



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

GREG ABBOTT

November 10, 2004

Ms. Lisa Aguilar  
Assistant City Attorney  
City of Corpus Christi  
P. O. Box 9277  
Corpus Christi, Texas 78469-9277

OR2004-9611

Dear Ms. Aguilar:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 213486.

The City of Corpus Christi (the "city") received a request for, among other things, the personnel files of all code enforcement officials and officers within the city's Code Enforcement Department. You state that the other requested information will be made available to the requestor. You claim that the remaining requested information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101 and 552.117 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted representative sample of information.<sup>1</sup>

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." This section encompasses the doctrine of common law privacy, which protects information if it is highly intimate or embarrassing, such that its release would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person and the public has no legitimate interest in it. *Industrial Found. v. Texas Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668 (Tex. 1976). Prior decisions of this office have found that personal financial information not relating to a financial transaction between an individual and a governmental body is protected by common law privacy. *See Open Records Decision Nos. 600 (1992), 545 (1990)*. Having reviewed the submitted information, we have

---

<sup>1</sup>We assume that the "representative sample" of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. *See Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988)*. Here, we do not address any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

marked the personal financial information that is protected by common law privacy and must be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code.

Next, we note that the submitted information contains an I-9 form. Section 1324a of title 8 of the United States Code provides that an Employment Eligibility Verification Form I-9 "may not be used for purposes other than for enforcement of this chapter" and for enforcement of other federal statutes governing crime and criminal investigations. *See* 8 U.S.C. § 1324a(b)(5); *see also* 8 C.F.R. § 274a.2(b)(4). The release of the submitted I-9 form in response to this request for information would be "for purposes other than for enforcement" of the referenced federal statutes. A Form I-9 may be released only for purposes of compliance with the federal laws and regulations governing the employment verification system. Therefore, the city must withhold the submitted I-9 form under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with federal law.<sup>2</sup>

Section 552.117(a)(1) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure the home addresses and telephone numbers, social security numbers, and family member information of current or former officials or employees of a governmental body who request that this information be kept confidential under section 552.024 of the Government Code. *See* Gov't Code § 552.117(a)(1). We note that section 552.117 also encompasses a personal cell telephone number, provided that the cell phone service is not paid by a governmental body. *See* Open Records Decision No. 506 at 5-6 (1988) (section 552.117 not applicable to cellular mobile phone numbers paid for by governmental body and intended for official use). Further, information subject to section 552.117(a)(1) may not be withheld from disclosure if the current or former employee made the request for confidentiality under section 552.024 after the request for information at issue was received by the governmental body. Whether a particular piece of information is public must be determined at the time the request for it is made. *See* Open Records Decision No. 530 at 5 (1989). For employees who timely elected to keep their personal information confidential, you must withhold this information under section 552.117(a)(1) of the Government Code. The city may not withhold this information under section 552.117(a)(1) for employees who did not make a timely election to keep the information confidential. You have submitted an employee's election form in which she timely elected to withhold her home address and telephone number. Accordingly, we agree that this information may be withheld under section 552.117(a)(1). However, as there is no timely election to withhold the employee's social security number or family member information, this information may not be withheld under section 552.117(a)(1).

Additionally, section 552.117(a)(2) excepts the home address and telephone number, social security number, and family member information of a peace officer<sup>3</sup> regardless of whether

---

<sup>2</sup>Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses information other statutes make confidential.

<sup>3</sup>"Peace officer" is defined by article 2.12 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

the officer made an election under section 552.024 of the Government Code. Therefore, the personal information we have marked must be withheld under section 552.117(a)(2) of the Government Code if the individual was a licensed peace officer at the time this request was received.

We note that the submitted social security number must be withheld in some circumstances under section 552.101 in conjunction with the 1990 amendments to the federal Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. § 405(c)(2)(C)(viii)(I). *See* Open Records Decision No. 622 (1994). These amendments make confidential social security numbers and related records that are obtained and maintained by a state agency or political subdivision of the state pursuant to any provision of law enacted on or after October 1, 1990. *See id.* We have no basis for concluding that the social security number is confidential under section 405(c)(2)(C)(viii)(I), and therefore excepted from public disclosure under section 552.101 of the Public Information Act ("Act") on the basis of that federal provision. We caution, however, that section 552.352 of the Act imposes criminal penalties for the release of confidential information. Prior to releasing any social security number information, you should ensure that no such information was obtained or is maintained by the city pursuant to any provision of law enacted on or after October 1, 1990.

Finally, section 552.130 of the Government Code prohibits the release of information that relates to a motor vehicle operator's or driver's license or permit issued by an agency of this state or a motor vehicle title or registration issued by an agency of this state. *See* Gov't Code § 552.130. Accordingly, the city must withhold the marked section 552.130 information.

In summary, we conclude that: 1) the city must withhold the personal financial information under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common law privacy; 2) the submitted I-9 form must be withheld under federal law; 3) the city must withhold the marked home address and telephone number under section 552.117(a)(1) of the Government Code; 4) if the other city employee made a timely election under section 552.024, the personal information we have marked must be withheld under section 552.117(a)(1); 5) if this employee was a licensed peace officer at the time this request was received, the personal information we have marked must be withheld under section 552.117(a)(2); 6) the submitted social security number may be confidential under federal law; and 7) the city must withhold the section 552.130 information. All remaining responsive information must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by

filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



W. Montgomery Meitler  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

WMM/krl

Ref: ID# 213486

Enc: Submitted documents

c: Mr. Roland Garza  
2854 Alvin Drive  
Corpus Christi, Texas 78415  
(w/o enclosures)