



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

December 14, 2004

Mr. Paul Sarahan
Director, Litigation Division
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

OR2004-10592

Dear Mr. Sarahan:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 214798.

The Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (the "commission") received a request for information pertaining to a specified superfund site. You state that some of the requested information has been released, but claim that some of the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.103, 552.107, and 552.111 of the Government Code. You also claim that some of the submitted information may be excepted from disclosure under section 552.110 of the Government Code, but make no arguments regarding this exception. Pursuant to section 552.305(d) of the Government Code, the commission notified the interested third parties Swan Lake Environmental, Inc., the Environmental Technology Consortium, and Southeast Texas Environmental, LLC, of the commission's receipt of the request for information and of the right of each to submit arguments to this office as to why the information at issue should not be released to the requestor. *See Gov't Code § 552.305(d); see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (determining that statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception to disclosure in certain circumstances). We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted representative sample of information.¹

¹We assume that the "representative sample" of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

You assert that the submitted information in Files 1-8 is excepted under section 552.103, which provides as follows:

(a) Information is excepted from [required public disclosure] if it is information relating to litigation of a civil or criminal nature to which the state or a political subdivision is or may be a party or to which an officer or employee of the state or a political subdivision, as a consequence of the person's office or employment, is or may be a party.

...

(c) Information relating to litigation involving a governmental body or an officer or employee of a governmental body is excepted from disclosure under Subsection (a) only if the litigation is pending or reasonably anticipated on the date that the requestor applies to the officer for public information for access to or duplication of the information.

Gov't Code § 552.103(a), (c). The commission has the burden of providing relevant facts and documents to show that the section 552.103(a) exception is applicable in a particular situation. The test for meeting this burden is a showing that (1) litigation is pending or reasonably anticipated when the request for information was received, and (2) the information at issue is related to that litigation. *Univ. of Tex. Law Sch. v. Tex. Legal Found.*, 958 S.W.2d 479, 481 (Tex. App.—Austin 1997, no pet.); *Heard v. Houston Post Co.*, 684 S.W.2d 210, 212 (Tex. App.—Houston [1st Dist.] 1984, writ ref'd n.r.e.); Open Records Decision No. 551 at 4 (1990). The commission must meet both prongs of this test for information to be excepted under section 552.103(a).

In order to establish that litigation is reasonably anticipated, a governmental body must provide this office "concrete evidence showing that the claim that litigation may ensue is more than mere conjecture." Open Records Decision No. 452 at 4 (1986). Whether litigation is reasonably anticipated must be determined on a case-by-case basis. Open Records Decision No. 452 at 4 (1986). When the governmental body is the prospective plaintiff in the anticipated litigation, the concrete evidence must at least reflect that litigation involving a specific matter is "realistically contemplated." *See* Open Records Decision No. 518 at 5 (1989); *see also* Attorney General Opinion MW-575 (1982) (investigatory file may be withheld if governmental body's attorney determines that it should be withheld pursuant to predecessor to section 552.103 and that litigation is "reasonably likely to result").

You inform us that (1) in the late 1990s, both the commission and the federal Environmental Protection Agency "took emergency response actions at the Site to address the threat of immediate discharge [of hazardous substances]," (2) the commission "has over \$1.3 million in presently unrecovered response costs related to the Site," (3) the commission "plans to initiate litigation in the future to recover these costs," and (4) litigation is "statutorily

mandated” under section 361.197 of the Health and Safety Code. *See* Health & Safety Code § 361.197(a)-(d). Based on your representations, we agree that you have shown litigation was reasonably anticipated when the commission received the request for information. In addition, we find that the information in Files 1-8 is related to the anticipated litigation for purposes of section 552.103(a). Therefore, the commission may generally withhold the information in Files 1-8 pursuant to section 552.103.²

However, once information has been obtained by all parties to the litigation through discovery or otherwise, no section 552.103(a) interest exists with respect to that information. Open Records Decision Nos. 349 (1982), 320 (1982). Thus, information that has either been obtained from or provided to the opposing party in the anticipated litigation is not excepted from disclosure under section 552.103(a), and it must be disclosed. Further, the applicability of section 552.103(a) ends once the litigation has been concluded. Attorney General Opinion MW-575 (1982); Open Records Decision No. 350 (1982).

Next, for the remaining information, which you have submitted as File 9, we note that an interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body’s notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why requested information relating to that party should be withheld from disclosure. *See* Gov’t Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, no interested third party has submitted to this office its reasons explaining why the requested information relating to it should not be released. We thus have no basis for concluding that any portion of the submitted information in File 9 constitutes proprietary information, and none of it may be withheld on that basis. *See, e.g.,* Gov’t Code § 552.110; Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3 (1990).

To conclude, the commission may withhold the submitted information in Files 1-8 under section 552.103, except for any information obtained from or provided to the opposing party. It must release the remaining information at issue. Because this ruling is dispositive, we do not address your other arguments for exception.

²We note that certain information has been redacted from the documents you seek to withhold under section 552.103. You do not assert, nor has our review of our records indicated, that you have been granted a previous determination to withhold any such information without seeking a ruling from this office. Because we can discern the information that has been redacted, being deprived of this information does not inhibit our ability to make a ruling in this instance. Nevertheless, be advised that a failure to provide this office with requested information generally deprives us of the ability to determine whether information may be withheld and leaves this office with no alternative other than ordering that the redacted information be released. *See* Gov’t Code § 552.301(e)(1)(D) (governmental body must provide this office with copy of “specific information requested”).

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).


If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code

§ 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



James L. Coggeshall
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

JLC/seg

Ref: ID# 214798

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. James T. McBride
Winstead Sechrest & Minick, P.C.
1450 Lake Robbins Drive, Suite 600
The Woodlands, Texas 77380
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. W. David Blunk
The Environmental Technology Consortium
909 Fannin Street, 33rd Floor
Houston, Texas 77010
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Rudy M. Johnson
Swan Lake Environmental, Inc.
1323 North Main
Pearland, Texas 77581
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Tracy Hollister
Southeast Texas Environmental, LLC
3923 Geronimo
Pasadena, Texas 77505
(w/o enclosures)