



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

November 5, 2004

Colonel Bill M. Reimer
Staff Judge Advocate
Texas Military Forces
P.O. Box 5218
Austin, Texas 78763-5218

OR2004-9452

Dear Colonel Reimer:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 212356.

The Texas Air National Guard (the "Guard") received two requests for the military personnel records, including active duty reports and attendance records, for George W. Bush, documents reflecting the removal or destruction of records from said military records, and documents reflecting the names of persons who inspected said military records. By letter dated October 8, 2004, the requestors withdrew their request for any social security numbers contained in the documents. The Guard has released some of the information but claims the remaining requested information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101 and 552.117 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Pursuant to section 552.301(b), a governmental body must ask for a decision from this office and state the exceptions that apply not later than the tenth business day after the date of receiving the written request. Further, pursuant to section 552.301(e), a governmental body is required to submit to this office within fifteen business days of receiving an open records request (1) general written comments stating the reasons why the stated exceptions apply that would allow the information to be withheld, (2) a copy of the written request for information, (3) a signed statement or sufficient evidence showing the date the governmental body received the written request, and (4) a copy of the specific information requested or representative samples, labeled to indicate which exceptions apply to which parts of the documents. Gov't Code § 552.301(e). The Guard received the requests for information on March 11 and 19, 2004. The Guard's request for a decision from this office and submission

of the required information were postmarked September 1, 2004. Consequently, the Guard failed to comply with the requirements of section 552.301(b) and section 552.301(e) of the Government Code.

Pursuant to section 552.302 of the Government Code, a governmental body's failure to submit to this office the information required in section 552.301 results in the legal presumption that the information is public and must be released. Information that is presumed public must be released unless a governmental body demonstrates a compelling reason to withhold the information to overcome this presumption. *See Hancock v. State Bd. of Ins.*, 797 S.W.2d 379, 381-82 (Tex. App.—Austin 1990, no writ) (governmental body must make compelling demonstration to overcome presumption of openness pursuant to statutory predecessor to Gov't Code § 552.302); Open Records Decision No. 319 (1982). This office has held that a compelling reason exists to withhold information when the information is confidential by another source of law. *See Open Records Decision No. 150 (1977)* (presumption of openness overcome by a showing that the information is made confidential by another source of law or affects third party interests). The applicability of sections 552.101 and 552.117 constitutes such a compelling reason.

Section 552.117(a)(1) excepts from disclosure the home addresses and telephone numbers, social security numbers, and family member information of current or former officials or employees of a governmental body who request that this information be kept confidential under section 552.024. Whether a particular piece of information is protected by section 552.117 must be determined at the time the request for it is made. *See Open Records Decision No. 530 at 5 (1989)*. We understand that President Bush did not submit to the Guard a request for confidentiality under section 552.024 prior to the date on which the request for this information was made. Therefore, his former home addresses, home telephone numbers, and family member information are not excepted from disclosure under section 552.117.

Section 552.101 excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." This section encompasses information protected by other statutes. Some of the records at issue are medical records, access to which is governed by the Medical Practice Act (the "MPA"), chapter 159 of the Occupations Code. Section 159.002 of the MPA provides:

(b) A record of the identity, diagnosis, evaluation, or treatment of a patient by a physician that is created or maintained by a physician is confidential and privileged and may not be disclosed except as provided by this chapter.

(c) A person who receives information from a confidential communication or record as described by this chapter, other than a person listed in Section 159.004 who is acting on the patient's behalf, may not disclose the information except to the extent that disclosure is consistent with the authorized purposes for which the information was first obtained.

The medical records must be released upon the patient's signed, written consent, provided that the consent specifies (1) the information to be covered by the release, (2) reasons or purposes for the release, and (3) the person to whom the information is to be released. Occ. Code §§ 159.004, .005. Section 159.002(c) also requires that any subsequent release of medical records be consistent with the purposes for which the governmental body obtained the records. Open Records Decision No. 565 at 7 (1990). We agree that the documents you have marked as medical records are subject to the MPA. Medical records may be released only as provided under the MPA. Open Records Decision No. 598 (1991).

We also note that portions of the records are dental records subject to chapter 258 of the Occupations Code. Section 258.102 provides:

(a) The following information is privileged and may not be disclosed except as provided by this article:

- (1) a communication between a dentist and a patient that relates to a professional service provided by the dentist; and
- (2) a dental record.

(b) The privilege described by this section applies regardless of when the patient received the professional service from the dentist.

Occ. Code § 258.102. A "dental record" means dental information about a patient that is created or maintained by a dentist and relates to the history or treatment of the patient. See Occ. Code § 258.101. We agree that the documents you have marked as dental records are subject to section 258.102. Absent the applicability of a dental record access provision, the Guard must withhold this information pursuant to section 258.102 of the Occupations Code.

Next, we consider the Guard's privacy assertion for medical information that is not subject to the MPA. Section 552.101 also encompasses the doctrine of common-law privacy. Common-law privacy protects information if (1) the information contains highly intimate or embarrassing facts the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) the information is not of legitimate concern to the public. *Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976), *cert. denied*, 430 U.S. 931 (1977). This office has found that some kinds of medical information are excepted from required public disclosure under common-law privacy. See Open Records Decision Nos. 470 (1987) (illness from severe emotional and job-related stress), 455 (1987) (prescription drugs, illnesses, operations, and physical handicaps). We have marked the medical information that is highly intimate and of no legitimate concern to the public that the Guard must withhold.¹

¹We note that the requestors state President Bush agreed to release everything in his military records. This office has received no information from the Guard or the President showing waiver of his privacy right. If President Bush has waived his privacy right and consents to release of the information, then the Guard must release the information we have marked.

In summary, the social security numbers are not responsive to the request. The Guard must withhold the medical records under the MPA and the dental records under section 258.102 of the Occupations Code. Furthermore, the Guard must withhold the medical information we have marked under common-law privacy. The Guard must release the remaining information at issue.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Tex. Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Yen-Ha Le
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

YHL/sdk

Ref: ID# 212356

Enc. Marked documents

c: Mr. Pete Yost
Mr. Randy Herschaft
Associated Press
2021 K Street, N.W., Suite 800
Washington, D.C. 20006
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Thomas S. Leatherbury
Vinson & Elkins L.L.P.
1700 Trammell Crow Center
2001 Ross Avenue
Dallas, Texas 75201-2975
(w/o enclosures)