v. Harte-Hanks Texas Newspapers*, 652 S.W.2d 546 (Tex. App.—Austin 1983, writ ref’d n.r.e.), the court ruled that the test to be applied to information claimed to be protected under section 552.102 is the same as the test formulated by the Texas Supreme Court in *Industrial Foundation v. Texas Industrial Accident Board*, 540 S.W.2d 668 (Tex. 1976), for information claimed to be protected under the doctrine of common law privacy as incorporated into the Act by section 552.101. We will therefore consider your claims regarding section 552.101 and section 552.102 together.

Information is protected from disclosure under the common-law right to privacy when (1) it is highly intimate and embarrassing such that its release would be highly objectionable to

²As our ruling is dispositive, we do not address your section 552.135 claim for this information.

a person of ordinary sensibilities, and (2) there is no legitimate public interest in its disclosure. *See* 540 S.W.2d 668. The type of information considered intimate and embarrassing by the Texas Supreme Court in *Industrial Foundation* included information relating to sexual assault, pregnancy, mental or physical abuse in the workplace, illegitimate children, psychiatric treatment of mental disorders, attempted suicide, and injuries to sexual organs. *See id.* at 683. After carefully reviewing your arguments and the remaining submitted information, we find that no portion of this information is protected from disclosure under the common-law right to privacy. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 470 (1987) (public employee's job performance does not generally constitute his private affairs), 455 (1987) (in absence of special circumstances, names, addresses, and telephone numbers are not "intimate" information), 444 (1986) (public has legitimate interest in knowing reasons for dismissal, demotion, promotion, or resignation of public employees), 423 at 2 (1984) (statutory predecessor applicable when information would reveal intimate details of highly personal nature), 405 at 2 (1983) (manner in which employee performed his job cannot be said to be of minimal public interest), 400 at 5 (1983) (statutory predecessor protected information only if its release would lead to clearly unwarranted invasion of privacy). Accordingly, we conclude that the district may not withhold any portion of the remaining submitted information under section 552.101 or 552.102 on the basis of common law privacy.

You also claim that portions of the submitted information may be protected under section 552.117 of the Government Code. Section 552.117(a)(1) excepts from public disclosure the present and former home addresses and telephone numbers, social security numbers, and family member information of current or former officials or employees of a governmental body who timely request that such information be kept confidential under section 552.024. Whether a particular piece of information is protected by section 552.117 must be determined at the time the request for it is received by the governmental body. *See* Open Records Decision No. 530 at 5 (1989). Therefore, pursuant to section 552.117(a)(1), the district must withhold the section 552.117 information of a current or former official or employee who elected under section 552.024, prior to the district's receipt of this request, to keep that information confidential. The district may not withhold such information under section 552.117(a)(1) for an individual who did not make a timely election.

We note that a portion of the submitted information is confidential under section 552.136 of the Government Code, which states that "[n]otwithstanding any other provision of this chapter, a credit card, debit card, charge card, or access device number that is collected, assembled, or maintained by or for a governmental body is confidential." Gov't Code § 552.136. Thus, pursuant to this section, the district must withhold the account numbers that we have marked.

In summary, we have marked the information that must be redacted pursuant to section 552.114 as well as FERPA. Pursuant to section 552.117(a)(1), the district must withhold the section 552.117 information of a current or former official or employee who made a timely

section 552.024 election. The district must withhold the account numbers that we have marked under section 552.136 of the Government Code. The remaining submitted information must be released to the requestor.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877)673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512)475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Cindy Nettles
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

CN/jh

Ref: ID# 212402
Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Brian Kumpf
4318 Woodland Creek
Corpus Christi, Texas 78410
(w/o enclosures)